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## PREFACE

For the rather late appearance of this volume the nature of its contents will perhaps in some degree be accepted as an excuse. It includes two texts of more than usual importance and interest, the new fragments of Sophocles and the Life of Euripides by Satyrus. In the reconstruction and elucidation of these I have again been most fortunate in obtaining the invaluable aid of Professor U. von Wilamowitz-Möllendorff. I am also under considerable obligations, more particularly with regard to the Sophoclean fragments, to Professor Gilbert Murray. The proof-sheets of the non-literary documents were seen by Professor U. Wilcken, whom I have to thank for some very useful comments and suggestions. Occasional contributions kindly made by other scholars are acknowledged elsewhere.

A small edition of the fragments of the Ichueutae and Eurypylus is in preparation, and will be issued by the Clarendon Press in the course of a few weeks.

ARTHUR S. HUNT.

## Quern's College, Oxford,

 May, 1912.
## CONTENTS

PAGE
Preface ..... V
List of Plates ..... viii
Table of Papyri ..... ix
Note on the Method of Publication and List of Abbreviations ..... xi
TEXTS
I. Theological Fragnents (1166-1173) ..... I
II. New Classical Texts (1174-1176) ..... 30
III. Extant Classical Authors (1177-1184) ..... 182
IV. Documents of the Roman and Early Byzantine Periods:
(a) Official (1185-1193) ..... 198
(b) Declarations to Officials (1194-1200) ..... 213
(c) Petitions (1201-1204) ..... 228
(d) Contracts (1205-1209) ..... 239
(e) Accounts (1210-1212) ..... ${ }^{2} 54$
( $f$ ) Private Correspondence, \&c. (1213-1223) ..... 257

## INDICES

I. New Literary Texts:
(a) 1174, 1175 (Sophocles, Ichneutae and Eurypylus) . . 269
(b) 1176 (Satyrus, Life of Euripides) . . . . . 277
(c) Citations in 1178 . . . . . . . . . 283
II. Emperors . . . . . . . . . . . . 283
III. Consuls . . . . . . . . . . . . . 284
IV. Months and Days . . . . . . . . . . . 285
V. Personal Names . . . . . . . . . . . 285
VI. Geographical . . . . . . . . . 289
VII. Religion . . . . . . . . . . . . 29 I
PAGK
Vili. Official and Military Titles ..... 29 I
IX. Weights, Measures, Coins ..... 293
X. Taxes ..... 293
XI. General Index of Greek and Latin Words ..... 293
XII. Passages discussed ..... 304

## LIST OF PLATES

I. 1168,1171 recto, 1178,1170
II. 1174 Cols. iv-v
III. 1175 Fr. 5, Cols. i-ii
at the end.
IV. 1175 Frs. 3, 6, 79, 80, 91, 94
V. 1176 Fr. 39, Cols. xvii-xxiii
VI. 1200

## TABLE OF PAPYRI

A. D. PAGE
1186. Genesis xvi $3^{\text {rd }}$ cent. ..... I
1187. Genesis xxxi $4^{\text {th }}$ cent. ..... 2
1168. Joshua iv-v $4^{\text {th }}$ cent. ..... 4
1169. St. Matthew's Gospel vi $5^{\text {th }}$ or 6 th cent. ..... 5
1170. St. Matthew's Gospel x-xi $5^{\text {th }}$ cent. ..... 7
1171. St. James's Epistle ii-iii
1172. The Shepherd of Hermas
Late 3rd cent. ..... 91173. Philo$4^{\text {th }}$ cent.11
161174. Sophocles, Ichneutae$3^{\text {rd cent. }}$
1175. Sophocles, EurypylusLate and cent.30
1176. Satyrus, Life of Euripides 2nd cent. ..... 124Late 2nd cent.86
1177. Euripides, Phoenissae. Early ist cent. ..... 182
1178. Euripides, Orestes Early ist cent. b. с. ..... 184
1179. Apollonius Rhodius ii Early $3^{\text {rd }}$ cent. ..... 186
1180. Thucydides v 3 rd cent. ..... 187
1181. Xenophon, Anabasis vii Early $3^{\text {rd }}$ cent ..... 189
1182. Demosthenes, De Falsa Legatione and cent. ..... 189
1183. Isocrates, Trapeziticus Late ist cent. ..... 193
1184. Pseudo-Hippocrates Early ist cent. ..... 195
1185. Letter of a Praefect, \&c. About 200 ..... 198
1186. Edict of a Praeses $4^{\text {th }}$ cent. ..... 201
1187. Proclamation of a Strategus ..... ${ }^{2} 54$ ..... 202
1188. Official Correspondence ..... 203
1189. Letter of a Strategus About 117 ..... 207
1190. Letter of a Strategus ..... 347 ..... 208
1191. Official Correspondence ..... 280 ..... 210
1192. Order for Payment ..... 280 ..... 211
1193. Order from a Speculator $4^{\text {th }}$ cent. ..... 212
1194. Arrears of Annona 3rd cent. (about 265 ) ..... 213
1195. Promise of Attendance in Court ..... 135
1198. Declaration of a Tax-collector 211 -1 2 ..... 217
A. D. PAGE
1197. Declaration of a Shipper ..... 218
1198. Notification of Death ..... ${ }^{1} 5^{\circ}$ ..... 220
1189. Notification of Purchase $3^{\text {rd }}$ cent. ..... 221
1200. Registration of a Deed ..... 223
1201 Succession to an Inheritance ..... 228
1202. Petition concerning an Ephebus ..... 230
1203. Claim of Creditors ..... ${ }^{2} 32$
1204. Petition to a Strategus ..... 235
1205. Manumission inter amicos ..... 239
1206. Adoption ..... 335 ..... 242
1207. Lease of a Camel-stable ${ }^{1} 75^{-6}$ (?) ..... 244
1208. Public Acknowledgement of a Contract of Sale 291 ..... 245
1209. Sale of a Slave 251-3. ..... 252
1210. Poll-tax Register
Late ist cent. b.c. or early ist cent. A.D. ..... 254
1211. Articles for a Sacrifice and cent. ..... 256
1212. List of Vegetables 2nd cent. ..... ${ }^{2} 57$
1213. Question to the Oracle 2nd cent. ..... ${ }^{2} 57$
1214. Invitation to a Birthday-feast $5^{\text {th }}$ cent. ..... 258
1215. Letter of Sinthonis 2 nd or 3 rd cent. ..... 259
1216. Letter of Sarapas 2nd or 3 rd cent. ..... 259
1217. Letter of Eudaemonis. 3 rd cent. ..... 261
1218. Letter of Didymus 3 rd cent. ..... 261
1219. Letter of Aristandrus 3 rd cent. ..... 262
1220. Letter of a Bailiff 3 rd cent. ..... 263
1221. Letter of Isidorus Late $3^{\text {rd }}$ or early 4th cent. ..... 265
1222. Letter to Demetrius 4th cent. ..... 265
1223. Letter of Hermias Late 4th cent. ..... 266

## NOTE ON THE METHOD OF PUBLICATION AND

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

THE general method followed in this volume is the same as that in Parts I-VIII. The new literary texts are printed in a dual form, a literal transcript being accompanied by a reconstruction in modern style. In the fragments of extant authors, the originals are reproduced except for division of words, capital initials in proper names, expansion of abbreviations, and supplements of lacunae. Additions or corrections by the same hand as the body of the text are in small thin type, those by a different hand in thick type. Non-literary documents are given in modern form with accentuation and punctuation. Abbreviations and symbols are resolved; additions and corrections are usually incorporated in the text, their occurrence being recorded in the critical apparatus, where also faults of orthography, \&c., are corrected if they seemed likely to give rise to any difficulty. Iota adscript has been printed when so written, otherwise iota subscript is employed. Square brackets [] indicate a lacuna, round brackets () the resolution of a symbol or abbreviation, angular brackets $\rangle$ a mistaken omission in the original, braces $\}$ a superfluous letter or letters, double square brackets []$]$ a deletion in the original. Dots placed within brackets represent the approximate number of letters lost or deleted; dots outside brackets indicate mutilated or otherwise illegible letters. Letters with dots underneath them are to be considered doubtful. Heavy Arabic numerals refer to the texts of the Oxyrhynchus papyri in this volume and in Parts I-VIII, ordinary numerals to lines, small Roman numerals to columns.

The abbreviations used in referring to papyrological publications are practically those adopted in the Archiv fiir Papyrusforschung, viz.:-
P. Amh. = The Amherst Papyri (Greek), Vols. I-II, by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.

Archiv $=$ Archiv fiir Papyrusforschung.
B. G. U. = Aeg. Urkunden aus den K. Muscen zu Berlin, Griechische Urkunden.
P. Brit. Mus. = Greek Papyri in the British Museum, Vols. I-II, by F. G. Kenyon ;

Vol. III, by F. G. Kenyon and H. I. Bell; Vol. IV, by H. I. Bell.
C. P. Herm. = Corpus Papyrorum Hermopolitanorum, Vol. I, by C. Wessely.
C. P. R. $=$ Corpus Papyrorum Raineri, Vol. I, by C. Wessely.
P. Cairo Cat. = Catalogue des Antiquités égyptiennes du Musée du Caire, Papyrus grecs d'ćpoque byzantine (two parts), by J. Maspero.
I. Class. Phil. = Classical Philology', I. 2, Papyri edited by E. J. Goodspeed.
P. Fay. = Fayûm Towns and their Papyri, by B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and D. G. Hogarth.
P. Flor. = Papiri Fiorentini, Vol. I, by G. Vitelli ; Vol. II, by D. Comparetti.
P. Gen. = Les Papyrus de Genève, Vol. I, by J. Nicole.
P. Giessen $=$ Griechische Papyri zu Giessen, Vol. I, by E. Kornemann, O. Eger, and P. M. Meyer.
P. Grenf. $=$ Greek Papyri, Series I, by B. P. Grenfell, and Series II, by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.
P. Hamburg $=$ Griechische Urkunden der Hamburger Stadtbibliothek, Part 1, by P. M. Meyer.
1'. Hibch $=$ The Hibeh Papyri, Part I, by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.
P. Leipzig $=$ Griech. Urkunden der Papyrussammlung zu Leipzig, Vol. I, by L. Mitteis.
P. Oxy. = The Oxyrhynchus Papyri, Parts I-VI, by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt ; Parts VII-VIII, by A. S. Hunt.
P. Par. = Les Papyrus grecs du Musée du Louvre, Notices et Extraits, t. xviii. 2, by W. Brunet de Presle and E. Egger.
P. Petric $=$ The Flinders Petrie Papyri, Parts I-II, by J. P. Mahaffy ; Part III, by J. P. Mahaffy and J. G. Smyly.

I'. Reinach = Papyrus grecs et démotiques, by Théodore Reinach.
P. Rylands $=$ Catalogue of the Greek Papyri in the Rylands Library, Manchester, Vol. I, by A. S. Hunt.
P. S. I. = Papiri della Società italiana, Vol. I, by G. Vitelli and others.
P. Strassb. = Griech. Papyrus der K. Universitätsbibliothek zu Strassburg im Elsass, Vol. I, by F. Preisigke.
P. Tebt. $=$ The Tebtunis Papyri, Part I, by B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and J. G. Smyly; and Part II, by B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and E. J. Goodspecd.
P'. Thead. = Papyrus de Théadelphie, by P. Jouguet.
Wilcken, Ost. = Griechische Ostraka, by U. Wilcken.

## I. THEOLOGICAL FRAGMENTS

1166. Genesis xvi.

Fr. (c) $13.5 \times 4.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. Third century. Plate I.
Remains of one column from a roll of Genesis in the LXX version. The large and upright calligraphic handwriting is apparently an early example of the so-called Biblical uncials, and may well fall within the third century; cf. e.g. 661, 867, 1179, P. Rylands 16 . A papyrus of this date is textually valuable, especially for the book of Genesis, where the Vaticanus is defective. Several interesting readings occur,-an agreement in 1.20 with MSS. of Philo, two coincidences with a group of cursives against other older evidence (11. 3, 24), and two peculiar variants (1l. 14, 16). A medial point, followed, sometimes at any rate, by a short blank space, is used for purposes of punctuation; and a rough breathing is once added. These signs are apparently due to the original writer.

| $\pi] \alpha \iota \delta \iota \sigma \kappa \eta \quad[\Sigma \alpha$ | vi. 8 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $\pi o v \pi o \rho \epsilon v \eta] \cdot \eta \delta \in \epsilon[\iota$ |  |
| $\pi \epsilon \nu \quad a \pi o \quad \pi \rho o \sigma] \omega \pi[0 \nu$ |  |
| 5 I line lost. |  |
| ] . |  |
| - o aly | 9 |
| $[\gamma \in \lambda$ os $\overline{K v}$. $\quad \alpha \pi] 0$ |  |
|  |  |
| $10[\kappa \nu \rho \iota \alpha \nu$ oov каı $\tau \alpha] \pi \in \iota$ |  |
| [ $\nu \omega \theta \eta \tau \iota$ vio $\tau \alpha s \times] \epsilon[\iota$ |  |
| $\rho \alpha s$ av] $\tau \eta s[\cdot] \quad \kappa \leqslant \alpha[\iota \quad \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$ | 10 |
| $\alpha \nu \tau \eta] \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ a $\alpha \gamma \epsilon \lambda$ os $K[v$ |  |
| เ $\delta 0 v(?)] \pi \lambda \eta \theta \nu \nu \omega \nu{ }^{\prime}$ [ |  |
| B |  |

```
\(\left.{ }^{1} 5 \pi \lambda \eta \theta \nu\right] \nu \omega\) то \(\sigma \pi \epsilon[\rho\)
    \(\mu \alpha \sigma o v]\) каı оบк \(\epsilon \xi \alpha[\rho \iota\)
    \(\theta \mu \eta \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon] \tau \alpha[\iota] \alpha \pi 0 \quad \tau[0 \cup\)
    \(\pi \lambda \eta \theta o v] s . \quad\) к \(\alpha \iota \quad \epsilon \iota \pi[\epsilon \nu\)
    \(\alpha v \tau \eta\) o] \(a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda o s[\overline{K v}\)
20 เठov \(\epsilon \nu] \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \iota \epsilon X \in[\iota S\)
    кає \(\tau \epsilon \xi] \eta \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \frac{1}{~[ }\)
    \(\kappa \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda] \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma\) то o[vo
    \(\mu \alpha\) autov \(I \sigma] \mu a \eta \lambda\) [
    oт८ \(\epsilon \pi \eta \kappa] o[v] \sigma \in \nu \quad \overline{K[s}\)
25 o \(\left.\overline{\theta_{s}} \quad \tau \eta\right] \quad \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \omega \sigma[\epsilon \iota\)
    oov out]!gs єбтal a[
    रроוк]os \(\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi\) os [
    \(\alpha \iota X \in \iota \rho] \in S\) avтov \(\in \pi \iota\)
3. \(\eta \delta \epsilon\) : so the cursives fir (Holmes \(53,56,129\) ) ; кає \(D \mathrm{M}, \& \mathrm{c}\). The supposed stop preceding is very uncertain, and may be a vestige of another letter.
\(7-8\). Line 8 is shorter than would be expected, even when allowance is made, on the analogy of \(11 . I_{2}\) and 18 , for a blank space after the stop. But the \(\gamma\) at the end of 1.7 , though broken, is highly probable.
14. There is no authority for \(\iota \delta o v\), but some addition is necessary to fill the lacuna; perhaps \(\delta 8 o v\) came in here from 1. 20.

20. \(\sigma v\) seems to have been omitted after ioov, as in mor (Holmes 72, 82, 129) Syr. Chrysostom. \(\quad\) iov is omitted in some MSS. of Philo.

2 I . \(\pi a \iota \delta \iota \nu\) : so some MSS. of Philo ; vıov other authorities.
24-5. The addition of \(o \theta\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)_{s}\) after \(\mathrm{K}[(\nu \rho \iota o) s\), as in fir, is indicated by the spacing.
1167. GENESIS xxxi.

Fourth century.
This fragment of a leaf from a papyrus book is less ancient than 1168 , but still sufficiently early to be of some value. It is written in medium-sized sloping uncials which may be roughly assigned to the fourth century. There is a loss of five lines between the end of the recto and the beginning of the verso, so that the number of lines on a page was about 22 , and the leaf was nearly square in shape. A comma-like mark divides two mutes in \(1.2 ; v\) at the end of a line
is sometimes written as a horizontal stroke over the preceding vowel. The chief characteristic of the text is a tendency to agree with combinations of DEM against the Codex Alexandrinus ; an exception occurs in 1. 20.

Recto.\(\kappa о \pi о] \nu \quad \tau \omega \nu\)xxxi 42\(\left[\begin{array}{llllll}\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \nu & \mu o \nu & \iota \delta \epsilon \nu & \circ & \overline{\theta_{S}} & \kappa\end{array}\right] \alpha!\quad \eta \lambda \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \xi \in \nu\)\(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\sigma \epsilon & X \in S & \alpha \pi 0] \kappa[\rho \ell \theta \epsilon \iota s \\ & \delta \epsilon & \Lambda \alpha \beta \alpha \nu]\end{array} \in[t \pi]\right]_{\bar{\epsilon}}\)43\([\tau \omega\) I \(\alpha \kappa \omega \beta\) aı \(\theta] u \gamma \alpha[\tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon s \quad \theta v] \gamma \alpha[\tau] \in \rho[\epsilon]!\), \(\mu о v\)


[ \(\tau \omega \nu\) ] ois \(\epsilon \tau \epsilon \kappa \circ \nu \nu v \nu\) ouv [ \(\delta]\) ]upo \(\delta \iota a[\theta \omega\)44
\([\pi \epsilon \nu \quad \delta \epsilon\) avtc \(\delta \delta o]\) ] ou \(\theta \epsilon \epsilon s \quad \mu \epsilon \theta \quad \eta \mu \omega \nu \in[\sigma\)[тiv iסov o \(\left.\overline{\theta_{S}}\right] ~ \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu S ~ a \nu \alpha ~ \mu \epsilon \sigma o v ~ є \mu o v ~\)\([\kappa \alpha \iota\) бov \(\lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu] \quad \delta_{\epsilon} \dddot{I} \alpha \kappa \omega \beta \quad \lambda \iota \theta o \nu \quad \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma[\bar{\epsilon}]\)45
15 [avtov \(\sigma \tau \eta \lambda \eta\) ] \(\nu \in \pi \epsilon \nu \delta \in \dddot{I} \alpha \kappa \omega \beta\) тols ..... 46
[aסє入фoıs avтov \(\sigma v \lambda] \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda_{1}\) Oous \(\kappa \alpha[\iota\) 
Verso.
\([\sigma] \epsilon \nu \quad \alpha[u \tau 0 \nu\) ßovvos \(\mu a \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon \ell \in І \pi \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon\) ..... 48\(\Lambda[\alpha] \beta a \nu\) т \(\omega I[\alpha \kappa \omega \beta\) ıסov o \(\beta\) ovvos ovtos\(20\left[\begin{array}{cc}\kappa \alpha \iota & \eta\end{array}\right] \sigma \tau[\eta \lambda \eta \alpha \nu \tau \eta] \eta \nu \in[\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \alpha \nu \alpha\)
    \(\mu \in \sigma[0] \nu \quad \epsilon \mu[0 v\) ка८ \(\sigma] 0 v \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho[\epsilon \iota\) o \(\beta o v\)

    ठ८a \(\operatorname{\tau ov}[\tau]\) o \([\epsilon] \kappa \lambda[\eta] \theta \eta\) то оуона \(\beta\) ovv \([\) os \(\mu \alpha \rho\)
    \(\tau \nu \rho \epsilon \iota\) каl \(\eta\) opaots \(\eta \nu\) єاסov \(\epsilon \pi \iota \delta \sigma\left[l\right.\) o \(\overline{\theta_{S}}\)49

\(4-5\). The blurred and broken letters are here difficult to identify, but the indications favour the supposition that \(\sigma o v\) was omitted after aı \(\theta v \gamma a \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \varsigma\), o vıo and \(\tau a \kappa \tau \eta \nu \eta\), as in EM, various cursives and versions, and Philo. E omits ot before voo and \(\kappa \tau \eta \nu \eta\) before \(\mu \nu v\).
6. \(\nu\) of \(\pi\) avזa was apparently repeated by mistake ; cf. 1.30 , where there is an inadvertent omission, and note on ll. 26-7. After opas E adds òa, with ra in place of oora; but these variants are less suitable to the space.


12. Iaк \(\beta\) which stands afier avt \(\omega\) in A was doubtless omitted in the papyrus, with DilEM, \&c.
13. Unless \(\epsilon \sigma \tau \tau\), was divided \(\epsilon \mid \sigma \tau \iota v\), \(\delta \infty \nu(D M)\) seems rather better adapted to the space than ift (A) ; om. E.
\({ }^{5} 5\). \(\epsilon \leftarrow \sigma \tau \eta \lambda \eta \nu(\mathrm{E})\) is also admissible.
18. a[vтоу : то оуоца avtov ( E ) does not suit.
20. avt \(\eta\) is omitted in DsilEM, \&c.
 After ßovvos E reads \(\mu\) aptupıov instead of \(\mu\) артирєє.
24. \(\eta\) opacts: om. \(\eta\) E.

єıठov: so \(D(\iota \delta o \nu)\), єiסev E ; єıTev AM.
\(\epsilon \pi \iota \delta \circ\left[\iota\right.\) : so \(D^{\text {sil }} \mathrm{EM}\); єфt \(\delta o \iota\) A.
\(26-\frac{7}{2}\). \(\tau a \pi \epsilon \ell \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon s\) was perhaps written by a dittography for \(\tau a \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota\); cf.l.6. MI's

30. I. \(\epsilon \gamma \omega\).
1168. JOSHUA iv-v.
\[
7 \cdot 3 \times 6.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century }
\]

A fragment from the bottom of a vellum leaf inscribed with well-formed upright uncials of a medium sizc, and probably of the fourth century. \(\omega\) is shallow and high in the line, as in 847. A high stop is twice added in a blacker ink than that used by the original writer. Eleven lines are lost at the top of the recto, and the height of the leaf may be estimated at about 15.5 cm .

The character of the text can hardly be gauged from so small a sample, but two agreements with \(B\) against \(A\) are noticeable, and the support against both of a variant found in a few cursives.

 \({ }_{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \nu \sigma \theta \in \nu \eta \mu \omega \nu\).
8. \(\mathrm{K}(\nu \rho \iota)\) ) : so several cursives ; tov kvpıo BAF.
13. \(\delta t a \beta a \iota[\epsilon \iota \nu\) : so B ; \(\delta t a ß \eta \nu a t \mathrm{~A}\).

1169. St. Matthew's Gospel vi.
\(8 \times 14.1 \mathrm{~cm}\). \(\quad\) Fifth or sixth century.
This is a fragment from the outer part of a vellum leaf, of which the upper portion seems to have been cut off, while the lower is worm-eaten and decayed. Two columns of about 27 lines each were contained on the page, and the original dimensions of the leaf may be estimated roughly at \(25 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}\). No clear traces of ruling are discernible. The hand is an upright uncial, rather large and carefully finished, with strongly marked contrasts of light and heavy strokes. It is of the same type as 848 , and the fragment reproduced in Schubart's Pap. Gr. Berol. 44 a, and must belong to approximately the same period. The text is divided up into paragraphs or verses, a new line with an enlarged initial letter commencing each paragraph, much after the manner of, e. g., the Codex Alexandrinus.

\section*{Col. i.}
\(\alpha \pi \epsilon] \chi^{o v \sigma} \omega\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { lov } & \mu l\end{array}\right] \sigma \theta 0 \nu\) [ \(\alpha \nu \tau \omega] \nu\).
\(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\Sigma \nu & \delta \in & o \tau a\end{array}\right] \nu \pi \rho o \sigma\)
\(5[\epsilon \tau \chi \eta \in \iota \sigma \epsilon] \lambda \theta \epsilon \epsilon \in\)
[то \(\tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \epsilon \nu\) o] ]ov

Col. ii.
] \(\underset{\sim}{[\alpha \rho}\) vi. 8
[o] \(\overline{\pi \eta \rho} \nu \mu \omega \nu\) [
\(\omega \nu \quad \mathrm{X}^{\rho \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu} \mathrm{\epsilon}^{\mathrm{X} \epsilon}\)
\(\tau \epsilon \pi \rho o\) тov \(\dot{v}\)
\(\mu\) as alтךбаl avtov.
Ovtc[s ov] \(\quad \pi \rho \rho \sigma \quad 9\) \({ }^{\epsilon} \nu \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \nu \mu \in[l]\) !
\(15 \overline{\pi \epsilon \rho} \eta \mu \omega \nu\) o \(\epsilon \underline{\varphi}\) tois ouvols \([a y \iota \alpha] \sigma \theta[\eta] \tau \omega\) ! \(!\) \(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { ого } о \alpha & \sigma o v]\end{array} \in \lambda\right.\)

Recto.
Col. i.
\(\kappa \eta[s \quad \eta \mu a s\) єts \(\pi \epsilon \iota\)
\(\rho a \sigma \mu \omega \nu \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda[\alpha \rho \nu\) \(\sigma \alpha \iota \quad \eta \mu \alpha s\) a \(\pi[0\) тov тоипрог-
Eav [ \(\gamma \alpha \rho\) ] \({ }_{a} \phi \eta \tau \epsilon\)
[ \(\tau\) ]o!s \(\bar{\alpha} \bar{\alpha} \nu o t s ~ \tau \alpha\)
\(25 \quad[\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \tau] \omega \mu a \tau \alpha\)
\(\alpha \cup\rceil \oplus \nu \quad a \phi \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota\)


\([E \alpha], \underline{Y}\)
vi. I 3

Col. ii.
\(30 \Sigma v \delta \epsilon\left[\nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \omega \nu\right.\) vi. \({ }^{17}\)
\(a \lambda \epsilon \iota \psi[\alpha \iota\) बov \(\tau \eta \nu\)
\(\kappa \epsilon \phi a[\lambda \eta \nu\) кає то
\(\pi \rho o \sigma[\omega \pi \pi \nu\) бov
\(\nu \iota \psi[\alpha \iota\)

15
7. The vestige suits \(\gamma\) and is inconsistent with a round letter ; it is thus most probable that the MSS. did not agree with \(B \mathbf{N}^{*}\) in adding o \(\theta\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)\) s before \(o \pi(a \tau) \eta \rho\).
13. \(\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\chi} \epsilon \sigma \theta a t\) is for \(-\sigma \theta \varepsilon\).
19. 1. \(\pi \in \iota\) р \(\quad\) a \(\mu о \nu\).
23. \(\gamma \mathrm{af}\) : om. \(\mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{~L}\).
\(28-9\). The decipherment of the end of 1.28 and 1.29 is very uncertain.
1170. ST. Matthew's Gospel x-xi.
\(22.9 \times 9 \mathrm{~cm}\). Fifth century.
The following leaf from a papyrus book is complete at the top and bottom, but broken at the sides; the surface is also very much damaged, and partly owing to this cause, partly also to the brown shade of the ink used, decipherment is in places extremely difficult and uncertain. Nothing of much importance seems to be involved, for the text is not distinguished by accuracy. It is, however, probably the oldest authority for the reading aúróv in x .32 ; and an otherwise unrecorded variant may be noted in 1.7. The rather widely spaced lines are written in a good-sized upright uncial hand, which is less heavy and probably rather earlier than that of 1189. An unusual characteristic is the avoidance of the ordinary theological contractions.
Recto.

\([\gamma] \eta \sigma \omega[\kappa \alpha \gamma] \omega\) avтov \(\epsilon \nu \pi \rho \rho[\sigma \theta \epsilon \nu\) тov \(\pi \alpha \tau \rho o s\)
33\(\sigma \eta \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \epsilon \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \tau \omega[\nu \quad \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu\)
 \(\pi \alpha \tau \rho o s ~ \mu o v ~ \tau o v ~ \epsilon \nu ~ o v \rho a[\nu o t s ~ \mu \eta\)34

\(\epsilon \iota \rho \eta \nu \eta \nu \in \pi \iota \tau \eta \nu \quad \gamma \eta \nu\) [ovk \(\eta \lambda \theta_{o \nu}\)

10 \([\eta \lambda \theta o \nu \gamma \alpha \rho] \delta \iota \chi \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota ~ a \nu \theta[p \omega \pi o \nu ~ к \alpha\)



\(3^{6}\)
\({ }^{15}\) [ \(\left.\alpha \kappa 01\right]\) avTov o \(\phi i \lambda \omega \nu\) [ \(\pi \alpha \tau \in \rho \alpha ~ \eta \mu \eta\) ..... 37\(\tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \ddot{̈} \pi \epsilon \rho \in \mu \epsilon\) ovk \(\epsilon \sigma \tau[\nu \nu \mu 0 \nu \alpha\)
\(\xi \operatorname{los}\) o єUр由ン \(\tau \eta \nu \quad \psi[\nu X \eta \nu\) autov
\(\alpha[\pi o] \lambda \epsilon \sigma \in!\) aut \(\eta \nu \quad \kappa \alpha[l\) o \(\alpha \pi o \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha S\)
\(\tau \eta \nu \psi \nu \chi \eta \nu\) avtov \(\epsilon \nu[\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \nu\) \(\mu \circ v\)

\(\ddot{v} \mu a s \in \mu \epsilon \delta \epsilon X \in \tau \alpha \iota \quad \underset{.}{[\alpha l} \quad 0 \quad \epsilon \mu \epsilon \delta \epsilon\)
Xopevos \(\delta \epsilon X \in \tau \alpha \iota \quad \tau \sigma[\nu \quad \alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota\)

Verso．
\(\left[\begin{array}{llll}\lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha & \mu \epsilon & 0 & \delta\end{array}\right] \epsilon \chi о \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s \pi \rho о ф \eta \tau \eta \nu \quad 4 \mathrm{I}\)


 ［ \(\delta\) ıкаьov \(\lambda] \eta \mu \psi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\) ка८ os \(\epsilon \alpha \nu \pi о\)
\([\tau \iota \sigma \eta \quad \epsilon \nu] \propto \tau \omega \nu \mu \iota \kappa \rho \omega \nu \tau o v \tau \omega \nu\)
 \(30\left[\begin{array}{ll}\nu \circ \mu \alpha & \mu\end{array}\right] \alpha \theta \eta \tau o v \quad \alpha \mu \eta \nu \quad \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \quad \ddot{v} \mu \iota \nu\) \(\left[\begin{array}{lll}o v & \mu \eta & \alpha\end{array}\right] \pi o \lambda \epsilon[\sigma] \eta \quad \tau \circ \nu \quad[\mu \iota \sigma \theta 0 \nu \quad a v\)
 xi． 1 \([\) gous \(\delta i] \alpha \tau[\alpha \sigma] \sigma \omega \nu \quad \tau 0 \iota[s \quad \delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \quad \mu \alpha\) \([\theta \eta \tau \alpha \iota s] \alpha v[\tau 0] \cup[\mu \epsilon] \tau[\epsilon \beta \eta \quad \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \theta \epsilon \nu\) \(35[\operatorname{Tov} \delta \iota \delta \alpha] \sigma \kappa[\epsilon \iota] \geqslant \kappa \alpha \iota[\kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu\) \(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\epsilon \nu & \tau \alpha l s\end{array}\right] \pi \rho[\lambda \epsilon \sigma l] ?[\alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad\) o \(\delta \epsilon I \omega\)
\([\alpha \nu \nu \eta s \quad \alpha] \kappa 0[v \sigma \alpha s \quad \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \quad \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota\)

 \(40[\tau \omega \sigma v \epsilon \iota]\) o \(\epsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \epsilon \nu[0 s]\) ？\([\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu\)
\([\pi \rho о \sigma \delta 0] \kappa \omega \mu \epsilon[\nu\) каь атокрı \(\theta \epsilon \iota s\) о
［I \(\eta \sigma o v s \quad \epsilon i] \pi \epsilon \nu\) avtoוs［ \(\pi 0] \rho \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \nu[\tau] \epsilon[S\)
\([\alpha \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon i] \lambda \alpha \tau \epsilon \ddot{I} \omega \alpha \nu \nu \eta\) ํ 人 \(\alpha \kappa o v\)
\([\epsilon \tau \epsilon\) каl \(\beta] \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \tau[v] \phi \lambda\) о८ \(\alpha \nu \alpha[\beta \lambda \epsilon\)


2．uurnv：so DL ；єע auta most MSS．

5. There is no room for apınбoцat or \(-\mu \epsilon\), and the scribe evidently made some error ; possibly he wrote \(a \rho \nu \eta \sigma \omega\). каүш avtov is the order of \(\mathrm{B} \mathfrak{\mathrm { ND }}\); avтоу каү CEFGKL.
6. ovpo[ \(\nu\) oıs: so NCDEGKL ; tots oupavots B .
7. The insertion of ovv before \(\nu o \mu \iota \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon\) is apparently peculiar to the present text.

10. ave[ \(\rho \omega \pi \sigma \nu\) : vıov D.
17. The conclusion of verse 37 кає о ф \(\lambda \omega \nu\). . a agıos, and verse 38 кит оs ои \(\lambda a \mu \beta a \nu є \iota \ldots\) \(a \xi \cos\), are omitted. The former of these omissions, which the repetition of o \(\phi \quad \lambda \omega \nu\) and the homoeoteleuton of a \(\xi \iota o s\) made easy, occurs also in \(B^{*} D\), and the latter in M. Cf. note on II. \(25-7\).
18. The vestiges at the end of the line are very faint, but seem to suit ku[ \(\iota\) rather better than o \(\delta[\epsilon(\mathrm{D})\).

25-7. каь . . . \(\lambda \eta \mu \psi \epsilon \tau a \iota\) is omitted in D.
28. \(\mu<\kappa \rho \omega \nu\) : є \(\lambda a \chi \iota \sigma \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{D}\).
29. \(\psi v \chi \rho o v ~ \mu o \nu o v: ~ v \delta a t o s ~ \psi v \chi \rho o v ~ D . ~\)
38. X \(\rho \uparrow[\sigma] \tau \sigma[v . \quad \mathrm{I}(\eta \sigma o) v \mathrm{D}\).
43. \(\tau \omega \mathrm{I} \omega a \nu \nu{ }^{\boldsymbol{N}} \mathbf{N}^{*}\).
45. D omits кає \(\chi \omega \lambda\) оє \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a t o v \sigma \iota\).
1171. St. James's Epistle ii-iii.
II. \(5 \times 4.3 \mathrm{~cm}\). Late third century. Plate I (recto).

A strip from a leaf of a papyrus book, neatly written in an upright semicursive hand which is more likely to belong to the latter half of the third century than to the commencement of the fourth. The comma-shaped sign not infrequently used is placed after the final consonant of non-Greek names. \(\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a\), кúpıos, and \(\theta \epsilon\) ós are contracted in the usual way, but \(\pi a \tau \eta \dot{\eta}\) and \(\alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o s\) are written out. If, as is probable, the lacuna at the bottom of the recto was contained in six lines, the height of the leaf was about 16 cm .

The lines were of some length, and since the point of division is quite uncertain I have not made a conjectural restoration of the gaps but only completed imperfect words. The fracture along the right-hand side of the recto, except at 11. I and 20 , is practically straight. So far as can be judged the text was a good one, being generally in agreement with that of the Vaticanus; but there is one coincidence with \(C\) (1.34) and one with \(L\) (1.9) against the other more important MSS., besides a probable divergence from \(B\) in 1. is.

Recto. Plate I.
\[
\begin{array}{lr}
\kappa] \alpha \lambda \omega s \pi o l[\epsilon \iota S & \text { ii. } 19 \\
\kappa \alpha] \iota \phi \rho \iota \xi 0 v \sigma \iota \nu \quad \theta \in \lambda \epsilon[\iota S & 20 \\
\kappa] \epsilon \nu \epsilon \text { orl } \eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota S \text { X[ } \omega \rho l s &
\end{array}
\]
\(A \beta] \rho \alpha \alpha \mu \mu^{\prime}\) о \(\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \quad \eta \mu[\omega \nu\) ..... 2 I] \(\alpha \nu \in \nu \in \gamma к \alpha s \tilde{I} \sigma \alpha[\alpha \kappa\)
] \(\theta v \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \circ \nu \beta \lambda \epsilon[\pi \epsilon \iota S\) ..... 22] rols \(\epsilon \rho\) yols autov \(\kappa \alpha \iota \in[\kappa\)\(\epsilon \tau \epsilon] \lambda \epsilon \iota \omega \theta \eta\) к \(\alpha \iota \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho[\omega \theta \eta\)23\(\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau] \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \quad A \beta p \alpha \alpha \mu[\)\(\delta] \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \sigma \nu \eta[\nu\)] \(\epsilon \xi \in \rho \gamma \omega \nu\) [24
\(\kappa \alpha] \iota\) оик \([\epsilon] \kappa \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega[s\) \(P] \alpha \alpha \beta^{\prime} \eta \pi o[\rho] \nu \eta\) ov[ \(\kappa\) ..... 25
] \(v \pi \sigma \delta \in \xi[\alpha] \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \tau[o v s\)
o] \(\delta \omega \quad \epsilon \gamma \beta \alpha \lambda o v \sigma \alpha \omega[\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \quad \gamma \alpha \rho\) ..... 26
\(\delta \iota] \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \circ \iota \quad \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \in\) [ ..... in. o] \(\tau \iota \mu \epsilon \iota \zeta \circ \nu \quad \kappa \rho \iota \mu \alpha \quad \lambda[\eta \mu \psi о \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha\) ] \(\gamma \alpha \rho \pi \tau \alpha \iota o[\mu \in \nu\)
\(X \omega \rho] \iota s \quad \overline{\pi \nu S} \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \circ \nu \in[\sigma \tau L \nu\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \pi \epsilon] \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \rho \pi \epsilon \tau \omega \nu \text { [ } \\
& \delta \in \delta \alpha \mu \alpha] \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \text { к } \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \mu \alpha\} \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota[
\end{aligned}
\]
        ] \(\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta\) їоv \(\theta \alpha \nu \alpha \tau \eta[\phi о \rho o v\)
    \(\epsilon v \lambda o \gamma o v] \mu \epsilon \nu\) тov \(\overline{\kappa \nu} \kappa[\alpha \iota\)
\(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho] \omega \mu \in \theta \alpha \operatorname{\tau ov}[s\) \(o \mu o l] \omega \sigma \iota \nu \overline{\theta v}\) [
2. \(\phi_{\mu} \iota \xi^{\circ}{ }_{2}{ }_{\iota \nu}\) is a misspelling of \(\phi \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \sigma v \sigma \iota \nu\); the interchange of \(\sigma\) and \(\zeta\) is not uncommon

9. \(\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \tau]_{\epsilon v \sigma \epsilon: ~ s o ~} \mathrm{~L}(-\epsilon \nu)\); \(\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \mathrm{BNA}, \& c\).
ir. Considerations of space make it unlikely that rouvo was added after opare as in KL.
15. Without \(\gamma\) ap, which follows \(\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho\) in sACKL, the lacuna would be abnormally short; B omits \(\gamma\) ap.
17. \(\epsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu\) : so BN ; \(\tau \omega \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu \mathrm{ACKL}\).

2 I. \(a v \tau \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \tau] a \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu: \mu \epsilon \tau a \gamma \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \quad a v \tau \omega \nu\) A.
22. avє \(a \omega \nu \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \nu\) is also the order of \(\mathrm{B} \aleph \mathrm{C}\); \(\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \nu\) a \(a \nu \mu \omega \nu \mathrm{AL}\).
24. ол]ov: so BN ; олои à ACKL .
26. \(\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda a\) avұ \(\epsilon\) : so \(\mathrm{BAC}^{*}\); \(\mu \in \gamma a \lambda a v \chi \epsilon \iota \aleph \mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{KL}\).
27. ка[ \(\left[\right.\) is omitted by \(\mathbf{N}^{*}\).
31. \(\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma \quad \eta \mu \omega \nu \leqslant\) §.

36. The initial a of a[katuनratov is represented only by a small vestige which might equally well belong to a \(\delta\), but the spacing clearly shows that the papyrus followed the order of BC ; NAK have \(\delta v v a \tau a \iota ~ \delta a \mu a \sigma a \iota ~ a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu\), L \(\delta v \nu a \tau a \iota ~ a \nu \theta\). \(\delta a \mu\).
38. \(\kappa(\nu \rho \iota)) \nu: \theta \epsilon o \nu \mathrm{KL}\).

\section*{1172. The Shepherd of Hermas.}
\[
19.2 \times 12.9 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century }
\]

Several fragments of the Hermae Pastor, both in Greek and Coptic, have recently been obtained from Egypt, and their comparative frequency clearly indicates the popularity of the book in the early Christian church. Those in Greek include 404, P. Amh. 190, P. Berl. 5513 and 6789 (Berl. Klassikertexte, vi. pp. 13-20), and a vellum fragment at Hamburg (Sitzungsb. d. Berl. Akad., phil.-hist. Kl., 1909 , pp. 1077 sqq.) ; cf. 5 recto, where Mand. xi. 9 is quoted. To this list has now to be added the present fragment, a nearly complete leaf from a papyrus book, the two pages, which are numbered 70 and 71 respectively, containing the greater part of Sim. ii. The script is a medium-sized sloping
semi-cursive which I should assign to the fourth century. \(v\) has a waved tail, and the angular loop of the \(a\) is often considerably exaggerated. A somewhat doubtful accent occurs in 1.2 ; there is no clear instance of punctuation. \(\theta\) eós and кúplos are abbreviated in the usual way, but not \({ }^{\prime} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o s\). A few corrections have been made, and some at least of them are probably due to a different though practically contemporary hand, which is perhaps also responsible for the numcration of the pages.

The Greek text of this part of the Hermae Pastor is dependent upon the fourteenth-century Codex Athous, since \(\mathbb{N}\) contains only an earlier portion. From 1. 23 , however, of the papyrus onward, P. Berl. \(55^{1} 3\) is also available for comparison. The latter comes from a roll which is most probably of the third century and no doubt somewhat older than 1172 . There is, however, a striking uniformity in the testimony of the two papyri, and they are usually in agreement as against the Athous, such discrepancies as they show (11. 29, 36, 39) being comparatively slight. This unanimity is most marked in the order of words, and it is likely that these early witnesses are here generally the more credible. Of the other variants the most noteworthy are those in 11. 4, 6, 9 (disposing of an old crux), 10-11, 17, 18, 25, 26, and 47.

The collation given below is based on the 1877 edition of Gebhardt and Harnack, whose symbols are reproduced: \(\mathrm{ca}=\) Codex Athous, \(\mathrm{L}^{1}=\) the old Latin (Vulgate), \(\mathrm{L}^{2}=\) the Latin Palatine version. \(\mathrm{A}=\) Aethiopic, \(\mathrm{C}=\) Coptic.

Recto.






 \(\kappa \alpha \iota \eta \nu\) єХє८ \(\beta \lambda \eta \chi \rho \alpha \nu\) каь \(\mu \iota \kappa \rho \alpha \nu\) ка८ \(\alpha . . \eta \nu \mu \eta \in \chi[0] \nu\) [ \(\sigma \alpha \nu\) סuvaцl oт \(\alpha \nu\) ov \(\epsilon \pi \alpha \nu a \pi \alpha \eta\) є \(\epsilon \iota\) тоע \(\pi \epsilon \nu \eta \tau \alpha\)



 \(\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \quad \in \chi \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha \rho \alpha\) т \(\omega \overline{\theta \omega} \quad \eta[\epsilon \nu] \tau \epsilon \nu \xi[\iota S \alpha] \varphi[\tau 0 v] \in \pi \iota\)

 \(\tau v \gamma \chi^{\alpha \nu \epsilon \ell \tau \omega} \overline{\theta \omega} \epsilon \nu \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega \nu \quad \alpha u \tau \omega \ddot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho\left[\begin{array}{c}\tau o v \\ \delta \iota \delta o \nu\end{array}\right.\)
 [ \(\tau 0 v] \pi \epsilon \nu \eta \tau o s\) ï \(\alpha\) a \(\alpha \iota \alpha \lambda \iota \pi \tau o s \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota \in \nu[\tau \eta \zeta \omega \eta\) \(20[\alpha \nu \tau] 0 v\) oठє \(\gamma \alpha \rho\) оть \(\eta\) тоט \(\pi \epsilon \nu \eta \tau 0 s \in \nu \tau \epsilon v \xi[\) [S \(\pi \rho о \sigma\) [ \(\delta \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta] \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \lambda o u \sigma \iota \alpha \pi \rho o s \overline{\kappa \nu} \alpha \mu \phi \circ[\tau \epsilon \rho o \iota\) ov \(\nu\)

 \([\tau \eta \nu \quad \alpha \pi \circ] \delta \iota \delta \omega \sigma \iota \quad \tau \omega \overline{\kappa \omega} \tau \omega \in \pi \iota \times \rho \eta \eta \gamma 0 v[\nu \tau \iota \alpha \nu \tau \omega\) 25 [каl o \(\pi \lambda 0] \cup \sigma \iota o s ~ \omega \sigma \alpha u \tau \omega s ~ \tau o ~ \pi \lambda o u \tau o s ~ o ~ \epsilon \lambda[\alpha \beta \epsilon \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha\)

Verso.
oa


 \(\tau 0 \epsilon \pi \iota \tau 0 \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \eta \tau \alpha \epsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \quad \delta \omega \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu\) \(\tau 0 v \overline{\kappa v}[\)
 ouv \(\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi\) ols \(\eta \pi \tau \epsilon \lambda \in \alpha\) סокєו карто⿱ \(\mu \eta \phi \in\) [
 \([\gamma] \epsilon \nu[\eta] \tau \alpha \iota \quad \eta \quad \pi \tau \epsilon \lambda[\epsilon \alpha] \in X 0 v \sigma \alpha\) \(\ddot{\nu} \delta \omega \rho\) т \(\rho \in \phi \in \iota \quad \tau[\eta] \nu \quad \alpha \mu\) [ \(\pi \epsilon \lambda[o] \nu\) к \(\alpha \iota ~ \eta ~ \alpha \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda[o] s ~ \alpha \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \iota \pi \tau[o] \nu \quad \in X o v \sigma \alpha\) то


 [ \(\tau \epsilon s\) ] \(\pi \rho o[s ~ \tau] o \nu \overline{\kappa \nu} \pi \lambda \eta \rho o \phi o \rho o v \sigma \iota ~ \tau о ~ \pi \lambda o u \tau o s ~ \alpha u\)
 40 [ \(\tau 0 \iota] s \pi \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \iota \tau \alpha \delta \epsilon 0 \nu \tau \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \phi о \rho о v \sigma \iota \tau \alpha s \psi v\) \(\vee\) т
[X \(\alpha\) s] \(\alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu\) үєاоעє ov \(\alpha \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon \rho о \iota\) коเ \(\omega \omega[\nu 0 \iota\)
 \([\kappa \alpha] \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\) ӥто тои \(\overline{\theta v} \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \in \sigma \tau a[\ell \quad \gamma \epsilon\) [ \(\gamma \rho \alpha] \mu \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s \in \iota s \tau \alpha s \beta \iota \beta \lambda o u s \tau \omega \nu\} \omega \nu \tau \omega[\nu \mu \alpha\)
45 [к]aplol ol єXOVtєS каl \(\sigma v \nu і ̈ \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon S\) ort \(\pi[\alpha \rho \alpha\) тov \(\overline{\kappa v}\)

\(\pi\)
[к]a! Sıакоขךбє \(\frac{\tau}{\alpha} \underset{-}{\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta o \nu}\)
] \(\bar{\theta} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o \lambda \eta \delta^{-}\)
 for \(\chi\) apat in the lacuna, which is already of full length. L has in terra, but supports the order of the papyrus by reading exigum et nugacem.
4. хрпךцата: so LL A ; хрйцата \(\pi о \lambda \lambda a ́ ~ c a . ~\)

5-6. \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \omega[\mu \epsilon \nu \nu] s\). . . кat: so ca; LL A seem to have read \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu\) os \(\gamma \dot{\rho} \rho\), omitting кai.
6. єavтov: aủvoû ca.
\(\mu а к \rho a \nu: \mu \iota \kappa \rho a ́ \nu\) ca LL A. Since \(\mu\) ккрáv is repeated immediately below, an avoidance of this tautology would be an advantage, and paкрav in the sense of remote is not inappropriate.

8. \(\beta \lambda \eta \chi \rho a \nu\) кає \(\mu \iota \kappa \rho a \nu\) : ca again inverts the order.
«.. \(\eta \nu: \overline{a \nu o v} \mathrm{ca}\), emended by Tischendorf to äve ; cf. \(\mathrm{L}^{2}\) apud dominum (om. \(\mathrm{L}^{1} \mathrm{~A}\) ). But neither ave nor avec suits the papyrus, where the termination is apparently \(\eta \nu\). The vestiges of the letter or letters intervening between a and \(\eta\) are very slight, but \(a \rho \chi \eta \nu\) is not satisfactory since the long tail of a \(\rho\) should have left some trace, and this word would not at all account for the corruption of ca. \(\overline{a \nu \eta \nu}\) i. e. \(a \nu(\theta \rho \omega \pi \tau \nu) \eta \nu\), which is a just possible reading, would be better from the latter point of view, but the abbreviation is unlikely, especially with av \(\theta \rho \omega \pi\) ots in 1.31 , nor does the adjective seem appropriate in itself.
9. єтavãaך: this is no doubt the original of ca's \(\dot{a} \nu a \pi \lambda \hat{\eta}\), for which various conjectures have been made ( \(\dot{a} \nu a \beta \hat{\eta}\) Geb.-Harn. with Hollenberg, \(\boldsymbol{a}^{\nu} \nu a \pi \nu \hat{\eta}\) Hilgenfeld, \(\delta a \pi a \nu \eta \tilde{\eta}\) Harnack). ímavamán is accurately translated by A (imnixus fuerit); \(\mathrm{L}^{2}\) ( \(\mathrm{om} . \mathrm{L}^{1}\) ) has reficietur (reficitur Dressel) pauper a divite, which is rather far from the Greek. є́ \(\pi a \dot{\eta} \nu\) and \(\pi a \eta \sigma \sigma o \mu a \iota\) are attested, but not apparently the subjunctive.

\section*{}
10. \(\chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \eta: \chi о \rho \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \mathrm{ca}\).
 üть ô द̀pyávєтat ca, confisus A.



15. The remains before the lacuna are also consistent with \(a\), but it is desirable to shorten the supplement, if possible. Perhaps the supposed tail of the \(v\) of avtov in the line above is an interlinear \(a\).
16. ovv: \(\delta \varepsilon^{\prime} \mathrm{ca}\). The \(v\) of \(u \pi o\) was corrected from o.

no evident sense. Tischendorf's reading \(\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \chi a \rho \iota \sigma \tau \bar{\omega} \nu\) is confirmed by the papyrus; 〈кai〉 єủXapıбтєi ed. pr.
\(\nu \pi \epsilon \rho: \pi \epsilon \rho i\) ca. For \(v \pi \epsilon \rho\) cf. A orabit pauper pro divite ad dominum gratias agens, \(\mathrm{L}^{2}\) gratias agit Deo pro eo qui tribuit.
18. кає єть: om, ca.


 supplement than would be expected at the end of 1.22. A dot after ]gı might be taken for a high stop.
23. тара: so P. Berl. ; àтó ca.
\({ }^{25}\). то \(\pi \lambda\) outos o: tò̀ \(\pi \lambda\) oûtov ờ ca. P. Berl. is defective, but reads тo \(\pi \lambda\) outos at 1.38 , and it is noticeable that in the present passage a reduction of two letters would give a line corresponding better in length to those adjacent. The rare neuter would be more likely to be converted to the masculine than vice versa.
26. \(\pi a \rho[\epsilon] \chi \in \tau\langle a \iota\rangle\) : \(\pi a \rho \epsilon_{\chi} \chi^{\epsilon \iota}\) ca. P. Berl. is again defective. but one or two more letters in the lacuna would be an advantage, and here too the principle of difficilior lectio potior may be applied.
27. The deletion presumably included the mutilated letter following o of \(\epsilon \rho y o \nu\); what was originally written is not apparent. The supposed \(\gamma\) of \(\mu \epsilon \gamma a\) is more like a \(\tau\).
28. Tischendorf's reading \(\sigma v \nu \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu\) is confirmed; \(\sigma v \nu \iota \epsilon\) ed. pr. The word is not preserved in P. Berl. прүабato is the form in P. Berl., єipyárato ca; but the \(\eta\) here is imperfect, and \(\iota \rho \gamma\). might be read.
29. \(\epsilon \pi \iota\) : cis ca, P. Berl.
30. סıakovıav : so P. Berl. L² ; סak. tov̂ кupiov ca.

32. oтà : so P. Berl. and cf. \(\mathrm{L}^{2}\) cum ; 'єá̀ ca, etiamsi A.

34. тo : so P. Berl. ; om. ca.
35. a \(\pi 0 \delta \iota \delta \omega \sigma \iota \nu\) : so P. Berl. ; jí̀ \(\omega \sigma \iota\) ca.
36. The papyrus apparently agreed with ca in reading єavins (cf. 1. 6) ; autचs P. Berl.
ovt \(\omega\) ovv: ovt \(\omega\) P. Berl., oũt \(\omega\) ca. \(\mathrm{L}^{2} \mathrm{~A}\) also omit ovv.
 Tì̀ к. \(\dot{\tau} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \pi\).
38. тo \(\pi \lambda\) outos: so P. Berl.; tò̀ \(\pi \lambda\) aûтò ca. Cf. 1.25 .
39. \(\epsilon \pi \tau \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma o v \nu \tau \epsilon s:\) хор \(7 \gamma\) оиขтєs P . Berl., ca.
43. vло: so P. Berl.; àmó ca, rightly corrected by Hilgenfeld. \(\gamma є \gamma \rho a\rceil \mu \mu \epsilon \nu=s\) (P. Berl.) suits the papyrus better than \(\epsilon \pi \imath \gamma\). (ca), the fracture at the ends of \(11.42-4\) being practically vertical.
44. ras \(\beta \iota \beta \lambda\) ous : so P . Berl. ca; \(\mathrm{A} \mathrm{L}^{2} \mathrm{C}\) have the singular.
 aliquid ministrare. \(\quad \tau a\) a \(\alpha \theta_{0} \nu\) is presumably a slip for \(\tau o a \gamma ., \tau \iota\) being a variant for \(\tau o\); cf. \(\mathrm{L}^{2}\) aliquid boni operari, A bona opera agere, C סıaкovŋ́бєь tò áyatóv. It is not clear what is the original reading.
48. This line may be regarded as either an explicit or an incipit, though at the bottom of a column the former is more natural. In either case the papyrus differs from the ordinary arrangement, according to which the foregoing Similitudo is the second. It is to be noticed that the hypothesis that in P. Berl. the usual order was observed implies, as the editors have remarked, a very tall column, and the suggestion may now be made that \(\operatorname{Sim}\). ii was there directly followed by \(\operatorname{Sim}\). iv. The other number, if it be a number, which
stands in front of mapa\{udíy may refer to some such larger division into sections as is apparently also indicated by P. Amh. \(190(k)\). There are traces of ink in front of the (quite doubtful) \(\theta\), but whether another figure preceded is not clear.

\section*{1173. Philo.}

Fol. 7. \(17.5 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}\).
Third century.
The papyrus codex of which remains here follow was a large volume, comprising numerous works of Philo. The surviving fragments are shown by the numeration of the pages to be curiously scattered, and as many as four extant books are represented, Sacrarum Legum Alleg. i, Quod Deterius Potiori Insid., De Ebrietate, and De Mcrcede Meretricis. Moreover, some treatise or treatises no longer extant were also included, for there is one nearly entire leaf which is doubtless novel, besides some smaller pieces at present remaining unidentified. These are reserved for a future volume, and I now print only such fragments as I have been able to find of the four books mentioned above.

The leaves were nearly square in shape, each page containing \(24-5\) rather long lines. The gatherings were of six sheets at least, as is shown by one sheet of which the pages are respectively numbered 192,193 (not published) and 214 , 215 (Fol. 5). Down the middle of the inside sheet of the quire a narrow strip of vellum was gummed in order to protect the papyrus against the binding string ; both vellum and string still adhere to the margin between Fols. 2 and 3. That more writers than one should be employed upon so long a MS. is not surprising. Apparently three hands are to be distinguished. The most formal of them is that of Fols. 2-3, a sloping somewhat negligently formed uncial of rather less than medium size. Fols. I and \(5-7\) are in a sloping semi-cursive hand, while Fol. 9 is written in a less flowing round and upright script. All these hands are of third-century type, and the codex may be regarded as of approximately the same antiquity as the Paris papyrus; the impossible date assigned to the latter by Scheil (Mém. de la Mission Arch. Franç. an Caire, ix. 2) has been rightly questioned by Wilcken (ap. Cohn-Wendland, i. p. xlii) and Kenyon (ऍalacograply', p. 145). In several respects these two early books show similarities: the size of the leaf; the informal character of the hands (only one of those in the Paris MS. can be described as ' une belle onciale') ; and the occasional insertion of breathings and accents. In 1173 these proceed from the diorthotes who has throughout made occasional alterations, and to whom the signs of elision, pagination, and to a large extent, at least, the punctuation by means of a high dot should also be assigned. Ocós is regularly contracted in the usual manner,
and \(v(i o ́) s\) is written in Fol. 5 recto 25 ; but none of the other compendia common in Christian literature occurs (ă \(\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi\) os 5 recto I4, \(\pi a \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho, \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho 5\) recto 23, oủpavós 7 verso 20).

Apart from obvious errors, several of which have escaped the corrector's vigilance (cf. e.g. 3 recto 9,5 verso \(8-9,6\) recto 3,7 recto 10,21 , verso 6 ), the text of the papyrus is fairly correct, and where the MSS. differ, commonly supports the better reading; cf. e.g. 7 recto \(3,5,24\). In a few places small editorial emendations are confirmed (5 recto 2,7 recto 20,22 ; cf. verso 4). Other readings peculiar to the papyrus, some of which may be right, while others are doubtless wrong, occur at I recto 14, 5 verso 3 , 19, recto 2 , 10-11, 25 , 7 recto \(3,4,12,24\), verso \(6,7,12\), and apparently 9 verso 7 , recto \(4,11,12,16\). The qualification 'apparently' is necessary, because my collation of Fol. 9 has to depend upon the meagre information of Mangey, since the treatise De Mercede Meretricis is not yet included in Cohn-Wendland's critical edition, which is available for the preceding fragments. On the whole the papyrus leaves the satisfactory impression that the text of Philo as reconstituted by modern criticism is substantially sound.

Legum Alleg. i.
(Cohn-Wendland, i. 75, Mangey, i. 54.)
Fol. I verso.
- \({ }^{[a}\)

 \(\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \phi \nu \tau \epsilon v \in \iota \quad \epsilon \nu \quad \tau \eta \iota \quad \psi v \chi \eta \iota \quad \delta \in \nu \delta \rho \alpha \quad \alpha \rho \in \tau[\eta s \quad \nu v \nu\) \(v \pi о \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \epsilon[\iota] \in \sigma \tau \iota \delta \epsilon \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha\) at \(\tau \in \kappa[\alpha \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \rho o s\)
\(5 \alpha \rho \in \tau \alpha \iota\) к \(\alpha \iota\) al кат \(\alpha v \tau \alpha S\) є \(\nu \in \rho \gamma \in \iota \alpha[\iota\) к \(\alpha \iota \tau \alpha\) \(\kappa \alpha \tau о \rho \theta \omega \mu \alpha \tau \ll \alpha<\tau \alpha \quad \lambda \in \gamma о \mu \in \nu \alpha \pi[\alpha \rho \alpha\) тоוऽ
 \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \epsilon \iota\left[\begin{array}{ll}\sigma o v & \tau] \alpha \\ \phi u \tau \alpha \cdot & \chi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \tau \eta \rho[l]\end{array}\right] \epsilon \epsilon \quad \mu \in \nu\) [
    тоו \(\tau \alpha v \tau \alpha ~ \delta \eta \lambda \omega \nu\) оть то ау \(\alpha\) Oо \([k] \alpha \iota\) оф \(\theta \eta\) [
IO \(\nu \alpha \iota\) к \(\alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau o \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau!\cdot \kappa \alpha \iota ~ \alpha \pi[o] \lambda \alpha v \sigma \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota \cdot\)
\(\left.\left.\epsilon \nu \iota \alpha \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \tau \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \omega ิ \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \llbracket \llbracket^{\eta}\right]\right] \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \quad \mu \in \nu[\)
\(\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu\) ov \(\pi \rho \alpha \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \quad \delta \epsilon^{\cdot} \quad \gamma \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \iota \alpha\) a \(\sigma \tau \rho \circ \nu 0\) [
\(\mu \iota \alpha \cdot \epsilon \nu \iota \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \iota\left[\begin{array}{l}\mu \\ {[ }\end{array}\right] \epsilon \nu \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { ov } & \theta] \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \tau \iota[ \end{array}\right.\)
\(\kappa \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau о \nu \iota \kappa \eta \quad \chi^{\alpha \lambda \kappa \epsilon v[\tau \iota \kappa \eta]} \kappa \alpha \iota \quad \sigma \sigma \alpha[\iota\) 15 ßavavool \(\lambda \epsilon \gamma о \nu \tau \alpha \iota^{\circ} \eta \delta \epsilon \quad \alpha \rho[\epsilon \tau \eta\) ка८ \(\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta\) \(\tau \iota \kappa \eta \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \rho \alpha \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \eta \cdot[\kappa \alpha \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \quad \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \iota \alpha \nu\) \({ }^{\epsilon}\) Хє८ ототє ка८ \(\eta^{\epsilon} \pi\) аעт \(\eta \nu\) o[ \(\delta o s \quad \phi \iota \lambda o \sigma o \phi \iota \alpha \delta \iota\) \(\alpha \tau \omega \nu \tau \rho \iota \omega \nu\) [ \(\alpha v \tau \eta s\) ] \(\mu \epsilon \rho \omega \nu\) [ \(\tau 0 v\) 入oyıkov rov \(\eta \theta \iota \kappa\) ои то[v фибıкои ка८] \(\pi \rho[\alpha \xi \epsilon \iota S\) o入ov \(\gamma \alpha \rho\) \(20[\tau 0 v \beta \iota o v \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta \quad \eta \quad \alpha \rho \epsilon] \pi \eta[\epsilon \nu \omega\) Kal \(\alpha \iota \sigma v \mu\) \([\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota \pi \rho \alpha \xi \epsilon \iota \varsigma \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha]\) к \(\alpha[\iota \tau \circ \iota\)

Fol. I recto.
o] \({ }^{\circ}\).

 \([\sigma \iota \nu\) от \(\epsilon \rho] \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota\) тоv ХрПбтוкои ка८ трактוкои \([\sigma \eta \mu \in \iota o \nu]\) тo \(\delta \epsilon \xi \cup \lambda 0 \nu \tau \eta S\) § \(\omega \eta S \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu\)
\(5\left[\begin{array}{ll}\eta & \gamma \epsilon \nu l] \kappa \omega \tau \alpha \tau \eta\end{array} \alpha \rho[\epsilon] \tau \eta \quad \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \tau \iota \nu \epsilon S\right.\) \(\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta o \tau \eta[\tau \alpha\) \([\kappa \alpha \lambda o v \sigma \iota] y^{\cdot} \alpha \phi^{\prime} \quad \eta s\) al кат \(\alpha \mu \in \rho o s \quad \alpha \rho \in \tau \alpha \iota\)
 \(\delta \rho v \tau \alpha \iota[\tau] 0 v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha[\delta \epsilon \iota] \sigma o v \cdot \tau \eta[\nu \quad \sigma v] \nu \in K \tau \iota K \omega\) \(\tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu X^{\omega \rho} \alpha \nu \in X o \nu\) ї \(\nu \alpha\) ü \(\pi 0 \quad \tau \omega[\nu] \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon\)

 \(\zeta \omega \eta S^{\cdot} \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta\) аıт८а \(\tau \epsilon \tau 0 v \zeta \eta \nu \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu\) ка८ [ \(\tau] \eta \nu \quad \mu \in \sigma[\eta \nu \quad \tau] o v \quad \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau[0 s] X^{\omega} \rho \alpha \nu \quad \in \lambda \alpha X \in \nu \quad \omega s\) \([\alpha] \nu \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha[v \tau \eta \nu] \quad \eta \gamma \epsilon \mu о \nu \iota к о \nu \quad v \pi \alpha \rho \chi\) оу \(\sigma \cdot \alpha \cdot \alpha \lambda\)
 \(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o \nu & \eta & \phi \sigma \sigma\end{array}\right] \kappa \eta \nu \quad \mu \eta \quad \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \alpha \nu \epsilon \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu\). \([\eta \mu \epsilon \iota s \delta \epsilon\) ws к]al \(\pi \rho o \tau \epsilon \rho 0 \nu \in \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \eta \quad \tau \eta \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon\) \([\nu \iota \kappa \omega \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu \alpha] \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \quad[\xi v \lambda] \rho \nu \quad \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \zeta[\omega\) [ \(\eta \mathrm{s}\) \(\lambda \in \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu\) тov] \(] \circ\) [ \(\mu \in \nu\) ouv \(\rho \eta] \tau \omega s \quad \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu\) 60 \(20[0 \tau \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \in \nu \mu] \epsilon \sigma \omega\) [
\[
\text { MSS. }=\text { MAPUFL. }
\]

1 verso 1．\(\tau \omega \iota \pi a \rho[a \delta \epsilon \iota \sigma \omega \iota: ~ \tau о 仑 ิ \pi a \rho a \delta є i \sigma o v ~ U F L . ~\)
2．\(\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o \nu: ~ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o \hat{v} \mathrm{AP}\) ．
3．фитєvєı：фúeı UL．
5．кат avtas：катà таúras UFL．
8．\(\chi\) of \(\chi\) aрaкт \(\eta \rho[\imath] \zeta_{\epsilon \iota}\) has been altered；perhaps the copyist began to write a \(\kappa\) ． \(\mu \epsilon \nu \tau о \iota \tau а ข \tau a: ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau о \iota ~ \gamma \epsilon a v ̇ \tau a ̀ ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ M S S ., ~ b u t ~ a v ̇ \tau a ́ ~ i s ~ o m i t t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ A r m e n i a n . ~\)
9．\(\delta \eta \lambda \omega \nu\) оть：\(\delta \eta \lambda\) оро́тє APU．
I 1．\(\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \tau \iota к а \iota: ~ \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \mu а т \iota к а i ́ \mathrm{UF}\) here and in 1．I3．
12．The first \(\iota\) of \(\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota\) is written over a \(\sigma\) ．
14．\(\chi^{a \lambda \kappa \epsilon v[\tau ı к \eta]: ~ o m . ~ U . ~}\)
15．кає，which AP omit，clearly stood in the papyrus．UFL have \(\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}\) as before
17．кає is omitted in L．
19．The size of the lacuna points to the omission of at before \(\pi \rho[a \xi \epsilon \iota s\) ，as in the Armenian（so Turnebus and Cohn）；ai \(\pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota s\) UFL，\(\pi \rho a ̂ \xi \iota \nu\) MAP．

21．\(\kappa\) of \(\kappa \alpha[\iota \tau \circ \iota\) is only moderately satisfactory，and the preceding supplement is somewhat short．

1 recto 1．\(\omega\) рatov：© \(\rho\) aiov AP．\(\eta \nu\) is omitted by UFL．
3．\(\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota:\) om．U．
4．Mangey reads кai \(\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i o \nu\) ，and there might be room for каו in the lacuna here．
5．\(\left[\eta \gamma^{\epsilon \epsilon \nu}\right] \kappa \omega \tau a \tau \eta: \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu 0 \nu \kappa \omega \tau u \dot{\tau} \eta \mathrm{~N}\)（excerpta Neapol．）Arm．
7．ífpúєтaぇ UFLN．
9．\(\epsilon^{\chi}{ }^{\sigma \nu}:{ }^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \chi \omega \nu\) AP．
14．каӨ а［ \(\nu \tau \eta \nu\) ？\(]\) ：кat＇av̉тоús MSS．

17．\(\gamma \epsilon[\nu ⿺ 𠃊 \omega \tau a \tau \eta \nu: \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu о \nu \iota \kappa \omega \tau a ́ \tau \eta \nu\) Arm．

\section*{Quod Det．Potiori Insid．Soleat．}
（Cohn－Wendland，i．270，Mangey，i．201．）
\[
\text { Fol. } 2 \text { recto. }
\]
```

                                    ] \alpha\tau\epsilon\kappa\nu
    [\alpha\nu \epsilon\nu\delta\epsilon\ell\xi\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu\eta \pi\alpha\nu\nu\tau\epsilon\lambda\eta \omega]\sigma\pi\epsilon[p] \delta o }\beta\lambda
52
[\pi\tau\omega\nu то\nu \alpha\sigma\tau\epsilon\iotaO\nu \epsilon\pi\iota\delta\epsilon\delta\epsilon\iotaк\tau\alpha\iota §\eta\mu\iota\omega],
!

```

\(5[\alpha \xi \iota \omega \nu\) 入оу \(\omega\). \(\mu \epsilon \nu\) єкє८ขols \(\epsilon \rho \gamma \omega \delta \quad \alpha \nu \tau \omega] \pi \epsilon\)



I line lost.

Fol. 3 recto.
\([\delta \epsilon \quad v] \pi о \quad \nu 0 v \kappa[v \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \alpha \nu\) ка८ \(\eta \nu \iota 0 \chi \epsilon \iota \nu\) таs \(\alpha \lambda о\) [yous] \(\epsilon \nu \eta \mu[\iota \nu \quad \delta \nu \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon \iota S \in \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu O v \in \alpha \nu \mu \epsilon \nu\)
[ov ] \(\eta s\) єєто⿱ \(\epsilon к \alpha \tau \epsilon[\rho \circ \nu \lambda \alpha \chi \eta\) \(\tau \iota \mu \eta s\) \(\alpha \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \iota s\)

5 [ \(\tau \epsilon] \rho[o \iota] s \quad \epsilon \mu \epsilon \in \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon[\tau \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \in \alpha \nu \delta \epsilon \pi о \rho \rho \omega \tau\)
 \(\tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \quad \mu \epsilon[\nu \quad \tau] o \nu \quad \gamma[\epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha\) коб \(\mu о \nu \quad \mu \eta \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \delta \epsilon\) \([\tau] \eta \nu \quad \sigma 0 \phi[l] \alpha\left[\begin{array}{lll}\nu & \delta \iota & \eta s \\ \alpha & \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta \text { то } \pi \alpha \nu & \tau \iota \mu \eta s\end{array}\right.\) \(\alpha \xi \iota \omega \theta \eta s\) \(\alpha v[\tau 0 S ~ \epsilon v \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \eta\) \(\delta \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \iota \quad \gamma \alpha \rho\) ov \(\delta \epsilon \nu 0 s\) ovt \(\epsilon\)
เ○ [o] \(\pi \lambda \eta \rho \eta s \llbracket \cdot] \overline{\theta[s}\) оитє \(\eta\) акра кає \(\pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta s \in \pi \iota\) \(\sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon[\tau о \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon ข \tau \iota к о \nu\) тоvт \(\omega \nu \mu \eta\) тovs \(\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon \varepsilon \circ \rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu 0 v[s \quad \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon S\) ovtas \(\alpha \lambda \lambda\) є \(\alpha v \tau 0 \nu\) \(\mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha[\omega] \phi \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu[\iota \pi \pi \iota \kappa \eta \quad \mu \in \nu\) у \(\alpha \rho \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \kappa \nu \lambda \alpha\) \(\kappa \epsilon \nu \tau \iota \kappa \eta\) [ \(\epsilon \pi \iota] \sigma \tau \eta[\mu \eta \quad \theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \alpha s \quad \eta \quad \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \iota \pi \pi \omega \nu\)
\({ }^{15} \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \kappa v \lambda \alpha \kappa \omega \nu\) ov[ \(\sigma \alpha\) Topı\(\zeta_{\epsilon \iota}\) Tols \(\wp \omega 0 \iota s \tau \alpha \omega\) \(\phi \epsilon \lambda \iota \mu \alpha \cdot \omega \nu[\epsilon \kappa] \epsilon![\nu \alpha \quad \delta \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \iota\)

Fol. 3 verso.
\[
] \delta \iota o[l] \sigma \epsilon \iota \delta \in[\pi \alpha \lambda \bar{\imath}
\]

 \([\tau \alpha\) avtous \(v \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau \operatorname{cov\sigma \iota } \tau] \omega\) ס ov \(\delta \in \nu \epsilon \xi \omega[\phi \iota\)
 \(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mu \in \nu & \gamma \alpha \rho & \text { ov } \delta \epsilon \nu\end{array} \epsilon \nu \rho \eta \sigma o v \sigma l\right]\) ] \(\tau \omega \nu \quad \delta[\epsilon] \sigma \pi о \tau \iota \kappa \omega[\nu\) \(\left[\pi \alpha \nu^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu \in \xi \quad \alpha \rho X \eta s \quad o \nu \tau \omega \nu\right] \quad \alpha \rho[\iota \sigma] \tau \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha\) [ \(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\lambda \alpha & \delta \\ \text { avtous or } \eta \sigma o v \sigma t ~ \gamma \nu \omega \rho ı \sigma \theta \eta] \nu \alpha \iota & \overline{\theta \omega} \\ \pi\end{array} \rho \circ\right.\)
```

        [\mu\eta0ov\mu\epsilon\nuO\iota \tau\alphav\tau\alpha }\mu\epsilon\nu] o[v]\nu \iota\kappa\alpha\nu\omega[s] \epsilon\iota\rho
    ```

```

    [\epsilon\ell\nu \deltaокои\nu\tau\alphas \epsilonv\rho\epsilon0\eta\sigma\alpha\nu] \gamma\alpha\rho \epsilon\alphav\tauо[vs \epsilon]к\alpha [
    [\tau\epsilon\rhoo\nu \delta\rho\omega\nu\tau\epsilons \tau\alpha \delta \epsilon\xi\eta]s \epsilon\rho\epsilonv\nu\eta\sigmao\mu\epsilon\nu \epsilon\sigma\tau\iota
        [\delta\epsilon \pi\epsilonv\sigma\iotas \tauo\iota\alphav\tau\eta \piov] A\beta\epsilon\lambda [0 \alpha]\delta\epsilon[\lambda]\phios \sigmaov
        [\pi\rhoos \eta\nu \alpha\piок\rho\epsilon\iota\nu\epsilon]\tau\alpha\iota\cdot o[v] \gamma\iota\nu\omega\sigmaк\omega.
    ```

\[
\text { MSS. }=\text { UFHL. }
\]

2 recto i. àтє \(\chi\) vià UF.
4. I write avtov and outa (so HL) to shorten the supplement. The ı supposed to have been inserted is represented only by a tiny vestige.

3 recto \(5 . \epsilon \mu \epsilon\) : Markland's conjecture ã \(\mu a\) is not confirmed.
9. l. \(a \xi \iota \omega \sigma \eta s\) with the MSS.
13. \([\omega] \phi \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu: \omega \phi \in \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \mathrm{H}\).

3 verso 3. \(\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon\) : or \(\omega\) with UF.
6. \(\delta[\epsilon] \sigma \pi о \tau \iota \kappa \omega[\nu: \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s\) HL.
12. \(\epsilon \rho \epsilon \tau \nu \eta \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu\) : so UF; 'ं \(\epsilon \in \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \mathrm{HL}\), Cohn.
14. The supplement is slightly shorter than would be expected, even when the spelling


De Ebrietate.
(Cohn-Wendland, ii. 171, 212, Mangey, i. 358, 390.)
Fol. 5 verso.
\(\boldsymbol{\sigma}[\iota \delta]\)


\([\tau \epsilon \rho] \alpha s \in \xi \quad \alpha \nu[\alpha] \gamma \kappa \eta[s] \alpha \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu\) ouk \(\in[\nu \tau \alpha \nu \tau \omega\)
ס८a入入aттovat \(\delta \epsilon\) Xpovoıs \(\epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \alpha \tau[0\) ката
5 \(\tau \eta \nu\) фuү \(\nu \nu \tau \eta s \in \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha s\) к \(\alpha\) Ooסov \(\tau \eta \epsilon \nu[\alpha \nu \tau \iota \alpha\)
 ноעוкоу \(\tau \alpha \tau \epsilon \alpha \rho \epsilon \tau \eta s\) кає какเа[s \(\delta] \iota \tau \tau[\alpha \alpha \nu \epsilon\) \(\delta_{\rho \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu} \epsilon \rho \nu \eta \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \nu[0 \nu] \tau \alpha \mu[\eta \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \rho\) \(\pi о \phi о \rho о \nu \nu \tau \alpha \in \nu \quad \tau о \nu \tau \omega\) ототє \(\mu \in[\nu] \gamma \alpha[\rho] \phi[\nu \lambda \lambda 0\)


 \(\epsilon v \pi \rho \alpha y \iota \alpha \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \delta \iota \eta \nu\) alт८బv \(\phi v[\sigma \iota \kappa \omega \tau \alpha \tau \bar{\eta}\) I \(\alpha \kappa \omega \beta\) є \(\xi[0] \delta o \nu\) є \(\epsilon \sigma o \delta o \nu H \sigma \alpha v \pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \sigma[\iota \nu\) [ \(\epsilon \xi \eta \lambda\) ? ?]



 \(\xi \in \nu \tau \alpha \iota \quad \in \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \quad \alpha \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha[\nu \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \eta \theta \omega S\) катє८
 \(\eta[\lambda] \alpha v \nu[\epsilon] \tau 0 \quad \kappa \alpha[\iota \quad \epsilon \phi] u \gamma a[\delta \in \nu \in \tau 0 \quad \mu \eta \kappa \epsilon \tau \iota\) тоע avтоע \(\chi \omega \rho[0] \nu[0]!\kappa[0 v \sigma] \eta s^{\circ} \tau \alpha[\mu \epsilon \nu\) ovv \(\omega \sigma \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \pi \rho o\) \([o \iota] \mu \ell \alpha\) \(\tau \eta[s \quad \gamma \rho \alpha] \phi \eta s\) a \(\rho \kappa[0 v \nu \tau \omega s \quad \lambda \in \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \alpha l\) \(\tau \alpha s\) \(\delta^{\prime} \alpha \pi \sigma \delta i[\xi \epsilon \epsilon \varsigma \quad \epsilon \kappa] \alpha \sigma \tau \omega \nu \quad \pi \rho[0 \sigma \alpha \pi \sigma \delta \omega \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \alpha \pi o\)

Fol. 5 recto.
\(\sigma\) ©
\([\tau] o v \quad \pi \rho[\omega] \tau 0 \nu \quad \pi \rho \omega \tau o \nu \quad \alpha \rho \xi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \div \delta_{i} \delta \alpha[\sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu\)
 [ \(\tau \alpha \nu \epsilon \epsilon] \nu \quad a[l] \tau \iota \alpha \nu \in \phi \alpha \mu \eta \nu \in \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota \quad \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \nu \rho \iota o \iota s\) [ \(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\tau \nu \nu & \alpha] \phi \rho о \nu \omega \nu \\ \tau 0 \nu \\ \pi 0 \lambda \nu \nu & \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha \tau о \nu \cdot \alpha \pi \alpha \iota \delta \in \nu \sigma \iota \alpha\end{array}\right.\)
5 [ \(\gamma \alpha \rho \tau] \omega \nu \psi v \chi \eta s\) a \(\mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \mu a \tau \omega \nu\) єו \(\delta \in \iota \tau \alpha \lambda \eta\)







 \([\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o \nu] \eta\) фv \(\quad \epsilon \iota \iota v \mu \mu \alpha \chi o \iota \pi \alpha \rho \alpha\) тє \(\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega\) \({ }^{1} 5[\pi o l s ~ K \alpha l] \in \nu \tau 0[l] s\) a \(\alpha \lambda o l s ~ \gamma \in \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \tau \omega \nu \leqslant \omega \omega \nu^{*} \alpha \lambda \lambda\)


[ \(\pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta к о s ~ \alpha \iota \epsilon \iota ~ \tau о ⿱ ~ \gamma] ~] \epsilon \nu о \mu \epsilon \nu о v ~ к \alpha \iota ~ \sigma[\omega] \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha s ~\)



[ \(\delta \alpha \sigma \epsilon\) кат \(\eta\) yopous \(\epsilon \pi] \iota \sigma \tau \eta[\sigma \alpha s\) rous \(\delta] \epsilon o \nu\)
\([\tau \omega s\) av \(\sigma v \nu \alpha \gamma \circ \rho \epsilon v o \nu] \tau \alpha s \quad \pi \alpha \tau[\epsilon \rho \alpha\) ка८ \(\mu] \eta \tau\rceil \in \rho[\alpha\)
\([\iota \nu \quad \nu \phi \quad \omega \nu\) єוкоS \(\eta \nu] \sigma \omega\} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \mu[0 \nu \omega \nu] \pi \alpha[\rho] \alpha \pi \circ\)
25 [ \(\lambda \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota \in \alpha \nu \quad \gamma \alpha \rho \tau l \nu] \iota \quad \phi \eta \sigma \iota \quad \hat{\eta} \iota \overline{v S}[\alpha \pi \epsilon \ell \theta] \eta s \quad \kappa \alpha[l a\)

Fol. 6 recto.
\(\eta \mu] \omega \nu \cdot \sigma v \mu \beta 0 \lambda о \kappa[o \pi \omega \nu\)
\(\lambda \iota \theta \circ \beta o \lambda \eta \sigma o v \sigma] \iota \nu\) av \(\alpha 0 \nu\) o८ \([\)
\(] \pi 0 \nu \eta \rho o \nu \quad \epsilon \xi \eta \mu[\omega \nu\)

Fol. 6 verso.
\(\tau] 0 v \tau о\) ок \(\omega \omega[\)
\(\pi \rho o] \delta \iota \delta o \nu \alpha \iota \quad \delta \iota \epsilon \gamma[\nu \omega K о \tau \alpha\)
\(\lambda \epsilon \kappa] \tau \epsilon 0 \nu \cdot\) o \(\tau \epsilon[[\delta \epsilon] \mu \eta[\)

Fol. 7 recto.
\(\sigma 0 \eta\)
opvıs ка८ \(\tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha\) \(\pi о \iota \kappa \iota \lambda \omega s \quad \alpha \rho \tau v \sigma[\alpha \iota]\)
\(\kappa \alpha \iota\) кат \(\alpha \sigma \kappa \in \cup \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha \iota ~ о \sigma \alpha ~ \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ~ о \psi \alpha ~ \eta \delta u v \alpha \iota\)
\(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau \circ \iota \quad \tau \eta \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta \nu[\epsilon] \iota \sigma \iota \nu \quad \epsilon v \tau[[\rho]]_{\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \hat{S}}^{\rho}\) о廿артvтаı \(\mu v \rho \iota \alpha\) үa! \(\chi^{\omega \rho \iota s} \omega \nu \quad \eta \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \nu\)
\(5 \eta \epsilon \iota \delta \circ \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha\) єк т \(\eta S\) бvעєХоus \(\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \eta S\) к \(\alpha \iota\)



Xoı \(\sigma \circ \phi \iota \alpha s\) ayov[o] \(\pi \rho o s\) ov \(\delta \in\) ovu[ \(\beta a \tau \eta \rho \iota]\) ous
Io \(\tau[l] \theta \in \tau \alpha \iota \quad \sigma \pi 0 \nu \delta[\alpha] s\) o \(\gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \iota s \quad \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \in \cup s\) [vous
o८vOXOo[s] \(\eta \nu \quad \phi \iota \lambda o[\iota] \nu 0 \nu \quad \gamma \alpha \rho\) v \(\pi \epsilon \rho \phi \nu[I .[. .\).
 тоито \(\delta[\iota \alpha \phi] \epsilon \rho о \nu \tau \omega s\) акор \([\epsilon] \sigma[\tau 0] \nu \in[\iota] \quad \gamma \epsilon \quad v \pi \nu o v\) \(\mu \in \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \delta \omega \delta \eta s\) ка[l] \(\sigma v \nu o v[\sigma \iota \alpha s] \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \omega \nu\) о \(\tau \circ \iota\)
I5 \(\omega \nu \quad \alpha[\pi \lambda \eta] \rho \omega \tau[o] s \quad o[v] \delta \in \iota s \quad \alpha k \rho[\alpha] \tau 0 v \quad \delta \in \sigma X \in \delta \bar{o}\) \(\alpha \pi \alpha \nu[\tau \in \varsigma \quad \kappa \alpha \iota \quad \mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha\) ol]s то \(\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \alpha \sigma \kappa \in \iota\) \(\tau \alpha \iota \pi \iota o \nu[\tau] \epsilon \varsigma \quad \gamma \alpha \rho \quad \epsilon[\tau \iota \quad \delta \iota \psi] \omega[\sigma] \iota[\kappa] \alpha \iota \quad \alpha \rho \chi о \nu \tau \alpha i \quad \mu \bar{\epsilon}\) \(\alpha \pi о \quad[\tau] \omega \nu \quad \beta \rho \alpha \chi v \tau[\epsilon \rho \omega] \nu\) кva \(\theta \omega \nu\) • \(\quad \rho о \ddot{\circ} о \nu \tau \epsilon S\) \([\delta] \epsilon \quad \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \quad \mu \epsilon \iota\} \circ \sigma[\iota \nu \quad o \iota] \nu[0] X 0 \alpha \iota S \quad \epsilon \nu \chi \in \iota \nu \quad \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma\) \(20 \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \iota \nu \cdot \epsilon \pi \epsilon[\iota \delta \alpha \nu] \delta \epsilon \alpha \kappa \rho \circ \theta \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \epsilon \varsigma \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu о \mu \epsilon\) \(\nu 0 \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \nu \theta \omega \sigma[\iota \nu]\) оvк \([\epsilon] \tau \iota \quad K[\rho] \alpha[\tau \epsilon] \iota \nu \quad \epsilon \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu\) \(\delta v \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota \quad \tau \alpha s\) о८ \(\eta \rho \nu \sigma \in \iota[s \quad k] \alpha \iota \quad \alpha \mu \nu \sigma \tau \in \iota S\) кає тоus крат \(\eta[\alpha] s\) о[ג]ous \(\pi \rho о \sigma \in \nu \in \gamma к \alpha \mu \in \nu о \iota\) akpatous \(\sigma \pi \omega[\sigma \iota] \nu \quad \alpha \theta \rho \omega o u s \quad \mu \epsilon \chi \rho \iota \alpha \nu \quad \beta \alpha\)

Fol. 7 verso.
oo \(\theta\)
\([\theta] \epsilon \iota \quad \nu \pi \nu \omega \quad \delta \alpha \mu \alpha \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \iota \quad \eta \quad \tau \omega \nu \quad\) оүк \(\omega \nu \quad \alpha \pi о\) \([\pi] \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad v \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \lambda v \sigma \eta\) то \(\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \chi \epsilon 0 \mu \epsilon\) \(\nu 0 \nu \cdot \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha\) ка८ тотє оншs \(\eta \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \tau о s \in \nu\) \(\alpha v\)
\(\tau[o \iota] s \quad 0 \rho \epsilon \xi \iota \varsigma \quad \omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \in \tau \iota \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \omega \tau \tau о v \sigma \alpha \mu \alpha \iota\)
\(5 \mu \alpha \bar{\imath} \epsilon \kappa \quad \gamma \alpha \rho \quad \alpha \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda\) ov \(\Sigma о \delta о \mu \omega \nu \quad \eta \quad \alpha \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda\) оs \(\alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \cdot \eta \nu \phi \eta \sigma \iota M \omega v \sigma \eta s^{\cdot}\) кає \(\eta\) к入 \(\eta \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \alpha v\) \(\tau \omega \nu\) єк Гоцорраs* \(\eta\) бт \(\alpha \phi \nu \lambda \eta\) аvт \(\omega \nu \quad{ }^{\circ} \lambda[[0]] \eta s\) \(\beta\) отрия тıкрıаs avtoıs. \(\theta\) иноs \(\delta \rho[\alpha] к о \nu \tau \omega \nu\) - [о८vo]s avт \(\omega \nu^{\bullet} \kappa \alpha \iota \quad \theta \nu \mu \delta[s] \alpha \sigma[\pi] \iota \delta \omega \nu \quad \alpha \nu \iota \alpha\) ro \([\tau o s] \sum \delta \delta o \mu \alpha \quad \mu \in \nu \tau o[\iota] \quad \sigma \tau \in \iota \rho \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma\) к \(\alpha \iota \tau v\) \(\phi[\lambda \omega] \sigma \iota \varsigma \quad \epsilon \rho \mu \eta \nu \in \nu \in \tau \alpha \iota \quad \alpha \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \omega \quad[\delta] \epsilon \quad \kappa \alpha[\iota \quad \tau 0] \iota[s\) \(\epsilon \xi\) \(\alpha \cup \tau \eta s \quad \gamma \iota \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota[s] \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \kappa \alpha\} \epsilon \iota\) Jovs \(0 \iota\) \(\nu 0 \phi \lambda \nu[\gamma] \iota \alpha s \quad \kappa \alpha \iota \quad \tau \omega \nu \quad \alpha[\iota] \sigma \times \iota \sigma \tau \omega\left[\begin{array}{ll}\nu & \eta] \delta o \nu \omega \nu\end{array}\right.\)

```

I5 \sigmauv\etas \mu\epsilon\nu \alpha[\lambda]\eta\ellovs [o]v\delta\epsilon\nu \epsilon\nu\pi[\epsilon\phi]uк\in\nu \tau\eta
\tauov \phi\alpha[v]\lambdaov \psiv\chi\eta \phiu\tauo[\nu] \alpha\tau\epsilon ov\chi v[\gamma\iota\alpha\iota]\nu[o]v\sigma\sigma [\iotas
\kappaє\chi\rho\eta\mu\epsilon\nu\eta \rho[\iota}\alpha\iotas \alpha\lambda\lambda \epsilon]
\kappa\alpha\iota \tau\epsilon\phi\rho\omega0\epsilon[\iota]\sigma\alpha!!̣ [o\pio\tau\epsilon] \alpha\nu0 v\delta\alpha\tauos \tau\alphas

```

```

20 ка\lambda\omegas \delta!ка\sigma\alpha\nu\tauos \delta!\kappa[[\eta\nu] o ovp\alpha\nuos \alpha\llbracket\sigma]]

```

```

        \alphas \tau\etaS \epsilon\sigma\tau\epsilon\iota[\rho\omega]\mu\epsilon\nu\etaS \tau\alpha к\alpha\lambda\alpha к\alpha[\iota] \pi\epsilon\pi\eta\eta\rho\omega
        \mu\epsilon\nu\etaS \pi\rhoos \pi\alpha\nu\tau\alpha \tau\alpha 0\epsilon\alphas \alpha\xi[l]\alpha \eta\nu \alpha\mu\pi\epsilon
        \lambda\omega \pi\alpha\rho\alpha\beta\epsilon\beta\lambda\eta\kappa\epsilon\nu ov\chi\iota \tau\eta к\alpha\rho\pi\omega\omega\nu
    ```
            MSS. = GUFH.
            5 verso 3. \(\epsilon \xi\) av[a]yкर[s]: om. MSS.
            6. \(\psi \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \in \nu o s\) for \(\psi \eta \phi \iota\). G.
            8. \(\mu \epsilon \tau a \beta \lambda a \sigma \tau a v\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]^{2} \tau a:\) l. \(\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \beta \lambda\), with MSS. ( \(\left.\mu \dot{\eta} \pi о \tau \epsilon \mathrm{H}\right)\).
            9. тоvт : \(\tau a v ̌ \tau \hat{\varphi}\) rightly MSS.
            10. aфаvєעєтaь is for aфavalveтal.
            13. There hardly seems to be room in the lacuna for \(\phi v \sigma \iota \kappa \omega \tau a \tau \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu\) or \(\phi \nu \sigma \iota \kappa \omega \tau a \tau a \tau \eta \nu\),
                as conjectured by Wendland, and probably the papyrus agreed with F in omitting \(\tau \eta \nu\).
            14-I 5. Why \(\epsilon \xi \eta \lambda\) ? \(] \theta_{\epsilon} \pi a \lambda \iota \nu\) was originally written is not clear. The letters \(\theta \epsilon \pi a \lambda \iota \nu\) have
dots placed above them.
    16. The supplement at the end of the line is slightly longer than would be expected.
    17. \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \in \hat{\imath} \mathrm{~F}\).
    18. \(\pi\) as: om. L.
    19. \(\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta a \nu: \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{a}_{\nu} \delta \dot{\delta} \epsilon\) MSS.

а̇таьঠєvбià G.
    \([\tau] o v\) : so Wendland with Richter ; om. MSS.
    6. \(\pi \eta[\gamma \eta s: \gamma \bar{s} s\).


    IO-II. The reading of the papyrus was evidently longer than the ordinary text,
        which is \(\gamma 0 \hat{\nu}\) кат \(\grave{a} \dot{a} \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \omega \nu\). If [катa ava] \({ }^{2} \omega \gamma \omega \nu\) is rightly restored, something additional
        preceded.
    12-14. \(\omega s \ldots\)... бvриахоь: om. H .
    20. ovv: om. F.
    23. бvvaүopєvov]ras (Wendland) suits the space better than ovvaropev́rov]ras (GUH);
бขขаүорєن́ovта F .
    24. \(\pi \alpha[\rho] a \pi o[\lambda \omega \nu \tau a \iota: ~ s o ~ G U H, ~ W e n d l a n d ~ ; ~ \pi a \rho a \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau a \iota ~ F L . ~ \pi a \rho a \pi o \mid \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau a \iota ~ w o u l d ~\)
be an irregular division.
 änєөin's . . . ф \(\omega \nu \bar{\eta} s\).

6 recto \({ }_{3} . \eta \mu[\omega \nu: 1 . \nu \mu \omega \nu\) with the MSS.
6 verso 3 . The deletion of \(\delta \epsilon\) (om. MSS.) is probably due to the corrector.
7 recto 2. катабкєvaбat: \(\sigma к є v a ́ \sigma a \iota ~ H . ~\)
 The corrector's єuteptets is novel.
4. yap: om. MSS.
5. \(\eta\) : кає G.

6. т \(\tau \nu\) : so GF : тóv U , \(\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S} \mathrm{H}\).
10. titevtal HL. l. रaatpos.
 was written is doubtful.
12. \(\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota:\) om. MISS.
20. The papyrus confirms Wendland's insertion of \(\delta \dot{\epsilon}\), which the MSS. omit, after е̇тєióáv.
21. \(\kappa a \iota \alpha \nu \theta \omega \sigma[\iota]\) : 1. \(\chi^{\lambda} \iota a \nu \theta\). with the MSS.

 \(\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \gamma к \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu 0\).
24. aкрatovs \(\sigma \pi \omega[\sigma \iota]_{\nu}\) : áкрátov \(\sigma \pi \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu \mathrm{U}\), Wendland, ảкрárovs \(\pi i \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu \mathrm{GFH}\), àкрárovs rivovar vulg. \(v\) in the papyrus has been altered apparently from \(\iota\).
a \(\theta \rho \omega o u s: ~ a ̀ \not \theta \rho o ́ \omega s\) MSS. The spelling \(\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota\) is also found in G; \(\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota s\) others.

\(3 . \epsilon \nu:\) om. U.
t. o of ope \(\xi\) ts has the appearance of having been crossed through.
 Mangey. The stroke above 1 apparently here does duty for a circumflex accent ; a somewhat similar stroke is employed in the Coptos papyrus of Philo, according to Scheil, p. iv.

6. \(\eta \nu: \hat{\eta}\) MSS. \(\kappa \lambda \eta \mu a \tau \epsilon \epsilon \sigma a\) is a slip for \(\kappa \lambda \eta \mu a \tau \iota s\).
7. \(\chi o \lambda \eta s\) : \(\sigma \tau a \phi u \lambda \dot{\eta} \chi \chi^{o \lambda} \hat{\eta}_{s}\) MSS., as in the LXX.
8. ó ßótpus H.
i1. ä \(\mu \pi \epsilon \lambda\) os H .


\({ }^{1} 5^{-16}\). Tîs \(\ldots \psi^{v} \chi \hat{y} \mathrm{H}\). фi入aútov for фaúdov \(L\).
17. A dot at the end of the line is probably accidental.
19. If a \(\sigma \epsilon \beta \omega \nu\) was written, the letters \(\epsilon \beta\) were strangely cramped; perhaps e was omitted.

20-2 I. For the alteration of the word-division cf. Fol. 9 recto 17.


22. ra . . \(\pi \epsilon \pi \eta \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \mathrm{s}:\) om. U .

\section*{De Mercede Meretricis.}
(Mangey, ii. 268.)
Fol. 9 verso.
\(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\tau \alpha & \alpha \phi\end{array}\right] \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \sigma[\alpha] \nu \quad \alpha \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu \quad \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \omega^{\iota} \tau[\eta s] \quad \alpha \kappa\) ]a
\([. . . \sigma] \epsilon \omega S \alpha \phi \eta \delta v \nu \alpha \sigma \alpha\) \(\pi \rho o \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \omega^{h} \tau \alpha s\) a \(\alpha \lambda \alpha s\) [ \(\nu 0 \sigma o u s\) ] каı к \(\eta \rho \alpha s\) єavtךs ouk \(\epsilon \mu \eta \nu \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu^{*}\) ais







 [ \(\alpha \sigma \nu \mu \beta \alpha \tau о s] ~ a \sigma \pi о \nu \delta o s ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta s\) какоขо [ \(\mu \omega \tau \alpha \tau o s ~ a \phi \iota \lambda o s ~ \alpha] o ו k o s ~ a \pi o \lambda \iota s ~ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \omega \delta \eta s\)

 \([\sigma \tau \omega \rho \quad \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \nu \alpha l o s ~ \alpha \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup] \theta \epsilon \rho o s ~ a \pi о \tau о \mu о s\)
 [ \(\alpha \kappa о \sigma \mu \circ s\) aıбхроирyos \(\alpha \iota \sigma \chi \rho \circ \pi \alpha \theta \eta s\) ] \(\alpha \chi \rho \omega\) [ \(\mu \alpha \tau 0 s\) a \(\mu \epsilon \tau \rho o s ~ a \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \tau o s ~ a \lambda \alpha \varsigma \omega \nu]\) doк \(\eta \sigma \iota\)


Fol. 9 recto.
[ \(\eta \tau 0] s \quad \delta v \sigma \omega \nu v \mu o s \quad \delta v \sigma \epsilon v \rho \in \tau o s ~ \delta v \sigma .[\ldots\). \(\epsilon \xi[\omega] \lambda \eta S\) какоขous \(\alpha \sigma v \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho о\) ак ака[ \(\llcorner\rho \lambda о\)
 \(\lambda \alpha \xi \quad \nu \omega \theta \eta s \quad \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon \pi \tau 0 s\) a \(\quad\) poop[atos \(\alpha\)
```

5 \pi\rhoо\nuо\etaтоs o\lambda\iota\gamma\omega\rhoоs \alpha\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\sigmaк\epsilonvo[s \alpha\pi\epsilon\iota
\rhoока\lambdaоs \pi}\pi\lambda\eta\mu\mu\epsilon\lambda\etas \sigma\phi\alpha\lambda[\lambdaо\mu]\epsilon\nuo[s \delta\iota\alpha\pi\iota
\pi\tau\omega\nu а\delta\iotaо\iotaк\eta\tauоs a\pi\rhoо\sigma\tau[\alpha\sigma\iota\alpha\sigma]\tau[оs \lambda\iotaX\nuOS
а\gammao\mu\epsilon\nuOS \delta\iotaa\rho\rho\epsilon\omega\nu \epsilonv\epsilon\nu\delta[о\tauоS \deltaо\lambda\iota\omega\tauа\tauоS
\delta\iota\chiovous \delta\iota\gamma\lambda\omega\sigma\sigmaos \epsilon\piiß \betao[v\lambdaos \epsilon\nu\epsilon\delta\rho\inU
10 \tauוkos pal\deltaloupyos a\deltatop0\omega\tau[os \epsilon\nu\delta\epsilon\etaS \alpha\inl
\alpha\beta\epsilon\beta\alpha\iotaos \alpha\lambda\eta\tau\etaS [[\epsilon]]\pi[[0]]\mu\epsilon\nuOS \phi[o\rho\alpha X\rho\omega\mu\epsilon
\nuOS \epsilon\pi\iotaßov\lambdaos. \epsilon\pi\iota\chi\epsilon\iota\rho\eta\tauOS \epsilon\pi[\iota\mu\alpha\nu\etaS \alpha\psi\iota
кopos фi\lambdaоз('los \deltaо\xiокотоs }\beta[\alpha\rhov\mu\eta\nu⿺s \beta
\rhov\sigma\pi\lambda\alpha<br>llbracket\nu\rrbracket]X\nu0s \betaa\rhov0v\muos \beta\alpha[\rhov\pi\epsilon\nu0\etas \deltav\sigma
I}5\mathrm{ ору

    \piо\pi\tauоs a\pi\iota\sigma\tauos [\deltav\sigma\iota\alphaтos к\alpha\chiv\piovovs \deltau\[\sigma]]
            a.
    \sigma\epsilon\lambda\pi\iotas \epsilon\rho\iota\delta\alphaк\rho\nus [\epsilon\pi\iota\\alpha\iota\rho\epsilonкакоs \lambdaє\lambdau\tau\tau\etaк\omega\omegas
    \pi\alpha\rho\alpha[к\epsilon]\kappaо\mu[}\mu\epsilon\nuоs a\delta!\iota\alpha\tauv\pi\omegaтоs како\mu
    ```

```

20 \epsilon0\epsilon[\lambda\epsilon\chi}0\rho\rhoо

```

9 verso. The page-numbers of this leaf are not preserved, the upper margin being imperfect.

I-2. т \(\tau \iota \ldots \pi \rho \circ \sigma a \gamma \omega \gamma \omega t\) тas: so Mangey with M Vat ; \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ldots \pi \rho \circ \sigma a \gamma \omega \gamma\) ás others. At the beginning of 1.2 there has been some correction of aкрoaб \(\epsilon \omega s\), but its nature and reason are doubtful. Besides adding a above the line, the second hand seems to have retouched the \(\epsilon\).
3. \(\epsilon \mu \eta \nu \tau \sigma \epsilon\) was apparently written by the first hand.
6. o]uv: so Mangey with M ; om. others.
7. \(\epsilon \xi \in \epsilon t: \notin \sigma \pi \eta\) Mangey with no v.l.
9. акрахо入о[s]: äкро́ходоs Mangey.
20. For another substitution of \(\gamma\) for an original \(\nu\) cf, recto 14 .

9 recto 1 . The vestige of a letter before the lacuna is indecisive between \(\delta v \sigma \epsilon \phi\) октоs (M) and סvoфєuктos.
3. \(\gamma\) of \(\mu a к \rho \eta \gamma o \rho o s\) has been altered, perhaps from \(\lambda\). The corrector's spelling ai \(\delta o \lambda \epsilon \sigma \chi \eta s\) is found in MISS.
 words occur below in \(11.1^{1-1} 5\) with the variant \(\left.\delta v \sigma\right]\) of \(\gamma \eta\) тos for \(\delta v \sigma a ́ \lambda \gamma \eta\) tos.
 letter following a suggest \(\chi\) rather than \(\gamma\).
10. The first \(\rho\) of paitoupyos has been corrected; apparently \(\delta\) or \(\lambda\) was originally written.

At the end of the line \(a \epsilon t\), which is absent in M, is required to fill the space.
II. \(\epsilon \pi o \mu \epsilon \nu o s\), as originally written, is the ordinary reading; 'intonuєvas M. The corrector's \(\sigma \pi \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu=s\) is not mentioned as a variant by Mangey.
12. \(\epsilon \pi \kappa\) ßov \(\lambda o s:\) om. vulgo. The word has already occurred in 1.9 .
\(\epsilon \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \rho \eta \tau o s\) is found as a v. 1. in Dion. Hal. Ant. Rom. iv. 29; єvंधтıхєipqtos vulg.
14-15. Cf. note on 1. 4. The letters \(0 \pi\) in \(v \pi o \pi \tau o s\) have undergone some correction.
16. atıfoos: om. vulgo, the word having occurred above (Mangey, p. 268. 42 ) ; cf. note on l. 12.
17. єpioakpus, v. 1. apioakpus, which is the usual form.

\section*{II. NEW CLASSICAL TEXTS.}
1174. Sophocles, Ichneutae.

Height 18.3 cm .
Late second century.
Plate II (Cols. iv-v).
That Satyric Drama should be represented by but a single play, and that too by the youngest of the three great tragedians, has often been deplored. A specimen by Aeschylus, commonly reckoned the greatest exponent of the art (Diog. Lacrt. ii. 133, Pausan. ii. 13. 5), or of Pratinas, might have been a more welcome gift, but in presenting us with the considerable remains here published of the Ichneutae of Sophocles, fortune does something to remove a reproach and to fill one of the many gaps in the history of Greek dramatic art.

The greater part of this papyrus was obtained in 1907, but some minor fragments made their appearance close by in the previous winter, when the main portion of 1175 was found. That text is apparently a sister-MS. to the present, and the work of the same scribe; and it is quite likely that some of the smaller pieces placed there belong to 1174 , while, on the other hand, among the miscellaneous fragments assigned to the Ichneutae there may be a few stragglers from 1175. The difficulty of distinguishing is further increased by the fact that the finds to which 1174 and 1175 belong include a number of prose fragments written in a closely similar if not identical handwriting.

This hand is seen at its best in 1174. It is a fine specimen of the common oval type, slightly inclined, and executed with much firmness and precision. It may, I think, be assigned with probability to the closing decades of the second century, a date suggested as well by the character of the uncial script as by the occasional cursive marginalia. The columns, which contain from \({ }^{26-7}\) lines, tend to lean over a little towards the right, so that the last line of a column generally projects to the left of the first by the space of two or three letters. Choral odes are distinguished from iambics by indentation, as in the Hypsipyle papyrus (852), where too, as here, the parts of an iambic verse which is divided between two or more speakers are written below one another in separate lines (viii. \({ }_{5} 5\) sqq. ; cf. 1177). Paragraphi and diaereses were inserted by the original scribe, and to him are also due the stichometrical figures, which mark off the lines by hundreds (cf. e.g. 841, 852). Stops, which are usually in the form of a high dot, though points in the medial and low position occur, accents, breathings, marks of elision and long or short quantity, all of which are fairly frequent, and other occasional symbols, including a low-placed comma to separate words
(viii. 19; cf. e. g. 1082), the coronis marking the beginning and end of the first chorus (iii. 4, 19), and the \(x\) surmounted by an iota sometimes inserted as a nota bene in the left margin, are largely, at any rate, subsequent additions, often easily distinguishable by the darker colour of the ink; and they may be attributed to the revisor who has not only corrected the text but inserted a number of various readings. Some of these he kindly refers to their source, the authority most frequently named being Theon, a grammarian who flourished in the Augustan period and was probably cited in 841. ii. 37. A few references are made to A \(\rho \nu\) and \(A \rho\), of which the former probably, and perhaps the latter also, means Aristophanes (cf. 841), and to a name appearing as N with a vertical stroke through the middle, possibly Nicanor (cf. note on iv. 23). Explanatory notes are rare ; there is one of some length apparently in Frs. 23 (a), (b), while a bare gloss occurs at iii. 6. The dramatis personae are specified here and there; a single stage direction is put in the body of the text at v. 2. The accentual system, which is similar to that of other papyri of the period (e.g. 841, 852, 1082), calls for no detailed notice.

The numerous fragments in which this papyrus was recovered have fortunately fitted together in a very satisfactory way, producing large remains of seventeen columns from the beginning of the play. Of these the first fifteen are certainly successive, as is shown by the stichometry; the remaining two, which are very scantily represented, perhaps follow immediately, and at any rate are not separated by more than a slight interval ; cf. the note on Col. xvi. Up to a point the course of the action is thus clear ; and the story of the Ichuentae turns out to be something very different from what it was conjectured to be by Welcker, to whom the title suggested the wanderings of Europa (Nachtrag; pp. 311-12). Yet one of the three extant fragments, had its reference been perceived, would have given the clue: the fragment which describes the abnormal growth of the youthful Hermes and occurs in the papyrus at xi. 12-13, establishing beyond question the identity, already sufficiently evident, of the drama. It is the myth of the infant god's cxploits, his theft of Apollo's cattle and his invention of the lyre, that provides the plot. The scene is laid on Mt. Cyllene in Arcadia (ii. 4), and the characters are Apollo, Silenus and the Satyric chorus, the nymph Cyllene, and doubtless Hermes himself, though the papyrus breaks off before he appears. In the \(\pi\) тódoyos Apollo announces the loss of the cattle, for which he has vainly sought in the northern districts of Hellas, and offers rewards for their discovery (i-ii. in). Silenus then comes forward, with the Satyrs in attendance, proffering his services, and Apollo promises them their freedom, as well as gold, for success (ii. 12 -iii. 4). The Chorus sing a short ode (iii. \(5-19\) ) and then, urged by Silenus, start out on the quest ; they are the
'Trackers' from whom the play was named. Confused traces of the cattle are soon found (iv. \({ }^{1} 5-\mathrm{V} .19\) ), leading towards the entrance to a cave-dwelling ( \(\rho \hat{\rho} \gamma \mu \mu \alpha\) \(\gamma \hat{\eta} s\), Philostrat. Imag. i. 26). But at this point the seekers are alarmed by strange sounds, the notes of the newly-invented lyre upon which Hermes was playing within (v. 20-vi. 6). Silenus upbraids them roundly for their cowardice, and promises them the encouragement of his presence (vi. 7-viii. II) ; they take heart and sing a lively little stasimon, which is unfortunately much damaged. Then again the terrifying sound is heard, and they are with difficulty restrained from decamping by Silenus, who at last himself beats loudly at the cave's mouth (ix. 2-4). The nymph Cyllene emerges, and after remonstrating against their unseemly behaviour (ix. 6-27), and warning them of the necessity for secrecy, explains that she is the nurse of the child lately born to Zeus and Maia, and tells them of his astonishing growth (xi. 8-I3) and of the lyre which he had made from the shell of a tortoise and some cowhide (xi. 14-xiii. 4). This provides the 'Trackers' with another clue; and they express their suspicion that the hide was obtained from the cows of Apollo (xiii. \(5^{-13}\) ). Cyllene indignantly repels this accusation, and is still stoutly maintaining the innocence of Hermes at the end of Col. xv. Here there is a lacuna; of the next column, if it was the next, all that remains is a marginal variant containing the words 'cows' dung', and in Col. xvii, represented by the beginnings of the last sixteen lines, Apollo, summoned by Silenus and the Satyrs, reappears upon the scene, and apparently accepts their evidence as entitling them to the promised reward (xvii. 18-19). In the gap between xv. 22 and xvii. 5, therefore, the proofs were reinforced and Cyllene's discomfiture completed. What happened next is a matter of conjecture; presumably the sequel was in the main that of the Homeric Hymm: Hermes was confronted with Apollo, and appeased him with the gift of the lyre. This denozement may not have occupied more than another two or three hundred lines; if the Cyclops is an average specimen, the length of Satyr-plays was considerably less than that of tragedies.

It is perhaps somewhat surprising that the name of Sophocles has not previously figured in the list of authors known to have treated this ancient myth. Antoninus Liberalis, who (c. 23 ; cf. Ovid, Met. ii. 676 sqq.) relates the incident of the informer Battus, quotes as sources the 'Etєpotovpév \(\omega \nu\) a' of Nicander, the M \(\epsilon \gamma\) 'д \(\lambda a \iota\) 'Hoía of Hesiod, the Mєтанорф' \(\sigma \epsilon \iota s\) of Didymarchus, the 'A \(\lambda \lambda о \iota \omega\) ' \(\sigma \epsilon \iota s\) of Antigonus, and the 'Eтьүрápиата of Apollonius Rhodius. Alcaeus is also known to have dealt with the story in a hymn to Hermes (Fr. 5 ; cf. Pausan. vii. 20. 4). Of the later authoritics the chief is Apollodorus (iii. IO. 2), whose version is analogous to that of the Homeric Hymm, though differing in certain details. In particular, he inverts the order of the IJym in making the theft of the cows
precede the invention of the lyre. Whether A pollodorus used any source other than the Hymn is a question on which opinion has been divided. Some scholars have maintained that his discrepancies came out of his own head (cf. Gemoll, Die Homerischen Hymmen, pp. 19I-2). So much, at any rate, is now clear, that in regard to the sequence of the two events he was anticipated by Sophocles, who likewise represented Hermes as utilizing the cattle for the production of the lyre. It does not necessarily follow that Sophocles originated this conception, or that he was responsible for the introduction of the nymph Cyllene, although the earliest authority for her in this connexion has hitherto been Philostephanus
 \({ }^{\text {'E }}\) © \(\mu \hat{\eta} v\); cf. Festus ap. Paul. Diaconus, De Verb. Signif., s. v. Cyllenius . . . alii quod a Cyllene sit uympha educatus). To the poet himself, however, may reasonably be attributed two innovations at least in the story, which are bound up with his dramatic treatment of it, the discovery of the thief by means of the Satyrs, and the transference of the hiding-place of the cattle from the neighbourhood of the Triphylian Pylos to Mt. Cyllene, a course dictated by the unity of place. With reference to the invention of the lyre, it is of interest to note that this subject had a peculiar attraction for Sophocles as an expert on the instrument. We are told in the anonymous Bíos इoфок \(\lambda\) 白ovs that he turned his accomplishment

 in the present play too it is highly probable that, as Wilamowitz suggests, the dramatist took an active though unseen part by producing behind the scenes the strains which terrified the Satyrs.

Apart, however, from the musical interests of the poet, for the purposes of Satyric drama the theme was well chosen. There was a strong element of comedy in the thievish and lying propensities of the infant god, which, according to the Homeric Hymn, provoked Zeus himself to great laughter; and we may surmise that it was in the later scenes, when the mischievous child was confronted with the indignant Apollo, that the humour of the piece was chiefly developed. So far as the papyrus extends there is nothing so amusing as the scene in the Cyclops where Silenus acts as cup-bearer to Polyphemus. The imitation by the Satyrs of dogs upon the scent no doubt lent itself to fun of a rather boisterous kind, though there is throughout much less coarseness than in the drama of Euripides-not that Sophocles' Satyric plays were always above reproach in this respect. Small comic touches are also noticeable here and there, such as the comparison of the Chorus starting on the search to colonists setting out for new lands (iv. I7), or the invitation which seems to be addressed by Silenus to the spectators to give information (iv. 5).

But there is a general air of light-heartedness and good humour which in the complete piece must have been very attractive. A certain amount of popularity is argued by the existence of the present copy ; and as Wilamowitz points out, there is some reason to suppose that Euripides was moved to emulation. In the Antiope of Pacuvius an enigmatical description of the tortoise, similar to that in Col. xii, was given by the lyre-player Amphion (Cic. de Divin. ii. 133; cf. note on xii. 2). It is most probable that this feature was derived from Euripides, whom Pacuvius in the Antiope seems to have followed closely (Cic. De Inv. i. 94 ; De Fin. i. 4). If that were so, a terminus ante quem for the appearance of the 'Ixvevial is provided, since the production of Euripides' Antiope did not long precede that of the Frogs of Aristophanes in B. C. 405 (Schol. Frogs 53). But in any case our play may reasonably be placed considerably earlier than this, if only on account of its metrical strictness (see below).

Upon the much discussed question of the garb of the Chorus in Satyric drama (cf. Wernicke, Hermes, xxxii. pp. 290 sqq. ; Reisch, Festschrift Gomperz, pp. 451 sqq.) the fragments throw no new light of importance, but confirm the indications of the Cyclops. As there (il. 13, 42, 100, 369) the Satyrs, who are addressed as \(\theta \hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon s\) and \(\theta \eta \rho i a(v i .9,15\), ix. 6 ; cf. Cycl. 624), are the sons of Silenus (vi. \(1_{5}\), vii. 5 , viii. \(1_{3}\), ix. 13 ), from which it is reasonable to infer identity of nature. The upholders of the goat-type can hardly claim as a proof of their view the simile of the goat in xiv. 16, for that has a quasi-proverbial cast, and does not imply that the person to whom it was applied was habited as a goat, though it might gain point if he were. Certainly, if the goat-form was employed at all on the Attic stage, it would be expected in a play the scene of which is laid in the mountain-haunts of Pan.

In the matter of language the Iclmentae falls fairly into line with conclusions previously formulated concerning the Satyric drama, which occupied an intermediate position between tragedy and comedy. The diction is predominantly tragic, but there is some slight admission of the words and phrases of common
 instances, the speaker in each case being Silenus or the Satyrs. Exclamations and interjections are frequent, as in comedy, e. g. iii. 7 d̀ \(\frac{1}{2} \pi a \pi a \hat{\imath}\) (cf. Cycl. ı1о,
 v. \(20 \hat{v}\) v̂ \(\hat{v} \hat{v}\), vii. \(12 \hat{v} \hat{u} \hat{v}, \psi \psi, \hat{a}\) â (cf. Cycl. \(49 \psi\) v́rta, 157 â \(\hat{a}\) â), xvii. 5 ioc̀ iov́ (cf. Cycl. \(4^{64}\) ). A leaning towards popular speech is also to be discerned in certain


 which are rather frequent in the Cyclops are not here in evidence. On the whole
the Silenus and Satyrs of Sophocles show more restraint in language as well as in sentiment than those of Euripides.

This observation can be extended also to the metre, and the common doctrine concerning the Satyric trimeter must be applied to Sophocles with some reserve. Resolution is indeed commoner than in the tragedies. Statistics collected by A. Mancini, Il dramma satirico, pp. 82 sqq., show for the fragments of Sophoclean Satyric dramas a proportion of about I resolution in 6 lines. In the Ichneutae the proportion is somewhat lower, about I in 8 ; but this is more than twice as frequent as in the tragedies, where the ratio is about \(1: 17\). Of the tribrachs all the instances are in the third (i. 12, v. 14, ix. 6, xiii. 14, 20 (?)) or the fourth (iv. 18, v. 7, vii. 9, ix. 25) foot, and the dactyls all in the third (v. 9, \({ }^{1} 5,18,21,22\), vi. 22 , ix. 26, x. 19, xiv. 17). The position of the tribrachs must, however, be to some extent accidental, since in the Fragments they are found elsewhere. An anapaest in the first foot occurs not improbably in i. 15 ; in v. 17 the papyrus gives an anapaest in the fourth foot, but the passage is suspect on other grounds, and the metrical severity which marks the rest of the play is strongly in favour of emendation. There is no instance of double resolution within a verse, nor can a case be cited from the Fragments. In Fr. 305, to which Mancini refers, the \(a\) of \(\delta\) éaros was probably long. The iambics of the Cyclops show very much greater freedom. It has been pointed out (e.g. by Hermann, Elementa doctr. metr. p. 125) that this freedom is chiefly apparent in the lines spoken by Silenus or the Satyrs. In the Ichneutae the distinction is less clear ; the tribrachs are fairly evenly divided, but Silenus or the Satyrs are responsible for all but two of the dactyls. Besides the trimeters there is the curious novelty of a dialogue of about 30 lines in iambic tetrameters (xii. 2xiii. 4).

The lyrical parts are, like those of the Cyclops, somewhat slight, and probably this reduction in scale was a usual feature of Satyric drama. In two places a short strophe is separated from the antistrophe by passages in dialogue ; x. \(1-8=x\) xi. \(20-7\), xiii. \(5-11=\) xiv. \(20-6\); cf. xvii. \(5-7=10-12\). The other strophes are free. A large use is made of cretics (x. 1-8, xiii. 5-11, xvii. 5-7). In the parodos (iii. \(5^{-19}\) ) a considerable dochmiac element is remarkable; the longest stasimon, vii. 12 -viii. 12 consists largely of anapaests and proceleusmatics, with some admixture of cretics.

In the reconstruction of this and the two following papyri I am under deep obligation to Professor U. von Wilamowitz-Möllendorff, who saw copies at an early stage, and both then and since has rendered generous assistance. I am also not a little indebted to Professor Gilbert Murray, and have received some useful suggestions on the Sophoclean texts from Mr. A. C. Pearson.

\section*{Col. i.}


Col. ii.
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline [. . . . . . . . ] \(\sigma \delta \omega \rho\) ркко \\
\hline [. . . . . . . . . . \(\tau 0 \nu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \theta\) [ \\
\hline  \\
\hline . . \(] \lambda \eta \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \delta \lambda\) [ \\
\hline ] \(\in \chi\) ¢ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
] [8. .]

\section*{Col. i.}
 \([\kappa \alpha i . . . . . . . \delta \hat{\omega} \rho \dot{\rho} \quad \dot{v} \pi \iota \sigma] \chi \nu 0 \hat{v} \mu \alpha \iota \quad \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}[\nu\)
 [. . . .]ov[. . . . . . . . \(\delta v ́ \sigma] \lambda o \phi o \nu ~ \phi \rho є \nu \grave{\imath}\)
[. . . .] \(] \alpha[\ldots . . . . . . \beta o] v ̂ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \mu o \lambda \gamma \alpha ́ \delta \alpha \alpha s\) a[.
    [ \(\mu o ́ \sigma]\) Xovs \([\tau \epsilon к \alpha i \nu \iota ́ \omega \nu \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \cup \mu] \alpha \pi о \rho \tau i ́ \delta \omega \nu\).
[ \(\ddot{\alpha} \pi \alpha] \nu \tau \alpha\) ф \([о \hat{v} \delta \alpha\) каi \(\mu \alpha ́ \tau \eta] \nu ~ i \chi \nu о \sigma к о \pi \omega \hat{\omega}\)

\[
\delta \operatorname{ta\sigma }[
\]
\[
\lambda a[\theta p
\]
\[
\mathfrak{o v}(\tau \omega \mathbf{s}) \eta^{v}[\hat{\epsilon} v \tau(\hat{\varphi}) \Theta \hat{\varphi} \omega(v o s) .
\]






\([\Theta \rho \alpha \kappa \kappa] \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta^{\prime} \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \eta \hat{\eta} \theta[0] \nu \quad \phi[\hat{v}] \lambda \alpha \quad \tau[o \hat{v}] \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau[0 \hat{v}, \quad]\) rov.
[ \(\alpha \lambda \lambda\) ’ oú \(] \tau \iota s\) [. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .] . [
[ \(] \sigma[\)
[.....]入[ ]א८o[
[....] \(\iota \sigma[\quad\) ' \(] \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha[\delta \grave{\epsilon}\)




Col. ii.
[. . . . . . . . . .]s \(\Delta \omega \rho \iota к о[\)

[. . . . . . . . .] \(\ddot{\eta}^{\prime} \kappa \omega ~ \xi v \nu[.] \alpha\). [

[. . . . . . . .] \(\tau \in X \hat{\omega} \rho o \nu\) 's \(\delta^{\prime} \dot{v}[\)
```

    [. . . . . . .] ]\eta\nu\nu\epsilon\tau~\alpha\gamma\rho\omega\sigma\tau\eta[
    [. . . . . . . .] ]\omega\nu\epsilon\nu\lambdao\gamma\omegaו\pi\alpha\rho[
                                ]
    [. . . . .]\epsilon\iota\nu\nu\nuv\muфо\gamma\epsilon\nu\nu\etá[
    [. . . . .]\\tau\iota\sigma\epsilon\sigma\tau\iota\pi\alpha\sigma\iota\nu\alpha\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda[
    10 [. . . .]\omega\rho\alphaто⿱\pi\alpha|\omega\nu0\sigma%%\taui\sigma\alpha[
[. . . ] . тохр\eta\mu\alpha\muю\sigma0о\sigma\epsilon\sigma0'окє[
[. . . .]\sigmaovф\omega\nu\eta\mu\mu\tau\grave{\omega}\sigma\epsilon\pi\epsilońк\lambda\nuо\nu

```

```

    [.]]!ov\delta\eta\imath\tau\alphaठ` \eta}\pi\pi\alpha\rho\epsilon\sigma\tau\iota\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\betav\tau\eta
    15 [.]оьфо८\beta'\alpha\piо\lambda\lambdaо\nu\piро\sigmaфו\lambda\eta\sigma\inv\epsilon[
0\epsilon\lambda\omega\nu\mp@subsup{\gamma}{}{\prime}\nu\epsilon\sigma0\alpha\iota\tau\hat{\omega}\downarrow\delta\epsilon\pi\epsilon\sigma\sigmav0\eta\nu\delta\rho[.] . . [.]
\alpha}\nu\pi\omega\sigma\tauох\rho\eta\mu\alpha\tauо\nu\tauо\sigmaоוк\nu\nu\eta\gamma[.]\sigma\omega
\tau[.]? व\gamma\gamma\epsilon[. .]\sigma\muo\iotaк\epsilon\iota\mu\epsilon\nu\nu\nu\chi\rho[.]] . [. .]\tau\epsilon\phi\epsilon
\mu\alpha[. .]\sigma\tau\epsilon\pi[. . . . .]\alpha\iota\sigma[. .]\rhoó\sigma0\epsilon\sigma . [. . . . . . .]\nu
\sigma

```


```

    [. . . . . . . .] . [.]\omega`\muо\nu\nuо\nu\epsilon\mu\pi[. . . . .]\alphá\delta[. .]
    \tau\alpha[. . . . . . . . .]ov \sigmav\delta\epsilon\mu\pi\epsilon\deltaov[. . . .]\nu
    [. . . . . . . . . . .]\rho\omega\nuо\sigma\tau\tau[.]\epsilon[.]0' \epsilon\tau[. . .]\mu[.] . є
    25
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . {

```

Col. iii.
נ line lost.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [. . .]. } \alpha \text { [ } \\
& \text { тıтоито'то[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .]єє } \\
& { }_{\epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma \sigma v[. ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~}^{\text {. }} \omega \nu \\
& \left.{ }_{\rho}\right\rangle={ }_{x^{\circ} \sigma a \tau^{v}} \bullet \theta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \cdot[
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ̀̀ш } \sigma \epsilon \tau \circ[\text {. . . . . . . . . . . . . .] }
\end{aligned}
\]

 ]
 \([\theta \eta \rho \hat{\omega}] \nu\) тís \(\epsilon \in \tau \iota, \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \in \lambda[\lambda \omega \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon\), ı \(\quad[\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \phi] \omega \rho \alpha \quad \tau\langle\hat{\omega} \nu\rangle \Pi \alpha \iota \omega \nu o s\) ö \(\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma \dot{\alpha}[\nu \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \eta\),


 \([\sigma] \pi o v \delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \delta^{\prime}\) خे \(\pi \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v ́ \tau \eta[\mu \alpha \theta \omega \nu\),

\(\theta \in ́ \lambda \omega \nu \quad \gamma \in \nu \in ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta^{\prime}\) є́ \(\pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma v ́ \theta \eta \nu \quad \delta \rho[o ́] \mu[\omega\),


\(\mu \alpha ́[\lambda \iota] \sigma \tau^{\prime} \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi[[. . . ..] \alpha \iota \sigma[\iota \quad \pi] \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \quad \sigma \cdot[. . . . . ..] \nu\),
20
\(\pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \alpha s \delta^{\prime} \epsilon ́ \mu[o v ̀] s\) oै \(\sigma \sigma o \iota \sigma \iota \quad[. ..] \alpha v \epsilon[.] \beta \alpha[.\).\(] . . . . .]\)





    (A \(A \pi\).) \(\quad[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ..] \epsilon \sigma o .[\)

\section*{Col. iii.}


10

I 5
 \(\tau \cup \chi[.] \iota \nu \mu \in \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \circ \sigma 0 \stackrel{v}{v} \delta \rho \alpha \mu \eta \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\) \(\lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \rho \alpha \nu \sigma v \lambda \eta[.] \iota \nu \epsilon \kappa \kappa v \nu \eta \gamma \epsilon \sigma \alpha \iota\) \(\phi[.] \iota \beta o v \kappa \lambda[.] \pi \alpha \iota \alpha \sigma \beta o v \sigma \alpha \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \rho[\) [.] \(] \nu\) ย́є \(\tau \iota \sigma о \pi \tau \eta \rho \in \sigma \tau \iota[.] \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \kappa о о \sigma \quad \lambda[\)
\([.] \mu o ́ \iota \gamma[\cdot] \nu[\cdot]!\ell \eta \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \phi \iota \lambda \eta[\cdot] \delta \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha \sigma \tau \sigma \delta \epsilon\) [. . . . .] \({ }^{\prime} \alpha \nu \alpha к \tau \iota \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \cup \epsilon \rho \gamma[.] \tau \eta \sigma\).


Col. iv.


і́ло́гоца к[. . . . . . . . . . . . . .]
סıavútшу ó[. . . . . . . . . . . . .]
\(\pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha} \nu \quad \gamma \grave{\eta}[[\nu \nu . . . . . . . . . .]\).
 \(\kappa \lambda \epsilon \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) пог \(\sigma i[\ldots . . . . .\).

\(\pi \alpha \tau \rho i ́ \tau^{\prime}\) є́ \(\lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \theta \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \quad \beta[.\).\(] . . \mu \epsilon \tau[\).
\(\sigma \nu \nu \alpha ́ \mu \alpha\) \(\theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ o ̀ ~ \phi i ́ \lambda o s ~ \alpha \dot{\alpha} ย \in \tau \omega\)
пóvous \(\pi \rho о ф \dot{\eta} \nu \alpha\)
ג́рí̧ \(\eta \lambda \alpha\) र \(\quad\) voôv \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \epsilon i ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\).
 \(\tau \nu \chi[\epsilon] \hat{\nu} \quad \mu \in \pi \rho a ́ \gamma o u s\) oû \(\delta \rho \alpha ́ \mu \eta \mu{ }^{\prime}\) є́ \(\pi \epsilon i ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha l\),
 \(\Phi[0] i ́ \beta o u ~ \kappa \lambda[o] \pi \alpha i ́ a s ~ \beta o u ̂ s ~ \alpha ́ \alpha \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o[v\).


 [. . . . . . ]a[. .] \(][.]\).\(s тô̂ \lambda o ́[\gamma o] v ~ \theta^{\prime} \not{ }_{\alpha} \mu \alpha[\)

Col. iv.
\(\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \nu[\tau \rho \alpha\)
(Xo.) ì \(\sigma[\)
vimo[
\(\delta^{\prime}\) oú \(\delta[\)



5 ( \(\sum \iota\). .) \(\quad \phi \eta \sigma i \nu \tau i s, \ddot{\eta}[\)
Єоскєン, \({ }^{\prime} \delta \eta \quad \kappa[\)
\(\alpha^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \hat{i} \alpha \quad \delta \eta े \pi \hat{\alpha} s \quad \sigma[\)
\(\dot{\rho} \iota \nu \eta \lambda \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\partial} \sigma \mu[\alpha \hat{\iota} \sigma \iota\)
\(\alpha u ̛ \rho \alpha s ~ \epsilon ُ \alpha ́ \nu \pi \eta \pi \rho[\)
10


ои̃т \(\omega\) s \({ }^{\prime} \rho \in \cup \nu \alpha \nu\) каi \(\pi[. . . . . . . . .].\).

\(\bar{\alpha} \overline{\theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon o \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon o \sigma \cdot \epsilon \alpha[. ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~}]\)

Col. v. Plate II. \(\eta \mu \epsilon \quad \sigma\)
\(\rho o \iota \beta \delta \cdot \epsilon \iota \cdot \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \tau \omega y[. . . . .\).\(] . ov̂ \sigma[. . . . . .]. o \iota \beta \delta \eta \mu^{`} \epsilon \frac{1}{\alpha} \nu\) роィ \(\beta\) ठо \(\sigma\)

\(\left.\left.x^{i} \alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \mu \eta \nu \ddot{x} \times[\cdot . . \cdot] \chi \omega \sigma \tau i \llbracket \lambda\right]\right] \beta o \sigma \tau \alpha \delta \epsilon\)
\(5 \quad \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \omega \nu \epsilon \nu \alpha \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} \tau \omega \nu \beta[\cdot] \omega \nu \mu \alpha \theta \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha \rho \alpha\)
\(\epsilon \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda \alpha\)
 \(\epsilon \iota \sigma \tau o ́ v \mu \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \delta \epsilon \delta о \rho \kappa \epsilon \nu \alpha \nu ิ \tau \alpha \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \delta \in[.\).
-a.
\(\tau \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \tau 0 \cup \tau \iota \cdot \tau \iota \sigma о \tau \rho о \pi о \sigma \tau о и \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau[., ~]\) траүнатоб
\(\epsilon \iota[\cdot] \tau 0 \nu \mu \pi \iota \sigma \omega \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha \iota \cdot \tau \alpha \delta \alpha \hat{\nu}\)
\(\epsilon \nu \alpha \nu \tau i ́ a \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o \iota \sigma \iota \sigma \nu \mu\). [. . . . \(] \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha\)
\(\delta \epsilon \iota \nu о \sigma \kappa \cup \kappa \eta \sigma \mu \circ \sigma \epsilon \iota \chi[. . . . ..] \lambda \lambda \tau \eta \nu\)
 \(\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \pi \alpha \iota \nu \nu \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \iota \mu[. . ..] \kappa v \nu \eta \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \iota \nu\)


100

15



\(\tau i ́ ; \tau 0 \hat{\sigma}[\iota] \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \pi \omega ิ s\) ठокєî;
20 ( \({ }^{\prime} H \mu\) ' \(\chi\).)

( \({ }^{2} H \mu \iota \chi\).) iSoù iSoú.

( \({ }^{2} H \mu \iota \chi\).) \({ }^{\alpha} \theta \rho \in \iota \quad \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha\).


[. . .]ot . [. . . . .] . [. . . . . . . . . . . . . .] \(] \mu \in \nu o s\)

Col. v. Plate II.
 роі̂вдос



5
(' \(H \mu \iota \chi\).) \({ }^{\epsilon} \alpha \mu \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha\).


тí Є́ \(\sigma \tau i ̀ ~ \tau о u \tau i ́ ; ~ \tau i ́ s ~ o ́ ~ \tau \rho o ́ t o s ~ \tau o ̂ ~ \tau a ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau[o s ;] ~ \pi р a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau о s . ~\)
\(\epsilon i[s] \tau 0 \dot{u} \pi i \sigma \omega \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \quad\) \(\quad \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha l, \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \alpha \hat{u}\)

\(\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ s ~ к \cup к \eta \sigma \mu o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i ̂ x[\epsilon \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \beta o \eta] \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu\).

\(\pi \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma \pi \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \iota \mu[\epsilon ́ \nu 0 \nu] \kappa v \nu \eta \gamma \in \tau \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu\)

[.]Xivo \(\omega \omega \sigma \tau[.] \sigma \epsilon \nu \lambda о \chi \mu \eta \iota k \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \sigma \omega \nu\) •
[.]T \(\tau \iota \sigma \pi \iota \theta \eta[\cdot]] \sigma \kappa \nu \beta \alpha \pi \circ \theta v \mu \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \iota \nu \iota\)
[. .] \(\tau \alpha v \tau \alpha \cdot \pi[..] \gamma \eta \eta \sigma \mu \alpha \theta \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \in \nu \pi \rho \cdot[.] \omega \iota \tau \rho \circ \pi \omega \iota\)

v[.] u

\(\tau[. . . . . . . ..] \alpha \sigma \cdot \tau \iota \pi е \tau \epsilon \beta \alpha \kappa \chi є v \epsilon \iota \sigma \in \chi \omega \nu\).
\(\grave{\alpha}[. . . . . . . ..] . \kappa \epsilon \rho \chi \nu[\cdot] \sigma[\epsilon \epsilon] i \mu \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \iota[.] \mu \alpha \theta \epsilon \iota \nu\)

Col. vi.
\(\kappa \alpha!\pi \omega \sigma \alpha \kappa 0 \nu \sigma[. . . . ..] \sigma \sigma \phi \omega \nu \eta \nu \kappa \lambda \nu \omega \nu\)
\(\epsilon \mu \circ \iota \pi \iota \theta o v\)
\(\overline{\epsilon \mu[.}\).] \(\delta i\). . . . . . . . . .] . \(\hat{\omega} \sigma o \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon\)



\(\overline{\tau \iota \mu} \circ \iota \psi[\cdot] \phi \circ \nu \cdot \phi \circ \beta[. . ..] \kappa \alpha[.] \delta \epsilon \iota \mu \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \tau \epsilon\)
\(\mu \alpha \lambda \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \nu \gamma \nu \alpha \sigma \omega[\). . \(] \tau^{\prime} \in \kappa \mu \epsilon \mu \alpha \gamma \mu \in \nu 0 l \quad \mu \in v a a \rho v^{2}\)
\(\kappa \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu о \nu \tau[].\rangle \nu[.] \alpha \sigma \eta \iota \sigma \kappa \iota \alpha \iota\)
I○ \(\phi 0 \beta о \nu \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi о \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \nu[\). . \(] \delta \epsilon \iota \mu \alpha \tau 0 \nu \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota\)
\(\alpha \nu \epsilon \nu \rho \alpha \kappa \bar{\alpha} \kappa о \mu \iota \sigma \tau[.] \kappa \alpha \nu \epsilon[\). . \(] v \theta \epsilon \rho \alpha\)

\(\kappa \alpha[..] \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha \cdot \kappa \alpha[.] \phi[..] \eta \tau \in \sigma \cdot \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \pi \sigma \nu \delta \epsilon \eta\llcorner\)
\(\pi!\sigma \tau 0 \iota \lambda о \gamma о \iota \sigma \iota \nu 0 \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \phi \epsilon \cup \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon\).
\({ }^{1} 5\) тоוоv[.] \(] \epsilon \pi \alpha \tau \rho о \sigma \omega \kappa \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \rho \iota \omega \nu\).
เ̂v̂ \(\pi о \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \phi \eta \beta \eta \sigma \mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \iota \alpha \sigma v \pi \circ\)
\(\kappa[\cdot] \iota \tau \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho о \iota к о \iota \sigma \nu v \mu \phi \iota к о \iota \sigma \eta \sigma \kappa \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \cdot\)
 ov \(\epsilon \Psi \circ \phi \circ \iota \sigma \iota \tau \omega \nu 0 \rho \epsilon \iota \tau \rho o ́ \phi \omega \nu \beta \circ \tau \omega \nu\)





(Xo.) \(\hat{v}[\hat{i}] \hat{v} \hat{v}\).




(Xo.) \(\sigma[i \neq \alpha \alpha \hat{\epsilon} \nu\) ồv.]

(Xo.) \(\dot{\alpha}[\kappa 0 v \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\eta}\).]

\section*{Col. vi.}

(Xo.) \(\dot{\epsilon} \mu o \stackrel{\imath}{2} \pi \iota \theta o \hat{v}\).





vi \(\sigma \mu \in \theta a \cdot\) ov゙ ( \(\tau \omega s)\) ท̄v \(\mu o ́(v o v)\)



 \({ }_{\alpha} \nu \in \cup \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa o ́ \mu \mu \sigma \tau[\alpha] \kappa \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon[\lambda \epsilon] \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \rho \alpha\)




 \(\kappa[\epsilon] i \tau \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho\) ' oikoıs \(\nu \nu \mu \phi \iota \kappa 0 i ̂ s ~ \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha\),


\(\mathrm{Nt}(\) )

20 [.] \(] \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \nu \tau \sigma \sigma^{*} \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha[. ~.] \mu \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon \xi \in L[.] \gamma \alpha \sigma \mu \in \nu \alpha\) \(v\)
[.] \({ }^{2} \nu \hat{v} \nu v \phi \eta \mu \omega \nu \lambda \alpha \mu[.\). .] \(] \pi \circ \rho \rho v \pi \alpha เ \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\) [.] \(] 0 \phi \omega \iota \nu \epsilon \omega ́ \rho \epsilon \iota к о \lambda \alpha \kappa[.] \pi о \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \pi[.] \theta \epsilon \nu\) [. .] \(\delta \eta \phi \circ \beta \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \pi \rho \iota \nu \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \cdot\) \(\pi \lambda o v \tau o \nu \delta \epsilon \chi[\cdot] v \sigma o \phi \alpha \nu \tau o \nu \epsilon \xi \alpha \phi 1[\cdot] \tau \epsilon\)
 \(\kappa \alpha \iota \tau \eta \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \rho \omega \sigma \iota \nu \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu\)

Col. vii.
\(\nu \mu \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \circ \imath \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \phi\left[{ }^{\epsilon} \alpha\right] \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon\) \(\epsilon \iota \mu \eta \alpha \nu \alpha \nu \sigma \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \xi \iota \chi \nu \epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon[\)
 \(\kappa \lambda \alpha \iota o \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \alpha v \tau \eta^{\curlywedge} \delta \epsilon \iota \lambda \iota \alpha \iota \psi \circ \phi \eta[.] \epsilon \tau \epsilon\)
5 \(\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \pi \alpha \rho \omega \nu \alpha v \tau о \sigma \mu \epsilon \sigma v \nu \pi о \delta \eta \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon[\)
 \(\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon[\cdot] \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha v \tau \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu \pi \alpha \rho \eta, \sigma o v \delta \in \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega[\) \(\overline{\epsilon \gamma \omega} \pi \alpha[\cdot] \omega \nu \alpha \nu \tau o \sigma \sigma \epsilon \pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta \iota \beta \bar{\omega} \lambda \sigma \gamma \omega 1\) \(\kappa \nu \nu 0 \rho \rho_{\tau} \iota \kappa о \nu \sigma \nu \rho \iota \gamma \mu \alpha \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda о \nu \mu \in \nu[\). .]
 \(\epsilon \gamma \omega \delta \epsilon \nu[\cdot] \rho \gamma \nsim \sigma \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \mu \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu \sigma^{\prime} \alpha \pi \epsilon \nu \theta \nu \nu \omega\)
\({ }^{\top} \mathrm{x}^{\circ}\) vvv \(\psi \psi \alpha \alpha \lambda \epsilon \gamma^{\prime}\) от \(\iota \pi о \nu \epsilon \iota \sigma\) \(\tau \iota \mu \alpha \tau \eta \nu \pi \pi \epsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha \gamma \epsilon \sigma \nu \pi \epsilon ́ \kappa \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon \sigma\)

 \({ }^{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \in \nu \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda[\). . . . . .] \(\epsilon \mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \quad v\)

 [.]upıaбovpıa \(\sigma \cdot \alpha \delta\) [. . . . . .] \(k \in \iota \sigma\) \(\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \beta \eta \sigma \cdot \mu \epsilon \theta v[. . . . . . . .\).
\([\pi] \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma o \nu \tau o s, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha}[\kappa] \mu \alpha \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \iota[\rho] \gamma \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v\). \([\grave{\alpha}] \nu \hat{v} \nu \dot{v} \phi{ }^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \lambda \alpha ́ \mu\left[\pi \rho^{\prime} \dot{v}\right] \pi о \rho \rho v \pi \alpha i \nu \in \tau \alpha \iota\) \([\psi] o ́ \phi \omega \quad \nu \epsilon \omega ́ \rho \in \iota\) кó̀ \(\alpha \kappa[\iota] \pi о \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \pi[0] \theta_{\epsilon} \nu\),
 \(\pi \lambda o u ̂ \tau o \nu\) ठє̀ \(\chi[\rho] \cup \sigma o ́ \phi \alpha \nu \tau o \nu\) '́ \(\xi \alpha \phi i ́[\epsilon] \tau \epsilon\)



Col. vii.
\(\dot{v} \mu \hat{i} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa \dot{\alpha} \mu \circ \dot{\imath} \cdot \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s \in \tilde{\nu} \delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon\). \(\epsilon i \quad \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \alpha \nu 0 \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon S\) ' \(\epsilon \xi \iota \chi \nu \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon[\tau \epsilon\)





 кขขортıкòv бv́рıу \(\mu \alpha\) бıака入ои́ \(\mu \in \nu[\) [оs.]






 є́ \(\mu o ̀ s ~ \epsilon \hat{i}, ~ a ̉ \nu \alpha ́ \gamma o v . ~\)
 ò ঠра́кıs, ó ура́тıs [.....] [o]ủpías ov́pías aס[. . . . . .]kєıs \(\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \beta \eta \varsigma^{\cdot} \mu \epsilon \theta v[. . . . . . . .\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline от \(\iota \pi о \tau \epsilon \phi \in \rho \epsilon[\). & \begin{tabular}{l}
vоцобvoцov \\
\(0^{\nu} \eta^{2} \in v^{\top} \theta \epsilon\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline  & \\
\hline бтрат!!̣ббтрат & \\
\hline  & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Col. viii.
\({ }^{\epsilon} \nu \iota \beta[\). \(] \hat{v} \sigma \in \nu \iota \pi o \nu o ̣[\) \(\mu \eta[\delta \epsilon]\left[\begin{array}{l}\mu \epsilon \\ \theta \iota \kappa \rho[.] \kappa \iota\end{array} \cdot[\right.\) \(\sigma v \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda[\cdot] \cup \in T!!\delta[\) \({ }^{0} \delta \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta 0 \sigma \delta \partial \tau \rho \in[\) \(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \nu о \mu о \nu \in \pi \in \tau \sigma[\) \(\epsilon \phi \epsilon \pi о v \epsilon \phi \epsilon \pi \circ v \mu[\) олтолоьаَ \(\mu \alpha \rho \epsilon \gamma \in[\)
 \(\alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \rho \circ \sigma \omega \nu 0 \lambda\). [ \(\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \eta \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha \kappa[\)
\(\llbracket \bar{\beta}] \rrbracket \epsilon \pi[\cdot] \theta[\cdot] \pi \epsilon \chi^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \iota \theta_{!}!\theta_{!}[\) \(\tau[.] \delta \epsilon \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma 10 \nu \epsilon \chi^{\circ} \mu[\)
\(\bar{\beta} \times \quad \pi[\cdot] \tau \epsilon \rho \tau \iota \sigma[\cdot] \gamma \alpha \iota \sigma \mu \omega \nu \alpha \lambda \eta \theta[\)

\(\sigma \cdot[. .\).
\(\tau \in \epsilon \sigma ?!\nu\)
\({ }^{0} \nu \mu \in \nu \omega\)
\(\mu \epsilon \nu^{\prime} \in[\cdot] \theta \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma\)




\(\overline{\alpha \lambda} \lambda^{\prime}\) óvтı \(\mu[. ~ . ~.] \mu[\). . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .]


```

ö т\iota \piотє }\phi\in\rho\epsilon[. . . . . . . . .] . \iota[.]\nu vó\muоs vó\muоv.
\epsilon゙\piо\chiо⿱亠⿻口丿
\sigma\tau{ßos ó\delta\epsilon\nu\epsilon\sigma[. . . . . . . . . . .]
\sigma\tauр\alpháт\iotaos \sigma\tauр\alphá\tau[los . . . . . . . .]v[. .]
\delta\epsilonvि\rho' \epsilon̈тоv` \tau[.] \delta\rho[. . . . . . . . . . . . . .]

```

Col．viii．

\(\mu \grave{\eta} \quad \mu \in \theta \hat{\eta} \quad \kappa \rho[0] \kappa \iota\) ．
ov̀ \(\tau i ́ c \alpha \lambda[\grave{o}] \nu\) є่ \(\pi \iota \delta[\)
\({ }_{o ̋}^{\prime \prime} \delta \epsilon \quad \gamma^{\prime}\) á \(\gamma \alpha \theta\) òs ò \(\tau \rho \epsilon[\)
\(\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu o ́ \mu о \nu\) є́ \(\pi \in \tau \alpha[\iota\)
є́ \(\bar{\epsilon} \pi о \cup\) є́ \(\phi \in ́ \pi о v \quad \mu[\)
ó \(\pi \pi о \pi о і . \hat{\alpha} \mu \iota \alpha \rho^{\prime}, \gamma \epsilon[\)
\(\hat{\eta} \tau \alpha ́ \chi\) ’ óто́т \(\alpha \nu\) á \(\pi i ́ \eta[s\)
\(\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{u} \theta \in \rho o s\) लै \(\nu\) o入．［
\(\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \alpha \kappa[\)
＇\(\epsilon \pi[\iota] \theta^{\prime} \quad\left[{ }^{\prime \prime}\right] \pi \epsilon \epsilon \chi^{\prime} \epsilon \prime \sigma \iota \theta^{\prime} \quad{ }^{\prime} \theta \iota\)［
\(\tau[\grave{o}] \delta^{\circ} \epsilon \pi \pi \alpha ́ \gamma \iota \circ \nu{ }^{\epsilon} \chi \circ \mu[\epsilon \nu\)
\(\pi[\alpha ́] \tau \epsilon \rho, \tau i ́ \sigma[l] \gamma \underset{\hat{\alpha} s ; ~}{\mu} \bar{\omega} \nu \alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta\left[\grave{\epsilon} s \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \pi о \mu \epsilon \nu\right.\) ；


ov่ \(\mu \in \nu \hat{\omega}\) ．
（ \(\Sigma_{i}\) ．）
\(\mu^{\prime} \nu^{\prime}, \in[i]\langle\delta u ́ v \alpha\).



\(\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau[o \nu] \stackrel{\jmath}{\epsilon} \tau \iota \quad \sigma[..] \nu[. . . . . . . . . . . . . .\).\(] Xpóvov．\)



```

\iota\omega\gamma [ [.................]

```

```

[.]\etag[. ................]

```

Col. ix.

\section*{}
\(\stackrel{b}{b}\). .] \(] \overline{\phi \alpha} \nu\left[\right.\). . .] \(\alpha \iota \tau\) oו \(\sigma \nu \cdot \alpha \lambda \lambda \in \gamma \omega \tau \alpha \chi^{\alpha}\)
 \(\pi[\) [..\(\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \kappa \rho \alpha \iota \pi \nu о \iota \sigma \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu\)
\(\omega[.] ?^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \alpha \kappa 0 \nu \sigma \alpha \iota \kappa \epsilon \iota \lambda \iota \alpha \nu \kappa \omega \phi \sigma \sigma \tau \iota \sigma \epsilon \iota\)

 \(\sigma \tau \alpha\) \(\tau \iota \sigma \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta \cdot \tau \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \sigma \pi \sigma \nu \omega \nu\) ov \(\pi \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \in \nu \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \sigma \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \eta \iota \chi \alpha \rho L \nu \phi \epsilon \rho \omega \nu\)


 \(\sigma v \nu \epsilon \gamma \gamma 0 \nu 0 \iota \sigma \nu \nu \mu \phi \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \pi=\delta \omega \nu 0 \chi \lambda \omega t\). \(\nu \nu \nu \delta^{\circ} \alpha \gamma \nu 0 \omega \tau \sigma \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \cdot \pi \circ \iota \sigma \tau \rho о \phi \check{\alpha} \iota \nu \in[\cdot] \nu\)

\(\mu \alpha \nu \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \tau \rho \in \phi \circ \nu \sigma \iota \cdot \theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \kappa \lambda[\cdot] \cdot]\) о \(о\) оит \(\rho \epsilon \pi о \nu \kappa \epsilon \lambda \in \nu \mu \alpha \pi \omega \sigma \kappa[.] \nu \eta \eta \in \tau[.] \nu\) \(\epsilon \gamma \gamma v \sigma \mu \circ \lambda о \nu \tau \omega \nu \theta \eta \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \nu \nu \alpha[\). .] \(] \rho \rho[\cdot] \eta \sigma^{*}\)



кприк[. .] . . ![. . . . .] . кпри \(\quad\) ка[. . . . . . . .]
\(\kappa \alpha \iota \tau[]] \tau^{\prime} \alpha \phi \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \ldots \operatorname{\pi o\delta } \omega \nu \lambda \alpha \kappa[. . . . . . . . .\).



. . . [ . . ] \(\phi \cdot[.] \eta[\).\(] . . . \nu \omega \nu \nu \mu \alpha \sigma \nu о \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu[\)

\(i \omega \quad \gamma \cdot[\)

[.] \(] \delta[. . . . . . . . . . . . ~ . ~ \mu \iota \sigma-]\)

Col. ix.
\(\theta\) ò \([\nu\) ठ]ó \(о \circ \iota \sigma \iota \nu\) ỏ \(\lambda \beta\) í \(\sigma \eta s\).
 \(\phi[\epsilon ́ \rho] \omega \nu \quad k \tau v ́[\pi] 0 \nu \pi \epsilon ́ \delta o \rho \tau o \nu \quad\) є́ \(\xi \alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \omega\) \(\pi[\eta] \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu\) краıтлоі̂бь каі 入акті́ \(\sigma \mu \sigma \iota \nu\) \(\omega ̈[\sigma] \tau^{\prime} \epsilon i \sigma \alpha \kappa 0 \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota\) кєi \(\lambda i ́ \alpha \nu\) кшфós тis \(\langle\hat{\eta}\rangle\).
 \({ }^{\epsilon} \nu \nu[\theta] \eta \rho \circ \nu \dot{\omega} \rho \mu \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \tau \epsilon \sigma \grave{v} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta}\) ßо \(\hat{\eta} ;\)




 \(\sigma v ̀ \nu\) є́ \(\gamma \gamma o ́ \nu o \iota s ~ \nu v ́ \mu \phi \alpha \iota \sigma \iota\) каi \(\pi\langle\alpha i ́\rangle \delta \omega \nu\) ó \(\chi \lambda \omega\); \(\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu 0 \hat{\omega}\) тò \(\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha, \pi o \hat{\imath} \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \alpha i \quad \nu \hat{\epsilon}[\omega] \nu\)

\(\mu \alpha \nu \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi o v \sigma \iota \cdot \theta \alpha \hat{v} \mu \alpha\) र́́p. к \(\alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \lambda[v] o \nu\)

 \(\dot{\delta} \mu \circ \hat{v} \delta^{\prime}\) à \(\nu \alpha \hat{v} \tau \iota[s .\).\(] . . \alpha \ell \phi \omega \rho[. .\).\(] . . [. .]\)
 \(\alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \iota \delta^{\prime} \alpha[. . ..] \tau[\ldots]\). . . \(\mu^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu[. . . . . . ..] \alpha\) \(\kappa \eta \rho v \kappa[.\).\(] . \iota[. . . .\).\(] . к \eta \rho v \gamma \mu \alpha[. .\). \(\kappa \alpha i \quad \tau[\alpha] \hat{v} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \imath \imath \sigma \alpha\) \(\sigma \grave{v} \nu \operatorname{\pi o\delta } \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \alpha \kappa[\tau i \sigma \mu \alpha \sigma t\)

 \([\phi \omega \nu] \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \alpha<\kappa[v] \sigma \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \nu[\omega \nu\) ... [. . .] \(\cdot[\cdot] \eta[\).\(] . . . \nu \omega \nu\) v́ \(\mu \hat{\alpha} s \nu o \sigma \epsilon i \nu\) \(\nu 0[\sigma \ldots \tau i ́ \nu v ́ \mu \phi \eta] \nu\) 光 \(\tau \iota \pi 0 \in i \tau ’\) ávaıтíav;

Col．x．
\(\sigma \tau \omega \sigma \epsilon \gamma a \rho v \sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \sigma \pi เ v a v \delta a[\)
\(x^{0} \quad \nu v \mu \phi \eta \beta \alpha \theta \nu \zeta \omega \nu \epsilon \pi[\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \alpha i[.] \cup \mu \alpha \chi \alpha \sigma o v \delta^{\prime} \alpha \xi \in \nu o[
\end{aligned}
\]
\(\gamma \lambda[\cdot] \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha ⿺ 𠃊 ́ \sigma \tau[\)
\(\mu \eta \llbracket \delta \epsilon \rrbracket \mu \epsilon \mu \eta \pi \rho \circ \psi \alpha \underset{.}{[ }\)
\(\alpha \lambda \lambda[..] \pi \epsilon \tau \omega \sigma \mu 0 \iota \pi \rho[\) \(\left.\mu^{\prime} \in \nu \cdot \cdot\right]\) ．］ т \(\alpha v \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \tau \in \kappa \in เ \nu \omega \nu \nu v \nu[\)
\(\kappa \alpha ル \sigma \iota \sigma \delta \in \theta \eta \rho \omega \nu \epsilon \kappa \pi v ์[\)
\(x^{\imath} \quad \alpha \lambda \kappa \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \tau[.] \nu \delta[. . ..] \sigma[\)
\(\nu v \mu \phi \eta \sigma \cdot \epsilon \mu о \iota \gamma \alpha[.] \cdot[\)
op \(\theta о \psi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau о \nu \epsilon \nu[\cdot] o \gamma o ̣[. ~.] \iota \varphi[\)
\(\left.\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta \sigma^{\prime} \sigma \chi \circ \sigma \pi \rho \circ \phi \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \mu[\cdot] \cdot\right] \nu \cup[\)
отоv \(\mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau о \sigma \chi \rho \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \in \chi \in \iota \sigma\)
\(\bar{\tau} о \pi \omega \nu \alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \tau \omega \nu[.] \epsilon \kappa v \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \eta \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \nu \sigma\)

\(\tau о \phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \gamma \mu \alpha \delta \eta \mu!\nu \tau \sigma v[] .0 \pi \epsilon \rho \phi \omega \nu \epsilon \iota \phi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \nu\) \(\kappa \alpha \iota \tau \iota \sigma \pi о \tau^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \omega i \delta \iota[\cdot] \chi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \beta \rho о \tau \omega \nu\)

точтопшш фwveiфparov \(0^{\nu} \eta_{\nu \in \nu}{ }^{\top} \theta^{\epsilon}\) \(\overline{v \mu} \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha v \tau 0 v \sigma \chi \rho \eta \tau \alpha \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota \sigma \alpha \phi \omega \sigma\)


\(\kappa \alpha \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \kappa \epsilon \kappa \rho \nu \pi[\) ．．．\(] \tau<\bar{v} \rho \gamma о \nu \in \nu[.] \epsilon[\cdot] \nu \in \delta \rho \alpha \iota \sigma\)
\({ }_{\eta}{ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \alpha \nu 0 \pi \omega \sigma \mu[\). ．．\(] \sigma \tau[.] \sigma i \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha[\) ．．］oyov．

［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．］є \(є \underset{\square}{ } \alpha \tau 0^{\circ}\)
［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．］\(]\) ．［．］\(] \downarrow \lambda \alpha \sigma\)

Col．xi．
［．．．．．．．．］\(\lambda \eta \theta \eta \iota \eta \sigma \beta \alpha \theta \nu \xi \omega \nu\) ои \(\theta \in \alpha \sigma\)
［．．．．．．．］\({ }^{2} \sigma \delta \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta^{`} \epsilon \phi \iota \tau v \sigma \epsilon \nu \mu о{ }^{\prime} \circ \nu \cdot\)
［．．．．．．．］\(] \chi \in \rho \sigma \iota \tau \alpha \iota \epsilon \mu \alpha \iota \sigma \epsilon \gamma \omega \tau \rho \in \phi \omega\)

Col. x .
\(\mathrm{Xo}(\rho o ́ s) . \quad \nu v ́ \mu \phi \eta \beta \alpha \theta \dot{v} \xi^{\prime} \omega \nu \epsilon \pi\left[\alpha \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \chi^{o ́ \lambda o u v}\right.\)

 \(\gamma \lambda[\hat{\omega}] \sigma \sigma^{\prime}\) à \(\nu\) нáтаıós \(\tau\left[\right.\) ' à \(\phi^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\) O'́yol. \(\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho о \psi \alpha \lambda[\alpha ́ \xi \eta s\) какоîs, \(\alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}[\epsilon \dot{u}] \pi \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \varsigma \quad \mu o l \pi \rho[o ́ \phi \alpha \nu o \nu\) \(\tau \grave{o} \pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \gamma\).


(Kv.) таиิт' \(\epsilon \tau \tau \tau^{\prime}\) є́кєív \(\omega \nu \nu v ิ \nu[\tau \rho o ́ \pi \omega \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \pi \alpha i ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha\),
 \(\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \kappa \alpha \sigma \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau[\omega] \nu \quad \delta[\epsilon \iota \lambda \hat{\eta}] s \quad[\tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \tau \eta \rho i ́ \omega \nu\)
 ó \(\theta\) Өо廿а́ \(\lambda \alpha \kappa \tau о \nu\) '̇ \(\nu\) [ \(\lambda\) ]óyo \([\iota \sigma] \iota \nu[i \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu \alpha l\).


(Xo.) тó \(\pi \omega \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu[\delta] \epsilon, K \nu \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta s \quad \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \nu o s\), öтov \(\mu \in ̀ \nu\) oṽv \(\nu \kappa^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta[0] \nu\) v̈ \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu\) ф \(\rho \alpha ́ \sigma \omega\).







\(Z[\epsilon \dot{v}] s \gamma[\alpha ̀ \rho]\) криф[аíav 's \(\sigma \tau \epsilon ́] \gamma \eta \nu\) 'A \(\tau \lambda \alpha \nu \tau i ́ \delta o s\)
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .]єv́ \(\sigma \alpha \tau o\)
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .] . [.] фí入as

Col. xi.




```

5

```

```

    [. ....]\alpha\rho\gamma\alpha\nuо\iota\sigma\mu\epsiloń\iotaоо\nu\sigma\alpha\lambda\iotaк\nu\imathิ\tau\iota\nu\tau\rhoоф\eta\nu
    [. . . . ]\epsilon\tauiई\omega\nuvк\tau\alphaк\alpha\iotaк\alpha0\eta\mu\epsilonра\nu.
    [....]v\xiє\tau\alpha\iotaк\alpha\tau\eta\mu\alpha\rhoочкє\piєєко\tau\alpha
    [....\sigma\tauо\sigma*\omega\sigma\tau\epsilonӨ\alphav\mu\alphaк\alpha\iotaфо\betaо\sigma }\mu\in\\in
    ```

```

    [. . . .] ]\sigma\epsilon\rho\epsilon\iota\delta\epsilon\iota\pi\alpha\iota\deltaо\sigma\epsilon\iota\sigma\eta\beta\eta\sigma\alphaк\mu\eta\nu.
    ```

```

                                    \sigma
    [......]\tauo\iotao\nu\delta\epsilon\pi\alpha\alpha\iota\delta\alpha0\eta\sigma\alphav\rhoо\sigma\tau\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\iota. )\tau[. .]ф\epsilon\iota
    [. . . . . .]\tau '. . [. . .]'є\sigma\tauו\tauоu\pi\alpha\tauро\sigma }0\in\sigma\epsilon\iota.
    15 \alpha\phi[............]0\epsilon\gamma\gamma\mu\mu\eta\chi\chi\alpha\nu\eta\imath\beta\rho\epsilon\mu[
\kappa\alpha! . [. .] . \epsilon0\alpha[. . . . . . . . .]\sigma\eta\muє\rho\alpha\iota\mu\iota\alpha\iota
\epsilon\xiv\pi\tau\iota\alpha\sigmaк[. . . . . . . . . .]\alpha\nu\eta\sigma\alpha\tau!.
\tauo\iotaov\delta\epsilon0\eta[. . . . . . . . . .]o\sigma\eta\deltao\nu\eta\eta\sigma
\epsiloń\mu\mu\epsilon\sigma\tauо\nu\alpha[. . . . . . . . .]\alpha\iotaк\alpha\tau\omega\delta[.. . .]
20
25
\alpháф\rho\alpha\sigma[. . . . . . . . . .]\pi\alpha\iota\sigma\beta\
\pi\alpha\iota\deltao[. . . . . . . . . . . .] ] \alpha<br>xi\epsilon\iota\sigma[
0\eta\rho\epsilon\boldsymbol{v}\mu[. . . . . . . . . . . .]<br>lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon . [
\phiю́\nu\eta\mu[. . . . . . . . . . .]\sigma\epsilon0ov[
\tauо\nu\delta\alphaф[. . . . . . . . . . .]ov[
\tau\grave{\omega}\sigma\epsilon\xi\epsilon\phi[[. . . . . . . . . . .]
\rhoov\tau'\alphá\pi}\boldsymbol{\alpha}[. . . . . . . . . . .]\epsilon[

```

Col. xii.
\(\tau 0 \sigma \pi \circ \rho \iota \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \sigma \iota \alpha \nu \delta \epsilon \gamma \hat{\eta} \rho \nu \nu\) \(\underline{\mu \eta \nu \bar{v} \nu \alpha \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon[.] \cdot \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho \sigma \epsilon \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \alpha \iota \theta \epsilon \alpha \sigma \epsilon \pi \eta}\)
 \(\pi \iota \theta o v \cdot \theta \alpha \nu \omega \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \chi \epsilon \phi \omega \nu \eta \nu \cdot \tau \omega \nu \delta \alpha \nu \alpha \nu \delta о \sigma \hat{\eta} \nu{ }^{\dagger} \theta \eta \rho \quad \zeta \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \varphi \eta[\) лоьобть \(\quad \eta \nu \in \iota \delta о \sigma \pi \rho[.] \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma . \hat{\eta} \pi i ́ \kappa \nu \rho \tau о \sigma \cdot \hat{\eta} \beta \rho \alpha \chi v \sigma\) \(\overline{\beta \rho} \alpha \chi v \sigma \chi \nu \tau \rho о ́ \iota \delta \eta \sigma \pi \sigma[.] \kappa \iota \lambda \eta^{\iota} \delta о \rho \alpha^{\iota} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \iota \kappa \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \sigma \quad \tau \rho \circ \chi \circ \iota \delta \eta[\) \(\omega \sigma \alpha \iota \epsilon \lambda о \cup \rho о \sigma \epsilon \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \phi \cup \kappa \in \nu . \hat{\eta} \tau \grave{\omega} \sigma \pi о \rho \delta \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma\) \(x^{\iota} \pi \lambda \epsilon!\sigma \tau \circ \nu \mu \epsilon[\cdot] \alpha \xi v \cdot \gamma \circ \gamma \gamma \nu \lambda о \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \beta \rho \alpha \chi \nu \sigma \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma\)


        [ \(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \pi] \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha ́ \nu o \iota s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v \sigma \alpha ~ \lambda \iota к \nu і \tau \iota \nu ~ \tau \rho о ф \grave{\eta \nu \nu}\)








        \(\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \phi\left[\alpha \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \delta^{\prime}\right.\) ò \(\left.\pi \epsilon u ́ \theta \eta \quad \phi\right] \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \mu\langle\alpha\rangle \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \eta ̂ \quad \beta \rho \epsilon ́ \mu[o \nu\)

        ' \(\xi\) ध virtías \(\kappa[i ́ \sigma \tau \eta s \quad \gamma \quad\) ' \(\mu \eta \chi] \alpha \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \tau 0^{\circ}\)

        \(\epsilon \epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau o \nu \quad \alpha \quad[\gamma \gamma o s \in \hat{u} \rho \epsilon \kappa] \alpha i \quad \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega \quad \delta[0 \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}\).
    (Xo.)

Col. xii.







(Kv.) \(\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon[\tau] \alpha \xi ́ v, ~ \gamma о \gamma \gamma u ́ \lambda o \nu ~ \gamma \alpha ́ \rho ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota ~ к \alpha i ~ \beta \rho \alpha \chi v \sigma к \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ s . ~\)









\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (Xo.) [ & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{22 letters} &  \\
\hline (Ku.) [ & 2.3 & " & ] \(\delta\) ¢́f \(\mu \alpha \kappa \kappa[..] \sigma \tau .[\) \\
\hline [ & " & " & ]ov \(\hat{\omega} \delta[\epsilon] \leqslant \lambda \alpha \gamma \gamma \alpha[\nu\) \\
\hline [ & " & " & ]ópos ¢́ \(\rho \in\) ¢ídera[ \\
\hline [ & 24 & " & ] \(\pi \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \cdot[\) \\
\hline [ & " & " & ] \({ }_{\text {ádóos } \kappa \text { [ }}\) \\
\hline [ & 21 & " &  \\
\hline [ & 24 & " & ] \(\alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \omega[\) \\
\hline [ & 25 & " & ]ov[ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 or 2 lines lost.

Col. xiii.





\(\pi \rho \in \pi \tau \grave{\alpha}\left\langle\delta^{\prime} \alpha \hat{v}\right\rangle\) Sià \(\tau o ́ v o v ~ \phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu \alpha \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \notin \gamma\) -

тò \(\pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha\) ס' ờ \(\pi \epsilon \rho\) по \(\rho \in \dot{́} \omega\) \(\beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \eta \nu\),
' \(\sigma \theta \iota \tau\) тòv \(\delta \alpha[i \bar{\imath}] \mu o \nu\) ' ö́ \(\sigma \tau \iota s \pi o \theta^{\prime}\) òs

\(\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau^{\prime}\) ékeívov, yúval, \(\sigma \alpha ́ \phi ’\) 'í \(\sigma \theta\).
\(\sigma \grave{v} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \mu \eta \chi^{\alpha \lambda \epsilon-}\)
\(\phi \theta \hat{\eta} s \mu\langle\eta\rangle \delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\delta} \delta v \sigma \phi \circ \rho \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \bar{s}\).
```

[. . . . . . .']\nu\eta\sigma\epsilon'\tau\tau\nu\alphaк\lambdaо\pi\eta\nu\omega\nu\epsilon\ell\delta\ell\sigma[
[..............]. $\epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \chi \epsilon \iota \mu \alpha \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu[$
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . .]\nu\tau\alphaфі`\lambda\etá\tau\eta\nuка.[

```

```

[. . . . . . . . . . . . . .]!\gamma\epsilon\tau\overline{\alpha}[.]\eta|\eta\eta\lambda\epsilon[
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . ] ] \lambda\eta }|\eta\lambda\epsilon\gamma[
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .], <br>epsilonv\alpha\iota\sigma\sigmaа\phi[
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .] ] є\betaov\sigma\pi\pi\alpha\nuv[
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .] ]кк\alpha0\etap[.]o[
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .]\lambdaov\tau\epsilon }\mu\omega\nu\nu
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .]\phio . \delta[.]p\alpha[

```

Col. xiv.
[. . . . . . . . . .] \({ }^{\rho} \rho \tau \iota \mu \alpha \nu \theta \alpha \nu \omega \iota \chi \rho о \nu \omega \iota\) [. . . . . . . . . . .]абкоутат \(\eta \iota \mu \eta \iota \mu \omega \rho \iota \alpha \iota\) [. . . . . . . . . . . \(] v \delta \epsilon \nu \cdot \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \alpha \iota \delta i \widehat{\alpha} \sigma \chi \alpha \rho \iota \nu\).

5
[. . . . . . . . . . .] \(\mu^{\prime} \eta \tau \iota \kappa \epsilon р \delta \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \delta о к \epsilon \iota \sigma\)

[. . . . . . . . . .] \(] \tau \alpha \tau о \cup \delta \iota о \sigma \sigma \alpha \phi є \iota \lambda о \gamma \omega \iota ~\)
[. . . . . . . . . .] \(] \omega \nu \epsilon \nu \nu \epsilon \omega \iota \nu \epsilon о \nu \lambda о\) оо.
[. . . . . . . . . .] \(] \rho \rho \sigma \pi \alpha \tau \rho о \sigma к \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \phi \nu\)
10 . \(\eta \tau \rho \omega \sigma \omega \nu \eta \kappa \lambda о \pi \eta \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \iota\).
[. . . . . . . . .] \(] \sigma \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \tau о \nu \kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \eta \nu \sigma к о \pi \epsilon \iota\) \(\delta^{\prime}\)

[. . .] \(] \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \circ \sigma \pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \pi \tau \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \pi о \nu \eta \rho \iota \alpha \nu\)

\({ }_{15} a[..] \alpha \epsilon \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \iota \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \cdot \nu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \cdot \gamma \alpha \rho \omega \nu \alpha \nu \eta \rho-\)
 \(\pi \alpha\) уоито入єєог \(\alpha \lambda \alpha к р о \nu \eta \delta о \nu \eta \iota \pi \iota \tau \nu \alpha \sigma\) [.]икєк \(\theta \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \alpha \mu \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha!\gamma \in \lambda\) отахр \(\eta\)


 (Kv.) \(\left[\mu \hat{\omega} \nu\right.\) тòv \(\left.\Delta i o ̀ s ~ \pi \alpha i ̂ \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right] \nu \tau \alpha \quad \phi\langle\eta\rangle \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \nu\) к \(\alpha[\lambda \in i ̂ s ;\) (Xo.) [. . . . . . . . . . . . . .] ä̀ \(\alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} k \lambda o[\pi \hat{\eta}\).
 (Xo.) [. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \(\tau] \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma[\omega\).
[. . . . . . . . . . . . кєк \(\lambda о\) ] \(\phi^{\epsilon} v \alpha \downarrow ~ \sigma \alpha \phi[\)
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .] ס̀̀ ßov̂s \(\pi \alpha ́ \nu \nu\)
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \(] \alpha\) к \(\kappa \theta \dot{\eta} \rho[\mu] o[\sigma \epsilon\)
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \(] \lambda\) дov \(\tau \epsilon \mu \omega ̀ \nu[\)
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .] \(\phi_{\phi} . \delta[o] \rho a[\) 2 or 3 lines lost.

Col. xiv.
(Kv.)
 \(\left[. . . . . .{ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \chi\right] \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha\) \(\tau \hat{\eta}\) ' \(\mu \hat{\eta} \quad \mu \omega \rho i ́ \alpha\) [........... o]ủס'́́v, à \(\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha ̂ s ~ X \alpha ́ p ı \nu . ~\)




 [ô̂̃os \(\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\) oữє] \(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ к \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau \eta s ~ " ै ф v ~\)






 \(\pi \alpha\) v́ou тò \(\lambda \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ \phi \alpha \lambda \alpha к \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \eta ̄ ~ \pi \iota \tau \nu \alpha ́ s . ~\)



20

25
[. .] \({ }^{6} \cup \sigma \gamma \alpha \rho\)
[.] . \(\alpha \iota \sigma \kappa \lambda o[\)
[. .]roctovท[ [.] ]к \(\omega \sigma \alpha к о и[\)
[.] \(] \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau^{\prime} \alpha \lambda \eta[\)
[.] \(] \mu \eta \tau \alpha \delta^{\prime}[\)

10


\(\overline{\pi[]\left[!!!0 v \sigma \delta \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \eta \delta \eta \nu \nu \nu[ \right.}\)
T[.] \(\sigma \omega \pi \sigma o ́ v \eta \rho^{\prime} \in \chi \in し \cdot \tau \iota \pi \lambda[\)
єєтル

-0v.
[. .] \(] \pi \pi \alpha \delta \alpha \pi \pi \alpha \nu \sigma \alpha \iota \tau 0 v \delta \iota \sigma \sigma[\)
\(20 \underline{\delta} \pi[\cdot] \operatorname{voo} \mu[\cdot] \nu[\cdot] \tau \alpha \sigma \beta\) ovat \(\omega \sigma \in[\)
\(\eta \bar{\delta} \eta \mu \in \pi \nu \epsilon[\cdot]\} \in \epsilon \sigma \kappa \alpha \| \sigma v \chi \alpha[\)
\([\ldots] \lambda \epsilon \omega \sigma \in \pi \rho[\ldots] v[. . \quad] \xi \in \lambda \alpha \nu \nu[\)

20 (Xo.) \(\sigma \tau \rho \in ́ \phi o v ~ \lambda u \gamma i ́ \delta o v ~ \tau \in ~ \mu u ́ \theta o \iota s, ~ o ́ \pi o i ́-~(\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \sigma \tau \rho\).



 \([\mu] \eta \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \widehat{\alpha}\left[\sigma \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in\right] \xi\) ò \(\delta o \hat{v} \beta i \not \beta \alpha \mathcal{\zeta}^{\prime} \epsilon\).

Col. xv.
(Xo.) [ \(\left.{ }^{\circ} Z\right] \in \grave{v} s \quad \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho[\)
\((K v).[\dot{o}] \pi \alpha i \stackrel{i}{s} \kappa \lambda o[\pi\)
(Xo.) [ \(\epsilon \hat{i}]\) тоו \(\pi о \nu \eta[\rho \grave{\alpha}\) ठ \(\rho \hat{\imath}, \pi o \nu \eta \rho o ̀ s ~ \omega ̀ \nu ~ к v \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}\).


(Kv.) [o] \(\dot{v} \mu \grave{\eta} \tau \alpha \alpha^{\prime}[\epsilon \prime \pi \eta \eta s\)
(Kv.) [
(Xo.) [
(Kv.) \(\tau[\)
\(10(X o\).\() is\)
(Kv.) v[
(Xo.) [
(Kv.) \(\lambda[\)
(Xo.) \(\gamma\). [....] \(\alpha\). [
\({ }_{15}(\mathbb{K} v.) \pi o[\hat{v}] \kappa \alpha \grave{i}\) مóas v́́ \(\mu о v \sigma \iota \tau[\)
(Xo.) \(\pi[\lambda] \epsilon\) íous \(\delta \epsilon \in \gamma^{\prime} \eta \not \partial \eta \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu[\)


(Kv.) \(\left.[\tau \grave{o}\rangle \nu \pi \alpha \hat{\imath} \delta \alpha \pi \alpha \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \iota \frac{\partial ̀}{\nu} \nu\right\rangle \Delta i o ̀ s[\kappa \alpha x \hat{\omega} s \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu\).




Col. xvi.
] \(\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in\) © Oo \(\sigma\) ß̧owv ] \(v \leqslant v^{\top} \theta^{\epsilon}\)
jvoo

Col. xvii.

\(\eta \nu \llbracket[\delta]] \epsilon \neq \eta \pi[\)
ovтобои \(\phi[\) \(\omega \lambda[\)
\(i \omega \delta[\)
\(\omega \lambda o \xi \iota \alpha \delta \epsilon[\)
\(\kappa \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho \eta[\)
\(\tau \omega \nu[] .0 \omega[\)
\({ }^{\prime} a \pi 0 \lambda \lambda[.] \nu v .[\)
\(\epsilon[\cdot] \in![\)
\({ }^{1} 5\)
\(\beta o[\)
\(o \pi o[\)
\(\pi \rho[\)
\(\mu \iota \sigma \theta \circ \sigma[\)
\(\epsilon \lambda \in v \theta \in \rho \rho[\)
\(\tau=\nu \in \boldsymbol{\gamma}[\)

Unplaced Fragments.
Probably from the bottom of Cols. i-iii.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fr. I. & Fr. 2. & Fr. 3. & Fr. 4. & Fr. 5 \\
\hline - . & & & & \\
\hline [ & ]. [ & ] \(\nu \alpha \underset{\sim}{\text { [ }}\) & ] \(¢\) D[ & ]. [ \\
\hline ¢[ & ]op.ö[ & ] \(¢ \lambda \alpha\). [ & ] \(\sigma \sigma \alpha \leq[\) & ]ot \\
\hline & ]. [ & ]¢¢ [ & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Col. xvi.
\(\pi] \in \lambda \epsilon ́ \theta\) ots \(\beta\) oûv.


Col. xvii.
\[
\epsilon[.] \epsilon \iota[
\]
I 5
\[
' A \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda(\omega \nu) \quad[\cdot] \nu v \cdot[
\]
\(\beta o^{-}\)
ó \(\pi 0\) [
\[
\pi \rho[
\]
\[
\mu \iota \sigma \theta \text { òs }
\]
\[
{ }_{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon u^{\prime} \theta \in \rho \sigma[
\]
\[
20 \quad(\Sigma \iota .) \quad \tau \grave{o} \nu \epsilon \in[
\]

Unplaced Fragments.
Probably from the bottom of Cols. i-iii.
Fr. 1.
Fr. 2.
Fr. 3.
Fr. 4.
Fr. 5.
(A) i[
]. [
]ov.ó[
\(] \nu \alpha \lambda[\)
\(] \epsilon \lambda \alpha \cdot[\)
\(] \in \delta\left[{ }^{\bullet}\right.\)
\(] \sigma \sigma \alpha[[\)
] • [
(B) \(\delta[\)
] . [


\section*{Miscellaneous.}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Joovpl} & ] \(\cup\) кє \(\chi\) [ \\
\hline & lıus \(\\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
] . \(\alpha \xi[\) ] \(\lambda 1 \theta_{!}[\)
Fr. 6.
Fr. 7.
Fr. 8.
Fr. 9.
Fr. 10.
]. \(\lambda \pi[.] \cdot[\)
] .. [
\begin{tabular}{cc}
\(] o[\) & \(] \omega[\) \\
\(] \alpha i[\) & \(] . o[\)
\end{tabular}
\(] \pi[\)
\(] \tau[\)
] \(v\). [

Probably from Col. ix.

Fr. II.
] \(\cup \tau \iota \kappa[\)
]. . \(\nu[\)

Fr. 15.
]o[
]. [
Fr. 12.
Fr. 13.
Fr. 14.
\(] \cdot[\)
\(] v \sigma[\)
\(] \cdot[\)
] \(\alpha\) т \([\) [
\(] .[\)
] \(\operatorname{to\mu }[\)
] \(\sigma \epsilon\). [

Fr. 16.
Fr. 17.
Fr. 18.
] \(\alpha\) [
]. \(\nu[\)
] \(\omega[\)

From Col. xv ?
Fr. 19.
Fr. 20.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \tau \hat{\omega}] \nu \quad \beta o \hat{\omega}[\nu \\
& ] \alpha \nu \in \xi[ \\
& \text { є́] }] \sigma \tau \tau \\
& \text { ] } \tau \alpha \sigma[
\end{aligned}
\]

From Col. xvii ?
Fr. 22.
] \(\alpha \mu[\)
]. \(\omega \nu[\) ] \(\sigma \sigma v\). [

Fr. 21.
]. . [.] . [
] \(\rho v \in \iota[\)
]on \(\theta\) o[
] \(\eta \lambda \lambda[\)
\(5] \cdot[\)

Miscellaneous.
\[
\text { Fr. } 23(b)
\]
\(]\) Oovpi \([\)
\(]\) Dos \(\delta[\)
\(] \tau \sigma a \sigma!\)

Fr. 24.
Fr. 25.
\(] \cdot \alpha \xi[\)
\(] \lambda \iota \theta[\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ] \(\beta \omega \nu \alpha[\cdot] \cdot[\) & ] \(\pi\) ó \([\) & ] \(\}\) & ] \(\sigma \tau \rho[\) \\
\hline ] \(\pi\) ovin \({ }^{\text {a }}\) [ & ] \(\sigma \alpha[\) & ] \(\mu^{\mu} \nu \sim\) & \(] \mu \eta \pi /[\) \\
\hline : . . & & & 5 ] '[ \\
\hline Fr. 26. & Fr. 27. & Fr. 28. & Fr. 29. \\
\hline \(]^{\beta} \rho \alpha \beta \in \nu \mu[\) & ]. \(!\alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \phi \rho \alpha \sigma[\) & ] [0.] . [ & \(] \phi[\) \\
\hline . . . & ] \({ }^{\prime} \alpha \kappa[.\).\(] . [\) & ]rooo[ & ] \(\pi \alpha \iota \alpha[\) \\
\hline & . . . & ] \(\kappa \tau[\) & ] \(\in \sigma \tau \boldsymbol{T}\) [ \\
\hline Fr. 30. & Fr. 31. & Fr. 32. & Fr. 33. \\
\hline ] & ] & \(]\). & ] \({ }^{\text {[ }}\) \\
\hline ]apov[ & ]oot[ & \(] \phi \lambda \in \beta\) o[ & ] \(\alpha / \sigma \cdot[\) \\
\hline ]ova[ & ] \(\rho \iota \alpha[\) & ] & ] \(\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\omega}[\) \\
\hline \(] \lambda \in \iota\) & ] \(\in \cup \theta \cup \underline{[ }\) & \(] \mu \eta[\) & ]o\$[ \\
\hline - \(]\) & \(] \times \tau \omega[\) & & 5 ] \(\quad \eta \eta \lambda[\) \\
\hline ] & . . & & jc \\
\hline ] & & & ] \(\mu \in[\) \\
\hline & & & \(\stackrel{\square}{\square}\) \\
\hline Fr. 34. & Fr. 35. & Fr. 36. & ] \(¢[\) \\
\hline & & & ] \(\nu\) o[ \\
\hline ] & ] \(\rho \alpha[\) & \(] \in \pi \underline{[ }\) & 10 ] \({ }^{\text {c }}\) [ \\
\hline ] & ] & ]coia[ & \(]\) ¢ \(\cdot\). [ \\
\hline ] \(\alpha \tau 0 \nu\) & ]ov0 0 . or & ] & ] \(\alpha\) [ \\
\hline \(] \sigma\) & ] & ] & ] \(0 \mu[\) \\
\hline ] & ] & ] & \(] \alpha \in \phi[\) \\
\hline \(] \in \lambda \epsilon \alpha \sigma\) & . . & & \\
\hline 5 ] & & & . . \\
\hline \(] \nu \bar{\alpha} \nu\) & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
] \(\beta \omega \nu \alpha[\cdot]\).
] \(\pi \sigma \nu \eta \sigma \alpha[\)
] \(\pi\) of \([\)
] \(\sigma \alpha[\)
] \(\xi v \nu \alpha[\)
] \(\sigma \tau \rho[\) ] \(\chi \mu \nu \nu\)

Fr. 28.
Fr. 29.
Fr. 26.
Fr. 27.
]. \({ }^{\alpha} \alpha \nu \in \iota \quad \phi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma[\) ] \(\rho^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \kappa[.] ..[\)
] \(\phi\) [ ] roo o
] \(\beta \rho \alpha \beta \epsilon \nu \mu[\alpha\) ]кт[
\(] \mu \eta \pi[\) ] \({ }^{\text {[ }}\)

Fr. 3 I.
Fr. 32.
Fr. 33.
Fr. 30.

\section*{] \\ ] \(\alpha \rho o v[\) \\ ]ova[ \\ ] \(\lambda \in \iota\) \\ \(\left.{ }^{-}\right]\) ] 1}


5 ]
] \(\bar{\alpha} \nu\)

Of the three previously known fragments of the Iclneutae, two have occurred above (xi. \(12-\mathrm{I} 3\), xii. 6 ; cf. notes ad loc.) ; the third is:-
293. Pollux x. 34


A corrupt passage, on which cf. Lobeck, Phrynichus, p. 178.
To this play may now be referred with W (ilamowitz) -M (öllendorff)
932. Athen. ix, p. 409 c
\(\beta \circ \hat{v} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \psi\)
The word is given as an epithet of Hermes \(\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \Sigma_{0} \phi о к \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} . \quad \beta о o \iota \kappa \lambda \epsilon \psi\) A, \(\beta\) oóк \(\lambda \epsilon \psi\) C Eustath., \(\beta\) oíк \(\lambda \epsilon \psi\) Musurus, \(\beta\) ov̂ \(\kappa \lambda \epsilon \psi\) Dindorf.
i. 1-3. For the supplement of 1. I cf. 11. 10 and 14; \(\beta\) pootois involves an accompanying \(\theta\) cois, which will naturally precede. This line is probably the first of the play. Line 14 of Col. iv, which is marked as the rooth verse, is indeed not more than the 94 th from this point, and possibly a foregoing column, of which the upper part was occupied e.g. by a hypothesis or a list of characters, has been lost ; but the numeration of lines in papyri is not always exact, and if iv. 20, 22 , \&c., are counted as whole lines, the figure 200 at viii. 13 is but one in excess, with i. I as the starting-point. Apollo's name ought then to occur in 1. 3.

 but the initial supplement is too long.
6. vópev \(]\) a \((\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M})\) is somewhat long for the space, and perhaps a more recondite periphrasis was employed, e. g. \(\nu \in a v i \in \nu \mu] a\), which \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) has also proposed. Three classes of the cattle are apparently distinguished, (1) the full-grown cows, (2) their calves, (3) the immature heifers; Murray's \(\gamma^{\prime} \omega \eta \eta\) veová is thus less suitable.

7-16. 'They have all disappeared, and in vain I track them, wandering in secret far from the cattle's manger, hidden by some artifice. For I would not have thought that any one either of the gods or of the mortal creatures of a day would have dared so far as to do this deed. On learning it distraught with fear I set forth and search, with full proclamation to gods and men, so that none may be ignorant. For I follow frantic in pursuit. And I have visited in haste the peoples of the whole host of Thrace, but no one . . .'
\(\eta_{-8}\). The restoration of 1.7 and \(\left.\tau \bar{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \beta o v\right] \sigma \tau \dot{a} \theta_{\mu}\) ov in 1. 8 are due to \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\); Murray suggests that \(\tau a \phi \rho\) may refer to a ditch surrounding Apollo's byre (e. g. тá \(\phi \rho[\omega \nu \nu u ̛ \pi \epsilon \rho \theta \epsilon \nu \bar{\nu}] \nu\). In 1. 8 , where there was some difference of reading, neither the circumflex accent nor the diaeresis on \(t\) is quite certain; but \([\lambda a t]\) is clearly indicated by the marginal \(\lambda a[\). \(\delta a a \sigma[\) above

13. \([\) \(\sigma \tau \epsilon i \chi] \omega\) : or e. g. \([乡 \eta \tau] \omega\), as both W-M and Murray suggest. \(\nu\) of \(\mu a \tau e v \omega \nu\) was deleted by means of dots placed above and beneath it.
14. Either táôe or tóde may be right; a similar choice between singular and plural is given at iii. 25 and \(v .9\).
15. [äкод॰]utia W-M, supposing this to be the passage referred to in Bekker, Anecd.

 take ] \(\omega \nu\) as the genitive of a more general term or as a participle, e.g. [ \(\sigma \pi \in \dot{\delta} \delta] 0 \nu\), and to put
 follow in l. 17. JJou in the margin may well be \(\sigma \tau \rho a]\) rov again, with something other than rov̂ \(\pi\) avtós preceding as a variant.

18-23. That these two small fragments belong to Col. i is clearly indicated both by their appearance and contents, but their relation to each other and to the rest of the column is not definitely fixed. The worm-eaten edges of both show the same pattern, according to which \(\tau] \dot{a} \quad \Theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \lambda \omega \nu\) should be in the same line as \(\left.{ }^{\prime \prime}\right] \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau a\left[\delta \epsilon \epsilon_{0}\right.\). But the worming is not an infallible guide, since the papyrus may not have been folded quite straight ; and if \({ }^{\prime \prime}[\pi \epsilon i]\) ra \(\delta^{\prime}\) is right in l. 23, it is desirable to lengthen the interval between this and the foregoing \(\ddot{\epsilon}]\) \(] \epsilon \epsilon \tau a[\delta \dot{\epsilon}\). This being granted, a further comparison of the worm-marks in Col. iii suggests that there is no loss between 11.17 and 18 ; the adscript of which a few letters remain in the left margin of Col. ii will then be opposite 1.22 , and the loss below 1.23 will extend to four verses, in which no doubt Attica was mentioned. At ii. I the Peloponnese is reached ( \(\Delta \omega \rho \iota к 0[\) ). In ll. 2I-2 supplements suggested by W-MI are printed exempli gratia.
 . . . \(\chi \hat{\omega} \rho o \nu\) give a complete sense, which only requires some ornamental amplification (ll. 4-5,

 especially when e's suits the context, and the corruption of \(\epsilon i\) would be inexplicable. To substitute \(\theta^{\prime}\) for \(\delta^{\prime}\) would be less objectionable ; the \(] \theta[\) in the margin (which suggests \(\theta[\epsilon(\omega \nu o s)\) again) shows that there was some variant here.

6-17. 'Therefore if any shepherd or husbandman or charcoal-burner is by, or one of the nymph-born race of hill-roving satyrs, I announce to them one and all, whosoever captures the thief of Apollo's kine, his forthwith is the reward lying ready.

Silenus. O Phoebus, I heard thy voice raised in loud proclamation, and apprised of the matter with the haste that an old man can command, wishing to become thy favoured benefactor, Phoebus Apollo, I set out on this quest, if haply I may hunt down this thing for thee.'


 and the \(\sigma\) is perhaps better omitted, though there is some evidence for \(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \eta s=\dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta s\); à \(\gamma \rho \omega \tau \mathfrak{\eta} \rho\) occurs in Steph. Byz. s.v. áypós. In l. io, if \([\tau \grave{a}\) a \(\delta] \omega \bar{\omega}\) a is supplied, the verse may be
 filled by \([\tau o ̀ \nu \phi] \omega \rho a\), and the alteration of the following tov to \(\tau \bar{\omega} \nu\) is no violent remedy.
12. \(\bar{\omega}\) оі \(\beta \boldsymbol{\beta}\) is a rather longer supplement than is expected; perhaps an epithet of \(\phi \omega \nu \eta \dot{\mu} \theta^{\prime}\) stood here.
16. The alteration of \(\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta^{\circ}\) to \(\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \delta^{\prime}\), which is proposed by \(\mathrm{IW}-\mathrm{M}\), is unnecessary in view of iii. 21 .
17. That the aorist of кvעпүधiv should be formed with a short vowel is remarkable;


18-21. This is a puzzling passage. If 1. I8 is rightly reconstructed, Silenus means that he wishes his success to be proclaimed, like that of a victor in the games. This,
 [aì \(\lambda i] a \sigma \sigma[\iota \pi] \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma \circ\left[\hat{v}{ }^{\prime} \phi \epsilon \rho \sigma\right]_{\nu}\) as more satisfactory. It is not certain at the end of 1 . I 8 that \(\phi \epsilon\) was not followed by some other letter ; and the first of the two gammas is not quite clear and may possibly be \(\rho\) or \(\sigma\), but \(\tau[\dot{0}] \gamma \dot{a} \rho \gamma \epsilon[\rho a] s\) will hardly improve matters. In 1. I \(9 \in \pi[\) may be \(\epsilon \mathcal{\gamma}[\); the difficulty of obtaining a conjunction makes \(\pi] \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma\). [ preferable to \(\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon s\). [.
 erroneous insertion ; some adjectival expression, meaning 'sharp-sighted', seems indicated, but the remains of the end of the verse are not readily adaptable. \(v\) before \(\epsilon\) can hardly be avoided, and above the line, between this and the supposed \(a\), there is a slight vestige of ink which might represent a circumflex accent. The letter after \(\beta\) may as well be \(\lambda\) as \(a\). In 1. 2 I the elision mark is uncertain, but it appears to stand rather too high in the line for the top of a \(\rho\), and an optative here is not unsuitable: 'I would get my sharp-eyed sons to help, if you will perform your promises.'
22. The vestige in front of \([.] \omega\) is hardly sufficient to give a clue to the verb; something
 a Sophoclean use.
23. The letters \(\tau a[\) are on a small fragment which no doubt belongs to one of the first three columns, and must on account of the paragraphi come from the bottom of Col. ii ; its location in this line is, however, quite conjectural. If it is rightly placed, Fr. I will follow below, though whether in \(24^{-5}\) or \(2^{5}-6\) cannot be determined.
26. The papyrus is broken close beneath this line, but it was most probably the last of the column, since it ranges with iii. 27 , and the dialogue works out right on the supposition of the loss of a line at the top of Col. iii.
 in some form. Silenus could not easily anticipate what the additional boon was to be.
4. Restored by W-MI ; cf. vi. 26-vii. I. From whom Silenus and the Satyrs were to be 'freed' is obscure.

5 sqq. The metre of this short choral ode was partially, and perhaps to a large extent, dochmiac ; 1. 19 is a dochmiac dimeter, and dochmii occur also in ll. 15-16, while the remains of many of the preceding verses are compatible with the same measure. This dochmiac element, which is rarely employed in songs of the entire chorus-Soph. El. I38497 is another example-may be regarded as expressing the eagerness and excitement of the Satyrs.
5. It is not clear whether a dot after \(a y \in\) is the vestige of a letter or a low stop. The cross-bar of the \(\epsilon\) has been lengthened by the second hand.
6. \(\beta u u^{[ } \sigma \omega\), as \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{MI}\) remarks, is indicated by the marginal note.

12. Cf. note on x . I .
\({ }^{13}\). That \(\nu \mathbf{v} x{ }^{\prime}\) or a kindred word stood in the text may be inferred from the adscript.
14. \(\pi 0 \sigma \sigma\) i is a remarkable form, which is, however, credited to Cratinus (Fr. 100, Kock).
15. Murray suggests that \(\epsilon \pi \omega \mathrm{s}\) is for \(\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \phi\) ', \(\dot{\omega}^{\prime}\) (cf. ii. 12), but alterations are undesirable with so doubtful a context. The purpose of the paragraphus below this line is obscure.

16-19. The slight remains of the letter before \(\mu \epsilon \tau\) [ suggest the base of \(\epsilon\) or \(\sigma\), and are preceded by a diagonal stroke consistent with \(a, \kappa, \lambda, \nu\), or \(\chi\); \(\beta[\), though imperfect, is almost certain. \(\left.\beta\left[{ }^{i} 0\right] \nu \quad \begin{array}{c}\epsilon \\ \hline\end{array} \epsilon_{\epsilon} \epsilon\right]\) or \(\beta[\). .]as \(\mu \epsilon ́ \tau[a]\) would suit. It is, however, difficult to obtain a suitable connexion with what follows, which I leave as it stands in the papyrus, though I. I 7 is open to some suspicion. \(\sigma v \nu a \mu a \theta_{\text {es }}\) was apparently originally written, the final \(\sigma\) being corrected to o by the second hand, which completed the verse. If ovvápa is right, this is the earliest instance of the word. Taken by themselves II. 1 7-19 may be construed: 'Therewith let the friendly god end our toils, who has displayed clear samples of his gold,' i. e. their life would be eased by the attainment of the reward. It appears from this that the gold was actually exhibited on the stage. ảv'́т can come from either àvévaı or ävetv; the latter, giving another dochmius, is perhaps preferable.

20-6. Sil. 'O ye gods, Fortune and the deity who guidest steps straight, grant me success in the quest whereon I am now to speed forth to track down the plunder, booty, spoil of Phoebus from whom the stolen kine have been ravished. If any man has seen or heard tidings of them, he would both earn my gratitude by telling it, and join in benefitting king Phoebus.'
20. 'A \(\rho\left(\iota \sigma \tau \circ \phi{ }^{\prime}\right) \nu(\eta s)\) : there is some variation in the abbreviations taken to represent this name. Here the letters, if rightly read, are \(a \rho\) with \(\nu\) above. In vi. 8 and ix. 6 the \(\nu\) is on a level with \(a \rho\), and has an \(\iota\) drawn through its middle ; this might well stand for ' \(A \rho(\iota \sigma \tau o ́) \nu \iota(k o s)\), if he were known as a Sophoclean commentator. At vi. 5 ap only is written, the \(\rho\) passing through the a, by which e.g. 'Apiotapxos could be meant, as we supposed in the Pindar papyrus (cf. 841. ii. 61 note). But the annotator may have allowed himself a certain amount of inconsistency, just as he writes \(\theta \epsilon \omega\) and \(\theta \epsilon\) for \(\theta^{\prime} \omega \nu o s\); and I have therefore avoided a multiplication of the names.
\({ }_{2}^{5} \cdot \epsilon \mu \circ \iota \gamma\), not \(\epsilon \mu \circ \tau \tau\), was apparently written, but the latter is probably to be read with W-M, as well as фpávas for \(\delta \rho a \sigma a s\).
26. I substitute \(\sigma v v \tau \epsilon \lambda\) ís for \(\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta s\), which does not occur elsewhere and may be due to a reminiscence of 1.15 aided by the recurrence of \(\pi \rho \rho \sigma \phi i \lambda \eta\) is immediately above. For the

27. 'Moreover the informer shall be substantially rewarded' seems to have been the sense of this verse and the next ; the very slight traces before a \(a\) a are sufficiently consistent with \(\lambda \hat{o}^{\prime}\left[\gamma_{0}\right] v \theta^{\prime}\) which both W-M and Murray suggest.
iv. 2-6. The Chorus apparently make an appeal for informers to come forward, and this is taken up by Silenus: 'Does any one profess knowledge or are all ignorant? Then we
 \(\mu \in \delta \in i ̄ . \quad\) Cf. Eurip. I. T. rojz.
7. єia: cf. vii. 10, 1175. 9I. 4. The aspiration is stated to be Attic by the Venetus Scholiast on Homer I 262 ; cf. Herodian, ed. Lentz i. p. 495.
9. The acute accent on \(\epsilon a \nu\) is doubled, probably because the first accent fell too close to the \(\epsilon\).
10. W-M's \(\delta i \pi n o v s\) for \(\delta i \pi \lambda\) ovs is plausible, but as usual I hesitate to accept emendations in a very defective passage.

I \(_{3}\). In the present state of the text the merits of the variant \(\chi \rho \eta \bar{\eta} \theta a \iota\) can hardly be estimated. The paragraphus below this line seems to be due to the second hand.

14-v. 12. Half-Chor. 'A god, a god, a god, a god. Let be, let be! We seem to have them; hold; do not...

Half-Chor. These are the tracks of the kine.
Half-Chor. Hush! A god is leading our colony.
Half-Chor. What are we to do, friend? Were we performing our task aright? What ? How say those on this side?

Half-Chor. They say yes, for these marks of themselves give clear proof.
Half-Chor. See, see, here again is the very print of the hoofs!
Half-Chor. Look well! This is the exact measurement.
Half-Chor. Come quickly and . . . if any one's ear catches the noise of the kine. A noise.
Half-Chor. I do not yet hear their voice clearly, yet here are the very footmarks and the track of those kine plain to view.

Half-Chor. Let be! By Zeus, the footprints are reversed! They look in the opposite direction again; see here! What is this? What is the manner of their arrangement?

The front has been changed to the rear, or again they are entangled in opposite directions. A strange confusion possessed the driver.'

14 sqq. As the paragraphi indicate, the Chorus is here divided into two or more sections, but the distribution of the lines in the papyrus seems to be sometimes at fault. In one place (l. 18) a paragraphus has been cancelled, but probably wrongly, by the second hand. I have adopted the arrangement suggested by W-M, with the slight difference that he would recognize a third section of the Chorus at 1.26 . A still further multiplication of parts is quite possible, but smaller divisions than \(\dot{\eta} \mu \varkappa \chi \dot{\rho} \rho a\) are not absolutely necessary.
14. \(\left[\frac{\boxed{\epsilon}}{a} a \mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{M}\right.\).
15. The vestiges of the letter before \(\rho\), which seems to have been partially rewritten, rather suggest \(\phi\), but a \(\tau\) is not impossible. The imperative of a verb in \(-\tau \epsilon \omega\) or \(-\gamma \epsilon \omega\) is apparently required; it would not be satisfactory to suppose that \(\epsilon \tau \epsilon \iota\) was written for \({ }^{\text {ETrt. }}\)
17. The first three letters of the line were supplied by the corrector, and the sign in the margin no doubt has some reference to the original defect (cf. 1175, Fr. 3. 7) ; the dash following the \(\gamma\) was presumably inserted to fill up a blank space. d̀ \(\pi o t[\kappa\) кia \(] \nu\), which appears unavoidable, may be explained as a comic touch.
18. Here again the initial letters proceed from the second hand, but in this case something was previously washed out. The authority apparently quoted in the margin for
 though compounds of \(\bar{\eta} \nu o \nu\) have not previously occurred; the compound can be avoided, as Murray remarks, by writing [á \(\left.\rho^{\prime}\right]{ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \nu \mu \epsilon \nu\).
19. With punctuation after \(\tau i\), as suggested by Murray, a sufficiently good sense can be extracted from this line. roor[.] is hardly to be avoided; тo is followed by part of a vertical stroke like that of \(\iota\) or \(v\), and \(\sigma\) has apparently been written by the second hand through the base of the next letter.
23. aủtó seems preferable to av̉ tó; cf. 1. 25. In the marginal note \(\bar{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \iota \mu \nu \nu\), if that
 would give no sense. The abbreviated name consists of a N with a long I through the cross-stroke, and so may begin with either \(\mathrm{N} t\) or \(\mathrm{I} \nu\). Of these the former is the more likely combination, e.g. Nicander or Nicanor, though neither of these grammarians is known to have commented upon Sophocles.
25. \(\left[\epsilon^{6}\right] \kappa \mu \epsilon[\tau \rho o u ́] \mu[\epsilon] \nu o \nu\) : or perhaps \(\left[{ }^{6}\right] \kappa \mu \epsilon[\mu a \gamma] \mu[\epsilon \in] \nu o \nu\), as Pearson suggests.
26. What was originally written in place of \(\delta \rho \circ \mu \omega t\), which looks right, is doubtful ; there does not seem to have been a dittography of \(\chi \omega \rho \epsilon t\). The absence of a reference after \(o v(\tau \omega s)\) \(\eta \nu\) may imply that \(\delta \rho o \mu \omega t\) was in the archetype. After the lacuna \(] \omega \nu\) is possible; some traces of ink above and beyond \(\epsilon \chi\) ov are perhaps accidental.
\({ }^{27}\). This should be the last line of the column, but since the margin is broken off there is no certainty.
v. I. The correction in the text is repeated as an adscript perhaps for the sake of greater clearness. pot \(\beta\) oo was originally written, and the \(\epsilon\) may be due to the second hand.
2. \(\dot{\rho}\) oîßoos is a stage-direction ; the context indicates that notes on the lyre are meant.
3. \(\pi \omega\) is very doubtful : the \(\pi\) may also be \(\gamma\) or \(\tau\); \(\tau o l\), e. g. is not impossible. [rop \(\hat{\omega}]\) ] is due to \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\). \(\phi \theta[\dot{\epsilon} \gamma]\) ]atos was doubtless written with two gammas, as in viii. 26 Schol., x. 18, \&c. ; cf. the note on viii. 25-7.
4. The letters av of avza were converted apparently from or or \(\eta\). I take \({ }^{\prime} \chi[\nu \eta \ldots \sigma \tau i \beta o s\) as the subject of \(\pi\) ápa and \(\mu a \theta \in i v\) as epexegetic; \(W\)-M would place a stop after \(\sigma \tau i \beta o s\) and make \(\tau \dot{\delta} \dot{\delta} \epsilon\) the direct object of \(\mu a \theta \in i \nu\).


8. \(a \mathfrak{v}\) : so the papyrus, apparently implying punctuation after \(\beta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a\). If the accent were ignored and aùrà \(\delta^{\prime}\) adopted, a stop should be placed after \(\delta \in \dot{\delta} \delta \rho к \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu}\) instead of at the end of 1.7 .

An indistinct mark above the first \(\epsilon\) of \(\epsilon \sigma \omega \delta \epsilon\) might be taken for a grave accent, which would, however, be incorrectly placed.
9. routi : the deictic form, so frequent in comedy, has hitherto been regarded as alien from the tragic writers ; cf. introd. p. 34.

1 1. For \(\sigma v \mu \pi[\epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \gamma] \mu \in ́ v a\) cf. Xenophon, Cyn. 5.6.
13-15. The construction is somewhat doubtful. Possibly \(\pi\) póvtatov is an adverb and
 \(a \hat{v}\), the mark of interrogation being transferred to \(\gamma \hat{n}\).
r3-vi. 6. Sil. 'What then is this art that you have found, what, I say? It is strange to hunt thus prone on the ground. What is your method? I do not understand. You lie fallen like a hedgehog in a copse, or stooping like an ape you vent your spleen. What is this? Where in the world, in what sort of place, did you learn it? Tell me, for I am ignorant of these ways.

Chor. Hu! Hu! Hu! Hu!
Sil. Why do you make this cry? Whom do you fear? Whom do you see? What terror do you behold? Why do you keep raving? There was a harsh sound hard by: do you desire to learn what it was? Why are ye silent, ye who were erst so loud ?

Chor. Nay, be silent!
Sil. What is it there that you keep turning from?
Chor. Listen now!
Sil. How can I listen when I hear no man's voice ?
Chor. Be persuaded by me.
Sil. You will never help my pursuit.
Chor. Listen again awhile to this thing, a noise such as no mortal ever heard, whereby we are here dumb-struck and confounded.'
17. änotvaivets, which stands in the papyrus, might perhaps, as V-MI suggests, here
 trace of such a use, and the anapaest is very objectionable. кúpóa appears to be sound, though the \(\delta\) was originally omitted; there is no authority for кúßa. It is easy to restore metrical regularity by the omission of the preposition ; but the sense is unsatisfactory, since the meaning of \(\dot{a} \pi о \theta v \mu a \hat{s} s\) is hardly to be attributed to the simple verb, and the reading of the papyrus remains unexplained. I have been tempted to suppose that the original text had

 insertion. I therefore print \(\theta v \mu a i v \epsilon t s\), but only faute de mieux. Pearson, to whom ки́ \(\beta \delta a\) suggests some erotic term, proposes \(\pi\) öouavis.
18. W-M is no doubt right in substituting тónఱ for \(\tau \rho o ́ \pi \varphi\), which may easily have been brought in from the next line.
20. Cf. vii. 12 and Aristoph. Plut. 895 where \(\hat{v} \hat{v}\), repeated six times, is used to imitate the sound made by a person smelling a feast.
\(21-5\). The restorations are made exempli gratia. Those in ll. 21 and 25 were proposed
 by W-M. That кє́ \(\mathcal{\text { Wos }}\). is here to be interpreted as a harsh, grating sound is indicated by the context; cf. Galen, Gloss. Hippocr. (Kühn xix, p. IIr), who says that the word may
 \(i \mu \epsilon i \rho \in \in[s]\), to \(i \mu \epsilon i \rho \omega\), but a fair sense is obtainable without alteration, as above, or by writing
 by the second hand; it has a dot over it besides being crossed through. The marginal oi for \(\dot{\omega}\) is no improvement in 1.24 .
26. itiovoo \([\phi i \zeta]\) ets was suggested by W-M ; cf. Soph. O.T.480. The restoration of the first half of the line is facilitated by the crasis adopted in the text; the supplement adopted is of course only one of several possibilities.
vi. 3. Murray here proposed \(\epsilon^{\xi} \mu[\eta \nu] \delta i \omega[\xi \nu \nu\), but \(\eta \nu\) can certainly not be got into the lacuna, which is indeed strained to the utmost by the modification printed; ot, ov or a single letter would be more satisfactory. The accented letter after \(\delta\) is probably either 1 or \(a\), and the restige succeeding is not inconsistent with \(\omega ; \gamma\) or \(\pi\) would also be suitable. \({ }^{\prime \prime} \mu^{\prime}\left[\begin{array}{c}\tilde{\beta} \\ \omega\end{array}\right] \delta^{\prime}\) ün[ıбтoi \(\gamma\) ' would be consistent with the palaeographical conditions, but is not otherwise convincing. סix[a cannot be read. A small vestige before \(\hat{\omega} s\) suits e. g. \(\mu\) or \(\lambda\), hardly \(\pi\).
4. For хрі́датоs cf. xiv. 23.
5. \({ }^{\xi} \xi \omega \rho \gamma i \sigma \mu \epsilon \theta a\), if that was the verb of the text, is less appropriate than the marginal \({ }_{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \epsilon \nu i \sigma \mu \epsilon \theta a\), although this use of \(\xi \in \nu i \xi \epsilon \iota \nu\) seems otherwise to be post-classical. The compound 'ккллaүध́veєs, as read by Aristophanes, is also preferable to the simple verb.

7-vii. II. Sil. 'Why, pray, are you afraid and fearful of a noise, unclean bodies fashioned of wax, vilest of beasts, who see a terror in each shade and are alarmed at everything, who render slack, heedless, illiberal service, mere bodies, all talk and lust, professing faithfulness, but if ever it is called for, flying from performance. Yet your father, ye vilest of beasts, is one by whose youthful prowess many trophies have been set up at the homes of his brides, who was not wont to turn in flight nor be subdued nor to cower at the noises of hill-fed herds, but did deeds of strength. And their lustre is now disfigured by you at some new cheating noise of shepherds, which you fear like children before seeing its source, abandoning the hope of golden wealth which Phoebus told of and secured, and the freedom which he promised both to you and me; this you neglect, and sleep. If you do not pursue and track down the kine and their driver to their hiding-place, you shall make a noise in lamentation for your very cowardice.

Chor. Father, be present with me and yourself be my guide, that you may know well if there be any cowardice ; for you yourself shall learn, if you are present, that your words are nought.

Sil. I will myself be present and urge you on by my voice, sounding the whistle that speeds on the hounds. Come, take your stand at the cross-ways, and I will stay on the scene of action and direct you.'
7. The punctuation apparently indicated by the papyrus is quite defensible (cf. e.g. Aristoph. Acharn. \(345 \mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu \circ \iota \pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma \iota \nu\) ) but less natural than that adopted. It can hardly be doubted that a stop was intended, though the dot is not quite in the proper position, being too far from the \(\nu\) and close to the vertical stroke of \(\phi\).
8. Aristophanes' reading is again preferable to that of the text.
9. \(\nu\) was written by the second hand over an original \(\mu\). The masculine ö \(\nu \tau[\epsilon s\) is somewhat awkward with ка́кєбта, but \({ }^{\circ} \nu \tau[a \kappa \dot{a}] \nu\), which Pearson would prefer, is not adapted to the lacuna, which barely accommodates three narrow letters.

16 sqq. Cf. Eurip. Cycl. 2~9.
17. öкоเs \(\nu \nu \mu \phi\) iкois: i. e. the caves of the nymphs; cf. Homer, H. Aphrod. 262 (Pearson).
18. \(\delta o v \lambda[o] \nu \mu \notin \nu o v\) is probably sound ; \(\delta \epsilon \iota \lambda o \hat{v} \nu\) is rare and, so far as known, postclassical.
 in the active sense, which gives a better antithesis than \({ }^{\ell} \xi \in \epsilon \rho \gamma a \sigma \mu \in \nu a\), cf. e.g. Soph. Ant. 262, 384 .

22. ко́дaк[2] is an unexpected epithet, the meaning of which perhaps here approximates

23. \(\left[\begin{array}{c}o v \\ \nu\end{array}\right] \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\).
24. \(\chi[\rho]\) vorópavos is apparently found only here.
vii. 2. àvavoatท'бavtes is another novel form, which here seems to mean 'pursue'; cf. Soph. Phil. 43 є̇ँì фopßŋ̄s vóatov. It could hardly signify 'returning from the error of your ways '.
5. \(\sigma v \mu \pi о \delta \eta \gamma \epsilon i v\) is used by Plato, Pol. \(269 \mathrm{c}, 270 \mathrm{a}\), but \(\sigma v \mu \pi o \delta \eta \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon i v\) is not elsewhere attested.
7. The variant on \(\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega[\nu\), which is doubtless right, appears unintelligent.
10. W-MI suggests the easy emendation \(\tau \rho i \zeta v \gamma^{\prime}\) єis, but cf. Soph. Trach. \(339 \tau 0 \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon\) (?)




12 sqq. The rhythm of this song, which is unfortunately defective nearly throughout, is largely anapaestic, the anapaests being often resolved into proceleusmatics ; cf. Aristoph. Av. \(3{ }^{2} 7\) sqq. and the Hyporcheme of Pratinas (Fr. i, Bergk). Cretics are also used, while 1. 15 is apparently Glyconic.
12. \(\psi\) here is apparently used like \(\psi\) itra or \(\psi \dot{u} \tau \tau a\), ' Pst !'
 for the form cf. the variant крiүє for крiкє in Hom. \(\Pi 470\), and the commentators thereon.
15. The second \(\omega\) of \(\pi \rho \omega \tau \omega\) has been rewritten.
16. \({ }_{\epsilon} \chi \in \iota\) is the second person of the passive: 'I have you'; cf. l. I \(7 . \quad \eta\) of \(\epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \in \nu\) has been altered by the second hand from \(\epsilon\) in both instances.
18. The marginal reading is the more attractive; \(\delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon \rho \omega t\) was probably due to the influence of \(\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \omega\) тis \(\delta \delta_{\delta \epsilon}\) in 1 . I 5 .
19. סрákes is an unknown form, which, however, in consideration of the adjacent \(\gamma\) рámıs it is rather hazardous to emend to סpake'is (Pind. Nem. vii. 3, Fr. 123. 2); W-M compares \(\Delta o ́ \rho \kappa \iota s\). An acute accent may have disappeared above the \(a\). \(\quad\) р \(a^{\pi} \pi \iota s\) is described by Hesychius as \(\epsilon^{i \delta \delta o s ~ o ́ p \nu e ́ o v . ~}\)
22. The narrowness of the lacuna indicates that the letter lost before the final \(\nu\) was probably \(o\), but the word was apparently not \(\nu o \mu o \nu ; \nu o]_{\mu}[0]_{\nu}\) is not impossible.
26. \(\delta \rho[\) : or \(\delta \delta[\).
viii. 2. \(\delta \epsilon\) is cancelled by a stroke above the line; cf. x. 6 and 843. 142-3, \&c.
8. The confusion between \(\eta\) and \(\epsilon \iota\) is common; the former here seems likely to be correct.
9. Not o \(\lambda \beta[\) : the letter after \(\lambda\) was probably o or \(\omega\).
II. \(\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \sigma \iota \theta^{\prime}\) : W-M would prefer \({ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \iota \theta \theta^{\prime}\).

13-24. Chor. 'Father, why are you silent? Did we speak the truth? Do you not hear the sound, or are you deaf?

Sil. Be silent ; what is it?
Chor. I shall not stay.
Sil. Stay, if you can.
Chor. I cannot; but do you search and track them down as you please, and enrich yourself by getting the kine and the gold . . .

Sil. But I will by no means allow you to leave me or to withdraw from this task, before we know clearly what is within this dwelling here.'
\({ }^{1} 3-14\). єilno \(\mu \epsilon \nu\) and \(\psi o ́ \phi o \nu\) were restored by W-M. If \(\epsilon \ell \pi \pi \rho \mu \nu\) is right, \(\mu \hat{\omega} \nu\), for which ouk would rather be expected, may have an ironical sense, 'You don't mean that we spoke the truth?' But \(\mu \hat{\omega} \nu\) sometimes practically loses its negative force ; cf. Stallbaum's note on


15. Since 1l. 13-14 and apparently 18-21 must be assigned to the Chorus, the verse contained in ll. \({ }^{15} 5^{-17}\) should be distributed into three parts instead of four as in the papyrus. \(\tau i{ }_{i}^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \iota \nu\) is therefore to be combined either with \(\sigma i[\gamma a]\) or ov \(\mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega}\), and the former alternative is the more natural. W-M prefers \(\sigma \iota[\gamma \hat{\omega}]\).

A quite different and in some respects not unattractive view of this passage is taken by Pearson. He would keep the arrangement given by the papyrus in \(11.15-19\), assigning \(16 \tau_{i}^{\prime}{ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \tau \nu, 18 \mu \in \nu^{\prime}, \epsilon i\) \(\theta \in \lambda \epsilon \epsilon s\) and 23 sqq. to the Chorus, 17 oú \(\mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega}\) and \(19-22\) to Silenus; the latter then becomes the person anxious to leave the scene, and would do so at \(\mathbf{l} .22\),
 cxempli gratia. So sudden a volte face on the part of both Silenus and Satyrs might be comic, but it is hardly natural; moreover this theory also involves a departure from the original, where changes of speaker appear to be indicated by the paragraphi below ll. 24 ( 25 ) and ix. 1. Those paragraphi are not easily interpreted as marking the distinction between iambics and lyrics ; cf. 1. 12, where there is no paragraphus.
 annotator wished to substitute \(\begin{gathered}\circ \\ \pi\end{gathered} \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon s\), and there would be something to be said for his preference were it not for the awkwardness-which he apparently did not feel-of the repetition of \(\theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda_{\epsilon / s .} \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) points out that this may be removed to the advantage of the sense by simply transposing the two verbs. סiva in 1 . I 7 is well suited to the reply of the Chorus oùk \(\stackrel{\approx}{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \tau v\). If \(\theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon t s\) and \(\delta \dot{v} v a\) are retained as they stood in the papyrus, \(\mu_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \nu^{\prime}\), \(\epsilon i\) \(\theta^{\prime}\) ' \(\lambda\) cis is perhaps best regarded as an unfinished sentence: 'Stay, if you wish (to share in the reward)'; 'Stay, if you please ' would be too polite,-unless, indeed, the tone was ironical.
19. \(\lambda a \beta \omega_{\nu} \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\).

20 sqq. Since the ends of these lines are on a different fragment, their length cannot be determined very accurately. Some standard of measurement is, however, provided by 1. 18, where the supplement is certain, though it is of course not certain at what distance the marginal note was begun from the conclusion of the line. Assuming an interval of average extent, I estimate that there would be about thirteen letters in 1.20 between \(\chi \rho v \sigma o v\) and \(]\), and the loss in the lines below has been calculated on that basis.

2 I. \(\pi \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau}\) is hardly to be avoided; \(\pi \lambda \epsilon t o \nu\) can certainly not be read. The letter after the lacuna is probably \(\epsilon\) or \(\sigma\); that after \(\tau \iota\) may be \(\mu\) or \(\nu\).
\(22-4\). The restorations of course only aim at giving the apparent sense. \({ }^{\epsilon} \xi v \pi \epsilon \in \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \imath\) is unknown, but would be a not unnatural poetical variation of \(\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \xi^{\xi} \rho \chi_{\mathcal{\prime}} \epsilon \theta a t\). In 1.24
 the papyrus, the \(\eta\) of \(\eta[\delta \epsilon\) being inadmissible. The letter in question, if not \(\epsilon\), should be \(\rho\) or possibly \(\beta\).
\({ }^{25-7}\). The Chorus make an ineffective summons to the occupant of the cave. \(\left.\mu \tau \sigma\right] \theta_{0}[\nu\) was restored by \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\), who in the marginal note above proposes \(\hat{a}\) duo \([\hat{a}]\); but the narrow space and the flourished form of the \(c\) are both against \(a\) and in favour of -ets. Possibly \(\dot{a} \phi \dot{\prime} \xi \in \epsilon s\) stood in the text. I write \(\phi \theta \in \gamma \mu a\) according to the ordinary orthography, although as W-M remarks, the doubled \(\gamma\) which is found here and at \(\mathrm{x} .18, \mathrm{xi} . \mathrm{r}_{5}\), xii. 3 , xiii. 4 was preferred by Herodian ; cf. Crönert, Mem. Gr. Hercul. p. 69.
ix. 2-18. Sil. 'He will not appear to them; but I by making a noise upon the ground with many leaps and kicks will quickly compel him to hear though he be very deaf.

Cyllene. Beasts, why came ye rushing with many shouts to this green wooded hill, abode of animals? What is this device, what this change from the tasks wherewith you erst pleased your lord, who clad in fawn-skin and bearing the light thyrsus was ever wont to raise before you the cry evoe in the god's train along with the nymphs his offspring and the throng of his sons? But now I understand not the matter, whither the gusts of new frenzy whirl you. For it is a riddle! I heard a cry befitting hunters who have come near to the brood of an animal in their lair, and at the same time . . '
2. \(\tau 0 i \sigma \iota \nu\) after \(\phi a v[\epsilon i \tau] a \iota\) could hardly be taken as instrumental. For the demonstrative use cf. e.g. Soph. O.C. \(74^{2}\) Є̇к \(\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}\).

5. \(\hat{\eta}\) : the third person is evidently required, and the correction is easy; cf. Soph. Ant. 7 Io кєढ't tis \(\mathfrak{\eta}\) oo oós, and vi. 13 above.

6. The marginal variant would have the effect of balancing the epithets, \(\chi \hat{\omega} \rho o \nu\) vin \(\dot{\sigma} \dot{\eta}=\)
 \(\chi^{\lambda} \omega \rho \circ\).
9. \(\epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon s\) is strange, and W-M's correction \(\epsilon^{i} \chi \in s\) is an evident simplification.

 reading of the papyrus; it may be explained as a dativus commodi. vé \(\beta\) pivos is novel. \(\epsilon \dot{\pi} \pi a \lambda \eta_{\prime}^{\prime}\) and \(\epsilon \dot{\pi} \pi a \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega s\) are used by Ap. Rhod. ii. 6i 8, iv. 193.
 \(\epsilon^{\prime} \dot{u}\left\{\zeta \xi \in\right.\), which is unintelligible, looks as if he had taken \(\epsilon \dot{v} \dot{u} \dot{\zeta} \zeta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}\) for a second person plural active. It was presumably to this word that the marginal note \(\zeta \dot{\eta}(\tau \epsilon \iota)\) referred. The alteration of the termination involved the transference of the accent, and that on \(a\) was cancelled by means of the dots on either side of it, as in 841 . vi. 88 , ix. r 7,1082 .. iii. 7 (5).
13. The emendation of \(\pi o \delta \omega \nu\) to \(\pi a i \delta \omega \nu\) is due to \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\).
14. \(\nu \epsilon \in \omega] \nu\) : the space seems almost too narrow for \(\omega\), and would better suit \(\nu \epsilon[0] \nu\), which however is less apposite.
15. Theon's variant, with which of course there would be no stop after \(\gamma\) áp, is less attractive.
16. The first o of \(o \mu v v\) has been converted from \(\epsilon\), and in 1. I 8 also \(\epsilon \mu \circ v\) was probably first written, though there the alteration is less obvious and may be due to the original scribe.

18-23. Though the wording of this passage is elusive, its purport is evident. After mentioning the sounds of hunters on the trail ( \(11 . I_{5}-17\) ) the nymph says that she has also heard accusations of some theft (11. 18-19), proclamations (11. 20-1), and finally knocks and
 might be read, but the \(\iota\) is more suitable, and there seems to be nothing for aúri[ \(\nu\) to refer to except \(\tau \rho \rho[\phi] \bar{\eta} s\), which is unsatisfactory, while to emend to avj \(\hat{\eta}[\nu\), as Murray suggests, is
 is more probably third person singular passive than second person plural active, or \({ }^{\epsilon \prime} \tau \in \epsilon \nu \in[\nu\) could be restored, with the division \(a \mathfrak{v} \tau \uparrow[s, \epsilon ̈ \tau \epsilon \omega \epsilon[\nu \tau] / s\) being of course excluded on metrical grounds ; there is not room for \(\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \rho\left[\nu \tau^{\prime} \epsilon\right] i \bar{s}\). It remains to find some word like \(\beta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta\) to be
 too long, while i] \(\dot{\alpha}\) kai is hardly long enough, even if Sophocles were likely to have used the
neuter form, which occurs only once in Homer. A vestige of ink above the lacuna might indeed be the remains of a diaeresis on \(t\), but it suggests rather a circumflex accent or an inserted letter. ]. eval at the end of the line is doubtless a perfect infinitive, ]kévat or ]xє́va.
20. av̉rts: this form is now admitted as Attic beside aṽ ts; cf. W-M's note in Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. 1907, p. 872.
22. I adopt Murray's \(\sigma \dot{v} v\), which is perhaps not inconsistent with the remains, though not at all convincing. \(\lambda a \kappa[\) Tiopaatos depending on \([\kappa] \lambda \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \omega\) is an alternative.

24-7. The sense seems to be 'But for some other evidence I should have supposed (or, "In other circumstances I should suppose") from such a conflict of sounds that you were mad'. Given the probable \(\phi\) in 1. 26, \(\left.\epsilon^{\prime}\right] \phi \eta[\nu\), as Murray suggests, is attractive; but I cannot reconcile the remains with \(\ddot{\epsilon} \phi \eta \nu \stackrel{a}{a} \nu\), and \(\phi a[i]_{1}[\nu\) is another possibility, e. g. aiv \([a \hat{i} \sigma \iota]\)
 is inadmissible before \(\dot{v} \mu \hat{i} s\), but \(\delta a u \neq \hat{\nu} \nu \omega \nu\) might serve. In \(1.24 a \lambda \lambda \omega s\), if that is right (the \(s\) is extremely doubtful), may be followed by \(\eta\) or \(\epsilon \ell\), and the letter after \(\kappa\) can be \(a\).
 well be \(\tau(\) or \(\gamma), \pi\) for \(\tau \iota\) is not possible. A fair sense, however, is obtainable with \({ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota \pi \sigma \in \epsilon_{i} \tau^{\prime}\), 'What will you do next to an innocent nymph?'
x. I-xi. 19. Chor. ' Deep-girded nymph, stay this wrath, for indeed no strife of hostile conflict approaches thee, nor methinks would any unfriendly or vain words from us touch thee. Do not thou be forward in reviling me, butgraciously disclose this thing, who is it who here below the ground uttered in such wondrous wise an awesome sound.

Cyll. This is now a gentler mood than before, and seeking thus will you more easily learn than by deeds of strength and attempts upon a hapless nymph. For it pleases me not thus to stir up shrill strife of words. Come, reveal and tell to me calmly what is the thing that ye chiefly need.

Chor. Queen of this region, mighty Cyllene, I will tell thee afterwards for what I came. But tell us of this voice which resounds and what mortal expresses himself therewith.

Cyll. You must know this clearly, that, if you reveal these words of mine, a penalty is in store for yourselves. For in the seats of the gods the deed is concealed in order that no tidings of the story may reach Hera. For Zeus came to the hidden dwelling of the daughter of Atlas . . . and in a lonely cave he begat a son, whom I nurse in my arms; for his mother's strength is shaken by illness. And I staying by the cradle prepare an infant's nurture, food and drink and rest, night and day. But he grows daily to a more than natural stature, so that I am seized by wonder and fear. For though he has been born not yet six days his limbs press on to the maturity of boyhood, and this shoot springs upward and tarries not. Such is the boy who is stored within; and he is still concealed by the command of his father. And the voice of which you ask, ringing out by an unseen instrument, and at which you were much amazed, he himself devised in a single day out of an upturned box ; such is the vessel brimful of delight which he fashioned out a dead beast and makes resound below.'
x. r-8. This short ode is no doubt in strophic correspondence with xi. 20-xii. r , where the number of lines is the same and so far as preserved they are metrically equivalent, xii. \(\mathbf{x}\), the one complete verse, coinciding with x. 8. The measure is predominantly cretic, with an iambic monometer (ll. \(1,2,4,6\) ) or dimeter (1.3) at the beginning of some of the lines; the last line ends with a brachycatalectic trochaic tetrapody (ithyphallic) ; cf. xiii. \(5^{-13}\), xiv. 20-6. The supplements at the ends of \(11.1-4\) were suggested by Murray; the restoration of 1.6 and partially of 1.7 is due to W-M.
1. \(\nu \dot{v} \mu \phi \eta\) : cf. xi. 20 ßon̂s, iii. 12 and xii. I \(\gamma \hat{\eta} \rho v \nu\), xiii. 5 ỏ \(\mu \phi \dot{\phi}\), forms which stand in
 inconsistencies unaltered.
2. \(\hat{v}\) of \(\tau 0 \hat{v} \delta^{\prime}\) was a later insertion, i. e. the original text combined tó \(\delta^{\circ}\) with \(\nu \epsilon\) îkos, which is quite intelligible.
6. \(\delta \epsilon\) of \(\mu \eta \delta \epsilon\) has been cancelled in the same way as in viii. 2 by a horizontal stroke over the letters.
8. This line, which was originally omitted, has been inserted by the corrector in the upper margin. Probably the note \({ }^{a} ⿲ \nu \omega \omega\) (' see above ') was added at the end of 1.7 .
\(9-13\). The restorations printed of the ends of these lines are substantially Murray's.
 But this is unnecessary if \(\theta \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu\) be regarded as the participle and the singular substituted for the plural; while I prefer \(\mu \hat{i} \lambda \lambda o \nu\) to piạov as softening the construction of the following genitives, which are equivalent to \(\eta\) with the dative (as e.g. Eurip. Cycl. 273-4 t \(\hat{\phi} \delta \epsilon\) tov
 For the former, to which the sign in the left margin is probably intended to draw attention, W-MI suggests \(\lambda a \kappa a \sigma \mu a ́ \tau[\omega] \nu\), and this would be an easy correction; but since \(\dot{a} \lambda \kappa a \dot{\zeta} \epsilon \iota \nu\) is attested both by the Etym. Magnum and Hesychius, it is hardly necessary, and \(\lambda\) áкаб \(\mu a\) itself would be äma \(\epsilon i \rho \eta \mu\)., though \(\lambda a \kappa a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu\) is used by Aeschylus. The recurrence in this play of
 is rightly restored in the latter passage, \(\dot{o} \rho \theta_{0}-\) would seem to have the sense of \(\dot{\partial} \rho \theta_{\iota o}-\). \(\left[o^{i}\right]_{k}\) in 1.12 is very uncertain, but perhaps preferable to, e. g., ov̉] \(\pi[\rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota\).
14. \(\mu[\dot{\eta}] \nu v \varepsilon^{\prime}[\mu \circ \iota \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) and Murray.
16. It is questionable whether the speaker here and in the following dialogue is Silenus or the Chorus. W-M would prefer the former, chiefly on account of xiv. \(\mathrm{r}^{-1} \mathrm{I}_{7}\), where see the note ; but xii. \(2-3\) and xiii. 14-16 distinctly point the other way. To give those lines to Silenus is practically to make him here Coryphaeus. It may also be noticed that in ix. \(9^{-13}\) Silenus (if he is meant by \(\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta \dot{\tau} \eta\) ) is apparently spoken of as if he were not present ; and a comic effect would be produced if, after his valiant protestations, when Cyllene actually emerged he beat a temporary retreat and left the Satyrs to cope with the situation. Pearson would get rid of Silenus rather sooner ; cf. the note on viii. I 5 .
18. The text is probably sound; Theon's v. l. looks like an attempted improvement.
19. סi [a]גapáббєтaє here appears to have the metaphorical sense acquired by \(\chi a \rho a к \tau \dot{\eta} \rho\), \&c., but I have found no other instance of such a use of the verb.
\({ }^{2} 5\)-7. The general sense is evident, but its precise expression is beyond recovery. In 1. 25 the initial \(\zeta\) is quite doubtful and may be \(\tau\); and \(\gamma\) of \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma \eta \eta \nu\), which was suggested by W-M, may also be \(\tau\). At the end of 1.26 Murray proposes \(\dot{a} \beta o v \lambda]\) \({ }^{\prime} \dot{v} \sigma a \tau o\), and the verse

xi. 2-3. Restored by W-M. Cf. Homer, H. Herm. 6-9.
5. \([\kappa \dot{a} \delta \bar{\delta} \sigma \tau] \dot{a}(\mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{M})\) is the natural correlation of \(\pi o \tau \hat{\eta} \tau a\) and need not occasion surprise in the case of such a prodigy. \([\kappa \dot{a} \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \tau] a\) would be preferable for a more ordinary infant of six days.
6. \(\lambda \iota \kappa \nu i ̂ t \iota s\) is a ämag eipquévov. On the use of the \(\lambda i \kappa \nu o \nu\) as a cradle cf. Miss Harrison's article in J.H.S. xxiii. pp. 294 sqq.
7. Compounds of \(\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \tau i \zeta \epsilon \in \nu\) seem not to occur; \([\hat{\xi} \xi \in v \theta] \in \tau i \zeta \omega\) is well-adapted to the space and, as W-M remarks, has the analogy of \(\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in v \tau \rho \in \pi i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu\), which is used by Euripides in \(E l .75\).
8. \(\delta \delta\), which is the obvious supplement, is admissible on the supposition that the \(\epsilon\) was unelided ; otherwise the space would not be filled.
9. A stop may have disappeared at the end of the line.

IO-II. oütw and [ \(\gamma\) vioct]s were restored by W-M. The latter, however, does not produce a very satisfactory line, since \(\pi\) auós, which has to be constructed with what follows, is awkward, and some supplement on which \(\pi a \iota \delta o ́ s\) would depend, like i \(\sigma \chi\) ús or \(\delta\) é \(\mu a s\), would have decided advantages. But it seems difficult to obtain this without altering ékrєфаб\(\mu^{\prime} \nu \quad[0]\) s, for I cannot regard Murray's suggestion [ \(\left.\pi \rho i \sigma \tau \eta\right]\) ] for \(\pi \rho \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \rho\) óooús as probable; neither is Pearson's [ \(\mu\) '́ \(\tau \rho o\) ]s (cf. Eur. Yon 354) convincing. By the marginal note a variant \(\epsilon_{\epsilon} \kappa т \eta \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \in а \nu \quad \pi є ф а \sigma \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu o s\) is presumably implied; but there is no reason for preferring this to what stands in the text.

12-13. \(\left[\kappa \dot{a} \xi_{o \rho}\right] \mu \varepsilon \nu i \xi \epsilon \iota \ldots[\beta \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \eta]=\) Soph. Fr. 294, preserved in Athen. ii. p. 62 f. and without the name of the play in Eustath. Il. p. 899. 17 , in both places with the reading \(\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \chi^{\circ} \lambda a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau a \iota\), for which Meineke proposed коűк \({ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota \sigma \chi{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}\)., a conjecture now confirmed by the papyrus. Of the variants \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \bar{\gamma} \epsilon \iota\) and \(\tau \rho \epsilon \in \phi \epsilon \iota\) the former seems preferable.
14. [ \(\left.\delta v \sigma \epsilon e^{\prime} \rho \epsilon\right]\) Tos, which Murray suggests, gives a good sense, though the supposed \(s\) is a little too far apart from the o. It is necessary to write \(\left[\tau^{\prime}\right.\), not \(\left[\delta^{\prime}\right.\), in order to account for the accent, which is clear. \([\epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma] \pi\) éos (Pearson) does not suit the remains.
\({ }^{1} 5-19\). The restoration of this first account of the lyre is very problematical. W-M's supplement in 1. r 8 and \(\delta[\nu v \epsilon i]\) at the end of l. y 9 look probable ; and a relatival construction in l. \({ }_{5} 5\), as desired by Murray, seems well suited to the run of the sentence. For the rest I only aim at an indication of the sense. At the beginning of 1 . \(\mathrm{I}_{5}\) the supposed tail of the \(\phi\) might be taken for an acute accent on the \(a\) below, but this is less likely, and \(a \phi[\) or \(a \psi[\) is practically certain. In l. 16 кає is followed by an upright stroke suiting \(\gamma, \kappa, \nu, \pi\), or \(\tau\). The word beginning with \(\kappa[\) in 1.17 is rather puzzling; neither \(\kappa[i \sigma \tau \eta s, \kappa[a \lambda \lambda \pi \eta s\) (Murray), nor \(\kappa\left[0 \hat{0} \gamma \eta \eta_{s}\right.\) is convincing, -any more than \({ }^{*}[\gamma \gamma\) os in l. 19.

A word must be added concerning the arrangement of the latter part of this column. The beginnings of ll. \(5^{-26}\) are on a detached fragment, and since the papyrus is broken immediately below the \(\epsilon\) of \({ }^{\epsilon} \in[\kappa \theta\) avóv \(]\) ros (?), there is the bare possibility that there was another line below this \(] \in\left[\right.\), in which case the beginnings of \(11 .{ }^{1} 5^{-2} 6\) would have to be moved a line lower down, ả \(\phi[\) corresponding with \(] s \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \operatorname{\mu ia}\), and so on. An additional verse in the much compressed account of the lyre might be thought no disadvantage, and the close conjunction of \(\pi a i s\) and \(\pi a v \delta 0\) [ in 11. \(20-\mathrm{r}\) would also be obviated. On the other hand the top of the column would not be level with Col. x but would protrude above it, and I am satisfied that the arrangement adopted is correct.
22. The supposed high stop may be the top of an inserted \(\iota\).
24. Jov is perhaps the end of the line.
26. ё \([k\) 日avóv \(]\) Tos, W-M ; cf. l. 18.
xii. 2-16. Cyll. 'Be not now faithless; faithful are the words of a goddess which greet your ear.

Chor. How can I believe that the voice of what is dead sounds so loudly ?
Cyll. Believe, for the beast received a voice by death, but in life was speechless.
Chor. What was his shape? Long, or curved, or short?
Cyll. Short like a pipkin, curved, with a dappled skin.
Chor. Is he to be compared to a cat or to a panther ?
Cyll. Something between, for he is round and short-legged.
Chor. Does he not resemble a lizard or a crab ?
Cy \(\%\) l. No, he is not like that either ; find some other guise.
Chor. Well, is his shape that of a horned beetle of Etna?
Cyll. Now you have nearly guessed what the animal most resembles.
Chor. Tell us what is the part that sounds, the inside or the outside.
Cyll. It is . . . of . . . skin, akin to a shell.

Chor. By what name do you call it ? Supply it if thou hast ought further to tell.
Cyll. The boy calls the beast a tortoise, and the part that sounds, a lyre.'
2 sqq. This use of iambic tetrameters in dialogue is unique in Attic drama. The neglect of diaeresis between the two halves of the verse is a noticeable feature. Murray observes that the same metre is possibly to be recognized in Soph. Fr. 672. With the enigmatical description of the tortoise cf. the griphus of Pacuvius, ap. Cic. De Divin. ii. 133 :

Quadrupes tardigrada, agrestis, humilis, aspera,
Capite brevi, cervice anguina, aspectu truci,
Eviscerata, inanima, cum animali sono.
See introd. p. 34.
 error for \(\zeta \omega \nu\), which is rightly supplied by the marginal note. How this continued is uncertain ; \(\nu \eta[\hat{v} \delta o s\), which is suggested by Mr. Allen, is plausible, but the form is unknown; \(\nu \epsilon[\) may also be read.
 occurs only here. \(\rho \iota \kappa \nu o u ̄ \sigma \theta a t\) is cited from the Ichneutae by Photius and Suidas (Soph. Fr. 295), the reference perhaps being to the present passage, although their interpretations of the word are inapposite here.
7. aiédovpos was known to be a Sophoclean form from the Berlin MS. of Photius lately edited by Reitzenstein, Anfang Lex. Phot.

The use of \(\tau \omega s\) for \(\dot{\omega} s\), which here does not admit of the same easy remedy as in ii. i2, is very remarkable. \(\tau \dot{\omega} s=\tilde{\omega} s\) is common in Epic poetry and also occurs in the lyrics of Aeschylus and in Soph. \(A j .8_{4} \mathrm{I}\), a passage generally regarded as spurious. Of \(\tau \omega \mathrm{s}=\dot{\omega} s\), \(u t\), the only examples adduced are Aristoph. Ach. 762 (Doric) and Aesch. S. c. Th. 637.
9. W-M's substitution of the nom. for the datives after \(\omega\) s is plainly necessary.
 \(\omega \ddot{\omega} \tau \tau, \& \mathrm{c}\).
 Pax 73 Aitvaîov \(\mu\) '́ \(\gamma \iota \sigma\) tov kávөapò with the scholia thereon, whence the Sophoclean fragment is derived.
14. Jopıv seems to be a compound of \(\rho\) рıós formed in the same way as кобкıдó \(\iota \nu\) os, which is cited by Hesychius, although a compound of this kind would not be expected to have three terminations. op \(\epsilon \nu \eta\) was written by the copyist, but over the \(\epsilon\) there is a clear dot which was presumably intended to cancel it ; ojeєın' would be a suitable epithet of the
 shell in this context, and a reference to the shell is expected from the question in 1 . I 3. At the end of the verse neither the text nor the marginal variant is intelligible; the former,
 appears probable that \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu\) ó \(\sigma \tau \rho a ́ к \omega \nu\) was the original reading; cf. H. Herm. \(3^{2-3}\) пó \(\theta \in \nu \tau\) тóoє
 whole animal and not only the shell, ó \(\epsilon i \nu \eta\) and ojotр' \(\epsilon \nu\) will make the better antithesis.

I \(5 . \pi \lambda\left[\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}\right] o \nu\) : or possibly \(\pi a[\rho] o ́ v\).
16. [тòv. . . र́є́入v̀ Murray.
18. sqq. Cf, the description of the lyre in H. Herm. 47 sqq., Lucian, Deor. Dialog. 7. 4. ঠє́ppa probably refers to the cow's hide; cf. xiii. 21-4 and xiv. 24. For the next word \(\kappa[\omega] \sigma \tau \rho[a \kappa \circ \nu\), which W-MI suggests, is possible.

Where the stichomythia stops is uncertain; it mas extend a few lines beyond this point.
19. \(\kappa \lambda a \gamma \gamma \dot{\alpha}[\nu \epsilon \omega\) is used by Soph. Fr. 874. 4 of birds.

24．］\(\quad \mu \mu a r \omega[\) might well be \(\dot{\alpha} \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega[\nu\) ，for which the corrector wished to substitute \(\delta \mu \mu a i \tau \omega[\nu . \quad\) Too little of the \(a\) is preserved to show whether there was a deletion．
xiii．I－16．Cyll．＇．．．And this is an assuagement of pain and refreshment to him alone，and he delights in the mad joy and in singing an accompaniment of song；for he is exalted by the cunning device of the lyre．Thus did the boy design a voice for a dead beast．

Chor．A loud voice goes forth over the land，and through its tones culls clear images of the scene（？）．But the point to which step by step I bring the matter is，know that the deity，whoever he is，who invented this，he and none other is the thief，lady，for sure．But be not enraged nor wrathful at this．

Cyll．What delusion possesses you？Whom do you revile for theft ？
Chor．By Zeus，lady，I would not vex thee．
Chor．Do you call the son of Zeus a robber ？＇
1．üкєбтроע as a synonym of ф́िpдакод is attributed by Hesychius to the Palamedes of


2－3．The nymph does not seem to have taken much pleasure in Hermes＇musical


 of the line W－M＇s \(\mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda\) os is better than \({ }^{\prime \prime} \pi o s\), of which I had thought．

3．aiò̀ı \(\sigma\) a ：cf．Soph．Fr． \(826 \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}\) aiồı \(\zeta_{\epsilon}\) тaûta（Schol．Theocr．i．56），and Bekk．


5－7．Lines 5 －I I evidently correspond to xiv．20－6，as x．I－8 did to xi．20－xii．I，and the metrical scheme is of the same character as before．In 1.5 o \(\frac{\text { a a aктоs is a vox nihili，and }}{}\) a comparison of xiv． 20 indicates that a syllable is missing．W－M suggests àmpo廿á入aктоs， Murray ópoo廿á入aктos，of which I adopt the latter partly because there is already evidence for that compound（ x .13 ），partly because it would perhaps lend itself rather more readily to the corruption．A further defect is disclosed at the beginning of 1.6 ，where the papyrus gives a spondee instead of a cretic．The mark of length on \(\pi \rho \in \pi \tau\) á，which was accordingly constructed with \(\delta \mu \phi \dot{\eta}\) ，is thus suspect，and becomes further discredited through W－M＇s apt reference to Hesych．\(\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \tau\) á фо⿱亠乂́á \(\sigma \mu a \tau a\) ，єiкóves，which appears to relate to this very passage．I have therefore inserted \(\delta^{\prime} a \hat{v}\) ，which removes the asyndeton and might rather easily have dropped out before \(\delta t a ́\) ．

But though metrical regularity may be restored without difficulty，11．6－7 remain not a little obscure．\(\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \nu \theta \epsilon \mu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu\) does not occur，but \(\dot{a} \nu \theta \epsilon \mu i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a t\) is used by Aeschylus，Suppl． 73
 plucks local images＇might be interpreted as meaning that the scenery was depicted by the song；if Hermes was singing as well as playing，this mode of description is perhaps not

 the first time could not be credited with perceptions of this kind．Or possibly \(\epsilon \pi a \nu \theta \epsilon \mu i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon\) is
 from flower to flower．In any case the active form，and not Theon＇s variant ímav \(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\epsilon \mu i} \xi_{\epsilon \tau a t}\) is shown by xiv． 23 to be correct．

Line 6 originally protruded slightly beyond 11.7 sqq．and the irregularity has been removed by the corrector，who washed out \(\pi\) and converted the \(\rho\) to \(\pi\) ，interlineating another \(\rho\) ． Why the scribe wrote the line thus is not clear．The simplest explanation perhaps is that
he inadvertently ranged it with \(\chi 0\) ( \(\rho o s\) s) instead of with очалактos, and then observing the mistake put the rest of the ode in its right position. The objection to this is that the first hand is not elsewhere responsible for the dramatis personae. Owing to a hole in the papyrus at this point both the hand and the reading are uncertain.
8. \(v\) of ourt \(\rho\) has been enclosed, by the second hand no doubt, between two dots, but a long syllable is demanded by the metre. It is perhaps unnecessary to emend to oijep; cf. Kühner-Gerth, i. p. 545 .
\(9-10\). The construction is changed, the sentence having begun as if oٌ ofta rò̀ \(\kappa \lambda о \pi \epsilon \alpha\) was to follow.

12-13. Line 13 is unsatisfactory both metrically and because \(\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon}\) is expected. One easy method of correction is to insert \(\mu \eta\) between \(\dot{\epsilon} \mu o i\) and \(\delta \dot{\epsilon}\), which produces an iambic dimeter + a cretic (cf. x. 3) followed by an ithyphallicum. W-M however prefers to emend \(\dot{\epsilon} \mu o i \delta \delta \epsilon\) to \(\mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta}\), regarding ll. \(\mathbf{1 2 - 1} 3\) not as forming part of the strophe but as a catalectic iambic tetrameter closing the foregoing tetrametric series. The question is not decided by the antistrophe in Col. xiv, since the papyrus is defective after the line corresponding to l. II. \(\delta v \sigma \phi o \rho \eta \theta \hat{\eta} s(\) not \(-\sigma \eta s)\) is noticeable ; cf. the v. I. \(\delta v \sigma \phi \circ \rho o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s\) in Xen. Cyr. ii. 2. 5.

I5. Restored by W-M ; the slight remains of the letter before \(\epsilon\) t \(a\) are quite consistent

 image.
 is hardly long enough to fill the space. In the spelling \(\phi\langle\lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \nu\) the papyrus repeats a common error ; cf. 1084. 3, note.
17. \(\kappa \lambda o[\pi \hat{\eta}\) here perhaps has a concrete sense similar to that given to the plural



20-4. The first 20 lines of Col. xiv are occupied by a speech of Cyllene, but at what point this commenced is uncertain. There is no clear evidence that the stichomythia
 Chorus or Cyllene, according as the sentence is supposed to have been positive or negative. Lines 21-4 apparently relate to the cowhide as evidence of the theft, and if the hide had been referred to in Col. xii (cf. note on xii. 18), all these lines might perhaps belong to the Chorus ; but in any case Cyllene's long speech is not likely to have begun more than a line or two before xiv. \(\mathbf{I}\).

In l. 24 the supposed \(\phi\) is very doubtful; what has been taken to represent the top of it may be e.g. part of an acute accent.
xiv. \(\mathbf{I - 3}\). W-M supposes the meaning of these lines to have been 'I now see that I was wrong in supposing that I was being made the object of just a harmless jest '. This however is uncertain, for an admission of error is not necessarily implied by the context, and the sense may be more simply ' I see that you are merely amusing yourselves at my expense ; that is all very well, but don't include the boy'.

4-26. 'For the future with tranquillity so far as regards me, if it gives you pleasure or you think to gain, laugh and make your heart glad as you will. But mock not at the boy, who is of a surety the son of Zeus, bringing a novel tale against a new-born child. For he inherits not from his father a thievish nature, nor does theft prevail in his mother's stock. If then there is a theft, seek the thief in a man who is needy and poor; but in the boy's house is no hunger. Look at his birth, fasten the crime wherever it is due, but on him it is not meet to fasten it. Nay, you are ever a child; for though you are a young man with beard
full-grown you revel as a goat in the thistles. Cease courting pleasure with your bald pate. Will not the utterer of foolish jests anon be caused by the gods to weep? So I think.

Chor. Turn and twist with thy tales, find what polished legend thou wilt; for of this thou wilt not persuade me, that he who wrought this hide-fastened thing stole the skin from other kine than those of Loxias. Draw me not away from this path.'

4-10. A restoration exempli gratia of 11.5 -10 has been made by \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\), and I have added a provisional completion of 1.4. The supplements in II. 7 and 9 were also proposed
 run a free course if it is confined to Cyllene. In 1.8 I have substituted \(\pi o l]_{\bar{\omega} \nu}\) for W-M's \(\pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma]_{\omega} \nu\), which is too long with \(\sigma x \omega \bar{\omega} \pi \tau \epsilon\).
12. \(\pi\) avâ is unintelligible, and \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) plausibly emends this to \(\pi \epsilon \nu \hat{a}\), i. e. \(\pi \epsilon \nu \nu \bar{\eta}\), according to the Attic spelling. With the corrector's \(\delta \delta^{\circ}\) for \(\tau\) a good sense is thus obtained; his rough breathing instead of a smooth was perhaps a mere slip. Pearson suggests as an





I 5 . \(\epsilon i \sigma \dot{v}\) is an easy correction of etvt : the \(\sigma\) shows signs of alteration. For \(\nu\) éos \(W-M\) would substitute \(\pi\) aida, which is certainly more consistent with the tenor of the sentence as well as with l. 17. \(\nu\) éos could have come in as a gloss on \(\pi\) uis ; that some difficulty was felt about it might possibly be inferred from the erratic punctuation. But a young Satyr may very well be \(\pi \dot{\omega} \gamma \omega \nu \iota \theta \dot{\theta} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu\), and baldness, though no doubt a characteristic of the Papposilenus (cf. Eurip. Cycl. 227), is in Satyrs not necessarily a sign of age ; it will suffice to refer to the well-known Brygos vase (Brit. Mus. E \(6_{5}\) ). Miss Harrison, to whom I am indebted for some information on this point, writes 'Practically the young Satyrs are as often bald as haired'. Cf. also Eurip. Cycl. 434. I have therefore considered it safer to leave the text as it stands, more particularly since this is consistent with what appears to be the more natural attribution of xii. 3 sqq. and xiii. 15 sqq.; cf. the note on \(x\). 16 .

Whether the short horizontal stroke at the end of this line has any significance is doubtful.
 in MSS. of Theophrastus and elsewhere.

18-19. This is a difficult couplet. The last seven letters of 1.18 were inserted by the corrector, who probably washed out some previous writing, though no legible trace of it remains. The latter part of 1. i9 as originally written makes no sense, nor does the interlinear \(\tau\) improve matters ; a suitable construction is, however, supplied by the marginal adscript. But the passage is still hardly satisfactory, though not impossible, since the result of an action is sometimes expressed as a purpose and \(\dot{\omega} \mathrm{s} \dot{\epsilon} \hat{\gamma} \dot{\omega} \gamma_{\epsilon} \epsilon \hat{\omega}\) might thus be interpreted as practically meaning 'and then I shall laugh'. The metathesis adopted of \(\gamma\) and \(\lambda\) is, however, a very gentle remedy; additional clearness might perhaps be obtained by the
 at the end of 1.19.

2I. \(\theta \in \lambda\) ots was the reading of the first hand ; the corrector has written \(\epsilon\) over the o and turned the \(\iota\) into s, deleting the original s both by a dot above and crossing the letter through. änóqnkros, 'well-groomed,' i. e. elaborate, is a new adjective, as is also

23. The correct reading is again given in the margin. An additional syllable required at the beginning of line to restore correspondence with xiii. 8 is easily obtained by writing \(\ddot{\Delta \pi} \pi \omega\) (so W-MI and Murray).
\(25 \cdot \hat{\eta}]^{’} \pi \dot{\prime}\) W-M.
xv. 1-6. This small detached fragment is apparently stichomythic and is suitably placed in the upper part of Col. xv. Perhaps 11. 6 and 7 should be combined. In l. 2 the vestige from the bottom of a letter before \(a\) suits a \(\pi\), but is very indecisive. The restorations in 11. \(3^{-6}\) attempt to indicate the purport of the passage.

I 5 . The scanty remains suggest \(\pi o[v]\) rather than \(\pi \omega[s\). Boes might well be read, but
 the doubtful letter is perhaps not inconsistent with an \(a\); but the form 乃oous is also questionable. In front of this line there are some inkmarks which may be read as e. g. a \(\sigma\) with a dot above, but their meaning is quite dubious.
18. The alteration of \(\delta \delta^{\prime}\) to ois, which is proposed by both \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) and Murray, is an improvement. \(\boldsymbol{o}^{\prime \prime} \delta^{\prime}\) is a correction by the second hand from to \(\delta\).
19. There is no reason for preferring the v.l. \(\pi\) avov to \(\pi a v \hat{\sigma} a \iota\). W-M's emendation of tov̂ to róv produces a normal attributive genitive. Parallels to rov̂ here are however not


2 I. After completing this line, for the form of which cf. Soph. Antig. 573 ä zav \(\gamma \epsilon\)
 to both W-M and Murray.
22. Perhaps [àno] \(\lambda \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon\), as Murray suggests. The letter following is either \(\gamma\) or \(\pi\), and the next possibly \(\eta\). Between \(v[\) and \(\epsilon] \xi \in \lambda a v \nu\) there would only be room for a narrow letter, e. g. \(\sigma\).
xvi. The position of the fragment containing the remains of Cols. xvi and xvii is unfortunately a matter of doubt. After some hesitation I have rejected the hypothesis, to which I was at one time inclined, that Cols, xv and xvi should be combined. What chiefly suggested that view was the coincidence that ]pos in the third line of the scholium is on a level with xv . 18, where \(\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta}\) has been altered to o \(\delta\); and hence a marginal variant \(\epsilon^{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda д \mu \mu\) évos on an original \(\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu O \nu\) would be very natural. The further possibility then presented itself that the mutilated first word in xv. 16 might be \(\pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon\) ' \(o v o s\), to which 1. I of the scholium would refer. To this, however, there are grave palaeographical objections, for even if the exiguous traces were consistent with ] \(\epsilon\), as I think they are not, the space between these letters and \(\pi\) is too narrow for \(\epsilon \lambda\) as ordinarily written. That \(\pi] \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \theta\) oos \(\beta\) o \(\omega \nu\) would stand slightly below 1. i 6 is a minor matter. When to these external considerations are added (1) the wide difference which would have to be supposed between the variant and the text, if \(\pi] \in \lambda \in \theta o i s ~ \beta o \hat{\omega} \nu\) referred to 1 . 16 , and (2) the difficulty urged by W-M, that more than the \(\mathbf{1 0 - 1 I}\) lines which would intervene between xv .22 and \(x\) vii. 5 seem to be needed to bring about the discomfiture of the nymph, who is still stoutly maintaining her position at the end of Col. xv and might be expected to make a speech of some length before her disappearance,-the case for the combination of Cols. xv and xvi cannot seriously be defended. Whether more than a single column of text intervened between Col. xv and Col. xvii is indeterminable; but it is well to make the gap as slight as possible, and quite legitimate to suppose that Cols. xv and xvi were consecutive.
xvii. 1-4. Enough of the margin above 1. 5 is preserved to show that the four preceding lines were indented like 11. 8-9.

5-7. So far as they go these lines correspond metrically with 10-12. Their rhythm is like that of x . I sqq. and xiii. 5 sqq.

In I. 5 some vestiges of ink above the letters deleted after the second \(o v\) are regarded as representing a paragraphus, but they might be remains of letters inserted above the line. The mark following the interlinear \(\tau\) in 1.6 might be taken for \(\iota\), but a dot.is expected on
both sides of the \(\tau\), and \(\tau i\) here would be difficult. A short oblique stroke between \(\phi \eta\) and the next letter (which may be \(\gamma\) ) is possibly meant for a high stop.
13. [ \(\tau i\) ] vivv [ is improbable.

19-20. Some inkmarks in the margin here are very likely accidental.
Frs. 1-10. These small pieces accompanied the earlier columns of the papyrus and are shown to come from the lower portions by their worm-eaten appearance ; they are likely to belong for the most part to Cols. i-ii.

Fr. 1 being part of a dialogue must be from the bottom of Col. ii, and is to be referred to \(11.24-5\) or \(25-6\), if the small fragment containing the letters \(\tau a[\) is rightly placed in 1.23.

Fr. 2. This fragment cannot, I think, be placed so that the ob in l. 2 forms part of the first o of öन \(\sigma o \iota \sigma \iota\) in ii. 20.

Frs. 11-18. Col. ix rather than Col. x is probably the source of these small decayed fragments.

Fr. 21. If \(\gamma v \nu\left[\right.\) is the vocative \(\gamma_{v} v_{[a t}\), as its position near the end of the line suggests, this fragment may well belong to Col. xv, more especially if it is the top of a column. The stop after \(\epsilon\) in 1.2 is doubtful, and might be part of a \(v\) which need not be the end of the line.

Fr. 22. Col. xvii is rather suggested by the appearance of the fragment.
Frs. \(23(a)\) and (b). These two fragments are brought into connexion by the scholium in the upper margin, but there is no direct junction.

Frs. 26-7. I am unable to find a likely place for either of these pieces, both of which are from the top of a column, in Col. iii.

Frs. 34-5. These fragments do not appear to belong to any of the choral parts in Cols. \(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{xv}\). In \(\mathrm{Fr} .35 \cdot 3 \tau] o \hat{v}\) aavó [voos is possible, but it is improbable that the fragment came from the bottom of Col. xi.

\section*{1175. Sophocles, Eurypylus.}

Fr. \(514.2 \times 32.5 \mathrm{~cm}\). Late second century. Plates III-IV (Frs. 3, 5, 6, 79, 80, 91, 94).
These fragments of a tragedy, as explained in the introduction to \(\mathbf{1 1 7 4}\), come from a MS. which was apparently designed to be uniform with that papyrus. The height of the columns is the same, the hand though varying sometimes in size is identical, accents, \&c., have been inserted in the same manner, and the same corrector has added variants similar in character to those in 1174. That the two dramas were included in a single roll is however unlikely, since this would involve a roll of abnormal length, if the tragedy was of ordinary compass. The columns of \(\mathrm{Fr}^{2} .5\) contain one or two lines more than is usual in 1174, but this is due to a reduction in the size of the script. The ink also in those columns
is blacker than generally in the Ichneutac papyrus, but in other fragments, e. g. Frs. 4, 6, 9-40, it is of the same reddish-brown colour as there.

There is thus a prima facic probability that the tragedian is Sophocles; and internal evidence raises the probability to a practical certainty. The style is hardly to be mistaken, and to clinch the argument a coincidence occurs at Fr. 5. i. 9 with an extant Sophoclean fragment. But that fragment is not referred to any particular play, and to determine this is not quite so easy. Its subject however is evident: it was concerned with the Trojan War and related the death of Eurypylus at the hands of Neoptolemus. This event is reported by a messenger in Fr. 5, and his account is addressed to a woman (ii. II фvpráv, cf. 6), who laments her loss and blames herself for the occurrence. She must therefore be Eurypylus' mother Astyoche, sister of Priam and wife of Telephus, who had been induced by the gift of the golden vine to allow her son to go from Mysia to the assistance of the Trojans (Schol. Homer \(\lambda\) 520, Quintus Smyrn. vi. 135 sqq. ; cf. v. Wilamowitz, Hom. Uutersuch. p. 152). Of the known titles of plays by Sophocles, though there are several, e.g. the Phrygians, which vaguely imply a Trojan theme, only one is at all suggestive of this particular story, the Mysians. So far as the papyrus goes, a Mysian Chorus is quite possible. But one of the extant fragments of the Mvooi (377) indicates that the scene of that play was laid in Mysia, whereas in the papyrus the scene, as would rather be expected, appears to be Troy (see below). To suppose that the queen accompanied her son thither would fall in with the tradition which represents her as taken into captivity with the other daughters of Laomedon at the end of the war (Tzetzes, \(L y c .92\) I. 1075). If, however, a new title has to be found, the most obvious is the name of the hero whose death was such a prominent incident. Moreover, there is already some slight independent evidence for the attribution of an Eurypylus to Sophocles. A play so called is mentioned by Aristotle, Poetics, p. 1459 b, among others based upon the Little Iliad (cf. Schol. Eurip. Tro. 822) ; and a guarded suggestion that the author was Sophocles was put forward by T. Tyrwhitt, Arist. Poet. p. 191, on the strength of Plutarch, De cohib. ira, c. Io (Soph. Fr. 768), which proved that a play of his dealt with the combat of Eurypylus and Neoptolemus; cf. the note below on Fr. 5. i. 9-10. But this shrewd guess (the reference to which I owe to Wilamowitz) rested on rather slender foundations, and has received scant attention ; Nauck passes it unnoticed. Nevertheless it seems to have hit the truth; at any rate the Eurypylus is a most suitable title for the fragments before us, and this accordingly is provisionally adopted.

The papyrus is in a deplorable condition, which is the more unfortunate because the remains indicate a play of much originality and interest. Of one peculiar feature there is no doubt, the division of the messenger's report into
two parts, divided by a short interlude in which Astyoche expresses her grief, and is answered in brief lyric passages by the Chorus (Fr. 5. ii. 2-20). Fr. 6 with little doubt followed on the conclusion of the messenger's speech, and seems to be part of a longer and more elaborate commos between the Chorus and the bereaved queen. In Frs. 7 and 8 references may be recognized to arrangements for the burial of Eurypylus (Fr. 7. 3-7, Fr. 8. ii. 8), and those pieces with Fr. 9, which may well belong to the same context (1l. 5, 7), are suitably assigned to a succeeding scene. A few fragments on the other hand may be supposed to precede Fr. 5, though their position is more hypothetical. In Frs. I and 3 there is a rapid dialogue, and Wilamowitz suggests that the speakers are Eurypylus and Neoptolemus (cf. Fr. i. 8 £кข́pov), engaging in the altercation which was the usual antecedent of the heroic duel (cf. Quintus Smyrn. viii. \(13^{8}\) sqq.). This, if correct, would seem to involve another singularity of structure, for Eurypylus and Neoptolemus could hardly meet except on the battleficld, whereas Astyoche would naturally be kept in the city. it is, however, quite uncertain that Neoptolemus was introduced here. The reference to Scyros can easily have been made by some other person, and Fr .3 is capable of a quite different interpretation ; cf. the note on \(11.4-6\). Fr. 2 is doubtfully grouped with Frs. I and 3. Fr. 4 is perhaps concerned with the preliminaries of the contest (11. 10-11). Further back the papyrus fails to carry us. In the Tabula Iliaca the representation of the death of Eurypylus is preceded by a scene in which two men stand before an altar. Wilamowitz has conjectured (Isyllos, p. \(48^{8}\) ) that the hero is there promising deliverance to the Trojans, and something of the sort may be supposed to have occurred in the earlier part of Sophocles' drama; but this is only guesswork.

In the arrangement of the remaining fragments, whose contents provide no real clue to their order, the main principle has been their appearance. Frs. 9-40, with Frs. 4, 6, and 7, are distinguished by a comparative smallness in the size of the letters and the light colour of the ink; Frs. \(76-7\), which were found separately, are akin to that group. In Frs. \(4 \mathrm{I}-75\) and 78 , as in Frs. I-3 and 8, the hand tends to be larger and the ink blacker. A further increase in size, accompanied for the most part by a brown ink, is seen in Frs. 79-107, Frs. 91 sqq. being marked off by the uprightness of the writing ; Fr. 64 should perhaps be put in the latter class. That all these belong to the Eurypylus is by no means certain or even probable. Some of them, as stated in the introduction to 1174, may come from the Ichncutae, others from another source. The only substantial picce, apart from those already considered, is Fr . 91. In 1.4 some one is told to start with speed on some errand; subsequently a lady of rank (Astyoche?) is addressed by the Chorus, and an allusion made to the departure of a stranger.

Further on Agamemnon is mentioned, apparently as waiting in the distance (11. 22-3) ; and Wilamowitz suggests that he was expecting the stranger, now recognized to have been a spy. The situation might thus have been something like that of the Rhesus, and there is no difficulty in attributing such a scene to the present play, for which the story of the death of Eurypylus by itself perhaps hardly provided sufficient material. On the other hand, since the connexion of the scene with that story is not clear, and the evidence of the script is indecisive, the attribution of Fr. 91 and the associated smaller pieces to the Eurypylus must be made with a certain reserve. Fr. 95, which contains some colourless reflections on the instability of fortune, gives no assistance.

In addition to the lection-signs noted in connexion with 1174, a curved ligature connecting parts of words is twice used (Fr. 5. ii. 3, iii. II ; cf. e.g. 841, 852, 1082). This is the complement of the diastole, employed to separate words, which occurs in several places (Fr. 3. 4, Fr. 5. iii. 10, 11, Fr. 6. 12). An oxytone word is sometimes given an acute accent on the final syllable instead of a grave on the penultimate (Fr. 5. ii. 24, iii. 11 ; cf. 1082. Fr. 3. ii. 2).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Fr. 1. & & Fr. 2. \\
\hline & a! [ & & \(] \nu \mu \eta \nu \gamma \in \nu\) o[ \\
\hline & \(\epsilon \rho \omega\) [ & &  \\
\hline \(\times \quad \chi\) & \(\chi^{\alpha \lambda \lambda i}\) & & ]отоукако[ \\
\hline & \(\epsilon \lambda \theta \circ \nu[\) & & ]ritoutov. [ \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{5} & \(\alpha ข \tau о ́ \sigma \sigma[\) & 5 & ] \\
\hline & \(\underline{\epsilon l \tau] ~} \omega^{\prime}\) & & \(] \epsilon \gamma \omega\) \\
\hline & \(\pi o t a \delta \in[\) & & \(1 p \alpha\) \\
\hline & бкข \({ }^{\text {cove [ }}\) & & \\
\hline & тобoi[ & & \\
\hline 10 & \(\pi 0 \lambda[\) & & Fr. 3. Plate IV. \\
\hline  & \(\alpha \lambda \lambda[\) & & \\
\hline ] \(\mathrm{l}_{\text {¢ }}\) & \(\epsilon \mu \alpha[\) & & \\
\hline & \(\overline{\tau[.]} \omega[\) & & \(\alpha \mu \epsilon[\) \\
\hline & J.] \({ }^{\text {c }}\) & & \(\phi \eta \mu \eta \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha[\) \\
\hline & \(\cdot[\cdot] \cdot \gamma \alpha[\) & & \(\overline{\epsilon \delta \epsilon} \xi \sim \mu \eta \nu \tau[\) \\
\hline \({ }^{1} 5\) & \(\lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon[\) & & кора \(\hat{\xi}, \epsilon \pi \bar{\alpha} \iota \delta[\) \\
\hline & \(\overline{\epsilon \lambda \theta}\) O\(\nu \tau[\) & 5 & apı \(\sigma \tau 0 \sigma \omega \delta \nu\). [ \\
\hline & \(\mu 0 \nu 0 v[\) & & \(\kappa \rho \alpha \zeta \epsilon \iota \theta v \eta \lambda \eta[\) \\
\hline & 入oyoı \(\sigma\) [ & & \(\lambda \tau \mathfrak{T i d ' o u v v o \sigma \omega \sigma \delta ¢ [ ~}\) \\
\hline & & & \(\epsilon \rho \gamma\) оитıঠєi入o . [ \\
\hline & & & \(\overline{\alpha[\cdot]} \lambda\) out \(\mu \eta \sigma \sigma \lambda \lambda\) \\
\hline & & 10 &  \\
\hline & & &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 4.
\[
\begin{array}{cc} 
& ] \sigma \delta[ \\
\cdot[ \\
& ] \eta \sigma \epsilon \phi[ \\
& ] \lambda \eta \delta \alpha[ \\
& ] \gamma \alpha \iota \alpha \kappa[ \\
5 & ] \eta \eta \gamma \epsilon \theta^{\prime} v \mu[ \\
& ] \varrho v \iota \alpha \sigma \sigma[
\end{array}
\]

Fr． 1.
（A）\(\alpha \iota[\) \(\dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega[\)
\(\chi^{\alpha \lambda}<[\nu 0\)
\(\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta o \circ \nu[\tau\)

5

10
\(\mathbf{I}_{5}\)
аữós \(\sigma[\epsilon\)
єi丁］
（B）Toía \(\delta^{e}\) é
इкúpou t［
（A）Toool［

\(\pi o \lambda[\)
（B）\(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda[\) \(\dot{\epsilon} \mu \alpha[\)
（A）\(T[\rho] \omega[\)
（B）．［．］．\(\gamma \dot{\alpha}[\rho\)
（A）\(\lambda \in i \pi \pi \in[\iota\)
（B） \(\mathfrak{\epsilon} \lambda \theta\) Óv \(\nu[\)
（A）俈оу［
（B）入óroıs \(\mu\)［

Fir． 2.

\(T \eta \lambda \epsilon ́] \phi о v \delta \alpha ́ к \nu \epsilon[\iota\)
т \(\rho]\) о́тор како［
］Tí тoûto；\(\nu[\)
5 ］
\(] \epsilon \gamma \omega\)
lp \(\alpha\)

Fr．3．Plate IV．
（A）\(\alpha \mu \epsilon[\)
\(\phi \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \quad a[\)
（B）\({ }^{\epsilon} \delta \epsilon \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu \tau[\)

5 （A）वै \(\rho \iota \sigma \tau o s, \dot{\omega} \delta \dot{v} \sigma[\tau \eta \nu \epsilon\)（？）
\(\kappa \rho \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \quad \theta v \eta \lambda \eta[\)
（B）\(\tau i \delta^{\prime}\) oûv ò \(\sigma \hat{\omega} s ~ \delta[\)

（A）\(\dot{\alpha}[\lambda] \lambda^{\prime}\) ov̉ \(\tau \iota \mu \eta \quad \sigma v \lambda[\)
\(10 \quad[\phi i j \lambda \omega \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \kappa \eta \delta[\grave{\eta}] s\) ．［
\([\dot{\alpha} \lambda] \lambda^{\prime} \quad \dot{\eta} \xi[\)

Fr． 4 ．
］\(\sigma \delta[\)
\(] \eta \sigma \epsilon \phi[\)
\(] \lambda \eta \delta \alpha[\)
］\(\gamma \alpha i \alpha \kappa[\)
\(] \eta \dot{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu[\)
（？）K］ovias \(\sigma[\)

\section*{Fr. 5. Plate III. \\ Col. i.}
\(j \lambda \in \Phi^{\circ}\)
] \(\sigma\)
]
]
]! \(\quad\) rau
]прабн \({ }^{\circ}\)
\(] \sigma a[\quad]\)
] \(\eta \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha!\times[\) ]
\(] \kappa \alpha \beta \in \beta \lambda \eta \mu[\) ]
] \(\alpha \lambda \kappa \epsilon \omega \nu 0 \pi \lambda \omega \nu\)
] \(\sigma\). [. .] \(] \tau \tau \epsilon \rho o v\).
] \(\alpha \nu \in \nu \delta о \rho о \sigma\)
] \(\lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota\)
] \(\mu a \tau \iota^{-}\)
] \(\nu \pi \rho o \sigma o v \rho \alpha \nu[. .\).
\(1 \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \alpha \xi \epsilon \tau 0\)
] \(\rho \gamma \alpha \nu \omega \nu \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota\)
] \(\alpha \lambda \lambda є \iota \chi є \rho \sigma \sigma\)
. \(\gamma \mu \alpha \tau о \sigma \phi \nu \gamma \omega \nu\)
]бסороб
20

]. \(\iota \alpha \iota \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega\)


Fr．5．Plate III．
Col．i．

\([\dot{\epsilon} \rho \rho \eta \xi \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta \nu\)＇́s ки́кла \(\chi] a \lambda \kappa \epsilon \in \omega \nu\) ö \(\pi \lambda \omega \nu^{\prime}\)

］\({ }_{\alpha} \nu \in \cup\) סopòs
\(\pi \alpha] \lambda \alpha i ́ \sigma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu\)
］\(\mu \alpha \tau \iota \cdot\)
］\(\nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o u ̉ \rho \alpha \nu[\stackrel{o}{\nu} \nu]\)
］\(\delta^{\prime \prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau о\)
ỏ］\(\rho \gamma \alpha ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad \sigma \tau \in ́ \nu \epsilon \iota\)
\(\pi] a ́ \lambda \lambda \in \iota \quad \chi \in \rho o ̀ s\) ］үرатоs фvү⿳亠丷厂 ］s Sopòs

］．\(\tau \alpha \iota \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega\)
] \(\rho \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \tau \omega\)
] \(\alpha \tau \omega \nu \phi \alpha \sigma \sigma\)
] \(\chi^{\iota} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \omega \sigma\)
] \(0 v \sigma \delta^{\prime} i \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \eta\)
] \(\eta \lambda \epsilon \phi \circ \underset{\lambda}{ } \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega^{*}\)
, -] \(\alpha \sigma \alpha \tau[\).\(] .\)


\section*{Col. ii. Plate III.}
\(\kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon i ̂ \lambda^{\prime} о \sigma \omega \tau \alpha[. \cdot] \eta v \rho\). [
ototor[. .]
\(\delta \iota \pi \lambda o v \sigma \alpha \nu \in \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \alpha \xi[. . . . . . . . . .] p a.[. . .\).

\(\rho a ̆ \nu \cdot \epsilon \pi[. . . . . . . . . . . . . ..] \mu o \sigma!̈!\delta \epsilon \tau \in \kappa \nu \omega \nu[\)
\(\tau \rho \iota \gamma \eta \nu \overline{\delta \epsilon \pi} \epsilon \mu[\cdot . . . . . . . . ..] \kappa[\cdot] \gamma \alpha \rho o v \nu\)

\(\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \iota \omega \nu \phi \rho \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \epsilon \xi \epsilon \delta \nu \sigma\).
\(\omega \delta \alpha \iota \mu о \nu \omega \delta \nu \sigma \delta \alpha \iota \mu о \nu \omega \kappa \kappa ́ \epsilon \rho \alpha \sigma[.] \mu \epsilon\)
\(\alpha \gamma \chi 0 \cup \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha \sigma^{*} 0 v \gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \tau 0 \sigma \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \sigma\) \(\tau\)

\(\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \epsilon \ell \iota \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon\)
\(\delta_{\iota \kappa}\) а̄ıval.
\(\alpha \lambda \overline{\lambda \bar{\omega}} \sigma \tau \alpha \chi \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime} \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \quad \eta \tau a x \iota \sigma \tau \eta a \rho \iota \sigma \pi \eta[\)
\(\epsilon \epsilon\)
\(\tau \iota \phi \eta \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \iota \lambda \epsilon \xi=\mu \epsilon \nu\)
\(\tau \iota \sigma o v \chi \iota \tau о v \mu о \nu \epsilon \nu \delta \iota \kappa \eta \imath \beta \alpha \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \kappa \alpha \rho \alpha\)
\(\delta \alpha \iota \mu \omega \nu \in \kappa \epsilon \iota \rho \in \nu 0 v \delta \iota \kappa \bar{\alpha} \iota \sigma \in \delta \alpha \iota \mu \omega{ }^{\prime \prime}\)
\(\eta к \alpha \iota \beta \epsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \tau о \nu[.] \epsilon к \rho о \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \omega \iota \kappa \alpha[.] \omega \iota\)
\(\gamma \in \lambda \omega \tau \epsilon X[\cdot] \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \alpha[.] .0 \nu \alpha \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \circ \iota \beta \iota \bar{\alpha} \iota \cdot\)

\(\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \kappa \iota \nu[.] \nu \eta \gamma \omega \nu \iota[\cdot] \mu \epsilon \nu[\cdot] \iota\)
\(\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu[\cdot] \sigma \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \circ \iota \tau \varphi[\cdot] \theta_{0} \circ \nu[\cdot] \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega \nu \alpha[\cdot] 0 \cdot\)
] vp \(\eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ к а ́ т \omega ~\)
ó \(\mu \mu] \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu\) фáos 'A]x \({ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \omega s\)
Jous \(\delta^{\gamma} i \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta\) \(T] \eta{ }^{2} \lambda \epsilon \phi \quad \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega\). \(]^{[ }[1] \alpha{ }^{\circ} \sigma \alpha \tau[0]\). ]k. vous taxìs

Col. ii. Plate III.
\(\kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon i \lambda{ }^{\prime}\) 解 \(\sigma \omega \tau \grave{\alpha}[\pi \lambda] \epsilon v \rho \grave{\alpha}[\)
('A \(A \tau v o ́ X \eta\) )
oiooô.

(Xopós)
татрò[s







(Xo.) Síка vaí.

(Xo.) \(\quad \stackrel{c}{\epsilon} \cdot\)
\(\tau i ́ \phi \eta \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu, \tau i ́ \lambda \epsilon \in \notin \rho \epsilon \nu\);


('A .) \(\hat{\eta}\) каì \(\beta \epsilon \beta \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \iota\) тòv \([\nu] \epsilon \kappa \rho o ̀ v ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \widehat{\omega}\) к \(\kappa \alpha[\kappa] \widehat{\varphi}\)






Col. iii.


        [. . \(] \in[\cdot] \rho \pi \circ \mu[\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & 24 letters & \(] \sigma \iota \delta \omega \nu\) \\
\hline & " " & ]єкрои \\
\hline & 26 , & \(] \rho[.] \nu \eta[\) \\
\hline & 25 , & \(] \eta \chi[\cdot] \iota \gamma[\) \\
\hline & 26 & ] \(\alpha \nu \eta \rho[\) \\
\hline & 24 & ] \(\omega \tau\) ооф \([\) \\
\hline & 22 & \(] \nu, \eta, \mu \alpha \tau \iota\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{[. .] \(<\alpha \nu \tau \alpha, \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}[. . . ..] \nu \epsilon p \rho[.] \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau о \mu \alpha\) -} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\([] .0 \lambda \lambda \eta \delta \epsilon, \sigma i \nu \delta \% \nu[.\). .] \(\lambda a \delta \iota \sigma \tau \rho[.] \bar{\alpha} \nu \breve{\nu} \delta \omega \nu\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\(\dot{\cup} \phi \eta \gamma v \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \omega \nu \alpha \nu \delta[..] \sigma \epsilon \rho \rho \iota \pi \tau[.] \xi \in \tau \circ\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\(\nu \in \kappa \rho \omega \delta \iota \delta o \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma[..] \epsilon \nu \omega \phi \in \lambda[.] \nu \mu \in \nu \omega \iota \cdot\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\(\pi \alpha \tau[].[\mu \in \nu \cdot o v \pi \alpha \tau \rho \hat{\omega} \iota \alpha \delta \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \delta[.] \nu \in \pi \eta\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\(\pi \rho![. ..] \epsilon \in \lambda \alpha \iota \epsilon \tau о \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega \nu \circ \mu[..] \mu о \nu \alpha \cdot\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\(\tau о \nu[.] \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \gamma \epsilon \rho о \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \in \alpha \nu[..] \nu\).} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{тоขоvт \(\epsilon \mu \nu \sigma о \nu о \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \eta \lambda \epsilon \phi \circ v[.] \lambda \uparrow \omega \nu\).} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\(\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \sigma \phi \cup \tau \epsilon \cup \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \tau о \sigma \epsilon \kappa \kappa \alpha \lambda\) ои \(\mu[. ~]. о \sigma \cdot ~\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\(\phi \rho \nu \xi \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \eta \nu \in \lambda \pi \iota \delta \omega \nu \sigma \omega \tau \eta[..] \nu\).} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\(\mu \nu \eta \mu \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \xi \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau 0 \iota \sigma\). [. . . . . . \(] 0 \iota \sigma \alpha[\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \(\pi о \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\eta}[. . . .\). & . . . . & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
ò \(\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \delta[o] \kappa \eta \tau o ́ s, o ̀ ~ \delta \grave{~}[\tau \grave{o}] \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu[.] \cdot[. \ldots] o s\)
25 [ \(\lambda] u ́ \mu \eta \nu\) ' \(A \chi \alpha \iota[. . . . . . ..] \sigma \sigma \eta[. . . . . ..] \nu o s\). ஸ́s \(\delta^{\prime}\) є́к \(\tau \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta[\gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha] i\) ко́ \([\pi \omega \nu\) кєк \(\mu \eta \kappa]\) óт \(\alpha[s\) \([\alpha u ̉] \tau o u ̀ s ~ \delta \iota \alpha[\sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ X o \nu \tau \alpha s\) єi̋ठo \(\mu \in \nu\) \(\pi u ́ \lambda \alpha] s\), \([\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu] \in[i ́] \rho \pi o \mu[\epsilon \nu\)

Col. iii.

```

\omega\sigma\epsilon\sigmax[[[.]\tau]]{[. . . . . . . . .] ][
[.]\pi\epsilon\epsilon\delta\in\varphi[
[. .]\lambda\lambdao\iota\sigma![

```

To Fr. 5 .
(a)
(b)
] \(\sigma \iota \nu[\)
\[
\text { ]. } \alpha \underline{v}[
\]

Fr. 6. Plate IV.
\(\mu \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \tau 0[\)
б \(\alpha \iota o \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda\left[~_{\text {[ }}\right.\)
\(\pi \rho ı \alpha \mu \nu \nu \cdot \sigma \sigma \mu[\)
\(\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha ı \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho[\)
5 є \(\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu \alpha \beta \circ \nu[\)
\(\epsilon[\). . .] \(\nu \in \rho \xi \alpha \iota\) [
\(\mu \nu \alpha \mu \sigma \sigma[\)
\(\pi \rho 0 \lambda\) [
outot[
10 \(\omega \omega \delta\) oput \(\eta \lambda[\)
\(\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \sigma \nu \nu \kappa \nu[\)
\(\omega \lambda\) ó \(\gamma_{\chi}^{\bar{\alpha}, \sigma \omega \tau}[\)
[.]ороvба \({ }^{[ }\)[
[.... .] [

Fr. 7.]]
] \(\sigma a \iota \delta \iota \sigma\)
]. . [.] \(] \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau[. \cdot] \epsilon \epsilon \theta \alpha \nu \omega \nu\). ] \(\gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma \delta[\cdot] \pi \omega \omega \lambda \epsilon \tau 0\)
5 ] \(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \iota \theta \epsilon[\cdot] \mu 0 \nu \dot{1} \delta \rho \nu \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau 0 \nu v[\)


 ]! \(\tau \omega \delta \epsilon \cdot \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \alpha \nu \omega[\)
10 ]!โฺ! \(\kappa \tau 0 v \sigma \eta^{\prime} \tau \in[\cdot] \cdot[\) ] \(\theta[\). \(] \sigma \tau \nu \circ[\) [. .]apoo[ ]? \(\rho \emptyset \alpha \nu \eta \pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \delta[\) ]бротоитлокор[ '] \(\pi \epsilon[\). . \(] \nu \alpha \lambda\) \(15] \in \varphi[. . ..] \bar{a} \nu\) ]vov
```

\omegas
['द]\pi\epsilonì \delta'̀ v[
[\pio]\lambda\lambdaoî\sigma\iota[

```

Fr. 6. Plate IV.
( \(A \sigma_{0}\) ) \(\mu i ́ \delta \alpha s\) каi то̀ \(\nu\)
'I \(\delta \alpha i ̂ o \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda[\bar{\eta} \alpha\)
Прía \(\mu\) оу, òs \(\mu[\)
\(\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \underset{\sim}{\alpha}\) к \(\alpha \tau \alpha \rho[\)
\(5 \quad\) ' \(\boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \in \nu \quad \alpha \beta o v[\lambda i ́ a q\)
\({ }^{\epsilon}[\rho \gamma o] \nu \quad{ }^{\prime} \rho \xi \xi \alpha \iota\).
(Xo.) \(\mu \nu \alpha \mu \sigma \sigma[v ́ \nu \alpha \nu\)
\(\pi \rho o \lambda \iota[\pi \grave{\omega} \nu\)
oű \(\boldsymbol{\pi}\) ot \([\)
10 ('Aб.) ì̀ סópv T \(\boldsymbol{T} \lambda[\epsilon \phi\)
\(\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \quad \sigma v \nu \kappa u ́[\rho \sigma \alpha \nu\)
\(\hat{\omega} \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \chi^{\alpha} \sigma \omega ́ \tau[\epsilon \iota \rho \alpha\)
[.]o \(\boldsymbol{\sim}\)
[. . . . .] [

Fr. 7

ov̉]Xi \(\tau \in u ́ \chi[\epsilon \sigma \iota\)
] \(\sigma \alpha \iota \Delta\) iòs

\(\pi \alpha] \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \omega s \delta^{\prime}[\alpha \dot{\alpha}] \pi \omega \dot{\lambda} \epsilon \epsilon \tau \circ\)
] \(\sigma \iota \theta \epsilon[\sigma] \mu \grave{o} \nu\) í \(\rho \rho \hat{v} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\) тò \(\nu \hat{v}[\nu\)
] коเทóӨака 入ágoa \(\lambda a[\)


] \(\tau \hat{\varrho} \delta \epsilon \epsilon, \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}\) वै \(\nu \omega\) [
]т८ тוктои́бך \(\tau \in[\).\(] . [\)
\(\tau i] \theta[\eta] \sigma \iota \nu \quad\) o[ \(\left.\begin{array}{ll}\boldsymbol{u} & \pi\end{array}\right] \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho o s[\)
]o’ \(\rho \phi \alpha \nu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho о \sigma \eta \delta[\)
]боо́то⿱ тло́кор [
'] \(] \pi \in[.\). . \(] \nu \alpha \lambda-\) ] \(\epsilon \iota \nu[\). . . . \(] \alpha \nu\)
] \(\nu 0 \nu\)

Fr. 8.
Col. i.


Col. ii.


Fr. 9.
Fr. 10.
Fr. 11.
]
\(]\) y \(\gamma \nu \omega[\).] \(][\) [
\(] v \gamma[\cdot] \rho \epsilon \ldots \mu \eta \lambda \alpha[\)
] \(\omega\). \(\alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \rho \iota \tau о v[\)
]@८ \(\xi \alpha \sigma \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \alpha \iota \sigma[\)
5 ] \(\tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu\) Өобєєтот \(\eta[\)
] \(\sigma \omega \delta \in \chi \rho[.] \nu \iota o \nu[\)
\(] \lambda \lambda \eta \pi \eta \mu \circ \nu \eta\). [
\(] \delta \eta \pi 0 \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \cdot \epsilon\) [个.
\(] \in \rho[\)


\section*{Col. i.}


Fr. 9.
]
\(] v \gamma \nu \omega[.] \tau[\)
\(] v \gamma[\cdot] \rho \epsilon \ldots \mu \eta \lambda \alpha[\)
] \(\omega\). а каі трітоv[
\(\alpha \nu] o i \xi \alpha a s \quad \delta \in \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \alpha / s\) [

] \(\sigma \omega\) ס'̀ \(X \rho[o ́] \nu เ o \nu[\)
] \(\lambda \lambda \eta \pi \eta \mu о \nu \eta\). [
\(]_{i}^{\delta \eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda^{\prime}, \epsilon[\)
\(] \epsilon \rho[\)

Col. ii.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ('A } \sigma_{0} \text { ) • [ } \\
& \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma[ \\
& \epsilon \sigma \sigma[ \\
& \epsilon \in \tau[ \\
& \alpha \pi \alpha[ \\
& \delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \mu\left[\text {. .]s }{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \quad a ̉ \lambda \lambda o[\iota s\right. \\
& \kappa \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\omega} \phi \nu \lambda \alpha ́ \xi \omega \pi[
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (Xo.) } \quad \bar{\epsilon} \rho \xi \xi \omega \text { тò } \pi \alpha[
\end{aligned}
\]

Fr. II.
(A) \(\cdot[\)
\(\sigma[\)
\(\gamma[\)
\(\kappa \rho v[\)
5 (B) \(\sigma o i \delta^{\prime}[\)
\(\phi \alpha \rho \mu\) o-
\(\delta \quad \rho \mu[\)
каi \(\sigma[\)
тòv \(\mu[\)
тò \(\nu \quad \alpha \gamma[\)
[.] \(\rho \iota \sigma \sigma \omega[\)

Fr. 12.
 \(\omega t\)
\(5] \beta \iota . \sigma \sigma\).
] \(0 \alpha \nu \omega \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{2} \pi \ddot{\alpha} \nu[\) ] \(\sigma \epsilon \nu \mu \nu \sigma \hat{\alpha}[\llbracket \sigma] \beta \alpha[\) ] \(\mu \in \gamma \alpha \nu \delta \omega \mu \alpha[\)
] \(\alpha \rho \rho v \theta[\)
Io \(] \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \lambda\) [
] \(\hat{\tilde{h}} \mu \iota \nu \cdot\). [

\section*{Col. i.}

Fr. 13.

Col. ii.


Fr. I4.
] \(\lambda о \tau \eta[\)
] \(\rho \mu \nu \rho \iota \omega \nu[\)
] \(\tau \circ \phi \omega \sigma \in \rho[\) ] \(\mu \circ \iota \pi \rho \circ \sigma \in ́[\)
5 ]va \(\gamma \underset{\square}{ } v \sigma[\) ] \(\sigma \alpha \iota \sigma \epsilon[\)

Fr. 15.
] ]
] \(\epsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \rho \omega \varphi[\)
] \(\alpha \omega \nu^{\text {. }}\)
k \(\quad \pi \%\) т \(\mu \circ \sigma \cdot[\)
\(] \lambda \in \gamma[\)
5

Fr. 16.

]
] \(\pi\) op a. \([\)
] \(\epsilon \nu \omega[\)
] \(\pi \lambda \epsilon[\)
] \(\sigma\)
\(5]\).
] \(\omega\)
\(] \omega \nu\)
\(] \bar{\alpha} \iota\)

Fr. 17.
] \(\alpha \times[\)
] \(\pi \rho \iota[\)
]. \(\sigma \gamma \alpha[\)
] \(\nu \tau 0\) [
]
]

Fr. 18.
\(] \cdot[\)
\(] \gamma \alpha \mu[\)
\(j \theta^{\prime} \in[\)

Fr. 20.
Fr. 21.
]. [
] \(v \lambda a![\)
] \(\llcorner\nu \alpha \cdot[\)

Fr. 12.
Fr. 13.
Col. i.


Fr. 14.
] \(\lambda о \tau \eta[\)
]o \(\mu v \rho i ́ \omega \nu\) [
] \(\tau o ̀ ~ \phi \omega ̂ s ~ \epsilon \rho[\)
] \(\mu 0 \iota \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \in[\)
\(5 \sigma] v \nu \alpha \lambda \gamma o v \sigma[\) ] \(\sigma \alpha \iota \sigma \epsilon[\)

Fr. 15 .
Fr. 16.

]
] \(\pi 0 \rho \alpha[\)
] \(\epsilon \nu \omega[\)
\(] \pi \lambda \epsilon[\)
]s
Col. ii.
\(\eta\).
\(\tau[\)
\(\tau\)
\(\tau\)

Fr. 17.
] \(\alpha \kappa[\)
] \(\pi \rho l[\)
]. s \(\gamma \alpha[\)
] \(\nu \tau 0[\)
]
]

Fr. 18.
]. [
] \(\gamma \mu[\)
] \(\theta\) é

Fr. 19.
]. [
]vi \(\alpha![\)
] \(\iota \nu \alpha\). [

Fr. 20.
Fr. 21.
\(\begin{array}{ll}j \omega & ] \\ ] \omega \nu & ]\end{array}\)

\begin{tabular}{ll} 
& \(] \sigma[\) \\
5 & \(] \mu[\) \\
& \(] \sigma \cdot[\)
\end{tabular}
\(] \phi \in \sigma[\)
5 ] \(\rho v \pi[\)
Jos
\[
] \rho
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{\sigma} 0 \pi \alpha \nu[ \\
& ] . o \nu \alpha \sigma[
\end{aligned}
\]
Fr. 22.
(A) o[
(B) \(\sigma[\)
(A) \(\pi[\)
(B) \(X[\)
Fr. 24. \(] \eta \mu[\) ]. 4
] \(\epsilon \iota \nu \operatorname{\tau ov}[\)
] \(\tau\)
] \(\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\circ}\). [
] \(] \times \in \in T[\)
Fr. 23.
Fr. 24.
Fr. 25.
] . . \(\pi 0 \rho \alpha[\)
]е \(\beta\) рото̀̀ o[
\(\pi] \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon-\)
] \(\omega \nu\)
\(5 \quad] \theta[\)
5 ] \(\sigma \sigma \alpha[\)

Fr. 26.
Fr. 27.
Fr. 28.
Fr. 29.
Fr. 30.



Fr. 3 I.
Fr. 32.
] \(\operatorname{cov}[\)
] \(\sigma \in \mu \mu[\)
Jon

Fr. 35.
Fr. 36 .
\(] \omega \beta c[\)
\(] \iota \sigma \omega[\)
] \(\alpha\)
\(] \lambda[\cdot] 0[\)
] \(\rho \alpha \kappa \in[\)
] \(\gamma \delta 0[\)
] \(\alpha \sigma \iota \nu[\)
\(] \mu \alpha \sigma[\)
] \(\alpha \kappa[\)
Fr. 37.
Fr. \(3^{8 .}\)
Fr. 33 .
Fr. 34.
]s. \(\alpha[\)
] \(\iota v[\)
]. [
]Ko[
\(] \pi[\)
]. . [ ] \(\alpha \rho \sigma \iota[\)
] \(\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot\) [.] • [





Fr. 50.
(A) \(\sigma \tau[\)
\(\epsilon i \mu\) [
к \(\alpha i\) [
(B) \(\tau i \sigma[\)
\(5(A) \in \cup \rho \cdot[\)

Fr. \({ }^{1}\) I.
\(]<\alpha \nu \quad \alpha \lambda[\)
\(] \tau \omega \delta \in X[\) ] \(\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota 0[\)
] \(\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon i \nu[\)
\(5 \quad] \rho \circ \pi[\)

Fr. 52.
] \([\) [
] \(\iota \circ\) [
] \(\omega \nu \epsilon[\)
]oı \(\sigma[\)
5 ] \(\rho \rho \uparrow\)

Fr. 53.
].. [
]on[
] \(\tau 0[\)
\(] \phi \lambda o[\)
5 ] ó \(\rho \gamma[\)
]. [
Fr. 54.
Fr. 55.
Fr. 56.
\(]<\lambda\). [
] vosic
]. \({ }^{\prime} \notin \rho \gamma \operatorname{l}\) [
] \(\alpha \rho \alpha[\)
Fr. 55
\(\cdot\)
\(] v \nu[\)
\(] \mu[\)
\(] \sigma \alpha \nu[\)
\(] \tau \in \rho \omega[\)

5 ] \(\alpha \sigma \tau 0[\)
5 ]коц[
] \(\delta[\)

Fr. 57.
\(\alpha \alpha^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}\) ои́к[
\(\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \mu[\)
[. .] . . . [
Fr. \(5^{8}\).
Fr. 59.
Fr. 60.

Fr. 6I.
Fr. 62.
Fr. 63.
Fr. 64
(A) \(\delta[\)
(B) \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda[\)
].. [
] \(\nu \tau \sigma \sigma[\)
] \(\tau \alpha \mu \alpha\) • [
]ov Sc[
] \(\kappa \lambda[\)
] \(\delta \in![\)
]. \(\epsilon \kappa \alpha[\)
\(] \nu \omega[\)
Fr. 65. Fr. 66. Fr. 67. Fr. 68.
] \(\circ \nu \mu[\)
]оф \(\eta \sigma[\)
] \(\nu \in \iota \delta 0[\)
] \(\nu \quad \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \xi[\)
\(] \tau \iota \nu \alpha \delta \iota \alpha[\)
\(] \alpha \phi \eta \sigma \pi[\)

Fr. 69.

\section*{]}
]
]
]
\(5]\)
]
]ugov•[
Fr. 70.
] \(\alpha \tau \alpha \nu[\)
] \(\in \iota \alpha \nu[\)

Fr. 73.
\(] \tau \alpha[\)
] \(\sigma v \sigma[\)
].[
]. . [
] \({ }^{\circ} \iota^{\circ}\)
] \(\sigma \pi[\)
\(] \epsilon \mu[\)
] \(\operatorname{cav}[\) ] \(\gamma \alpha[\) ] \(\boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot[\) Fr. 72.
] \(\delta[\)
\(] \omega \lambda[\) \(] \lambda \omega[\) Fr. 75. ] \(\alpha[\).\(] . [\) \(] \eta \pi[\)
] \(\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dddot{\epsilon}[\)
] \(v \tau \alpha \sigma[\)

Fr. 65.
Fr. 66.
Fr. 67.
Fr. 68.
] \(0 \nu \quad \mu[\)
]oф \(\eta \sigma[\)
] \(\nu \in \iota \delta 0[\)

Fr. 69.
vo v \(\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \xi[\)
\(\tau ו \nu \alpha \quad \delta \iota \alpha[\)
]. . [
\(\tau o]<\alpha v[\tau\)
] \(\sigma\)
] \(\sigma \pi[\)
] \(\gamma \alpha[\)
\(] \epsilon \mu[\)
] \(v\)
]

5
]
]voov• [

5
]

Fr. 70.
] \(\alpha \tau \alpha \nu[\)
] \(\epsilon \iota \alpha \nu[\)
Fr. 71.
]on
] \(\tau \iota \alpha[\)
] \(\nu[\)

Fr. 74.
] \(\tau \alpha[\) ] \(\sigma v \sigma[\)
]c
Fr. 73.


Fr. 76.
] \(\eta\) [
]at \(\epsilon \sigma[. . .\).
] \(\omega \nu\)
] \(\in \rho o \nu\)
]os \([\gamma] \in \nu o \hat{v}\) ] \(\nu \in \iota \nu\)
] \(\lambda \alpha\)
].
] \(\kappa \in \iota\) фí入o[
Fr. 77.
Fr. 78.

\section*{\(] \nu \omega \nu\)}
] \(\mu\) oo nos
] \(\alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega ิ \nu\)
]ot \(\omega \nu\)
]
]
]
]
]

Fr. 79. Plate IV.


Fr. 8o. Plate IV.


Fr. 82.
] \(n\)
] \(0 \lambda \in \iota \varphi[\)
] \(\lambda \cdot \sigma \cdot[\)
] \(\cdot \operatorname{o!} \sigma[\)

Fr. 85.
\(] \stackrel{[ }{[ }\)
]a[
]. \(\omega[\)
] \(\xi \omega \mu \epsilon[\)
5 ]avovta[
\(] \lambda \epsilon \nu \llbracket \tau] \epsilon \sigma \tau[\)
\(] \epsilon \xi \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma \stackrel{[ }{[ }\)
]avov \(\cdot[\) [

Fr. 81.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ] } \alpha \rho \delta 0[
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& ] \eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \llbracket \nu] \lambda \lambda \eta[ \\
& \text { ]rooovтof[ } \\
& \text { ]єк } \eta \rho \nu \text {. [ } \\
& ] \theta \mu \in v[ \\
& \text { ] } \cdot \text { [ } \\
& \text { ] } \phi \circ \rho[
\end{aligned}
\]

5

Fr. 83.
]. [
] \(\nu \tau \omega \nu \lambda \cdot[\)
\(] \xi \in \nu 0 \iota \delta \cup \sigma \eta \kappa \circ \alpha[\)

Fr. 86.
\(] \omega \delta \epsilon[\)
] . \(\epsilon!\sigma \circ \varrho \tau[\) ] \(\mu \circ \nu \iota[\) ] \(\tau \iota \nabla \eta \Lambda \epsilon[\)
5 ] \(\omega \delta \epsilon \pi \rho![\)
] \(\nu 0 \sigma \mu[\)
] \(\alpha \rho^{\prime} \omega[.] \omega\). [
]yov[

Fr. 79. Plate IV.


Fr. 84 .
] \(\epsilon\)

]
]
5 ]
]
]
\(] \eta \nu \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon\).

Fr. 80. Plate IV.
Fr. 81.
\(] o \nu \chi[\)
\(] A \chi \subset \lambda[\)
\(] \alpha \phi \eta[\)
\(] \epsilon \theta \rho \iota \xi[\)
\(] \eta \delta o \sigma[\)
\(] \quad \chi \alpha \rho![\)
]os \(v o[\)
]is
] os \(\gamma[\) 10 Jos

Fr. 82.
\(] \eta\)
\(] 0 \lambda \in \omega[\)
] \(\lambda o \sigma\). [
]. on [
]. [
\(] \nu \tau \omega \nu \lambda\). [
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma] \text { ar } \rho \text { no[ } \\
& \text { ] } \tau 0 \nu \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \text { «[ } \\
& ] \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \lambda \eta[
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ]єк } \eta \rho v \text {. [ } \\
& \text { ] } \theta \mu \epsilon v[ \\
& \text { ] } \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \text { [ } \\
& \text { ] } \phi \circ \rho[
\end{aligned}
\]
 Fr. 86.
\(] \nu[\)
\(] \alpha[\)
\(] \cdot \omega[\)
\(] \zeta \omega \mu \epsilon[\)
\(5] \alpha \nu o \nu \tau \alpha[\)
\(] \lambda \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau[\)
\(] \epsilon \epsilon \xi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\eta} S \quad \circ[\)
\(\cdot[\)
\(] \alpha \nu o \nu \eta[\)

\section*{\(] \omega \delta \epsilon[\)}
]. \(\epsilon \ell \sigma 0 l \tau[\) ] \(\mu \circ \nu i[\) ] \(\tau \iota \sigma \eta \lambda \epsilon[\)
5 ] \(\omega \delta \epsilon \pi \rho[\) [ ] \(\operatorname{\nu os} \mu[\)
] \(\alpha \rho^{\prime} \omega[\cdot] \omega^{-[ }\)
] \(\gamma \circ v[\)



Fr. 91. Plate IV.
]. \(v \nu \alpha \nu[\)
] \(\beta \lambda \epsilon \mu \mu \alpha\) [
]ros \(\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \alpha \sigma[\)

5
(B) \(\delta \rho] \alpha ́ \sigma \omega \tau \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \quad \omega[\) ] \(\alpha s \mu_{\epsilon \in \lambda} \lambda \theta_{\rho \rho \alpha} \nu\) [
(Xo.) ] \(\quad\) 人a \(k \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau[\)


\(\delta] \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad\) aै \(\gamma \chi \iota \quad \pi \rho \rho[\) á \(\nu \delta]\) ºòs \(\gamma\) v́vaı \(\lambda \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \tau \tau[\alpha]\)

]. \(\mu^{\prime}\) 'AXaloîou aiol \({ }^{\prime} \tau \tau[a \tau\) ]. \(\eta\) \(\tau \in ́ \tau \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha \iota \operatorname{\tau ov}[\) ] \(\delta \omega \nu\) ن́n' \({ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \eta \tau \eta \lambda[\) \(] \alpha \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma \dot{v} v \kappa \alpha \kappa \widehat{\varphi}, \phi \theta \in[\iota \rho\) ]ov \({ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \pi \eta \eta \xi '\) є \(\hat{\nu} \nu \iota s \dot{\alpha} \pi \iota[\) \(\sigma\rceil \kappa \eta \pi \tau o ̀ s ~ \oplus ̈ p \alpha ~ \pi o ́ \nu \omega\)



 ] vooŋ \(\beta \alpha \theta \epsilon i a[\)

Fr. 92.

] \(\phi\) ov
] \(\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \bar{\omega}\).
| \(\tau \epsilon\)
] \(\mu\) ots \(\tau \alpha ́ \chi^{\alpha}\)
]
]

10 ] \(\bar{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \downarrow\) ] \(\tau \alpha \iota\)
\(\lambda]\) \({ }^{2} \gamma\) ¢
]. .
\[
j \alpha \sigma \omega
\]

15 ] \(\nu\).
] \(\alpha\) -
]

Fr. 9.5.
]ou[ \(] \alpha \sigma[\)
] \(\alpha \sigma \delta[\)
] \(0 \sigma[\)
5 ] \(\omega[\)
] \(\iota \omega[\)
] \(\xi[\)
\(] \alpha[\)
5 ]. \(\iota \sigma \circ \nu \chi \rho \sigma[\)
] \(\alpha \tau \iota \delta \alpha[\)
]క̣ov \(\sigma \alpha \sigma \sigma[\)
] \(\beta \eta \kappa \in \nu 0[\)
. .


Fr. 97.
Fr. 98.
]. \(\eta \kappa \alpha \rho \alpha[\)
]! \(\tau \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \in[\) ]абıликто[ ] \(\iota \omega \pi \circ \lambda[\)
] \(v \tau \alpha \sigma[\)
Fr. 94. Plate IV.
]. [. .] \(\tau \alpha \delta p \alpha \sigma \epsilon[\)
] \(\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha v \tau \epsilon \gamma \omega\).
] \(\in \cup \rho \circ \vee \circ \vee \delta \epsilon \pi \omega \pi \circ \tau[\) ]т \(\alpha \nu \pi \alpha \nu \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha<\kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \nu[\)
5 ]. \(\iota \sigma \tau \omega \nu \eta \tau \tau \chi \eta \mu \in \theta \iota \sigma[\)
] \(\nu \tau \alpha \chi เ \sigma \tau \alpha \cdot \tau\) ои入оуov[
]! \(\delta \in \iota \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \theta \rho \alpha \sigma \nu \nu \tau[\)
]? \(\eta \sigma \tau v \chi \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \tau[\)
\(] v \nu \eta \mu \in \rho[. . . ..] \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha[\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline ] & ] - \(\eta \mathrm{K} \alpha \rho \alpha[\) \\
\hline ] & ]! \(\tau \alpha \pi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \in[\) \\
\hline  & ] \(\alpha \sigma \iota \nu \cup \kappa \tau o[\) \\
\hline \(]!\gamma \alpha \rho[\) & ] \(1 \omega \pi 0 \lambda[\) \\
\hline ] \(\mathrm{v} \alpha \alpha \sigma[\) & . - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. IOI.
\(] \sigma \iota \circ[\)
\(] \alpha \sigma \in \nu \tau[\)
\(] \sigma \alpha \nu[\cdot] \cdot[\)
\(]\)
\(] \quad[\)

Fr. 102.
]a! \(\psi[\)
\(] \theta \rho[\)
\(] \epsilon \pi \rho[\)
] \(\epsilon \nu[\)

Fr. 103.
\(\begin{array}{cl}] \iota \pi \omega[ & ] \rho \sigma[ \\ ] \epsilon \mu[ & ] \nu \tau[ \\ ] \epsilon \nu[ & ] \omega \iota \xi[ \\ ] \rho \alpha[ & ] \sigma \tau[ \end{array}\)

Fr. 104.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ]atioa[ } \\
& \text { ] \}ova } \alpha o c \text { ! }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { !, ]. } \operatorname{lov} \text { pul }
\end{aligned}
\]

Fr. 95.
]ow[
] \(\alpha \sigma[\) ] \(\alpha \sigma \delta[\) ]oo[
5 ] \(\omega\) [
] \(\omega \omega[\)
] \(\xi\)
] \(\alpha\) [

Fr. 96.
] 0
] \(\phi[\)
] \(\kappa \alpha[\)
]oo[
5 ] \(\alpha \gamma[\)
] \(\alpha \mu[\)
] \(v \sigma \in v[\)
] . . [

Fr. 99.
Fr. 100.


Fr. 103.
Fr. 104.

Fr. 101.
] \(\sigma \iota 0[\)
] \(\alpha \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau[\)
] \(\sigma \alpha \nu[\).\(] [\)
]
\(]^{\prime}\) [

Fr. 98.
]. \(\eta\) к \(\alpha \dot{\rho} \alpha\) [
\(\left.{ }^{\prime} \pi \pi \epsilon\right]\) ] \(\tau \alpha \quad \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon[S\)
] \(\delta v \sigma \alpha[\)
]l \(\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho[\)
(B) ] in \(\pi o \lambda[\) ] \(u \tau \alpha \sigma[\)

Fr. 102.
] \(\alpha \iota \psi[\)
\(] \theta_{\rho}[\)
] \(\epsilon \pi \rho[\)
] \(\in \nu \eta[\)

正
\(]<\pi \omega[\)
\(] \in \mu[\)
\(] \in \nu\)
\(] \rho \alpha[\)
\(] o \sigma[\)
\(] \nu \tau[\)
\(] \omega \xi[\)
\(] \sigma \tau[\)
\(] o \sigma[\)
\(] \nu \tau[\)
\(] \omega \xi[\)
\(] \sigma \tau[\)
\(] o \sigma[\)
\(] \nu \tau[\)
\(] \omega \xi[\)
\(] \sigma \tau[\)
\(] o \sigma[\)
\(] \nu \tau[\)
\(] \omega \xi[\)
\(] \sigma \tau[\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Fr. 105. & Fr. 106. & Fi. \(10 \%\). \\
\hline . . & . . & \\
\hline ]. [ & ]ou[ & ] \(\nu \times\) [ \\
\hline ]a. . [ & ] \(\sigma\) ciso & ] \(\alpha \mu \mu \in[\) \\
\hline ]ato[.]o[ & ] \(\kappa \in \omega \nu\) [ & ] \(\sigma 0\) [ \\
\hline ] \(\quad \boldsymbol{\sim} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in[\) & . . & ] \(¢ \stackrel{\alpha}{ } \beta[\) \\
\hline ]. [ & & . \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 1. On the arrangement and supposed contents of this and the three following small fragments cf. introd. p. 88.
6. etr: or ef[p]y. The following letters are cancelled by a horizontal stroke drawn above them; cf. 1174. viii. 2, x. 6. A very slight vestige of the letter after \(\omega \nu\) suggests \(a\) or \(\delta\).
II. The remains in the margin belong to a note referring to the previous column.
14. The letters ]. \(\gamma a\) and the succeeding lines are on a detached fragment, which is most probably part of this column, though whether it is rightly placed as above is uncertain. A paragraphus may be lost below the beginning of the line.
18. There are some small traces of ink in the margin opposite this line.

Fr. 2. I have had some inclination to assign this fragment to 1174 on account partly of its appearance and partly of the variant tovii in 1. 4, for which cf. 1174. v. 9. Line 2 is not decisive, since T \(\eta \lambda \lambda^{\prime}\) ' \(\phi\) ov would be doubful even if \(\phi\) ov were certain, which it is not; фev is possible. Above the \(\nu(\) or \(\mu\) ) in I. 4 is a dot which may represent another interlinear letter, but this cannot be brought into connexion with the overwritten \(\iota\), from which it is separated by the high stop.
 which did not respect sacrificial offerings; cf. Aesch. Suppl. \(75 \mathrm{I}-2\) ќ́paкes \(\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon, ~ \beta \omega \mu \bar{\omega} \nu\) inéfovzes où \(\begin{gathered}\text { év, Babrius } 78 \text {. Murray, understanding the fragment differently, suggests as }\end{gathered}\)

 really too slight to give any clear clue to the situation.
7. The sign in the margin is like that at 1174. iv. 17, a line in which an insertion was made by the corrector.
S. \(\tau \iota\) may of course be indefinite.
10. \([\phi i \lambda \lambda \omega \nu\) : or \([a ̈ \lambda] \lambda \omega \nu\).

Fr. 5. i. 8. \(\delta]_{a \beta \beta} \beta \beta \lambda \eta \mu[\hat{\epsilon} \nu\).., if right, may be constructed with \(\mu \epsilon \tau u \lambda[\mu \mu\). .; but perhaps ] \(k a \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu\left[\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu\right.\). . should be read.

9-10. The coincidence with Soph. Fr. 768 was perceived by W-MI. The fragment is


 W. Headlam, Class. Rev. xvii, p. 288, who maintained that with Badham's restoration the meaning must be not, as usually taken, ' They burst without vaunt or reviling into the ring of armed men' but 'they dealt unvaunting, unreviling blows upon their enemies' round brazen

Fr. 105.
\[
\begin{gathered}
] \cdot[ \\
] \alpha \ldots[ \\
] \alpha \tau \sigma[c] 0[ \\
] \nu \gamma \in[ \\
\quad] \cdot[
\end{gathered}
\]

Fr. 106.
\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { ]ov[ } \\
\text { ]s } \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text { I } \lambda \iota o[ \\
] K \in \omega[
\end{array}, ~
\end{gathered}
\]

Fr. 107.
\(] \nu \alpha[\)
\(] \alpha c \mu \epsilon[\)
\(] \sigma \sigma[\)
]єє \(\beta\) [
shields'. W-M however would understand \(\mathfrak{\epsilon} \rho \rho \eta \xi \xi^{a ́ \tau} \eta \nu . . . o ̋ \pi \lambda \omega \nu\) in the ordinary way. It is now clear that Plutarch manipulated the quotation to some extent, since äкou \({ }^{\prime}\)
 words to the next verse, although its conclusion is difficult. \(\sigma\), though the base is lost, is practically certain and can hardly be \(\epsilon\); for the next letter \(\pi\) is most suitable, but \(\epsilon\). or \(\sigma\). is possible, hardly \(\gamma\). At the end \(\theta\) ]atépov seems the only likely word, though the a may be \(\lambda\). There is an undeniable high dot after the \(v\).
15. \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \operatorname{la}^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \iota\) in the middle voice appears to be novel. The passive occurs in Lycophr.

20. \(\mu \dot{o}(\nu \nu \nu) \dot{\epsilon} \nu \bar{\epsilon}\) could also be read, but cf. Fr. 13. i. 7. In a fragment of another text \(\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \beta^{\prime} \mu \dot{\prime}(\nu \omega)\) occurs ; cf. Fr. 5. ii. I I, note.

24 sqq. The reference is to the spear of Achilles, which had healed Telephus and now in the hands of Neoptolemus slew Telephus' son ; cf. Fr. 6. 10-12.
28. Perhaps ккivous.
ii. I. The remains of this line are puzzling. The accent and mark of elision, as well as the interlineated letters, were inserted by the corrector. Since the \(\epsilon\) is enclosed between two dots this should be a variant and not merely explanatory of the elision; hence o might well be the article, i. e. a choice would lie between \(\kappa a \theta \epsilon i \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \delta \quad \sigma \omega \tau \ldots\) and \(\kappa a \theta \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \sigma \omega \tau \ldots\) But then \(\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho\) becomes inevitable, and though this would not be out of place in the context (cf. Fr. 6. \(12 \overline{\hat{\omega}} \lambda \hat{\delta}^{\prime} \gamma \chi^{a} \sigma \dot{\omega} \tau[\epsilon \epsilon \rho a)\) the slight vestige after \(\tau\) does not suggest \(\eta\). The substitution of \(\epsilon\) for \(\eta\) before \(v \rho\) would be natural in the aorist of \(\epsilon \dot{\operatorname{c} \rho} \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \tau\), which however is hardly to be worked in. W-M proposes ка \(\theta \epsilon i \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \sigma \omega \tau \dot{\alpha}[\pi \lambda] \epsilon v \rho a ́\), and this has been provisionally adopted, though the genesis of the corruption remains obscure. The vestige following \(\rho\) is not inconsistent with a but is more suitable to a \(v\), and I have been tempted to suppose that the name Eúpúnudos stood here, but that hypothesis has led to no satisfactory result.

3 sqq. The paragraphus below this line is not clear, but the base of the \(\delta\) is thickened and this may be supposed to be due to the partial coincidence of the paragraphus. If this is correct, a paragraphus is missing below 1.6 , since \(11.7-8\) obviously belong to the Chorus. Moreover, since \(\gamma \dot{\rho} \rho\) oûv can hardly be separated from the following words, it becomes necessary to suppose a change of speaker within the line, against the usual practice of this scribe (cf. 1174. viii. \({ }^{1} 5^{-17}\) ). Presumably double dots were used, but an accompanying paragraphus would be expected. W-M would make a similar division in 1. 3, but this is not essential.
4. \(\pi a \tau \rho \dot{\rho}[s:\) i. e. Telephus. The word at the end of the line was perhaps \(\sigma v \mu \phi o] \rho a \dot{\nu}\) or ноi

6. Cf. the note on 1. 3. \(\tau\) pitm \(\nu\) of course refers to \(\delta \iota \pi \lambda\) ov̂s in 1. 3. The apparent \(\gamma\) of the papyrus is possibly due to scaling of the ink ; it is certainly curious that the corrector should not have observed the error. \(\kappa\) of \(\kappa[a t]\) may be \(\nu\).
7. This verse seems to be a catalectic iambic trimeter, like 11.10 and 18, but I have not found a satisfactory restoration. The letter after \(\omega \delta\) may be \(\rho\) or \(\eta\), and \(\iota \gamma\) may be e. \(g\). \(\pi\); \(\delta a i v \epsilon \iota s\), to which \(\delta]\) aк \(\rho \dot{v} \epsilon[\iota\) in the margin refers, is preceded either by \(v\) or \(\rho\). W-MI suggests \(\bar{\omega} \delta^{\prime} \tilde{\prime}\left[\nu^{\prime}\right.\) or \(\left.\hat{\omega} \ldots .\left[\begin{array}{c}0 \\ \pi\end{array}\right)\right] v\) (not \(\hat{\omega} \delta \dot{\delta} \sigma \tau \eta \nu \epsilon\) ) ; \(\bar{\omega} \delta \hat{\delta}\left[\nu^{\prime}\right.\) would also be appropriate.
8. ктךбi \(i \omega\), as \(W-M\) remarks, is perhaps equivalent to \(i \delta i \omega \nu\), oiкєi \(\omega \nu\); cf. Aesch. \(A \delta\).
 \(\chi \rho \dot{q} \mu a \tau a\) are in rather different categories, and Muriay's suggestion that \(\kappa \tau \eta \sigma i \omega \nu\) here means 'covetous', with a reference to the golden vine, is not unattractive.
\(9^{-2} 3\). (Astyoche.) ' O Fortune, evil Fortune, that has shorn me.
Chorus. Thou speakest face to face, for misfortune stands not aloof in dragging thee headlong.
(As.) Justice will pull me down.
(Chor.) Yea, justice.
(As.) Then soonest best.
(Chor.) Alas, what shall we say, what shall we speak?
(As.) Who will not with justice smite my head ?
(Chor.) Fortune has shorn thee, but Fortune judges thee not.
(As.) Have the Argives departed adding to the woe violence and mockery of the very corpse ?
(Messenger.) They went not so far as insult, since the bodies of them which had fought together in combat lay but a little apart . . '

11. фuptay was originally written, but the \(\tau\) was afterwards washed out and \(\delta\) substituted, perhaps by the second hand, though this is uncertain ; the \(\delta\) was then enclosed between dots and \(\tau\) restored over the line on the authority of another copy, as recorded in the margin. \(\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \tau(\dot{\epsilon} \rho \varphi)\) is not to be read here, since the stroke above the line is completely preserved and cannot be the cross-bar of a \(\tau\). The lost letter was therefore a figure, and I restore \(\beta\) on the analogy of the fragment referred to in the note on i. 20.
13. סiкa: the substitution of the nominative for the dative is evidently necessary, if the nom. is retained in the preceding line.

18. \(\delta \iota \kappa a \hat{a}\) might be regarded as the future of \(\delta \iota \kappa u \zeta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu\), but the contracted form, though used by Hdt. i. 97 , is not found in Attic, nor does \(\delta \iota x a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu\) take an accusative of the person. W-M prefers to postulate a present \(\delta \iota \kappa \bar{\alpha} \nu\); possibly the same verb rather than \(\delta \iota \kappa \epsilon \overline{i v}\) (Her-



To interpret \(\delta \iota к a \iota\) as \(\delta i k a\) produces a weak repetition of \(\delta a i \mu \omega \nu\), as well as an inconsistency with ll. 12-I 3. The Chorus may no doubt be supposed to change its opinion, but this change seems overabrupt.

 restoration.

22-3. Eurypylus is represented as having fallen close to one or more of his own victims. Nireus (Quint. Smyrn. vi. 372, Dictys iv. 17, Hyginus 113 ), Machaon (Pausan. iii. 26. 7 , Quint. Smyrn. vi. 408, Hyg. 113 ), Peneleos (Pausan. ix. 5. I5, Quint. Smyrn. vii. 104 sqq.,

Dictys iv. 17) and others (Quint. Smyrn. vi. 615-6, viii. III-I3) are named as having been slain by him.
 from the supposed form \(\delta\) เкầ (cf. note on 1. 18), is not likely here, nor, I think, is \(\delta[\dot{u}] \times \eta\) тó \(\sigma\) ' ('wounds') which Pearson suggests. \(\delta_{[0]} 0 \eta \pi\) ós may perhaps mean that Eurypylus was so little disfigured that death appeared more of a semblance than a reality, in contrast to his adversary, who was, e. g., тò \(\pi \hat{u} \nu \eta\) ŋ̀кıб \(\mu\) évos,-though that verb could hardly be got into the space. ris might be read instead of rós, but would be still more difficult. At the end of the line jos can well be ]os.

26-8. Restored exempli gratia by W-M. The \(\eta\) in 1.26 , though unconvincing, is sufficiently suitable; in \(1.28 \gamma\) could be read in place of \(\pi\).
iii. 6. An iota adscript inserted after \(\omega\) would no longer be visible.

10-25. 'Such was the murmur of many mournful lips, and much fine linen and many webs of Istrian women were cast upon the man, useless offerings to the dead. And Priam clinging to his wounded side, though not his father, speaking a father's words, wept for the kinsman of his children, the boy, the youth, the aged man, calling upon him not as Mysian nor the son of Telephus but as of his own seed : "Alas, my child, I have betrayed thee, in whom I had the last great hope of safety for the Phrygians. Thou wert a short-lived guest, but wilt leave a memory lasting many years with the remnant spared by Ares, who hast given us sorrow such as Memnon or Sarpedon never gave, albeit they were mighty warriors and . . ."'
10. \(\lambda\) vүро́] (W-M) is better adapted to the space than oikтрóv. \(\pi\) ィкро́v (cf. Ant. 424 \(\pi \iota к \rho a ̀\) öp \(p u s\) ) would also be suitable.
II. The transference of the accent from the second to the first syllable of \(\sigma \omega \nu \delta \omega \nu\) no doubt implies a desire to interpret the word as the genitive plural of sivoor parallel to 'I \(\sigma \tau \rho[l] a v i \delta \omega \nu\); but \(\sigma \iota \nu \delta \dot{\omega} \nu\) is assured by \([\pi] o \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta}\). 'I \(\sigma \tau \rho t a v i s\) is attested by Steph. Byz. s.v. "Iotpos; and according to Hesychius the name of the artificers was transferred to the product :

13. W-M considers this verse to have been interpolated from some other source, perhaps through a misunderstanding of the genitive à \(\delta \delta \rho o \delta_{s}\), which however can be con-

 awkward, but not more violent than in Soph. Ant. \(259-60\); cf. Hdt. viii. \(74 \pi о \lambda \lambda \dot{a}\) é \(\bar{\lambda} \epsilon\) ' \(\gamma \epsilon \tau \sigma\)...
 allow the line to stand provisionally, though it must be regarded with suspicion. oú \(\dot{\delta} \mathrm{y}\)

15. The punctuation of the original evidently needs amendment.
17. \(\gamma\) є́povta is strange, since Eurypylus cannot be supposed to have been a really old man. But the text seems to be sound and \(\gamma\) ' \(\rho \omega \nu\) may possibly here be taken to imply merely a stage beyond that of the veavias. Murray and Pearson suppose the meaning to be that Eurypylus combined the qualities of different ages, being to Priam a son, a counsellor, and a warrior, and compare e. g. Pindar, Nem. iii. 72-3. This may be right, but is open to the objection that [ \(\pi\) ] \(a i \delta a\) in antithesis to \(\gamma^{\prime} \rho о \nu \tau a\) and \(\nu \in a \nu[i a] \nu\) should indicate a quality corresponding to youth rather than to birth, childishness not sonship.

2I. ' \(\lambda \pi i \hat{\delta} \omega \nu \sigma \omega \tau \eta[\rho i a] \nu,=\) ' the means of salvation existing in our hopes ', comes practically to mean 'our hope of salvation'.

22-3. [ \(\epsilon \tau \bar{\omega} \nu\) and \(\lambda[\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \prime \nu]\) ots were restored by \(W-M\), who further proposed \(\delta[\) opós (cf. Aesch. Ag. 517). A \(\delta\) however is inadmissible after jous; \(\lambda\) would be the most
suitable letter, but \(a\) or \(\chi\) is also possible, and I suggest "A[pews on the analogy of \(\delta o \rho o\) os. \(\lambda\left[\epsilon \lambda_{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon \ell \nu\right.\) ]os is a somewhat long supplement; perhaps \(\lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\iota} \mu \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota s\) was written. Pearson would prefer [калิิข to ['่т \(\hat{\omega} \nu\); cf. Soph. Fr. 534 .
\(2^{5}-6\). The restorations are largely due to W-M. The Sophoclean \(\bar{\eta} \mu \nu \nu\) occurs again in Fr . 12.1 I .
27. \(\epsilon \sigma \chi a \tau\) or \(\epsilon \sigma \chi \epsilon \tau\) was presumably written. Dots were placed over the deleted letters and the \(\tau\) is also crossed through. Of the doubtful a following hardly enough remains to show whether this also was cancelled or not.

Of the two small fragments \((a)\) and \((b)\), which were with Fr. 5 , the second is likely to belong to Col. iii.

Fr. 6. W-MI thinks it unlikely that Astyoche took part in these lyrics; but the coronis below ll. 6 and 9 point to changes of speaker rather than strophic divisions in a choral ode; and ll. 3-6 and 10-12 are eminently appropriate in the mouth of Astyoche. The reversed coronis below 1. 9 was inserted or rewritten by the second hand.

ェ. \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{MI}\) is no doubt right in restoring IIpaךuious ; a reference to Midas here is unlikely.
5. \(\begin{gathered} \\ \pi \\ \epsilon\end{gathered} \sigma \epsilon \mathcal{L}\) : i. e. by the gift of the golden vine.
6. \({ }^{\prime}\left[\rho \gamma_{0}\right] \nu \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\).

7-9. The idea is perhaps similar to that of Fr. 5. iii. \(22-3\); if so the Chorus is trying to administer comfort. Cf. Fr. 7. 4 .
12. \(\sigma \dot{\omega} \tau[\epsilon \rho \rho a\), which was restored by \(W-M I\), refers to the healing properties of Achilles' spear, now the instrument of death.
 \(\lambda a \xi ̧ o o s ~ o c c u r r i n g ~ o n l y ~ a s ~ a ~ s u b s t a n t i v e . ~ T h e ~ m a r g i n a l ~ n o t e ~ \lambda a[~ n o ~ d o u b t ~ r e f e r s ~ t o ~ \lambda a ́ g o a . ~\) The allusion seems to be to the tomb of Eurypylus.
10. Possibly téк[ \(\quad\) ov.

Fr. 8. ii. 6. There is a small dot between \(a\) and \(\mu\), but a stop here seems unlikely.
Fr. 9. I. e. g. \(\sigma \tau] \nu \gamma \nu \hat{\omega}[\) or \(\epsilon] \dot{\jmath} \gamma \nu \omega[\sigma] \tau[\).
9. Somewhat to the right of the \(\hat{\imath}\) there is a vestige of ink which probably represents another insertion, e. g. a mark of elision.

Fr. 10. 6. vog]ך \(\quad\) éváas W-M.
Fr. 11. ı1. e. g. [ \(\tau] \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \hat{\omega}[\) or \([\phi] \rho i \sigma \sigma \omega[\).
Fr. 12. Some at least of these lines are lyrics.
Fr. 14. 4. The acute accent is uncertain and may be a smooth breathing or an interlinear letter.

Frs. 35-40 are much wormeaten. The combination of Frs. \(3^{6}\) and \(37 \sigma \omega \mid \mu a\) is not probable. In Fr. 40. I the supposed top of a letter after \(\nu\) may be a high stop; in 1.3 oot possibly ends the line.

Fr. 47. 4. \(\pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \xi \underline{\xi} / \nu\) : cf. l. 6, where however the reading is somewhat doubtful.
7. The rough breathing on \(o\) is probable but not certain. The same may be said of the accent on \(\eta\) in 1.9 .

Fr. 48. 1. There is a short blank space before \(\eta\), but this is no doubt due to the junction, which is clearly visible below, of two sclides, of which the upper one has at this point disappeared.

Fr. 50. This fragment appears not to belong to the same column as Fr. I. Eúpú[ \(\pi v \lambda\) os is not to be read in 1.5 .

Fr. 57. 3. A speck of ink to the left of the line may be the remains of a marginal note.

Fr. 58. o was preceded by a straight stroke, e. g. áp] \(\mu \dot{o} \sigma a s\).
Fr. 69. 7. The supposed stop is doubtful.
Frs. 76-7. These two fragments, which were found together, may well belong to the same column. Fr. 77 is probably the bottom of the column, and Fr. 76 perhaps goes immediately above it.

Fr. 82. 3. The supposed vestige of a letter after \(\sigma\) may be a medial stop.
Fr. 84. 2. For \(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}(\) ) cf. 1174. iv. 23 , note.
Fr. 85. 6. \(\tau\) after \(\nu\) has apparently been crossed through.
Fr. 91. On the subject of this fragment of. Introd. p. 88.
3. W-MI suggests oì \(]\) ros \(\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{a} \sigma[\tau \dot{\eta} \rho\), supposing the time to be night; cf. Fr. 98.3. Lines I-7 look like the beginnings of iambic verses, but if so they were not ranged evenly with 11. 21-2, and the trochaics must be supposed to have projected by the space of four or five letters into the left margin.
4. For the rough breathing on eia cf. note on 1174. iv. 7 .
8. W-M thinks that the Chorus was here divided into two parts, and would give 1. Io as restored by him to the second division. This may be correct, but the indications are inconclusive.
12. The lacuna at the end of the line is too narrow for ov, but there is perhaps room for \(a\), and \(\lambda a \gamma^{\prime} \tau[a]\) suits the metre, which is a combination of cretics and trochaics, better than \(\lambda a \gamma \epsilon \in\lceil[\iota]\); the form \(\lambda a \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \tau \iota s\) moreover is unattested. à \(\nu \delta \dot{\delta}] \rho o \delta_{s}\) was restored by W-M.
18. \(\epsilon\) of \(\epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \xi\) is unsatisfactory, but \(\sigma\), which would be the easiest reading, gives no word ; an o (]ovor \(\lambda \eta \xi\) ?) seems hardly possible. tat the end of the line may be \(\eta\).

22. \(\dot{\omega} \mathrm{S}\) W-M.
 Sophron Fr. \(6 \pi \lambda\) óo \(\delta\) бокáऽ \(\omega \nu\).

Fr. 94. 2-5. A restoration of these lines is suggested exempli gratia by W-M :

 [riva... A \(\gamma\) in l. 5, however, is hardly suitable. In l. 7 either ]k \(\delta\) ein \({ }^{\prime} \in \nu\) or \(\epsilon i \delta \epsilon i \eta \mu \epsilon \nu\) is possible, but the form is strange in either case, the only analogous instances cited from
 seems unlikely.

Fr. 105. 3. то \([\iota \rho[\) is indicated by the narrow space.

\section*{1176. Satyrus, Life of Euripides.}

Fr. \(3914.2 \times 75.5 \mathrm{~cm}\). Second century. Plate V (Fr. 39, Cols. xvii-xxiii).

The identity of this work is fortunately determined by the title preserved in the last column of Fr . 39, from which we learn that the roll contained the sixth book of the 'Lives' of Satyrus, and that the book dealt with the three great tragedians. Euripides as the youngest of the three naturally came last ; and to him, so far as can be judged, relate all the fragments of the roll which have survived.

These fragments fall into two main groups. Frs. 37-9, which form the nucleus of the whole, are closely associated, Fr. \(3^{8}\) perhaps joining Fr. 39 immediately, and Fr. 37 preceding at not more than a short interval ; Frs. \(40-57\) are some scraps which accompanied these larger pieces. Nos. \(1-36\), on the other hand, are miscellancous fragments which were found sporadically some time before the main group made its appearance. Since Fr. 39 contains the end of the roll, Frs. 1-36 will naturally precede. Frs. I-8 are put together as apparently all concerned with the style of Euripides. In Fr. 8. ii. 9 sqq. this subject is dismissed and the writer passes on to consider his character, which is also the subject of Fr. 9 and perhaps of Frs. 10-II also. The arrangement of the rest is for the most part arbitrary ; Frs. 33-6 are more akin in external appearance to Frs. 37-8 than the preceding pieces, the colour of which is generally lighter.

The MS. appears to date from the middle or latter part of the second century. It is in a small upright hand of the informal type shown also in 221, 853 , the Herodas papyrus, and especially 666, which has other points of resemblance to 1176 (see below) ; all these probably belong to about the same period. There is some tendency to cursive forms, e.g. in the letters \(\epsilon\) and \(\omega\). A curious feature is the frequent doubling of strokes, which may be partly due to the use of an inferior pen. The common angular sign is often added at the end of short lines, while in longer ones the final letter is sometimes interlineated. Stops in three positions (low rarely) are used besides paragraphi, and there are two or three accents (Fr. 2. i. 14, Fr. 33. i. 21, Fr. 39. xv. 37) and a doubtful rough breathing (Fr. 33. i. 2I). All these signs, as well as the few corrections which occur, are to be credited to the original writer ; there is nowhere any indication of a second hand. This absence of revision is regrettable, since the text is clearly erratic. In two places suspicious blanks occur (Fr. 39. x. 34, xi. 6-7), while serious corruption is shown in some quotations which are already extant (sce especially Fr. 39. xi. 20 sqq.). No doubt these mistakes are often older than the papyrus, but it is impossible to acquit of carelessness a writer who
does not even spell the title correctly (Fr. 39. xxiii. 2). Such aberrations greatly increase the difficulty of reconstructing defective passages.

The columns are extremely narrow, measuring no more than about 3 cm . across, and are set very close together. Their height cannot be accurately ascertained, since their ends are missing throughout the larger fragments ; in fact Fr. 20 is the only bottom of a column remaining. Apparently the loss is considerable. The best indication of its extent is given at Fr. 39. Cols. \(\mathrm{xx}-\mathrm{i}\), where the story of the killing of Euripides by the dogs of Archelaus is begun in the former column and continued in the latter. This story is also told in the extant「'́vos Evjıтíoov, the source being almost certainly Satyrus; and on the reasonable assumption that the narrative of the original was not more compressed than that of the excerptor, some twenty lines at least would be required between xx .35 and xxi. 1. An absence of cohesion between other columns bears out this conclusion, which is confirmed by a consideration of a quite different kind. When the title of a work is written in a separate column, it seems usually to have been placed somewhere about the centre of the papyrus; cf. e. g. 843 (Part V, Plate VI), and the Berlin Hierocles papyrus (Schubart, Das Buch bei den Griechen, p. 90). The colophon in Fr. 39. xxiii is opposite 1l. 26-33 of Col. xxii, from which fact I should suppose that the amount lost in Fr. 39. iv-xxii is not much less than what is preserved. This roll would then have been on a scale similar to that of 666 , where the columns, as here, are very narrow and closely packed and extend to about fifty-seven lines. The scripts of that papyrus and of 1176 , as remarked above, also show a strong resemblance.

Concerning the author little is known. He is described as a Peripatetic (c. g. Athen. xii. p. 54 I c), and lived not later than the reign of Ptolemy Philometor (B. C. I8I-I 46), since his Lives were epitomized by Heraclides Lembus (F. H. G. iii. p. I69), who is stated by Suidas to have lived under that monarch. It may be noted as a curious coincidence that Heraclides, whom Suidas calls 'O \(\xi v \rho v \gamma x i ́ \tau \eta s\), probably resided in the city from whose ruins the present papyrus was obtained. Besides the Lives, which were Satyrus' best-known work, a book Пєрi характ \(\eta \rho \omega \nu\), of which a single fragment is preserved (Athen. iv. p. 168 e ), is with probability credited to him. Wilamowitz (Hermes xxxiv. pp. 633-4) has shown reason for referring him to the third century B. C. rather than the second, and would identify him with the writer of the treatise on the Alexandrian demes (Theophil. ad Autolyc. ii. p. 94), which is apparently a product of the reign of Philopator. This date for the biographer is accepted by Leo (Gricch.-Röm. Biogr. p. II8), and there is nothing in the new fragments at all inconsistent with it. If it is correct, he is to be distinguished from the 'A \(1 / \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \chi\) ov \(\gamma v \omega \rho \iota \mu=s\) nick-


Gr. p. 191) as well as from the Satyrus sent on a embassy to Rome by the citizens of Rhodes in the year 172 (Livy xlii. 14), whose identification with the composer of the Lives was proposed by C. Müller (F. H. G. iii. p. 159). An authority on precious stones, who is thrice referred to by Pliny ( \(N . H\). xxvii. in, \(24-5\) ), and was very likely, though not certainly, a poet, does not need to be taken into consideration. But the Satyrus who collected ancient myths (Dion. Hal. A. R. i. 68 E. ó toùs àpxaiovs \(\mu v \theta^{\prime}\) ovs ovvayay( \((v)\) might very well be our author ; and possibly, as Müller suggested (op.cit., p. 164), it was in the work thus referred to that the view which the scholia on Homer \(\Xi 216\) and \(\Theta 288\) attribute to 'Satyrus' concerning the girdle of Aphrodite was stated.

But whatever the other writings of Satyrus may have been, the biographies, which are repeatedly cited by Athenaeus and Diogenes Laertius, were the work to which he chiefly owed his reputation. These biographies are commonly alluded to as oi Biol; the more formal title is given by the papyrus, Bíw davaypaфí. They included monarchs (Dionysius the Younger, Philip), statesmen and generals (Alcibiades), orators (Demosthenes), philosophers (the seven sages, Pythagoras, Empedocles, Zeno of Elea, Anaxagoras, Socrates, Plato, Diogenes, Anaxarchus, Stilpo), and poets (Sophocles, and, as we now know, Aeschylus and Euripides). A division into books was proved by references to the fourth book, which dealt with philosophers-though these may well have occupied more books than one. The sixth book, as the papyrus shows, treated of poets, and further books perhaps followed. Most of the extant citations, which have been collected, though not quite exhaustively, by Müller (F. H. G. iii. pp. 160 sqq. ; cf. Wilamowitz, op.cit., p. \(633^{3}\), Leo, op. cit., pp. 120 sqq.), are quite short, but two considerable verbal excerpts are given by Athenaeus (Sat. Frs. I and 3), and indicated a writer with considerable pretensions to literary style. While confirming this impression, the papyrus reveals an unexpected and surprising fact: the life of Euripides is in the form of a dialogue. The fact is indubitable, although the copyist has failed to bring it out by distinguishing clearly the parts of the interlocutors by means of the double dots which are commonly employed in works of a dramatic cast. There are at least three speakers, of whom two, Diodorus (Fr. 39. iii. 19, xv. 13), and Eucleia, a woman (Fr. 39. xiv. 31), are named. These latter persons play a subordinate part ; the name assigned to the chief speaker, into whose mouth the main narrative is put, is not mentioned. Presumably the present Lifc is a fair sample of the others, and the inference is to be drawn that they had a similar shape. The method is a singular one to apply to biography. It emphasizes at the outset the nature of Satyrus' work, which, like that of other biographers of the Peripatetic school, was essentially popular in its aim, and endeavoured to supply interesting information in an attractive shape.

Another formal feature, which is not less characteristic of the writer's school (cf. Wilamowitz, l.c., p. 633, Leo, l. c., pp. 104 sqq.), is the wealth of quotations, both from Euripides and elsewhere. It is easy to understand why in the next generation, with the growth of a more strictly scientific spirit, the biographies of Satyrus were reduced by Hcraclides to an epitome : they were much too diffuse for a handy book of reference. Their style is smooth and pleasant, and care is shown in a general avoidance of hiatus, though the rule is by no means consistently observed. Very likely the apparent exceptions are less the fault of the author than of his transcribers, but drastic measures would be required to climinate some of them (e.g. Fr. 39. xiv. 30-1), and the safcr course is to allow them to stand.

The account given of Euripides was evidently comprehensive. Besides the main events of his life, his style and position in the development of tragic art (Frs. I-8, Fr. 39. vii), his character (Fr. 8. ii. 20 sqq., Frs. 9-10, Fr. 37. i), his philosophical, religious, political, and ethical opinions (Fr. 37. i. 22 sqq., Fr. 38, Fr. 39. i-vi), and his views about women (Fr. 39. \(\dot{x}\)-xiii) are discussed at considerable length. There is little semblance of original research, for which a successor of Philochorus could hardly have felt much need. The story of the cave at Salamis, reported in Fr. 39. ix. 4 sqq., is expressly attributed to Philochorus by Gellius (N.A. xv. 20). A fondness for anecdote, which Satyrus shares with his kind, and which was a product of the prevailing interest in individual character and personal traits and details, does not necessarily imply an uncritical turn of mind. The tales are commonly prefaced with the warning 'as they relate ', ' as is said', and the like ; in one place a more exact reference is given (Fr. 39. xx. 29-32), while in another it is not improbable that some scepticism was expressed (cf. the note on Fr. 39. xii. I-I6). A fanciful interpretation of a lyric passage is propounded with proper reserve (Fr. 39. xviii. 7-20). Diog. Laert. vi. 80 (Sat. Fr. 17) shows our author disputing the authenticity of the work bearing the name of Diogenes. If there were any such critical consideration of the plays attributed to Euripides, this must have been given in the lost earlicr portion of the treatise.

In the anonymous life of Sophocles which is extant there are three allusions to Satyrus, but he is not mentioned in the similar account of Euripides (cf. Schwartz, Schol. Eurip. pp. I-7), although this cites Eratosthenes, Philochorus, and Hermippus. Nevertheless it now seems plain that Satyrus too was among the sources of the anonymous compilation, the language of which is sometimes very close to that of the papyrus ; cf. Fr. 39 . ix. 4 sqq., x. 23 sqq., xii. 2 I sqq., xx. \(1-15\), xxi. I sqq., with the parallel passages of the 「'́vos Évoriioou quoted in the notes \(a d\) loc. These related passages, however, do not stand in the sequence
of their apparent source, and the want of cohesion conspicuous in the révos becomes still further evident.

But not only is authority now assignable for several statements about the poet which were previously anonymous and their antiquity definitely ascertained; the papyrus also makes some contributions of its own to the material. Thus we are told that Euripides was prosecuted for impiety by Cleon (Fr. 39. x. 15-20, and note), that his retirement from Athens was partly due to irritation with certain poets, whose names are given (Fr. 39. xv. 26 sqq.), and that he composed the exordium of the Persac for Timotheus (Fr. 39. xxii. 27-30). There are morcover some substantial additions to the Fragments of his poctry, as well as a few improvements in the text of others already cxtant.
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[. . . .] \(] \nu \eta \kappa \in![\)
[. . . .] \(] \in \pi \sigma \sigma\) [
10
[. . . . . .] \(k \in \nu[\)
[. . . . . .] \(\alpha \rho \rho \eta[\)
[. . . . . .] \(] \in \nu o \nu\)

Fr. 10.

Fr. 9.
[. . . . . .] \(] \nu \tau\).
[....... .] \(\phi \in ⿺[\)
[. . . . . . .] \(\phi \rho o[\)
[. . . . . . . .] . [
5 [....] \(] \alpha \sigma \alpha \pi \alpha-\)
[. . .] \(\gamma \epsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \iota\)
[oú] \(\tau^{\prime} \quad\) ó \(\rho X \eta \sigma \alpha \alpha^{-}\)
\([\mu \in \nu 0] \nu \quad \eta \quad \kappa \in L[\nu\)

10 [. . . . . .]k \(]\) [
[..... \(\pi] \alpha \rho \rho \eta-\)
\([\sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \alpha ́ \mu] \in \nu \alpha \nu\)

Col. i. Col. ii.
\(] \cdot[\).
\(] \in \nu[\)
]. \(\boldsymbol{T}![\)
]. \(\epsilon \gamma \omega\)
5 ] \(\nu v \gamma \alpha \rho\) >
]p \(\alpha \nu \delta \iota>\)
\[
] \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \eta
\]
] \(\nu \tau \alpha>\)
\(] \omega \nu \circ \sigma[\)
10 \(] \sigma \tau \circ \eta \theta[.] \sigma\)
\(] \sigma \tau \eta \nu\),
\(\delta \epsilon[\)
\(\nu \alpha[\)
\(\epsilon \iota \nu[\)
Tol[
\(5 \pi \alpha \lambda[\)

Col. i. Col. ii.
Fr. 10.


Fr. 11.
Col. i.
Col. ii.


Fr. 11
Col. i.
Col. ii.

Fr. 12.
Col. i. Col. ii.
\begin{tabular}{cc}
\(] \epsilon\) & \(\cdot\) \\
\(]\) & \(\tau[\) \\
\(]\) & {\([\)} \\
\(] \boldsymbol{\alpha}\) & \(\tau[\) \\
\(5] \cdot \eta\) & \(\nu[\) \\
\(] \cdot\) & \(5 \lambda o[\) \\
\(]\) & \(\epsilon \cdot[\) \\
\(]>\) & \(\pi[\) \\
\(] \boldsymbol{\nu}\) & \(\alpha v[\)
\end{tabular}

Col. ii.
\begin{tabular}{cc}
\(] \epsilon\) & \(\cdot\) \\
\(]\) & \(\tau[\) \\
\(]\) & {\([\)} \\
\(] \alpha\) & \(\tau[\) \\
\(5] \cdot \eta\) & \(\nu[\) \\
\(] \cdot\) & 5 \\
\(]\) & \(\epsilon \cdot[\) \\
\(]\) & \(\pi[\) \\
\(] \nu\) & \(\alpha \dot{v}[\)
\end{tabular}


Fr. 13.
]! \(\sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \mu \alpha[\)
]єфаıขọ[
] \(\alpha \iota \eta \delta \iota \kappa o[\)
]ow 'ka![
5 ] \(\eta \pi \pi 0 v \sigma[\)
] \(\in v \tau[\)


Fr. 16.
Col. i. Col. ii.
[. . . .] . . к \(\tau \eta \sigma\)
[. . .] \(]\) р \(\eta\) бкк
[. . . .] \(] \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu о \sigma\)
[. . .] \(] \tau \eta \sigma \alpha\)
5 [. . . . .] \(\sigma \alpha u \tau 0 \sigma\)
[. . . .] . \(\eta \pi 0 \iota\)
[. . . . . .]ova \(\sigma \omega\)
[. . . .] uvтoıa
\(\beta \stackrel{[ }{[ }\)
\(\lambda \nu[\)
\(\tau \eta \sigma[\)
\(\lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha[\)
5 какоv[
vo ot[
\(\tau \cdot \alpha \lambda[\)
- \(\quad\) [. . \(]\) [

Fr. 16.
Col. i.
Col. ii.


Fr. 17.
Col. i.
] . \(\in\) [.
] \(\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon\) ]є \(є є \tau \omega\) ]кат \(\alpha\)

Col. ii.
\(\lambda \in \gamma \eta[\)
\(\tau 0 \delta \epsilon[\)
\(\tau \iota \sigma[\cdot] \epsilon[\)
\(\lambda \alpha[\cdot] \omega[\)
\(5 \phi\). [

Fr. 17.
Col. i.
]. \(\epsilon[\).
] \(\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu-\)
]єขє́т \(\omega\)
] \(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha\)

Col. ii.
\(\lambda \epsilon ́ y \eta[\) \(\tau 0 \delta \epsilon[\) \(\tau \iota \sigma[.] \epsilon[\) \(\lambda \alpha[.] \omega[\) \(5 \phi\). [

Fr. 18.
Col. i. Col. ii.
[. .].[. . .] \(\alpha \delta(\).
[. . \(] \lambda \lambda \eta \cdot \alpha \eta \delta 0\)
[...]yароךбוo,
[. .] \(\sigma v \pi \in \rho \in \nu\) >
.j [. .]кк! \(0 \nu \tau \iota »\)
[. . .] [.]. . \(\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha\)
\(5 \pi \alpha[\)

[. . . . \({ }^{\text {j }} \nu 0 \mu \alpha \nu\)
[. . . ] \(\alpha \mu \eta \lambda \nu \pi \pi \epsilon\)
10
[. . . . . .] \(] \nu \cdot \kappa \alpha \iota\)

Fr. 19.
Col. i. Col. ii.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(] \sigma\) & \(\epsilon v \lambda \alpha \beta \underline{[ }\). \\
\hline ] . & \(\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \in \iota \tau \eta[\). \\
\hline ] \(\cdot\) • & \(\tau \in \iota \nu\) оva \(\alpha\) [. \\
\hline \(] \sigma\) & เסı \(\omega \tau \alpha \iota[\). \\
\hline & \(\tau[. .] a\). \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 19.
Col. i.
Col. ii.
```

] $\sigma \quad \epsilon u \dot{ } \quad \alpha \beta[$.
]. $\quad \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta}[\nu$.
]c• $\quad \tau$ tívouva[ $\nu$ тoís
] $\sigma \quad$ i $\delta \iota \omega ́ \tau \alpha \iota[s$.

- . $5 \tau[$. . $] \alpha v[$

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fr. 20. & Fr. 21. & Fr. 20. & Fr. 21 \\
\hline - & - & - - & \\
\hline ] . [ & ] . . [. & ] . [ & ] . [ \\
\hline ] \(\nu \delta \nu[\) & \(] \pi \rho 0\) & \(] \nu \delta \nu[\) & ] \(\pi \rho 0\) \\
\hline ] \(\operatorname{covev}^{\text {[ }}\) & \(] \eta \nu>\) & ]utov \& & \(] \eta \nu\) \\
\hline ]ขтоขка[ & \(] \tau \alpha\) & ] 3 Tov \(\times \alpha[\) & ] \(\tau \alpha\) \\
\hline & ] \(]\) [. & & ] T . \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 22.
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(] v \rho a[\) & \(] \sigma \alpha \nu[\) \\
\(] o \iota X[\) & \(] \rho \in \psi[\) \\
\(] \nu \mu \rho \nu[\) & \(] \cdot \sigma \cdot[\) \\
\(] \nu \eta \gamma v \rho[\) & \(] \delta \in \iota[\)
\end{tabular}

5 ] \(\epsilon \rho \pi \alpha \cdot[\quad 5\) ] \(\sigma \alpha \sigma[\)

]o८хєр . [
]к̌ovov[
]ovya \([\)
І \(0 \quad] \lambda \omega[\) ]ov \(\sigma \alpha[\)

Fr. 23.
] \(\sigma \alpha \nu[\)
\(] \rho \in \psi[\)
\(] \cdot \sigma \cdot[\)
\(\delta \in![\)

Fr. 24.
\(] \pi!\cdot[\)

Fr. 22.
] \(v \rho \alpha[\quad] \sigma \alpha \nu[\) ] \(\circ\llcorner[\quad] \rho \in \psi[\) ] \(\nu \mu o v[\) \(\pi \alpha] \nu \eta \gamma \nu \rho[\)
]. \(s^{\circ}[\)
\(5 \dot{v} \pi] \epsilon \frac{\epsilon}{\rho} \pi \alpha\). [
] \(\nu \pi o \lambda v[\) ]ot \(X \in \rho\). [
\(\left.\alpha{ }^{\alpha}\right]\) кovov \([\tau\)
\(] \lambda \eta \sigma[\)
] \(u \pi[\)

Fr. 26.
Fr. 25.

] \(\rho[\)
] \(\pi\) [
\(] \omega[\cdot]\lceil[\)
]. \(\phi[\)
5 ] \(\sigma \tau\)

Fr. 25.
]. . [
\(] \nu \eta[\)
] \(\alpha \nu \epsilon[\)
] \(\tau \alpha \lambda\) [
5 ] \(\alpha \rho[\)
]. [

Fr. 26.
] \(\rho[\)
] \(\pi\) [
\(] \omega[.] \pi[\)
]. \(\phi[\)
5 ] \(\sigma \tilde{T}\)
Fr. 27.
Fr. 28.
Fr. 27.
Fr. 28.

Col. i. Col. ii.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & \\
\hline ]. & K[ & & at. [ \\
\hline ]. & \(\tau\) & & . \(\epsilon \iota(\underline{\varphi}\) \\
\hline & \(\sigma \cdot[\) & & [ \\
\hline  & ¢ & & . \(\epsilon\). [ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Col. i. Col. ii.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & [ \\
\hline ] & \(\kappa[\) & & кaì . [ \\
\hline ]. & \(\tau\) & & . \(\epsilon \iota \sigma[\) \\
\hline & \(\sigma \cdot[\) & & \\
\hline lov & \(\epsilon\) [ & 5 & . \(\epsilon\). \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 29.
Fr. 30.
Col. i. Col. ii.
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
& \(\cdot\) & \(]!\eta[\) \\
& \(\rho \cdot[\) & \(] \epsilon \rho \omega[\) \\
& \(\nu[\) & \(] \cdot \tau \alpha[\) \\
\(\cdots\) & \(\epsilon \sigma[\) & \(\cdot\) \\
& \(\alpha[\) &
\end{tabular}
Fr. 31.
Fr. 32.

Fr. 33.

Fr. 29.
Fr. 30 .
Col. i. Col. ii.

] . \(\quad a[\)

Fr. 31 .
Fr. 32 .

Col. i. Col. ii.
]Toßl. [
] \(\nu \quad \cdot[\)
\(] \epsilon>\)
Col. i. Col. ii.
]то \(\beta \iota\). [
] \(\nu\)
]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Fr. } 33 . \\
& \text { Col. i. } \\
& \text { Col. ii. } \\
& \text { [. . . . . }] \underset{\alpha}{6}[\text {. . } \\
& \text { [. . . . .] }] \sigma \sigma \eta[. \\
& \text { [. . . . .] }] \alpha[\text { [. . } \\
& \text { [. . . . .] } 0 \lambda v \text {. [ } \\
& 5 \text { [....]. o } \theta \eta \text {. [ } \\
& \text { r. } 33 \text {. } \\
& \text { Col. i. } \\
& \text { [. . . . .]ọ } \eta[\text {. } \\
& \text { [. . . . .] }] \alpha \kappa[\text {. } \\
& \text { [....] . o } \theta \eta \text {. [ } \\
& \text { [. . . . .] }] \text { [ }[\text {. } \\
& \text { [. . . . .]oo } \eta \text { [. } \\
& \text { [. . . . .] }] \alpha \iota[\text {. } \\
& \text { [. . . . } \pi \text { ] } 0 \lambda \nu \text {. [ } \\
& 5 \text { [....]. o } \theta \eta \text {. [ }
\end{aligned}
\]

Col. ii.


\section*{Fr. 34.}

Col. i.
Col. ii.


[. . . . .] \(] \mu \alpha[\).
[. . . .] tois e[.
\([. . . \dot{v}] \pi \epsilon \rho 0 x[\).
[.....] \(\nu \delta \eta \lambda[\).
10 [. . .]s \(\pi \rho \circ \sigma[\).
[. . .] к \(\alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\)
\(\gamma[\)
[. . .] \(\omega \nu \tau \omega \nu\)
[. . .]aıs єikaı
[. . .] \(] \pi \alpha \cdot \tau \hat{n}\)
\({ }_{5} 5[\cdots] \eta \delta \nu \nu \alpha\). \(\quad 5 \alpha[\)
. . . . . .jı \(\sigma \tau \alpha\)
[. . . . .] \(]\) v каì
[. . . . .]ãov
[. . . .]. ovv a[
20 [. . . . .] \(\alpha \sigma \theta a v\)
[. . .]. os oṽ \(\phi \eta\) -
[大८. .]. \(\eta\) 入órous
[....]ras oủk
\([\). . . \(] \epsilon \sigma \alpha \cdot[.] \omega[\)

Col. i.
Col. ii.


Fr. 35 .
Fr. \(3^{6}\).
]. [
]ov[
]. [
] \(\eta \sigma \epsilon\) [
\begin{tabular}{cccc}
\(] \gamma \alpha \rho[\) & \(] r \eta[\) & \(] \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho[\) & \(] \tau \eta[\) \\
\(] u \tau[\) & \(] \cdot[\) & \(] v \tau[\) & \(] \cdot[\)
\end{tabular}

Fr. 37. Col. i.
About 14 lines lost.
r'5 [. . . . . . . .]. [. .
[. . . . . . .]roor
[.] \({ }^{\circ} \circ \circ \circ \sigma \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \circ \iota \sigma[\)


20 [. . .] גотрооб \({ }^{-}\)
[. .] yoı \(\sigma \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota\)
[. . .] \(] \boldsymbol{\mu \nu о \sigma \cdot \epsilon ~}\)
[. . .]aסєто⿱

\({ }^{2} 5\) [. . . .]ov \(\omega \omega \sigma\)
[. . .] . \(\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot\). [. .] \(] \phi \nu \sigma \pi\)
[. . . . . . . .] \({ }_{\mu}^{\alpha}\)
[. . . . . . . .]. фaı
[. . . . . . . . .] \(\sigma \sigma\)

Fr. 37. Col. ii.
About 5 lines lost.
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 37. Col. i.
About 14 lines lost.
15 [. . . . . . .]. [ . .
[. . . . 'є \(\pi i\) i] тois
[i]dious áyaOoîs
\([\dot{\varphi}] \psi \eta \lambda\) òs \({ }^{\omega} \nu\),

\(20[\hat{\alpha} \lambda] \lambda\) дотрíoıs




\({ }_{2} 5\) [ \(\left.\delta \alpha \iota \mu\right]\) ovíws
[. . .]. . . . [. .] фvot[
[. . . . . . . . \(] \mu a\)
[. . . . . . . .]. фaı
[. . . . . . . . .]vo

Fr. 37. Col. ii.
About 5 lines lost.

10

15
\(]\)

］
］．．
\(\pi \alpha \iota \sigma[]\).\(o ．［．．］] \underset{\sim}{\alpha}\)
\(20 \overline{\tau o \nu} \in \nu \alpha \iota \theta \in[\).
\(\omega \iota \rho v \mu \beta \omega i \pi[\) ．
\(\tau \omega \nu \phi \cup \sigma \iota \nu \in[\).
\(\pi \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha 0 \nu\)
\(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \in \nu \phi \omega \sigma\)
\(25 \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \delta[\) ．
\(\nu v \xi \propto![\) ．
\(\alpha \kappa[\) ．
\(\sigma[\)

Fr．37．Col．iii．
4 lines lost．
5 ［．．．．］．．［
\(\alpha[. ..] \operatorname{co\phi }[. .\).
\(\kappa[.\).\(] ．oto［．．．\)
入．．［．．\(] v \mu \epsilon[.\).
\(\sigma \sigma[..] \omega \iota \pi[.\).
10 \(\tau \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \delta[\) ．

\(\lambda \alpha \nu 0 \nu \tau \epsilon \phi \epsilon \rho[\) ．
\(\zeta \epsilon \boldsymbol{v} \sigma \epsilon[\)［．］\(] \delta \eta \sigma[\)

\({ }^{15}\) к \(\rho \iota \beta \omega \sigma о \lambda \omega \sigma[\)
\(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \phi \in \nu[\)
тор \(\alpha \nu \alpha \xi[\) ．
үорєьог［．．．．
коб \(\mu \circ \nu[.\).
20 т \(\rho \iota \sigma \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \rho![\) ．．
\(\kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \iota \gamma[\) ．

I 5

］
］．．
\(\pi \alpha \iota\) ‘ \(\sigma[\epsilon \tau] \overline{o े} \nu[\langle\alpha u ̉ \tau o \phi\rangle] v \hat{\alpha}\)
\(20 \tau o ̀ \nu\)＇́v \(\boldsymbol{\nu}\) 人 \(\theta \in[\rho i ́-\)

\(\tau \omega \nu \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu \quad \begin{gathered}\epsilon \\ {[\nu]-}\end{gathered}\)
\(\pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \xi \alpha \nu \theta^{\prime}\) oे \(\nu\)
\(\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \mu e ̀ \nu \quad \phi \hat{\omega} s\)
\(25 \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \delta^{\prime}[o ̉ \rho \phi \nu \alpha i ́ a\)
\(\nu v ̀ \xi\) ait［o入óxpos
वैк［pıós \(\tau^{\prime}\) ä－
\(\sigma[\tau \rho \omega \nu\) ő \(\chi \lambda o s\)

Fr．37．Col．iii．
4 lines lost．
5 ［．．．．］．．［
\(\alpha[\ldots] \iota o \phi[\ldots\)
\(\kappa[.\).\(] ．ot \sigma[\ldots\)
\(\lambda .[] \quad.] \mu \epsilon[\).
\(\sigma o\left[\begin{array}{ll}i & \tau\end{array}\right] \widehat{\iota} \quad \pi\left[\alpha \alpha^{\nu}-\right.\)
1० \(\tau \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \delta[\epsilon ́ o \nu-\)
\(\tau \iota \chi^{\lambda}{ }^{\prime} \eta \nu \pi[\epsilon \in\)
\(\lambda \alpha \nu o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \phi \epsilon ́ \rho[\omega\)
\(Z \in \dot{v} \epsilon^{\prime \prime}\left[\theta^{\prime}\right]\)＂\(A \delta \eta s\)
óvou［ \(\alpha\) ］\({ }^{2} \eta_{n}^{\prime}, \dot{\alpha}-\)
15 крı \(\beta \hat{\omega} s\) ö \(\lambda \omega s\)
\(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon i ́ \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon \nu\)
тò̀＇A \(A \nu \xi[\alpha\)－
耳ópeıov［ \(\delta \iota a ́\)－
коб \(\mu \circ \nu\)［ \(\epsilon ่ \nu\)
20 т \(\rho \iota \sigma i \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota[\) ódols．
каi \({ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \gamma[\epsilon ́\)
```

    \pi\eta\iota\delta\iota\alpha\piо\rho[..
    \tau\iota\piо\tau\epsilon\sigma\tau! >
    \tauотроє\sigma\tau\eta
    { } ^ { 2 } 5 ~ к о \sigma \tau \omega \nu о \nu \rho \alpha
\nu\omega\nu
[. .]శ\alpha\nu\alpha\gammaк[.
[. . . .]\sigma\epsilon\iota\tau[. .

```

Two columns lost ?

Fr. 38. Col. i.
About 6 lines lost.
[. . . . . .] . . [. . .
[. . . . . ] . o \(\pi \lambda \epsilon \omega\)
[. . . .] . a?oбay
\(\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}\)
[. . . .] \(] \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon \alpha\).

[. .] \(] \eta \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \phi . \sigma\)
[.] . . \(\eta \nu \tau о \iota \sigma \pi \rho o\)
\({ }_{15}\) є \(\iota \rho \eta \mu \in \nu 0 \iota \sigma\)
[. . .] \(\lambda \lambda \in \gamma \epsilon \tau \tau \iota \sigma\)
[. .] . . oөधoo[.] \(] \alpha\)
[. .]pa \(\alpha \omega \iota \mu[.\). ]
[. .] \(\tau \alpha \delta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma\)
20 [. .]vout \(\rho 0 \delta_{c}\)
[.] \(] \sigma \kappa \in \iota \psi v \chi \eta \nu\)
[.] ]тou \begin{tabular}{c} 
eov \(\eta\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
[. .] \(\sigma \theta a \iota \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon\)
[. .]oגo \(\omega \omega \nu \delta\)
\({ }_{25}\) [. . ] \(] \sigma \in \rho \rho \iota \psi \in \nu\)
[. . . . . .] \(\sigma \alpha \pi \alpha\)
[. . . . . .] \(] 0 \lambda\)

Fr. 38. Col. i.
About 6 lines lost.
[. . . . . ]. . [. . .
[. . . . . .] o o \(\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega\)
[. . . .]. a a os á \(\nu\) -
10 [. . . . .] \(\sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\)
[тòv] 'Hрак入є́ , [ \(\kappa \alpha i]\) ठ̀̀ \(\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu\)
\([\alpha \nu\rangle] \grave{\eta} \nu \quad\) ध́ \(\pi \iota \phi . \sigma\) -
[.] . . \(\eta \nu\) тoîs \(\pi \rho o-\)
\({ }_{15}\) єıрך \(\mu\) évois
[. . .] \(\lambda\) '́́ \(\gamma \epsilon\), ' ' \(i ́ s\)
[. .]. . ó \(\theta\) єos [ \(\kappa\) ]ai
\([\beta \alpha] \rho\langle\nu\rangle \delta \alpha i \mu[\omega \nu\)
[ôs] \(\tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \lambda \epsilon u ́ \sigma-\)
\(20[\sigma \omega] \nu\) ov̉ \(\pi \rho 0 \delta_{1-}\)
[ \(\delta] \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \psi \nu \chi \grave{\nu} \nu\)
[a]útoû \(\theta \in \grave{o ̀ \nu} \dot{\eta}^{-}\) \([\gamma \epsilon i ̂] \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \mu \in \tau \epsilon-\)
[ \(\omega \rho\) ] \({ }^{\prime} \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu \quad \delta^{\prime}\) '́-
\({ }_{2}[\kappa \bar{\alpha}]\) ] \({ }^{\epsilon} \rho \rho \iota \psi \epsilon \nu\)
[ \(\sigma \kappa о \lambda \iota \grave{\alpha}] s \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha}-\)
[ \(\tau \alpha s, \tilde{\omega}^{\nu} \nu\) ] ro入-
```

    [. . . . .]\omega\sigma\sigma\epsilon\iota
    [. . . . . .]є[. .] . [
    30

```

Fr. 38. Col. ii.
5 lines lost.
[. . .] \(\delta \cup \in!\delta \rho \alpha[\)
[. . .] \(\tau \alpha \cdot \epsilon \nu \iota \alpha \alpha[\).
- [.] \(]=\sigma \cdot \alpha \lambda \lambda\). [
\(\tau[.] \pi \alpha \rho \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu\)
1о тоторє \(\quad \nu \tau \omega \nu\)
\(\tau \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \omega \nu \kappa \epsilon\)
\(\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \phi \lambda о \sigma\)
\(\omega \nu \epsilon \mu[.] \sigma \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon\)
\(\sigma \theta \omega[\cdot]!!\mu \alpha[\)

\(\pi \circ \lambda \cdot[..] \in \pi \alpha[\)
\(\sigma \theta \epsilon \pi \lambda \rho[. \cdot] \omega \iota[\)
\(\tau \in \delta о \kappa \in[..] \alpha \rho \epsilon[\)
\(\tau \alpha \nu[\). . . .] \(] \gamma \alpha a[\)

\(\tau \iota \nu \alpha \iota \tau \varphi[\cdot] \cdot]\)

\(\alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \pi \in T \rho, \alpha \nu\)
хрибๆ入атоv
\({ }_{25} \epsilon \nu \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu o \iota \sigma\)
\({ }^{\epsilon}\) Хо८т \(\epsilon \pi \alpha \sigma[\).
\(\mu \in \nu 0 ו \pi \alpha \tau \rho[\) [
oยбоитоıт[.
\(\tau \in \mu \eta \pi \epsilon \phi \varphi[\).
\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mu \eta \rho \alpha ̀ & \gamma \lambda] \omega \bar{\omega} \sigma^{\prime} \\ \epsilon i- \\ \hline\end{array}\right.\)
\([\kappa \circ \beta о \lambda] \epsilon \hat{\imath}[\pi \epsilon]-\)
\(30[\rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha] d \phi \alpha[\nu \widehat{\omega} \nu\)

Fr. 38. Col. ii.
5 lines lost.
[. . .] \(\delta \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \delta \rho \alpha a_{-}\)
\([\sigma \alpha \nu] \tau \alpha\) èvl \(\gamma \grave{\alpha}[\rho\)
\(\pi[o] \nu 0 \rho^{-} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{o}\) ".
\(\tau[\omega] \pi \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu\)
10 тò \(\pi 0 \nu \epsilon i ̄ \nu \tau \omega\)
\(\tau^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \kappa \epsilon-\)
\(\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\) фínos

\(\sigma \theta \omega\). \(\tau i ́ \mu a ́-\)

\(\pi о \lambda \lambda[\grave{\alpha} \pi] \epsilon \in \pi \alpha-\)
\(\sigma \theta \epsilon \pi \lambda o[\dot{u} \tau] \omega\)
\(\tau \in \delta о \kappa \epsilon\left[i \tau{ }^{\prime}\right] \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon-\)
\(\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu[\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon] \rho \gamma \alpha-\)
\(20 \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a[\iota . \pi i] \delta^{\prime}, \epsilon^{\prime \prime}\)
\(\tau \iota \nu^{\prime} A i ँ \tau \nu[\alpha] s\)
тárov \(\Pi[\alpha \rho]]_{i}^{-}\)
\(\alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \alpha \nu\)
хрибŋ́入атои
\({ }_{25}{ }_{\epsilon} \nu \quad \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \mu o \iota s\)
\({ }^{\text {€ }}\) ХоІтє \(\pi \alpha \sigma[\alpha-\)
\(\mu \in \nu o l \pi a \tau \rho i-\)
ous; oűtol т[ó
\(\tau \epsilon \mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \pi \epsilon \phi u-\)
30 [ко́тєs
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Fr. \(3^{8 .}\) Col. iii.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{8 lines lost. роит \(\epsilon \rho \alpha\). [. . . .} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{} & \(\tau \in \nu \alpha \nu \sigma \tau 0 \lambda\) ov \\
\hline & \(\sigma \iota \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu>\) \\
\hline &  \\
\hline &  \\
\hline & [. .] \({ }_{\text {c }}\) ¢ \(\alpha \nu \tau \rho \iota \kappa v\), \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{15} &  \\
\hline & [. . \(] \theta \in \lambda\) ¢ \(<\mu \alpha \nu\) > \\
\hline &  \\
\hline &  \\
\hline &  \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{20} & [. . .] \(¢ \in \beta\) обто \\
\hline & [ . . . \(] \beta \omega \nu\) • \\
\hline & [. . . . .] ¢ \(_{\text {couto }}\) \\
\hline & [. . . . . . . ]pot \\
\hline & . . . . . . ] . [.]0 \({ }^{\text {c }}\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{25} & [. . . . . . .] . \(\omega \nu\) \\
\hline & [. . . . . . \(]\) ] \(¢ v \nu\) \\
\hline & [. . . . . . . .] \(<\alpha \nu\) \\
\hline & [. . . . . . . . .] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 38. Col. iv, with Fr. 39. Col. i.
12 lines lost.


Fr. 38. Col. iii.
7 lines lost.
Boбтó]-
pou \(\pi \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha ~ N[i ̂ \lambda o u\)
1० \(\tau \epsilon \nu \alpha v \sigma \tau 0 \lambda о \hat{-}\)
\(\sigma \iota \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu\)
\(\chi_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho o-\)
[ \(\sigma \kappa o] \pi o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s\)

\({ }^{1} 5[\mu i ́] \alpha \nu . \theta \dot{v} \rho \alpha \theta \in \nu\)

\([\hat{\epsilon} \lambda \theta] o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \mu \alpha-\)
[крळ̀ \(\nu]\) Х \(\rho v \sigma o \hat{\nu} \nu\)
\(\left[\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}\right]\) " \(I \sigma \tau \rho o \nu\)
 \([\rho o \nu \lambda \alpha] \beta \omega \nu . '\) [. . . . .]s тои̂то
[. . . . . . . .] \({ }^{\text {eot }}\)
[. . . . . . . .] . [.]o
25
[. . . . . . . ] \(\sigma \grave{v} \nu\)
[. . . . . . . .] \({ }^{\circ} \alpha \nu\)
[. . . . . . . . .].

Fr. 38. Col. iv, with Fr. 39. Col. i.
12 lines lost.

```

20 \tauo\delta\in\lambdaov[[. .] |
\mu\epsilon\tau\eta\eta\0\epsilon[.].
\pi\rhoо\sigma\tau[.. .]\sigma
x[.]ov\pi[. . . .]
q}\cdot]<br>lambda\omega!\tau[. . .]>
{ } _ { 2 5 } \theta \alpha v \mu \alpha [ . . . . ] .
\tauo\nu\sigma\omega[.
т!\eta\pio\lambdav[.
[. .]\alpha\omega\sigma\tau\alpha\pi[.
[. .]\nu\nuou\inva[
30 [. .]\tau\etai\delta\alpha\nua\eta[
[.]\epsilon\rho\iota\pi\lambda\epsilonо\nu\epsilon
[.]\alpha\sigma\muovov
[. .]rov\pi\alpha\nu
[.] ] v\in\pio\iota\eta
35[. .]T\epsilon\xi\alpha<\rho\epsilonTO\nu
[. . . . . .] . . .

```
    Fr. 39. Col. ii.
        4 lines lost.
    5 [. . .] \(][\). . . .] \(\delta \iota \alpha[\)
    [. . .] . [. . .] . !ov >
    [.]ovo[. . .]ov >
    [.] \(\rho o \pi o[. ~.] \alpha \theta \rho \alpha \iota[\)
    \(\delta \epsilon \tau \sigma v[\cdot] \omega \nu \delta \rho \omega\)
10 \(\mu \in \nu \omega \nu \tau \iota \nu \alpha \sigma\)
    фоß \(\eta\) ' \(\cdot \tau 0 v \sigma\)
    \(\mu \in \iota \xi_{0} \alpha \beta \lambda[\).
    тоутоба[. .
    \(\theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu \theta \epsilon \sigma v \sigma\).
    тңuто⿱亠䒑ota
    \(\pi \in \mathrm{P} \cdot[] \theta \in \omega \nu[\).
\(20 \tau o ̀ \delta^{\prime} \epsilon_{\epsilon} \lambda\langle\lambda\rangle o ́ \gamma \iota[\mu 0] \nu\).
\(\mu \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon[\delta] \epsilon\)
\(\pi \rho o ̀ s \tau\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { ò } \\ \text { ai }] \sigma \text { ．}\end{array}\right.\)
\(x[\rho] o ̀ \nu \pi\left[\alpha \rho \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \tau \hat{\varphi}\right]\)
oै \([\chi] \lambda \omega \tau[\hat{\omega}\)
\(\left.{ }_{25} \theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \hat{\alpha}\left[\xi^{\epsilon}\right]\right\rangle\)
тòv \(\Sigma \omega[k \rho \alpha ́-\)
\(\tau \eta \pi o \lambda v[.\).
［．．］a \(\omega\) s \(\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi[0-\)

\(30[\hat{\epsilon} \nu] \tau \hat{\eta} \Delta \alpha \nu \alpha ́ \eta\)
\([\pi] \in \rho i \pi \lambda \epsilon \rho \nu \epsilon-\)
［ \(\xi i\) i］as \(\mu o ́ v o \nu\)
［av̉］
［ \(\tau] \omega \nu\) ध่ \(\pi<\iota \not \eta^{-}\)

［．．．．．．］．．．

Fr．39．Col．ii．
4 lines lost．
\(5[\ldots] v[. . ..] \delta \iota \alpha\)
［．．．］．［．．．］． \(10 \nu\)
\([\tau]\) óv \(\delta[\epsilon \tau]\) òv \([\tau] \rho o ́ \pi o[\nu\) • ‘ \(\lambda] \alpha ́ \theta \rho \alpha\)
\(\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau 0 \hat{\sim}[\tau] \omega \nu \quad \delta \rho \omega-\)
10 \(\mu_{\epsilon ́ v \omega \nu}\) tivas
фоß̂̀；\(\tau o u ̀ s\)

тоутаs \({ }^{\alpha}[\nu\)－
\(\theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu\) \(\theta\) со́s．＇
\({ }_{15} \epsilon^{i \prime \eta}\left\langle\delta^{\prime}\right\rangle\) àv \(\dot{\eta}\) tolaú－
\(\tau \eta\) v่тóvola
\(\pi \epsilon \rho[i] \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \quad[\Sigma \omega-\)
\(\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \eta \cdot \tau \omega \iota\)
\(\gamma \alpha \rho о \nu \tau \iota \tau \alpha \theta \nu \eta\)
20 то८ \(\sigma \alpha 0 \rho \alpha \tau \alpha\)
тоь \(\sigma\) O \(\alpha \nu \alpha \tau о\) ィ
єขкатотт \(\alpha\)
каıцךขкаıто
[.]. \(o \tau v \rho \alpha \nu \nu \in \iota \nu\)

[. . . . . . . .] \(] \iota \alpha \sigma[\)
[. . . . . . . .] \(\omega \nu[\).

Fr. 39. Col. iii.
[. .] \(][.] \nu \tau \iota \kappa \alpha .[\)
[. . ] \(\kappa \alpha[\cdot] \tau о \mu \eta \delta[\)
[. .] \(] \omega \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \omega[\).
[. .] \(\tau \in \omega \rho \mid \xi \in \epsilon[\).
5
[. . .].] \(\tau[.] \mu \in \tau \rho[\).
[...] \(\eta \delta \in \tau v \rho \alpha \nu\)
[. . .] \(] \pi о \iota \in \iota \nu \kappa\).!
[. . .]o८ \(\sigma \phi \alpha u \lambda o!\sigma\)
\(\mu \eta \delta \iota \delta o v a \iota>\)
\(10 \pi \alpha \rho 0 \delta 0 \nu \pi \rho о \sigma\)
\(\tau \alpha \in \nu \tau \iota \mu \alpha\).
\(\mu \in \gamma\) เбтог \(\gamma \alpha \rho\)
\(\epsilon \lambda \kappa о \sigma \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon\)
\(\omega \sigma \kappa \alpha к о \sigma \rho \eta>\)
\({ }^{5} 5 \tau \omega \rho \delta \eta \mu \alpha \gamma \omega\)
रoб \(\pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \tau \eta \sigma\)
\(\alpha \xi \iota \alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma о\)
\(\mu \in \nu \circ \sigma \cdot \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha\)
\(\mu \eta \nu \omega \delta \iota o \delta \omega\)
20 [. .] \(\kappa \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \eta \sigma\)
\(\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\eta} \tau \hat{\varrho}\)
\(\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\) ơv \(\tau \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} \theta \nu \eta\) 20 тoîs doópata

тoîs \(\dot{\alpha} \theta a \nu \alpha ́ \tau o l s\) єنُкর́тотта. каi \(\mu \eta े \nu\) каi тò
[ \(\mu l] \sigma o \tau v \rho \alpha \nu \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu\)
\({ }_{5} 5\) [каi \(\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \lambda \eta\) ] \(\theta \eta\) к \(\alpha \grave{ }\)
[ \(\tau \grave{\alpha} s\) ठuva \(\sigma \tau]\) eías
\([\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad o ̉ \lambda i \gamma] \omega \nu[\)

Fr. 39. Col. iii.
\([\epsilon i] \pi[o ́] \nu \tau \iota\), каi
[ \(\delta \grave{\eta}] \kappa \alpha[\grave{\imath}]\) тò \(\mu \eta \delta[\) द́-
\([\nu \alpha] \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}[\nu\)
\([\mu \epsilon] \tau \in \omega \rho i ́\} \epsilon i[\nu]\)
5 [ \(\dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon}] \rho \tau[\grave{0}] \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho[\iota]\).
\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}o \nu & \mu\end{array}\right] \eta \delta\) ঠ̀ \(\tau u ́ p \alpha \nu-\)
[ \(\nu 0 \nu\) ] \(\pi 01 \epsilon\) î к каi
\([\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau] 0 i ̂ s ~ \phi \alpha u ́ \lambda o l s\)
\(\mu \grave{\eta}\) סıסóvat
\(10 \pi \alpha ́ \rho o \delta o \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s\) \(\tau \grave{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \ell \tau \tau \iota \alpha\).
\(\mu^{\prime}\) ' \(\gamma \iota \sigma \tau о \nu \quad \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\)
є" \(\lambda к о s\) то́ \(\lambda \epsilon\) ws какòs \(\rho\) р́
\({ }^{1} 5 \tau \omega \rho\{\delta \eta \mu \alpha \gamma \omega\) -
yòs \(\} \pi \epsilon \in \alpha \alpha \tau_{\eta}\)
\(\alpha \dot{\alpha} i \alpha s \pi\langle\rho 0\rangle \alpha \gamma o ́-\)
\(\mu \in \nu o s . \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}\)
\(\mu \dot{\eta} \nu, \hat{\omega} \Delta \iota o ́ \delta \omega\).
\(20[\rho \epsilon,] \kappa \alpha i \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \bar{\eta} S\)
```

    ко\iota\nu\eta\sigma[.] ]\nu
    [.]0\eta[.....]\nu>
    [.]\betaov\lambda[....] .. >
    \mu\epsilon\lambda[. . . . .] }\rho
    25\pi\alpha[

```

Fr. 39. Col. iv.

[.] \(] \rho \pi \sigma \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda o[\).
[. . .] \(] \iota \pi о \nu \eta \rho[\).
\(\pi[.] \rho \sigma \chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon[\)
5 өa[. .] . \(\tau \omega \iota \mu \alpha[\)
\(\lambda \iota \sigma[\ldots] \alpha \nu \epsilon \gamma\). [.
\(\pi \iota \sigma[. ..] \rho \mu \in \nu[\)
\(\lambda \epsilon \gamma[\). . . \(] \in \sigma 0 v[\)
\(\pi о \nu \eta[. ..] \alpha \lambda .[\).
Io \(\delta \epsilon \chi \rho \omega[\). . . .
\(\kappa \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \tau[. . .\).
\(\epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha[.\).
\(\tau \eta \gamma о р є \iota є \kappa \alpha \sigma[.\).
\(\eta \mu \omega \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma[\).
\(15 \alpha \nu \tau 0 \sigma \eta \nu \cdot \pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha[\)
\(\kappa \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \omega \nu\)
\(\kappa \omega \mu \iota \kappa \omega \nu \pi \sigma \iota\)
\(\eta \tau \omega \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \circ<\kappa \epsilon \nu\)
\(\alpha \mu \alpha \alpha \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \omega \sigma\)
\(20 \lambda \in \gamma \in \tau \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \circ\)
\(\lambda \iota \tau \iota \omega \omega \cdot \pi \omega \sigma\)
\(\gamma \alpha \rho o v \cdot \pi \alpha \lambda(\nu\) >
रov \(о \boldsymbol{\rho} \epsilon \nu \epsilon \nu \rho![\)
\(\pi \iota \delta \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha \lambda \alpha[\)
\({ }_{2} 5 \pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \lambda \kappa \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \iota\)
\(\epsilon \nu \psi v \chi \iota \alpha \nu \pi \alpha\)
\(\kappa o \iota \nu \bar{\rho} s[\tau] \hat{\omega} \nu\)
['A] \(\theta \eta\left[\nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \omega\right]{ }^{\prime} \nu\)
[ \(\alpha] \beta \operatorname{ov} \lambda[i ́ \alpha s].\).
\(\mu \epsilon \lambda[. . . ..] \rho \alpha\)
\({ }_{2} 5 \pi \alpha[\)

Fr. 39. Col. iv.
[o]ủXi \(\tau[o] \hat{v} \tau o v ~ \tau[o ̀ v\)


\(\pi[\rho] \rho \sigma \chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon-\)
\(5 \theta \alpha\left[{ }_{0}^{\prime \prime} \tau\right] \in \tau \varphi \mu \alpha^{\prime}-\)
\(\lambda \iota \sigma\left[\theta^{\prime} \quad{ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma^{\prime}\right] \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \eta\)
\(\pi \iota \sigma[\tau \in v ́] o \mu \epsilon \nu\)
\(\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma[0 \nu \tau] \epsilon S\) oủ \(\pi o \nu \dot{\eta}\left[\rho^{\prime} \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi\right] \alpha \lambda o[\hat{\imath} s\)
 \(\kappa \alpha ̈ \pi \epsilon \iota \tau[\alpha \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s\)

\(\tau \eta \gamma о \rho \in \hat{\imath}\) Є' \(ฺ \kappa \alpha \sigma[\tau 0 s\)
\(\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\) 认ेS \({ }^{\prime \prime} K \alpha \sigma[\tau 0 s\)
\(\mathrm{I}_{5} \alpha u ̛ \tau o ̀ s ~ \hat{\eta} \nu . \quad(\Delta \iota). ~ \Pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}\)
\(\kappa \alpha \grave{\pi} \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\)
\(\kappa \omega \mu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о\) -
\(\eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\), \(\omega\) s \(\epsilon_{\epsilon} \neq<\kappa \epsilon \nu\), \(\alpha \ddot{\alpha} \mu \alpha\) \(\alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta \rho \hat{\omega} s\)
20 入є́ \(\gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\) каì \(\pi о-\)

\(\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\) ov̉; \(\pi \alpha ́ \lambda l \nu\)
रoûv ò \(\mu\) è \(\nu\) Eúpl-
\(\pi i ́ \delta \eta S \in \hat{v} \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha\)
\(25 \pi \rho o ̀ s \dot{\alpha} \lambda \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu\) каi
\(\epsilon \dot{v} \psi \cup \chi i ́ \alpha \nu \pi \alpha-\)
\(\rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \in \iota \tau 0 \cup \sigma\)
\(\nu \epsilon о \nu \sigma \nu \pi \circ \beta \alpha \lambda\)
[.] \(] \omega \nu \alpha \cup \tau о \iota \sigma o \rho\)
\(30 \mu \alpha \sigma \lambda \alpha \kappa \omega \nu \iota \kappa \alpha\) \(\kappa \alpha \iota \theta v \mu о \pi о \iota[\).
\(\tau о \pi \lambda \eta \theta[.] \sigma\) ou \(\omega \sigma\).
\(\kappa \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu v\) >
[.] \(] \tau \in \rho o \iota \sigma \iota \nu \in U\) >
35 [.] \(\lambda_{\epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \chi \rho о \nu o \iota[. ~}^{\text {. }}\)
[.] \(] \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \nu \tau \lambda \eta[\)
[. .] \(] \in \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \alpha[\)
[. . .]ov \(\psi[\cdot] \chi \alpha \iota \sigma[\)
[. . . .] \(] \in \rho[\). . . . \(] \in[\)

Fr. 39. Col. v.
4 lines lost.
5
[. . . . . . . .] \({ }^{2}{ }^{\eta}\)
[. . .] \(][\). . . \(] \in \nu \alpha\)
[. . .] \(][\). . . .] \(u c\) >
[. . .] \(] \alpha[. ..] \omega \nu\)
10 [. . .] \(] \omega \omega[.] \pi \epsilon \rho \iota\)
[. . .] \(] \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \delta \eta\)
[. . . .] \(\tau \alpha \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \iota \sigma\)
[. . .] \(]\) oor \(\sigma o!\) [
[. . . .] \(] \gamma \epsilon \lambda \omega[.\).
\({ }_{15} \alpha \cup \lambda \eta \tau \rho \| \delta \epsilon \sigma\)
тоиб \(\alpha \sigma \tau v \nu 0\)
\(\mu о v \sigma \tau \iota v \in \sigma \epsilon \iota\)
[.]! \(\pi v \nu \theta \alpha \nu \eta\)

20 [. . .]kotovv[.] \(\alpha\).
[. . .] \(\lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu \theta \in \rho[\) [.] \(\nu\)

рак \(\alpha \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}\) тoùs
\(\nu\) ข́ovs, v̇ \(\pi ⿰ \beta \alpha \hat{\alpha} \lambda\) -
\([\lambda] \omega \nu\) aủtoîs óp-

каi \(\theta v \mu о \pi o l[\omega \bar{\omega}\)
тò \(\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta[0] s\) oút \(\omega \varsigma^{\circ}\)
'ктŋ́б \(\sigma \sigma \theta\) ' \(\epsilon \nu \quad \dot{u}\) -
\(\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o \iota \sigma \iota \nu\) єủ-
\(35[\kappa] \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu\) Х \(\rho o ́ \nu o \iota[s\)
\([\alpha \ddot{\alpha}] \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \lambda \eta^{\prime}-\)
\([\sigma \alpha \nu] \tau \epsilon s \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha[\nu\)
[ \(\pi o ́ v] o v, \psi[v] X \alpha i ́ s\)
[. . . .] \(\epsilon \rho[\). . . \(] \epsilon[\)

Fr. 39. Col. v.
4 lines lost.
5 [. . . . . . . . \(] \eta\).
[. . . . . . . \(] \alpha \chi \eta\)
[. . . \(] \nu[. . ..] \epsilon \nu \alpha\)
[. . .] \(][. . ..] \nu \iota\)
[...] \(\tau \grave{\alpha}[s \tau] \hat{\omega} \nu\)
I० ['A \(A \eta \nu] \alpha i \omega[\nu] \pi \epsilon \rho \iota-\)
\([\sigma \tau \alpha ́] \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ к \alpha i ~ \delta \eta ̀ ~\)
[ \(\kappa \alpha i]\) ] \(\alpha^{\prime}\) ' \(\epsilon \nu \tau \alpha i ̂ s\)
[трıó]Sols ool
\([\pi \rho o] \sigma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega}\left[\sigma{ }^{\prime}\right.\)
\({ }^{15} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \tau \rho i ́ \delta \epsilon s\).
тoùs à \(\sigma \tau v \nu 0-\)
pous tives \(\epsilon\) i-
\([\sigma] i \pi v \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta\)
[. .] \(]\) oo Toùs \(\pi[\tau \epsilon-\) \(20[\rho o] \kappa о \pi о \hat{v} \nu[\tau] \alpha s\)
\([\tau \grave{\eta} \nu]\) ढ่ \(\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́[\alpha] \nu\)
```

    [. . .]\epsilon\iota\sigmaovкo[.]\sigma\iota
    . . .]pє\nuор!каш\sigma
    [. . .]\alpha!\pi\alpha . \phi[.]\lambda\epsilon
    2ू [. .]T\omega[.]\epsilon\nu\eta\tau\alphal
[. .]\eta\mu\alphaт\alpha\lambda\lambda\epsilon\xi
[.]voı\alpha\nu . [.]?av0
. }\mu\in\nu\pi[.] . \eta\tau0\sigma
[.] . \epsilon\phiо[ . .]\epsilon . \!
30 [. . . . . . . .]кк

```

Fr．39．Col．vi．
［．．．．．］oס［．．］\(] \sigma[\)
［．．．．．］vबєโ．］］\(\alpha[\)
［．．．．．］\(] \in \omega \nu\)
［．．．．］．\(\tau \in \kappa \circ \nu\)
5 ［．．］\(]\)［．］T \(\rho 申 \delta v \sigma\)
\(\mu \in \nu \in \sigma \tau \alpha \tau о\) ．
\(\delta \alpha \mu \omega \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \rho\)
\(\chi \in[.] \nu \in \sigma \in \rho \omega \tau\)
\(\alpha \phi<\gamma \mu \in \nu 0 l\) ，
10 тоוбфı入татоוs
кvp［．］\({ }^{2} \sigma \iota \pi o \lambda \epsilon\)
\(\mu \iota \omega \tau \alpha \tau о \iota \cdot \sigma \mu l\)
\(\kappa \rho[\cdot] \gamma \in \rho о \nu \tau \iota\)
\(\pi \alpha[.] \epsilon \sigma \eta \delta \iota o v \sigma\)
\({ }^{15} \pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota \cdot \phi \alpha \iota \eta\)
\(\tau \iota \sigma \alpha \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota\)
\(\kappa \alpha[]. a \gamma \epsilon \tau \omega \nu\)
\(\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \nu\)
［．．］\(\quad\) ккк \(\omega \sigma\)
\({ }^{20} \eta \gamma \not \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu\)
\(\mu[.] \nu \tau \epsilon \nu о \mu \epsilon\)
עoб．\(\sigma \pi o u \delta \alpha\)
§оибı \(\gamma\) аот！
\([\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma] \epsilon \iota s\) ．oủk o［ủ］\(\sigma\) í
\([\alpha \nu] \nu \in \nu o ́ \mu \iota \kappa \alpha s\)
\([\epsilon \hat{i} v] \alpha \iota, \Pi_{\alpha} \mu \phi[\iota] \lambda \epsilon\),
\(25[\eta \nu \nu \tau \varphi[\gamma] \in \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota\)
\([\chi \rho] \eta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau^{\prime}, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \xi-\)
［0］uбía \(\alpha\) ．\({ }^{\prime}[\nu] \tau \alpha \hat{\nu} \theta^{\prime}\)
ó \(\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi[\epsilon \in] \nu \eta \tau o s\)
［．］．\(\epsilon \phi \circ[\) ．．．］\(\cdot \eta\)
30 ［．．．．．．．．．］\(\kappa \alpha\)

Fr．39．Col．vi．
［．．．．．］od［．．］\(\eta \sigma\)
［．．．．．］\(] \sigma \sigma \in[i] \tau \alpha[\)
［．．．．．］\(] \epsilon \omega \nu\)
［каі̀ \(\tau \hat{\omega}] \tau \epsilon \kappa\) ко́v－
\(5[\tau \iota] \pi[\alpha] \tau \rho i \quad \delta u \sigma\). \(\mu \in \nu \dot{\nu} \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o l\) ．
\(\left\langle{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda\right\rangle \omega \nu \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha_{\alpha} \rho\)－
\(\chi \in[l] \nu \quad \epsilon\)＇s \({ }^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \omega \tau^{\prime}\)

10 roîs фi入tátols

\(\mu \iota \omega ́ \tau \alpha \tau о\) ．\(\sigma \mu\)－
кр［oi］\(\gamma^{\epsilon} \rho \rho \nu \tau \iota\)
\(\pi \alpha[\hat{\imath}] \delta \in s \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{o}\) ous
\(15 \pi \alpha \tau \rho i . '\) фaín
\(\tau t s \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon t\)
\(\kappa \alpha[\tau] \alpha \alpha^{\gamma} \gamma \in \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\)
\(\pi \lambda \epsilon і \sigma \tau \omega \nu\)
［ \(\nu \hat{v}] \nu \quad \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \hat{\omega}\)
\(20 \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu\)
\(\mu[\alpha] \nu \tau \epsilon v^{\prime} \mu \epsilon-\)
vos．\(\sigma \pi\) ou \(\alpha\) á－
§oval \(\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\) ó \(\tau\)

т \(\alpha\) Хобоוтои
25 outoltout \(\epsilon\)
\(\pi \alpha \tau \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \omega\)
\(v \pi \alpha \rho \chi \circ \nu \tau \omega \nu\)
\(\pi \alpha \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma[. ..] \eta\)
\(\epsilon \kappa \phi \quad[\).
\(30 \pi \in 1\) [
[.] \(\alpha \nu[. . . . .\).

Fr. 39. Col. vii.
\(\pi \rho \circ \sigma \gamma[\cdot] \nu \alpha \iota\)
\(\kappa \alpha \kappa \bar{\alpha}!\pi \alpha т \rho \iota\)
\(\pi \rho \sigma \sigma v[\cdot] \cdot \vee \cdot \kappa \bar{\alpha}!\)
\(\theta \in \rho \alpha \pi[.\). .] \(\tau \iota\)
\(5 \pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta[. .]\).
т \(\eta \nu \eta \tau[.] \kappa \alpha\)
\(\tau \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \pi[.\).\(] >\)
\(\pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \iota \alpha \sigma \beta[\).
\(\sigma \mu o v \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon\)
เо \(\nu \omega \nu v \pi\) о
\(\lambda \alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \omega \nu\)
\(\alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \circ \nu\)
\(\delta \iota \alpha \tau \in \delta \alpha к \tau v\)
入ı \(\omega \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha \delta \epsilon\)
\({ }^{1} 5 \rho \alpha \iota \omega \nu \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha>\)
\(\gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \delta \eta \pi 0 u\)
\(\tau \alpha \sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \chi^{\circ \nu}\)
\(\tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu \nu \epsilon \omega>\)
\(\tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu \kappa \omega \mu \omega \iota\)
20 ठıаvarроб
\(\alpha к \rho о \nu \eta \gamma \alpha[.] \epsilon \nu\)
\(\epsilon \nu \rho \iota \pi \iota \delta \eta \sigma\) >
táXos oi тol-
\({ }^{2} 5\) ô̂tol \(\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau \epsilon\)
\(\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\)
ن́m \(\alpha \rho \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu\)
\(\pi o \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma[\theta \alpha \iota \quad \tau] \eta \grave{\eta} \nu\)
є́кфо[ \(\rho \alpha ̀ \nu\). . .
\(30 \pi \in[\) [. . . . . . .
[.] \(] \alpha \nu[. . . . .\).
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\(\pi \rho o ̀ s \quad \gamma[v] \nu \alpha \hat{\iota}-\)
\(\kappa \alpha\) каì \(\pi \alpha т \rho \grave{~}\)
\(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ v[i o ̄] \nu\) к \(\alpha i\)
\(\theta \in \rho \alpha ́[\pi o \nu] \tau \iota\)
\(5 \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta[\epsilon \sigma] \pi o ́-\)
\(\tau \eta \nu, \ddot{\eta} \tau[\hat{\alpha}] \kappa \alpha-\)
\(\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} s \pi[\epsilon \rho l]-\)
\(\pi \in \tau \in i ́ \alpha s, \beta[\iota \alpha-\)
\(\sigma \mu o u ̀ s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon\) -
Io \(\nu \omega \nu\), v̇тоßо-
\(\lambda \grave{\alpha} s \pi \alpha \iota \delta i \omega \nu\),
\(\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \nu \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \circ \stackrel{̀}{s}\)
\(\delta \iota \alpha \quad \tau \epsilon \delta \alpha \kappa \tau v\) -
\(\lambda i ́ \omega \nu\) каi \(\delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon-\)
\({ }^{15} \rho \alpha i ́ \omega \nu \cdot \tau \alpha v ิ \tau \alpha\)

\(\tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon ́ X o \nu-\)
\(\tau \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \nu \in \omega-\)
\(\tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha \nu \kappa \omega \mu \omega-\)
20 Síav, 爻 \(\pi \rho\) òs
«̈кроข \({ }^{\prime} \gamma \alpha[\gamma] \epsilon \nu\)
Ev̉pıтíס \(\eta s\),
```

о $\mu \eta \rho o v[.] \nu$
$\tau о \sigma \alpha \rho \chi$ ! $\eta$ бка८
$25 \sigma \tau \iota \chi \omega \nu \gamma \epsilon$
$\sigma \nu \nu \tau \alpha \xi \epsilon \omega \sigma$
$\lambda \in \kappa \tau \iota к \eta \sigma$.
$\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho[.] \iota \delta \alpha v$
тшıкаıтоит
30 [.] $\rfloor \kappa о \tau \omega \sigma о \phi \iota$
[.] $] \mu \omega \nu \epsilon \nu>$
[. .] $] \theta$ l $\cdot \epsilon v \rho \iota>$
[. .] $\bar{\eta} \sigma \pi 0 v$ >
[. . .] $\sigma \iota \nu$ оuтоб
35 [...] $\mu о \nu о \sigma \delta v$
[. .] . $\alpha \iota \lambda[\cdot] \gamma \epsilon[$.

```

Fr. 39. Col. viii.
\(\tau v[\)
\(\nu \otimes[\)
\(\pi o[\)
\(\alpha \gamma[\)
\(5 \tau \alpha[\ldots \ldots][\)
\(\delta_{!}[\ldots . . . . . ..] \nu\)
\(\pi \alpha[. . . . .\).
\(\pi o[. . . . . . ..] \sigma\)
[.] \(][\) [. . . . . . . \(] \rho \iota\)
10 [. . . . . . . . .] \(\nu\)
\(\nu[\).\(] окр! \varsigma \iota \tau \in \nu\)
\(\rho \iota \pi \iota \delta \omega \sigma\)
\(\pi \epsilon \rho \in \nu \tau \alpha v \theta \iota\)
\(\pi о \iota \epsilon \iota к а \tau \eta \gamma[\).
\({ }^{15} 5 \omega \nu \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau o\)
\(\gamma\) єıторобтои
\(\pi \alpha \nu \eta \rho о \nu \cdot \tau \iota\)
ovvouтобєสт८

\(\operatorname{\tau os} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \hat{\eta}\) каi
\({ }_{2} 5 \sigma \tau i ́ \chi \omega \nu \gamma \epsilon\)
\(\sigma v \nu \tau \alpha ́ \xi \in \omega S\)
\(\lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s\).
\(\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho[\epsilon] \hat{\imath} \delta^{\prime} \alpha u\) -
\(\tau \hat{\omega}\) каì тои̂т'
30 [ \(\epsilon]\) iко́т \(\omega s\) ò \(\Phi_{\iota}\)
\([\lambda] \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu-\)
\([\tau \alpha] v \theta i \cdot\) ' Evipu-
[ \(\pi i] \delta \eta S\) moú
\([\phi \eta] \sigma \iota \nu\) oút \(\langle\omega\rangle s\),
\(35 \cdot[o ̂ s] ~ \mu o ́ v o s ~ \delta v ́-\)
\([\nu \alpha] \tau \alpha \iota \quad \lambda[\epsilon \in] \gamma \epsilon[\iota \nu\)

Fr. 39. Col. viii.
\(\tau v[\)
\(\nu 0[\)
\(\pi o[\)
\(\alpha \gamma[\)
\(5 \tau \alpha[. \cdots \cdot] \eta[\)
\(\delta i[\ldots . . . . ..] \nu\)
\(\pi \alpha[. . . . .\).

[.] \(][.\). . . . . .]ot-
10 [. . \(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \alpha u ̛ \tau \grave{\eta}] \nu\)

\(\rho \iota \pi i \delta \eta \stackrel{\circ}{\omega} \sigma\) -
\(\pi \epsilon \rho\) є́vтаvӨi
\(\pi о เ \epsilon \hat{\imath}\) кат \(\eta \gamma[0-\)
\({ }^{1} 5 \rho \hat{\omega} \nu\) ' \(A \rho \iota \sigma \tau 0-\)
\(\gamma\) єítovos той
\(\pi\langle o\rangle \nu \eta \rho o \hat{v} . \quad\) 'тí
ồv ô̂̃ós \(\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota\);
\(\kappa v \omega \nu \nu \eta \delta[\).
\(20 \phi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \tau \iota \nu \epsilon\) ．
тоv \(\delta \eta \mu\) оv
\(\pi о \delta \alpha \pi о \sigma о \iota[\cdot] \sigma\)
ov \(\sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota \tau[.] \alpha\)
\(\tau \alpha \iota \lambda v к 0 v \sigma \epsilon \iota\)
\(25 \nu \alpha \iota \mu \eta \delta \alpha \kappa \nu \epsilon \iota\)
\(\alpha \delta \epsilon \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu \phi \nu>\)
\(\lambda \alpha \tau \tau \epsilon \ell \nu \pi \rho о\)
\(\beta \alpha \tau[.] \alpha \cup \tau о \sigma \kappa \alpha \tau\)
\(\epsilon \sigma \theta \iota \epsilon[.] \cdot \tau \iota \nu \alpha\)
\(30 \gamma \alpha \rho o v[.] \rho \sigma \pi \omega\)
\(\pi о \tau \in[\).
\(\kappa \in[\) ．
ov［．．．．．．．．
－［

Fr．39．Col．ix．
［．．．．．］．\(\kappa\)［．．
［．．．．．．］］\(\nu \eta \nu[\)
［．．．．\(]\) ］\(\epsilon!\circ \nu\) ．
［．．．．．．］\(\mu \epsilon\)
\(5 \quad \nu[\) ．．\(] \delta[..] \tau \operatorname{co\theta } \iota\)
\(\sigma \pi \eta \lambda \alpha \iota^{\circ}\)＞
\(\tau \eta \nu \alpha \nu \alpha \pi \nu o \iota\)
\(\eta \nu \epsilon \chi\) Х \(\nu \epsilon \iota \sigma\)
\(\tau \eta \nu \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \tau\)
1о \(\tau \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu \tau 0 \nu\)
\(\tau \omega \iota \delta \iota \eta \mu \epsilon\)
\(\rho \in ข \in \nu \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \nu\)
［．］ \(0 \nu \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu \omega\)
\(\alpha \in \iota \tau \iota K \alpha \iota \gamma \rho \alpha\)
\(15 \phi \omega \nu \alpha \pi \lambda \omega \sigma\)
\(\kappa u ́ \omega \nu \quad \nu \grave{\eta} \quad \Delta[i ́ \alpha\), \(20 \phi \alpha \sigma i \nu \tau i \nu \epsilon[s\) ， тô̂ \(\delta \eta ́ \mu o v\).
\(\pi o \delta \alpha \pi o ́ s ; ~ o i ̂[o] s\)
oüs \(\mu\) è \(\nu\) ait \([l] \hat{\alpha-}\)
т \(\alpha \iota\) 入úкous єî－
\({ }_{25} \nu \alpha \iota \mu \eta ̀\) \(\delta \alpha ́ \kappa \nu \epsilon \iota \nu\) ，
à \(\delta \dot{\epsilon} \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu \quad \psi-\)
入а́ттєєン \(\pi\) ро́－
\(\beta \alpha \tau[\alpha] \alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ к \alpha \tau-\)
\(\epsilon \sigma \theta i \epsilon[\iota \nu]\) ．\(\quad\) тiva
\(30 \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho\) ovi \([\tau] 0 s \pi \omega\)－
\(\pi о \tau \epsilon\)［кє́крь－
\(\kappa \epsilon[\nu\) ро \(\bar{\eta} \tau о \rho \alpha\) ；
ov́ \(\delta \delta \in ́ v \alpha\) ．．．．
－［

Fr．39．Col．ix．
［．．．．．］．\(\kappa[\) ．．
［．．．．．．］\(] \nu \nu \nu\)
［．．．．\(] \lambda \epsilon \iota \circ \nu\).
\([\kappa \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta] \mu \epsilon \in-\)
\(5 \nu[o s] \delta^{\prime}[\alpha v] \tau o ́ \theta \iota\)
\(\sigma \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \alpha \iota o \nu\)
\(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \alpha \pi \nu 0<-\)
\(\grave{\eta} \nu{ }^{\prime} \notin{ }^{\prime} \nu \quad\) єis
\(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \theta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha\)－
Іо \(\tau \alpha \nu\) ，Є̇ \(\nu\) тoí－
\(\tau \omega \quad \delta_{\iota} \not \tau \mu \epsilon \in-\)
\(\rho \in \cup \in \nu\) к \(\alpha \theta^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\cup}-\)
［ \(\tau] \stackrel{\partial \nu}{ } \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu\)
\(\dot{\alpha} \in i ́ ~ \tau \iota ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \gamma \rho \alpha ́-~\)
\({ }^{1} 5 \phi \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \omega \bar{s}\)
\(\alpha \pi \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \tau \iota \mu \eta\)
\(\mu \in \gamma \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu\)
\(\eta \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu 0 \nu \eta\)
[. . \(] \mu \alpha \kappa \omega \sigma \cdot 0\)
\(2 \circ \overline{\gamma[\cdot]}] \nu \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau o\)
\(\phi[\cdot] \nu \eta \sigma \phi \eta \sigma \iota\)
\(\left.\omega_{[.} \cdot\right] \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \pi \alpha v\)
\(\tau \omega \iota \tau 0 \nu \tau \omega[\).
\(\kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda!\eta \mu \epsilon\)
\({ }_{2}{ }^{2} \nu 0 \sigma \tau \cdot[\cdot] \alpha\)
\(\mu \epsilon \nu \pi[\cdot] \epsilon \ell\)
\(\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon[\cdot] \nu \tau O L>\)
o \(\sigma \epsilon \sigma \tau เ \nu[.\).
\(\lambda \alpha \theta \epsilon \omega[.\).
30 робкш[....
\(\delta \iota \alpha \nu \lambda[. .\).
\(\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\) [....
[. .] \(] \epsilon[. . .\).

Fr. 39. Col. x.
\(\alpha \pi \eta \chi \chi^{\theta} \circ \tau \tau\)
\(\alpha \nu \tau \omega \iota \pi \alpha \nu\)
\(\tau \epsilon \sigma o \iota \mu \epsilon \nu\)
\(\alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon[.] \delta 1 \alpha\)
5 т \(\eta \nu \delta \nu[.] \circ \mu \iota\)
\(\lambda \iota \alpha \nu \alpha[.] \epsilon \gamma \nu\)
\(\nu \alpha \iota \kappa \in[]<.\alpha>\)
тov \(\sigma\) \% you \(\sigma\)
тоvбє \(\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma\)
10 \(\pi 0 \iota \eta \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu\).
\(\overline{\eta \lambda} \theta \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \sigma\)
\(\kappa เ \nu \delta v \nu o \nu\)
а. \(\phi \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu\)
\(\ddot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \epsilon \ddot{l} \pi \iota \mu \grave{\eta}\)
\(\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \epsilon i o \nu\)
\(\eta\) خ̆ \(\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{o} \nu \quad \eta\) -
[тı] \(\mu \alpha \kappa\) s. ó
\(20 \gamma[0] \hat{\nu} \nu\) 'A \(\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0-\)
\(\phi[\alpha ́] \nu \eta s \quad \phi \eta \sigma i \nu\)
\(\omega[\sigma] \pi \epsilon \rho \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi^{\prime} \alpha \dot{U}-\)
\(\tau \hat{\varrho}\) тои́т \(\omega\)
\(\kappa \in \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon ́-\)
25 DOS, ' \(O[\hat{i}] \alpha\)
\(\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi[0 l] \in \hat{\imath}\)
\(\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon[l] \nu\) тô-
ós \(\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \tau \nu\). [ \(\alpha \lambda \lambda-\)
\(\lambda \grave{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \epsilon(\mu \epsilon-\)
30 vos \(\kappa \omega[\mu \omega-\)
díav \(\lambda[\epsilon ́ \gamma \in \tau \alpha i ́\)
\(\pi о т \epsilon .[. .\).
[. .] \(] \epsilon[. . .\).

Fr. 39. Col. x.

\(\alpha u ̉ \tau \hat{Q} \pi \alpha ́ \nu-\)
\(\tau \in S\) oi \(\mu\) è \(\nu\)
\({ }_{\alpha} \nu \delta \delta \epsilon[s] \quad \delta \iota \grave{\alpha}\)
5 т \(̀ \nu \delta \nu[\sigma] 0 \mu \iota-\)
\(\lambda i ́ \alpha \nu, \alpha[i \quad \delta] \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \gamma v-\)
\(\nu \alpha \hat{\imath ̂} \in[s \quad \delta] \iota \grave{\alpha}\)
roùs 廿óyous
Toùs \({ }^{\text {é } \nu}\) тoîs
\(10 \pi o \iota \eta \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu\).
\(\hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \delta^{\prime} \epsilon i s\)
кívסuvov
ג' \(\phi^{\prime}\) モ̇K \(\kappa \tau \in ́ \rho o v\)
\(\tau \omega \nu \gamma \in \nu \omega \nu\)
\({ }^{15} \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \nu \cdot v \pi o\)
\(\mu \epsilon \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \kappa \lambda \epsilon\)
\(\omega \nu\) обтои \(\eta\)
\(\mu \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma\) оит \(\eta \nu\)
\(\tau \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \iota \alpha \sigma\)
\(20 \delta \iota \kappa \eta \nu \in \phi v\) >
\(\gamma \in \nu \eta \nu \pi \rho o>\)
\(\epsilon \iota \rho \eta \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu\)
\(\alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \epsilon\)
\(\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta\) >
\(25 \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega \iota\) >
\(\tau o \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \circ\) >
форıоьткаıа
\(\theta \rho o \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho \eta>\)
[.] \(\alpha \nu \in \pi \iota \tau \circ \nu\) >
30 [. .] \(\pi 0 \nu \in \nu \omega \iota>\)
[.] \(\times 0 \lambda \alpha \xi \omega \nu\)
[.] \(] \tau \gamma \chi \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu \cdot>\)
[. .] \(\omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \in \nu \alpha \iota\),
[...] \(\epsilon \phi \epsilon[]>\).
35
[. . .] \(\tau о \tau \alpha \nu\),
[. . .] \(\sigma \alpha \mu \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu\)
[. . .] \(\alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \iota\)
[. . . \(] \mu o v \sigma \alpha \sigma\)
[. . . .] . \(\nu[.]. o \sigma\)

Fr. 39. Col. xi.
\(\nu o[\).
\(\mu \in[. . . .\).
\(X\) ㅇ.
\(\alpha \lambda[\).
\(5 k \eta[\)
\(\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu\)
\({ }_{5}{ }^{5} \mu^{\epsilon} \gamma \alpha \nu\), vimò
\(\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho K \lambda \epsilon \in-\)
\(\omega \nu 0 s\) тoû \(\delta \eta\) -
\(\mu \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \circ \hat{v}\) т̀̀ \(\nu\)
\(\tau \eta ิ s ~ \alpha \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i ́ \alpha s\)
20 סík \(\nu \nu\) € \(\phi v\) -
\(\gamma \in \nu\) ї \(\nu \pi \rho o-\)
\(\epsilon \iota \rho \eta ́ \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu\),
\(\alpha i\) סє̀ \(\gamma v \nu \alpha i ̂ k \epsilon s\)
є́ \(\pi \iota \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta\) -
\({ }_{25} \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha u ̛ \tau \hat{\omega}\)
тoîs \(\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu\) -
форíols каi \(\alpha\) -
\(\theta \rho o ́ \alpha l ~ \pi \alpha \rho \hat{\eta}^{-}\)
\([\sigma] \alpha \nu \quad\) є́ \(\pi i\) ì̀̀ \(\nu\)
\(30[\tau o ́] \pi o \nu\) є́ \(\nu \hat{\oplus}\)
\([\sigma] \chi 0 \lambda \alpha ́ \zeta \omega \nu\)
\([\hat{\epsilon}] \tau \dot{v} \gamma \chi \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu\).
\(\left[\begin{array}{c}\epsilon \\ \epsilon\end{array}\right] \omega \rho\langle\gamma\rangle \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \alpha \iota\)
[ \(\delta \grave{\prime}] \quad \dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon[\overline{[ }]-\)
\(35[\sigma \alpha \nu] \tau o \tau \alpha \nu-\)
[ס就]s \({ }_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} \mu \alpha \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu\)
\([\dot{\alpha} \gamma] \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \alpha \iota\)
[ \(\tau \grave{\alpha} s\) ] \(\mu 0 u ́ \sigma \alpha s\)
[. . . .] . \(\nu[\). . \(] o \sigma\)

Fr. 39. Col. xi.
\(\nu o[v \mu \in \nu \alpha \iota\).
\(\mu \epsilon[. . .\).
\(\chi \circ[\)
\(\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha s\) тóvous
5 к \(\eta[\ldots . .\).

\(\delta \in[. . . .\). \(\alpha[i \sigma \chi u ́] \nu \eta \nu\)

o[. . . . . .] \(]\)
เ0 [. . \(\omega \tau\) òs \(\left.{ }^{\epsilon}\right] \kappa \beta \alpha-\)
\(\lambda \epsilon i \quad \gamma v \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} . \nu \in ́-\)
\(\mu\) оvot \(\delta^{\prime}\) oikous
каì \(\tau \grave{\alpha}\) vavo[тo
\(\lambda o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha{ }^{\epsilon}[\sigma \omega\)
\({ }_{15}\) ठó \(\mu \omega \nu\) б由́sov-
\(\sigma \iota \nu\) oủ \(\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \eta-\)
\(\mu i ́ a ~ \gamma v \nu \alpha ı k o ̀ s\)
oîkos єủmivทेs
ov̉ \(\delta^{\prime}\) oै \(\lambda \beta\) l \([\) os.
\(20 \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \quad \theta \in[0] \hat{\imath}[s\)
\(\alpha \hat{\vartheta} \cdot \pi \rho \omega ิ \tau \alpha\)
\(\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\) крiv \(\omega\)
\(\tau \alpha[\delta] \in \cdot \mu\) épos
\(\mu \epsilon \in[\gamma \sigma \sigma]\) Tov \({ }^{\epsilon}\) -
\({ }_{2} \chi^{\chi} \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu}\). \(\quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu\langle\Phi\rangle o i ́-\)
\(\langle\beta\rangle 0 v \quad \tau \epsilon \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\langle\delta o)-\)
\(\mu o[l] s \pi \rho o \phi \eta-\)
\(\tau \epsilon \hat{v}[0] \cup \sigma \iota\) иogí
ov [ \(\phi \rho \in \bar{\prime}] \nu a \gamma v\) -
\(30 \nu \alpha i k[\epsilon] s \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \hat{i}\)
\(\theta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu[\grave{\alpha}] \Delta \omega\left\langle\delta \omega^{-}\right.\)
\(\nu \eta\) ) \(\beta \dot{\alpha} \theta \rho \alpha\)
\(\langle\phi \eta \gamma \hat{\omega}\rangle \pi \alpha\left[\rho^{\prime} \quad i \in \rho \hat{\alpha}\right.\)
\(\theta\left[\hat{\eta} \lambda v \tau \grave{\alpha} s \Delta_{i o l s}\right.\)
35 \$[pévas....
\(\theta[\). . . . . . . . .
\(\sigma[. . . . . .\).
.

Fr．39．Col．xii．
［．．．．．．］\(] \nu v[\llbracket \nu]\)
［．．．］\(\kappa \omega \nu \alpha \rho\)＞
［．．．．．］\(\epsilon \iota \alpha \epsilon \pi \epsilon\)
［．．］\(] \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda v \sigma \iota \lambda\)
5 ［．．．］\(\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha\)＞
\(\tau \in \nu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon\)
\(\sigma \omega \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \cdot\)
\(\epsilon \iota \tau \iota \sigma \epsilon \pi \iota \beta o v\) ，
\(\lambda \epsilon v \epsilon \iota \tau \iota \tau \omega \iota>\)
10 ठ \(\eta \mu \omega\) ккакоע ， \(\tau \omega \iota \tau \omega \nu \gamma \nu \alpha \iota\)
\(\kappa \omega \nu \eta \epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \eta\) \(\rho v \kappa \epsilon \cup \epsilon \tau[..] \epsilon \cup \rho \iota\) \(\pi \iota \delta \iota \omega[. .\).\(] ． \tau\)
\({ }_{15} \epsilon \pi \iota \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \eta \tau \iota\)
\(\nu \cdot \sigma \alpha \phi \omega \sigma v \pi \circ\)
\(\nu \in \nu о \eta к \alpha \sigma о\)
\(\lambda \epsilon[.] \omega \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \alpha\) \(\rho_{0} \alpha \lambda \epsilon \lambda v \kappa \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon\)
20 ［．］\(] \eta \sigma \xi \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \epsilon\)
［．］\(\sigma \cdot \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega\)
\(\chi[\cdot], \sigma \sigma \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \omega \iota\)
［．］\(] \tau \in \epsilon \tau \sigma[.] \tau \omega \nu\)
\(\chi \alpha \rho \iota \nu \cdot \eta \nu \omega \sigma\)
\({ }^{2} 5 \epsilon о \iota \kappa \in \nu \pi[.] \rho \alpha v\)
\(\tau \omega \iota \mu \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \kappa \iota>\)
бкобоєкоує＞
\(\nu \eta \sigma о \nu о \mu \alpha к \eta\)
\(\phi \iota \sigma о \omega \nu[] .0 \sigma\)
30 тоuтovov \(\varphi[\).\(] ）\)
\(\phi \omega \rho \alpha \sigma \in \tau[.] \nu\)＞
\(\gamma v \nu \alpha \iota \kappa[. ..] \nu>\)
\(\iota \delta \iota \alpha \nu[. .] o\).

Fr．39．Col．xii．
\([\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega}] \nu \quad \gamma^{v}-\)
\([\nu \alpha l] \kappa \omega ิ \nu \cdot\left\langle T_{l-}\right\rangle\)
［ \(\mu\) óк \(\lambda] \epsilon \iota \quad\) є́ \(\pi \epsilon-\)
\([\sigma \tau] a ́ \tau \epsilon \iota, \Lambda v \sigma \iota \lambda-\)
5 ［ \(\lambda^{\prime}\) є＇\(] \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \dot{\alpha}-\)
\(\tau \epsilon v \epsilon \nu, \hat{i} \pi \epsilon\)
\(\Sigma \omega \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta\) ．
＇\(\epsilon l^{\prime}\) Tıs 白 \(\pi \iota\) ßou－
\(\lambda \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \iota \tau \iota \tau \underline{\varrho}\)
10 б \(\eta \mu \underset{\sim}{\omega}\) какò \(\nu\)
\(\tau \hat{\omega} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma v \nu \alpha \iota-\)
\(\kappa \omega \bar{\nu} \ddot{\eta}^{\prime} \pi \iota \kappa \eta-\)
рикєv́єт［ \(\alpha \iota]\) Ev́pı－
\(\pi i \delta\langle\eta M \tilde{\eta} \delta o \iota s\rangle \tau^{\prime}\)

\(\nu i ' \cdot(A) \sum \alpha \phi \hat{\omega} s\) vito－
\(\nu \in \nu о \eta ́ к \alpha s\) ò
\(\lambda \epsilon \in[\gamma] \omega\) каi \(\pi \alpha-\)
\(\rho \alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ \lambda u \kappa \alpha ́ s ~ \mu \epsilon\)
20 ［ \(\tau] \hat{\eta} s\) є́ \(\xi \eta \gamma \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon-\)
\([\omega] s\) ．\(\quad \pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\omega}-\)
\(\chi[\theta] \iota \sigma \in \nu \quad \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega}\)
［ \(\gamma\) ］є́ \(\nu \in \iota \tau 0[\dot{v}] \tau \omega \nu\)
\(\chi \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu \cdot \hat{\eta} \nu, \dot{\omega} s\)
\({ }^{2} 5\)＇Є๐וкє \(\nu, \pi[\alpha] \rho^{\prime} \alpha u\)－
\(\tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \kappa i ́-\)
бкоs oiкоує－
\(\nu \eta े s\) oै \(\nu о \mu \alpha K \eta\)－
ф८ооф̂̀⿱亠䒑．［ \(\pi \rho]\) òs
30 тov̂tov oû̀［द］］－
\(\phi \dot{\omega} \rho \alpha \sigma \epsilon \tau[\grave{\eta}] \nu\)
\(\gamma \nu \nu \alpha \hat{\kappa}\left[\begin{array}{ll}\alpha & \tau \grave{\eta}] \nu\end{array}\right.\)
idíav［ \(\alpha \dot{\tau} \tau] o \hat{v}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & ［．］\(] \alpha \kappa \ldots[\ldots]\) & & \([\dot{\alpha}] \tau \alpha \kappa[\tau o] \hat{v}-\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{35} & ［．．．．．．．．］＞ & & ［ \(\sigma \alpha \nu\) ．．．．．\(]\) \\
\hline & ［．．．．．．．\(] \omega \downarrow\) & & ［．．．．．．．］\(\omega\) \\
\hline & ［．．．．．．．．］o & & ［．．．．．．．\(] 0\) \\
\hline & －．． & & －．－． \\
\hline & Fr．39．Col．xiii． & & Fr．39．Col．xiii． \\
\hline & \[
\underset{\tau}{\tau \alpha \delta \iota \kappa \eta \mu \in \nu[ }
\] & &  \\
\hline & \(\kappa \omega \nu \omega \sigma \mu[\). & & \(\kappa \omega\) ，¢оs \(\mu[\nu \eta\)－ \\
\hline & \(\mu 0 \nu \in v o v[\) ． & & \(\mu o \nu \in \mathfrak{v}\) טv［ \(\sigma t\) ， \\
\hline & \(\tau[.] \nu \mu \in \nu \alpha[\). & & \(\tau[\grave{\eta}] \nu \quad \mu \mathrm{e} \nu \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu}\)－ \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{5} & \(\theta[.] \omega \pi o \nu \in \kappa[\). & 5 & \(\theta[\rho] \omega \pi \% \nu\)＇̇к［ \({ }^{\text {ć－}}\) \\
\hline & \(\lambda[\cdot] \cdot \sigma \in \nu \tau \omega[\) ． & & \(\lambda[\epsilon \nu] \sigma \epsilon \nu \quad \tau \hat{\varphi}\) \\
\hline & \(\nu \in \alpha \nu \iota \sigma \kappa[\). & & \(\nu \in \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa[\omega\) \\
\hline & бvVorkel［．． & &  \\
\hline & \(\pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta \pi \epsilon \rho[\). & & \(\pi \epsilon i \delta^{\prime}\langle\bar{\epsilon}\rangle \pi\langle\eta\rangle p[\omega-\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{10} & \(\tau \eta \pi \rho \rho[\). & 10 &  \\
\hline &  & & тo；＇＇iva \(\mu[\grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu\) \\
\hline & \(\epsilon \mu \eta \nu 0[].[.[]\). & & \(\dot{\epsilon} \mu \stackrel{\nu}{\nu} \nu \quad o[\hat{\tau} \tau] 0[s]\) \\
\hline & \(\epsilon_{\chi} \dagger \eta \iota \eta \sigma \iota \nu\) & & ＇\(\chi \eta, \quad \phi \eta \sigma^{\prime} \nu\) ， \\
\hline & \(\alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \tau \eta \nu\) & & ＇\(\alpha \lambda \lambda \lambda\)＇\(\epsilon \gamma \omega\) т \(\tau\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{15} & тoutov•Sıkal & 15 & тoútov，סíkal－ \\
\hline & ovर \(\alpha \rho \alpha \nu \pi \epsilon \rho\) & & ov \(\gamma\) 人́ \(\rho, \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho\) \\
\hline & \(\beta\) 挍 \(\omega \mu \mu\) ． & & \(\beta o u ́ \lambda \omega \mu \alpha \iota^{\prime}\)＇ \\
\hline & \(\pi \rho о \sigma о \lambda о \nu \delta \epsilon\) & &  \\
\hline & тофи入ор \(\delta[.] \epsilon\) & & тò фû入ov \(\delta[l] \epsilon-\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{20} & \(\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \alpha \chi \circ \mu \epsilon\) & 20 & \(\tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \alpha \chi\) ó \(\mu \epsilon-\) \\
\hline & \(\nu 0 \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau 0 \iota \sigma\) & & vos év roîs \\
\hline & \(\pi о \iota \eta \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu\). & & \(\pi<\iota \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu\) ． \\
\hline & \(\nu \eta \gamma \epsilon \lambda\) оı \(\omega \sigma \gamma \epsilon\) & & （ \(\Delta\) ו．）\(N \grave{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \lambda\) oíms \(\gamma \epsilon\) ． \\
\hline & \(\tau \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \tau \iota \sigma \in \nu\) & &  \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\({ }^{2} 5\)} & \(\lambda о \gamma \omega \tau \epsilon \rho о[\) ． & 25 & \(\lambda о \gamma \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ[\nu\) \\
\hline & \(\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \nu \phi \theta \alpha[\) & & \(\delta_{\iota \alpha}\) т \(\grave{\eta} \nu \quad \phi \theta \alpha-\) \\
\hline & \(\rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \nu \psi \in \gamma \circ<\) ， & & \(\rho \in i ̂ \sigma \alpha \nu\) 廿＇́you \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\tau \alpha \sigma \gamma v \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma\)
\(\eta \delta \iota \alpha \tau о \nu \phi \theta \in \iota\)
30 ра \(\nu \tau \alpha \tau о v \sigma \alpha \nu\)
\(\delta \rho \alpha \sigma \cdot \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \tau[\) ．
\(\gamma \in \kappa \alpha \kappa \iota \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota[\)
\(\tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \rho \in \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \theta[\)
\(\alpha \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma 0 \nu\)
35 об \(\omega \eta \alpha \tau \eta\)＞
\(\tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \sigma[.\).
\(\alpha \mu \phi 0 \iota \nu \in \sigma[.\).
\(\epsilon \nu \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \cdot \sigma[\ldots\)
\(\pi \epsilon \iota \nu \delta \alpha \xi![\)

［．．．．．．］\(][.\).

Fr．39．Col．xiv．
रиขаıка \(\delta[\) ．
\(v \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \pi[. .\).
［．．．．．］\(] \iota \gamma[.] \rho\)
［．．．．．］\(\theta_{\eta}{ }^{2}\) aь
5 ［．．．．．．．．］？！
［．］．\(\alpha \tau[. \cdot] \delta \cdot 0 \sigma\)
［．］\(\rho_{0 \sigma \alpha}\)
\(\omega \sigma \phi \alpha \rho \mu \tau\)
［．］oıфı \(\lambda \tau \rho[.] \iota \sigma\)
10 ［．］o \(0 \nu \sigma \tau \alpha\)
\(\sigma \pi \eta \nu \cdot \mu \in \tau \alpha\)
\(\overline{\pi \epsilon} \mu \psi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \eta\)
\(\delta \eta \tau \eta \nu \alpha \nu>\)
\(\theta \rho \omega \pi\) орот
15 єı［．．．］\(] \iota \sigma \iota o v\)
\(\sigma \eta \sigma \tau о \mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon\)
Өобкаเтока入

тd̀s \(\gamma\) vvaíkas
خ̀ \(\delta \iota \grave{\alpha}\) тò \(\nu \phi \theta \epsilon i ́-\)
30 раута тoùs ä \(\nu\)－
סраs ；є́ \(\pi \epsilon \iota \tau[a ́ s\)
\(\gamma \epsilon\) какías каi
\(\tau \grave{\alpha} s \quad \alpha \rho \in \tau \dot{\alpha} s \kappa \alpha \theta\)－
\(\alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \quad{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \gamma\langle\epsilon\rangle \nu\)
35 ó \(\sum \omega\langle\kappa \rho\rangle \alpha ́ \tau \eta\langle s\rangle\)
\(\tau \alpha ̀ s\) aúzàs［ \(\epsilon\) v
\(\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi 0 i ̂ \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma[\tau \iota \nu\)
є \(\dot{v} \rho \in i v . \quad \sigma[\kappa 0-\)
\(\pi \epsilon i \nu \quad \delta^{\prime} \quad \breve{\alpha} \xi \underline{[ }[0 \nu\)
40 т．．．v \(v \in \iota[\ldots\)
［．．．．．．］\(][. .\).

Fr．39．Col．xiv．
रv \(\nu \alpha\) îka \(\delta[\) ．．
＇\(\Upsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \pi[. .\).
［．．．．．］\(] \alpha \iota[\grave{\alpha}] \rho\)
［．．．．］\(\theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota\)
5 ［．．．．．．．．］\(]\)
［．］．\(\alpha \tau[..] \delta\) ．os
［ \(\pi\) ］oòs aùт \(̀ \nu\)
ஸ́s фар \(\mu \alpha ́ \tau\)－
［ \(\tau] 0 \iota\) фí入 \(\tau \rho[0] \iota s\)
Io \([\tau] o ̀ \nu\)＇\(\Upsilon \sigma \tau \alpha\)－
\(\sigma \pi \eta \nu . \mu \in \tau \alpha-\)
\(\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \alpha \mu \epsilon \quad \nu \eta\)
\(\delta \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \ddot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu-\)
\(\theta \rho \omega \pi \% \nu\) öт＇
\({ }^{1} 5\) єi\([\delta \epsilon \nu] \epsilon i \sigma \iota o i ́-\)
\(\sigma \eta s\) тò \(\mu \notin \gamma \epsilon-\)
Oos каi тò ка́入－
\(\lambda o \sigma \chi \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \phi \eta\)
\(\sigma \iota \nu \gamma \nu \nu \alpha \cdot \psi \in \nu\)
\(20 \delta \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \rho \eta[\cdot] \alpha \nu\)
\(\alpha![. ..] \beta o \lambda \alpha \iota \cdot\)
\(\sigma v \gamma \alpha \rho[.] \tau \omega \iota\)
\(\pi[\cdot] \sigma \sigma \omega \pi \omega \iota\) ，
\(\tau \omega \iota \sigma \omega[\cdot] \kappa \alpha \iota>\)
\({ }_{2} 5\) тoו \(\sigma \sigma[.] \theta \alpha \lambda\)
\(\mu o \iota \sigma € \chi \in \iota \sigma\)
\(\tau \alpha \phi \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha\) ．
\(\epsilon \nu \gamma \omega \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \iota\)
\(\sigma \tau \eta \pi \alpha \sigma \omega \nu\)
30 каเт \(\omega \iota ⿱ 亠 䒑 \tau \iota\)
\(\epsilon v \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \delta \iota[.] \tau[\).
\(\tau \alpha \tau o \iota \alpha \nu \tau \alpha\)
\(\tau \omega \nu \eta \theta \omega \nu\)
\(\kappa \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha \mu \nu \eta[\)
\(35 \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \sigma \kappa \alpha[\)
［．．．．］\(\epsilon \varphi \eta \nu\) ．［．
［．．．．］\(]\)＜\(\tau\)［．．．

Fr．39．Col．xv．
\(\mu \alpha \chi \epsilon[\cdot] \nu \tau \epsilon \omega \sigma\)
\(\epsilon \kappa р \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu>\)
\(\tau \omega \nu \epsilon \nu \alpha \nu>\)
\(\tau \iota \omega \nu \cdot \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon\)
\(5 \mu \in \mu \in \nu[\cdot] \alpha \rho\)
точто \(\theta \epsilon[.] \epsilon 0 \nu\)
тоуєкпиа
\(\tau \omega \nu \gamma v \nu \alpha \iota\)＞
\(\kappa \omega \nu \cdot o l \mu \in \nu>\)
\(10 \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \sigma\) ，
\(0 \sigma o \nu \epsilon \phi \in \alpha v>\)

入os，＇\(\chi^{\alpha i \rho \epsilon, ' ~} \phi \eta\)－
\(\sigma i \nu, ~ ' \gamma v i v a l \cdot ~ \psi \in u-\)
\(20 \delta \in i \bar{S} \ddot{\alpha}^{\prime} \rho\)＇\(\hat{\eta}[\sigma] \alpha \nu\)
\(\alpha i \quad[\delta / \alpha] \beta o \lambda \alpha i\).
\(\sigma \grave{v} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho[\hat{\epsilon} \nu] \tau \hat{\omega}\)
\(\pi[\rho] o \sigma \omega ́ \pi \omega\)
\(\tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \hat{\omega} \kappa \alpha \grave{ }\)
25 тoîs ó \([\phi] \theta \alpha \lambda\)－

\(\tau \grave{\alpha}\) ф́́p \(\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha\) ．
（A）\(E \hat{v}\) र＇，\(\widehat{\omega}\) кратí－
\(\sigma \tau \eta \pi \alpha \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu\)
30 каì \(\tau \hat{\varrho}\) ơ ơ \(\nu \tau \iota\)
Eúk \(\lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha, \delta \iota[o ́] \tau[l]\)
\(\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau 0 \iota \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha\)
\(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu\)
\(\kappa \alpha i ̀ \delta_{\imath} \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \eta\)－
\(35 \mu \eta s{ }^{\prime} \in \in \in \iota \varsigma \kappa \alpha[\)
［．．．．］\(\epsilon \nu \eta \nu\) ．［．
［．．．．］\(\alpha \iota \tau[.\).

Fr．39．Col．xv．
\(\mu \alpha \chi \epsilon[\hat{l}] \nu \quad \tau \epsilon ́ \omega s\)
є́кра́т \(\eta \sigma \alpha \nu\)
т \(\hat{\omega} \nu\)＇́ \(\nu \alpha \nu\)－
тí \(\omega \nu\) ．\(\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}\)＇
\(5 \mu \epsilon ̀ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu[\gamma]\) à \(\rho\)
тои̂тo \(\theta \epsilon[\tau] \epsilon ́ o \nu\)
тò víк\(\eta \mu \alpha\)
\(\tau \omega ิ \nu \quad \gamma \nu \alpha \iota-\)
\(\kappa \hat{\omega} \nu\) ．oi \(\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu\)
\(10 \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \not{ }_{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \in s\)
ö \(\sigma 0 \nu\)＇\(\phi^{\prime}\) є́av－

то८ \(\sigma \tau \tau \omega \nu \tau 0\).
\(\left.{ }_{[.[\cdot]} \cdot\right] \sigma \omega \delta \iota o \delta \omega\)
\(\rho[\cdot] \pi \lambda \eta \nu \tau \alpha v\)
\(15 \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu \nu \eta\)
रор \(\eta \sigma \theta \tau \alpha \iota \sigma\)
\(\gamma[\cdot] \nu \alpha \iota \xi \iota \nu \cdot \epsilon \pi\)
\(\alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu\)
\(\delta \epsilon \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \epsilon \pi \iota\)
20 тоvєupıтıঠףv.
\(\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu о \sigma \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha\)
\(\mu \alpha \mu \in \nu \pi \rho o \sigma\)
\({ }^{0} \chi \theta \iota \sigma \alpha \sigma \tau \omega \iota\)
\(\epsilon \pi \iota \chi \omega \rho \iota \omega \iota\)
\({ }^{2} 5 \phi \theta o \nu \omega \iota \tau \omega \nu\)
\(\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \omega \nu \alpha>\)
\(\mu \alpha \delta \in \alpha \chi \theta\),
\(\mu \in \nu 0 \sigma \in \pi \iota\),
\(\tau \omega \iota \sigma \nu \nu \nu \epsilon\)
\(3 \circ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \pi 0 \lambda\)
\(\lambda[.] \kappa \iota \sigma \alpha \kappa \epsilon \sigma \tau о\)

[. . . \(] \mu о \rho \sigma t \mu \omega t\)
[. . .] \(\mu \in \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \iota \omega \iota \cdot\)
35 [. . .] ]то⿱ঠ́ıoб
[. . .] \(\omega \nu о \nu о \mu \alpha\)
[. . .] \(] \boldsymbol{\epsilon \epsilon \iota \sigma \hat { \eta } \pi о \iota ~}\)
[. . . .] \(\sigma^{\circ} \pi \sigma \circ \eta\)
[. . . .]o! \(\varphi[\). . \(] \in[\).

Fr. 39. Col. xvi.
\(\beta \cdot[\ldots] \epsilon \pi \epsilon \ell \theta\) >
\(v \pi[. . . ..] \in \nu\)
\(\alpha \nu[. . . .\).
\(\phi[\).

тоîs ij \(\tau \tau \omega ิ \nu \tau 0\).
(A) " \(I[\sigma] \omega \mathrm{s}\), 今े \(\Delta\) เó \(\delta \omega-\)
\(\rho[\epsilon \cdot] \pi \lambda \hat{\imath} \nu \tau \alpha \hat{v}-\)
\({ }^{1} 5\) \(\tau \alpha \mu\) è \(\nu \sigma \nu \nu \eta\) -
रop \(\eta \sigma \theta \omega\) \(\tau \alpha\) is
\(\gamma[\nu] \nu \alpha l \xi i v, \epsilon ่ \pi-\)
\(\alpha \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu\)
ठ̀̀ \(\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \quad\) Є̇ \(\pi \grave{\prime}\)
20 тòv Eúpıाíd \(\eta \nu\).
є́Kєîvos \(\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \ddot{\alpha}^{\alpha}-\)
\(\mu \alpha \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi \rho o \sigma-\)
\({ }^{\circ} \chi^{\theta i ́ \sigma \alpha s} \tau \hat{\omega}\)
є \(\pi \iota \chi \omega \rho i ́ \omega\)
\({ }^{2} 5 \phi \theta_{o ́ v \omega}^{\omega} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\)
\(\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \ddot{\alpha}-\)
\(\mu \alpha \delta_{\epsilon} \alpha_{\chi} \theta^{\prime}\) ó-
\(\mu \in \nu 0 s\) é \(\pi i\)
\(\tau \hat{\varrho}\) © \(\sigma v \nu \nu \epsilon ́-\)
\(30 \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \pi 0 \lambda-\)
\(\lambda[\alpha ́] \kappa \iota \varsigma \quad\) ' \(A \kappa \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau о-\)
\([\rho \iota \quad \kappa] \alpha i \quad \Delta о \rho \iota \lambda \alpha ́ \varphi\)
[каi] Mорбі́ \(\omega\)
[ \(\kappa \alpha i] \quad M \epsilon \lambda \alpha \nu \theta i \omega\) —
35 ( \(\Delta\) ८.) [ \(\Pi \rho\) ò ]s тô̂ \(\Delta\) ıós,
[ \(\tau i \nu] \omega \nu\) ỏ óó \(\mu \alpha-\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{cc}\tau \alpha & \lambda] \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota S ; ~ \\ \eta\end{array} \pi 0 \iota-\right.\)
\([\eta \tau \alpha ́] s ;(A) \pi o \iota \eta-\)
\([\tau \alpha i ́ \gamma\) ', \(]\) oì \(\nu[\). .] \(][\).

Fr. 39. Col. xvi.
\(\beta\). [. . .] \({ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta^{\prime}\)
\(\dot{v} \pi[\). . . . . . \(] \epsilon \nu\)
\(\dot{\alpha} \nu[. . .\).
\(\phi[. . . .\).
```

}}\epsilon[....]0 .
\chi[. . .]\delta\epsilon\sigmao\phio
\kappa\lambda[. .]\lambda\alpha\beta\omega\nu
\pi\alpha[. .]\sigma\chiv\lambdaov
v[. . .]\rhoo\sigmaov

```

```

    \epsilon\nu\rho\iota\pi\iota\delta\eta\nu>
    \pi\rhoо\sigma\tauol\sigma\iota>
    \delta\epsilon\mu\beta\alpha\lambda\epsilon!\nu>
    \alpha\lambda\alpha\sigma\mu[. .] \nu\eta
    I5 }\mu\in\nuо\sigma\deltaо\pi\omega\sigma
\alpha\lambda\alpha\sigmaк\alpha\iota\mu\eta\lambda\alpha
\lambda\alpha\sigma\cdot\epsilonо\iotaка\sigma!\nu
\alpha\nu\delta\rhoo\sigma\epsilon\iota\nu\alpha\iota
\tau\omega\nu\alpha[. . .]\delta\iota[
20 \deltaа\sigmaко\nu\tau\omega\nu
\alphav\tau\omega[.]}<\alpha0
\pi\epsilon\rho\epsilon\iota\pi\alpha\sigma.
\alpha\tau[.]\rho\sigma\iota\nu\alpha\mu\omega
\rho\omega\sigma\gamma\epsilonк\alpha\nu\tau\alphav
25 Өа\pi\alpha\lambda\iota\nuокш
\mu\omega[.]\deltao\deltat\delta\alpha
\sigmaк\alpha\lambdaо\sigma\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon
\delta\alphaк[.]\nu\tauо\nu >
\epsilonv\rho[.]\pi\iota\delta\eta\nu
30 \tau0v[. .] ] }\epsilon\in\nu0
\chi\iota\mu[.]\nuo\sigma\alpha\lambda
\lambdaa![. . .] . }\mp@subsup{\stackrel{a}{\lambda}}{\lambda!}{|
\pi\alpha . [. . . . .]o\sigma
\epsilon![
35 ou[.
\lambdao![
\phi[

```

5 є[. . . .]o . [. . . .
\(\chi[..] \delta^{\circ} \Sigma_{0 \phi} \Sigma_{0-}\)
\(\kappa \lambda[..] \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\nu}\)
\(\pi \alpha\left[\rho^{\prime} A i\right] \sigma \chi u ́ \lambda o v\)
\(\nu[. ..] \rho\) ó ó \(\sigma \nu\)
го . . [.]. \(\epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}\), ó \({ }^{\prime} \lambda о \nu\)
Evंрıтíס \(\eta \nu\),
\(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ו \sigma i ́-~\)
\(\delta^{\prime \prime} \epsilon \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu\)
\({ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda \alpha s, \mu[\epsilon \mu] \nu \eta-\)
\({ }_{5} 5 \mu^{\prime} \nu\) os \(\delta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \pi \omega \omega\)
व̈ \(\lambda \alpha s\) каi \(\mu \eta े{ }^{\prime} \alpha^{-}\)
入аs.' (A) 'Eоíкабıv
ả \(\nu \delta\) jòs єîvaı
\(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \alpha[\nu \tau \iota] \delta \iota-\)
20 ठабкóvт \(\omega \nu\)
\(\alpha u ̛ \tau \hat{\omega}, \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha ́\)
\(\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{i} \pi \alpha s\).
\(\dot{\alpha} \tau[\grave{\alpha}] \rho \quad \sigma \iota \nu \alpha \mu \omega\) -
\(\rho \omega s \quad \gamma \epsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v}-\)
\({ }_{2} 5^{\theta}\) Ө \(\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu\) ó \(\kappa \omega\) -
\(\mu \omega \delta \delta_{\varphi} \delta \delta \delta \alpha\) -
бкалоs є́ \(\pi\) є́-
\(\delta \alpha \kappa[\nu \epsilon] \nu \tau \grave{\partial} \nu\)
Ev่ \(\rho[\imath] \pi i ́ \delta \eta \nu\).
\(30 \tau 0 \hat{v}\left[\left\langle\delta^{\prime}\right\rangle \epsilon \in \pi\right] 0 \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu o v\)
\(\chi \in \iota[\hat{\omega}] \nu 0 \Omega \dot{\alpha} \lambda-\)
\(\lambda \alpha![. .\).\(] . \ddot{\alpha}^{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o \iota\)
\(\pi \alpha\). [. . . . . \(] o \sigma\)
\(\epsilon \iota[\). . . . . . .
35 ov[. . . . . . . .
入ot[. . . . . . .
\(\phi[\)
```

    Fr. 39. Col. xvii. Plate V. Fr. 39. Col. xvii.
    отоитото咅
    к\inI\sigma\omega\muато\sigma
    \lambda\alpha\chi\omega\nu\mu\epsilon\rhoо\sigma
    \epsilon\nuX\epsilon\rho\sigma\iota[. .] \nu
    5 \sigma\pi\lambda\alpha\gamma\chi\nu[..]
    \sigma\iota\nu\eta\pi\alpha\rho[.]\mu
    \mu\alpha\tau\alpha\pi\rhoо\sigma
    v\pi\epsilon0\etaК\epsilon\nu
    \tauочтоו\sigma}\mp@subsup{\chi}{}{\lambda\epsilonv
    IO \alpha\sigma\tau!k\omega\sigmao\pi
\pi\alpha\iotaк\alpha0\epsilonv\deltaov
\alphaкv\omega\nu\tauа\nu
\rhol\nu\epsilon\chi\in\iota\cdotOv
\tauo[.]\mu\epsilon\nuOv\nu ,
I5 o\pi\epsilon\rho\epsilon\iota\pi\alpha>
\pi\rhoo\sigma\tau\eta\nu\tau\omega\nu
\pio\lambda\lambda\omega\nu\epsilon\pio
\lambdaเ\tau\invo\nu\tauo
\chi\alpha\rho!\nu}\boldsymbol{\prime}\inк\in
20 \nu}\nu\sigma\gamma\epsilon\mu\eta
ка0\alpha\pi\epsilon\rho\delta\&\iota
\mu\alpha\rho\tauv\rho\iota\alpha\nu0\epsilon
\mu\epsilon\nuо\sigma\alpha\pi\epsilon!\pi\alpha
\tauот }\alpha\sigma\alpha0
{ } _ { 2 } ^ { 5 } \nu \alpha \sigma \cdot \pi o \iota \alpha \nu
\tauаv\tau\eta\nu`\epsilon\nu     \tau\omegal\delta\epsilonка\tau\alpha     k\epsilon\chi\omega\rho\iota\sigma\mu\epsilon     \nu\eta\nu\tau\omegaו\sigma\tau\alpha 30 \sigma\iota\mu\omega)\iota`\chi\rhov\sigma\epsilon
\alpha\iota\delta\eta\muо!\pi\tau\epsilon
\rhov\gamma\epsilon\sigma\pi\epsilon\epsilon\rhol\nu\omega
\tau\omega[.]\kappa\alphaเ\tau\alpha\sigma\epsilonl
Plate V．

```
```

    öто⿱ \piот' oi-
    ```
    öто⿱ \piот' oi-
    к\inî \sigma\omegá\muатоs
    к\inî \sigma\omegá\muатоs
    \lambda\alpha\chi\grave{\omega}\nu}\mu\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\rhoos
    \lambda\alpha\chi\grave{\omega}\nu}\mu\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\rhoos
    \epsilon'\nu \chi\epsilon\rho\sigmait\nu, द́]\nu
    \epsilon'\nu \chi\epsilon\rho\sigmait\nu, द́]\nu
5 \sigma\pi\lambdaá\gamma人\nu[ol]-
5 \sigma\pi\lambdaá\gamma人\nu[ol]-
\sigma\iota\nu, \hat{\eta \pi\alpha\rho' [ö] |-}
\sigma\iota\nu, \hat{\eta \pi\alpha\rho' [ö] |-}
\mu\alpha\tau\alpha,}\pi\rhoо\sigma
\mu\alpha\tau\alpha,}\pi\rhoо\sigma
v\pi\epsilońӨ\etaк\in\nu
v\pi\epsilońӨ\etaк\in\nu
\tauoú\tauols X \\epsilonv-
\tauoú\tauols X \\epsilonv-
10 \alpha\sigma\tau\iotak\omegaิ今 'ö\pi-
10 \alpha\sigma\tau\iotak\omegaิ今 'ö\pi-
\pia}\kappa\alpha0\epsilonv́\deltaov\sigma
\pia}\kappa\alpha0\epsilonv́\deltaov\sigma
\alpha}<<<\omega\omega\nu \tau\alphà\nu
\alpha}<<<\omega\omega\nu \tau\alphà\nu
\rho\hat{\imathv}\mp@subsup{\nu}{}{\prime} '\epsilon\chi\chi\epsilonl.' où-
\rho\hat{\imathv}\mp@subsup{\nu}{}{\prime} '\epsilon\chi\chi\epsilonl.' où-
\tauo[l] \muèv oôv,
\tauo[l] \muèv oôv,
15 ö\pi\epsilon\rho \epsilonim\alpha,
15 ö\pi\epsilon\rho \epsilonim\alpha,
\pi\rhoòs \tau\grave{v}\nu \tau\omegaि\nu
\pi\rhoòs \tau\grave{v}\nu \tau\omegaि\nu
\pio\lambda\lambda\omegaิ\nu \epsiloń\pio-
\pio\lambda\lambda\omegaิ\nu \epsiloń\pio-
\lambda<\tauеv́ovтo
\lambda<\tauеv́ovтo
\chiа́\rho\iota\nu. \epsilońк\epsiloní-
\chiа́\rho\iota\nu. \epsilońк\epsiloní-
20 \nuós \gamma\epsilon \mu\eta\nu
20 \nuós \gamma\epsilon \mu\eta\nu
каӨа́тєр \delta<\alpha-
каӨа́тєр \delta<\alpha-
\mua\rhoтvpía\nu 0é-
\mua\rhoтvpía\nu 0é-
\mu\in\nuos à\pi\epsiloním\alpha-
\mu\in\nuos à\pi\epsiloním\alpha-
\tauo \tauòs 'A0\eta}\mathrm{ '
\tauo \tauòs 'A0\eta}\mathrm{ '
25 vas. (\Deltat.) Пoía,
\tauаv́т\eta\nu; (A) 'Ev'
\tau\hat{\varrho}\delta\epsilon ка\tau\alpha-
кє\chi\omega\rho\iota\sigma\mu\epsiloń-
\nu\nu \tau\hat{\omega} \sigma\tau\alpha-
30 \sigmai\mu\omega' ' \chiрv́\sigma\epsilon-
\alpha\iota \delta\etá \muOl \pi\tau'\epsiloń-
\rhov\gamma\epsilonS \pi\epsilon\rhoì \nuढ́-
\tau\varphi каì \tauà \Sigma'\epsilont-
```

```
    \rho\eta[. .]\nu\pi\tau\epsilon
35 [. . . .]\tau\alpha\pi\epsilon\delta[.]\lambda
[. . . .] }\epsilon\tau\alpha[.]
[. . . .]\mua|\deltaa[.]
[. . . . .]\piov\lambda!̣[
[. . . . .]!\sigma[. . . .
```

Fr. 39. Col. xviii. Plate V.
$\epsilon \xi \eta \rho \chi \in \nu \tau \alpha$
$\mu \in \lambda \omega[\cdot$. . .] $]$
クouk[. . . . .
отıкa[. . . . .
5 $\tau \in \sigma[$. .] $] \eta[$
$\alpha \nu \tau[\cdot.] \pi \omega \sigma$ >
ovv[.] $] \nu \iota \sigma v \mu$
$\mu \epsilon!\xi \varphi \nu \quad \rho \mu \alpha \nu$
$\lambda \in \gamma \omega[\cdot] \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha$
10 форıкшбє $\mu$
фаıveltov>
movapXov >
$\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha\left[\right.$ [. . ${ }^{2} \nu$
$\alpha \nu \xi \omega \nu \tau \alpha \nu$
$\mathrm{I}_{5}$ Spoot $\eta \nu \nu=$
$\pi \epsilon \rho 0 \chi \eta \nu$.
ко $\mu \psi \circ т \epsilon[.] \alpha$,
$\phi \alpha \iota \nu \in[. . .$.$] ]$
$\lambda \in \gamma \in \iota \nu \eta \pi \in[\cdot]$
$20 \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$
$\alpha \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$,
$\omega \sigma \theta \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \kappa$
$\delta \epsilon \chi \in \sigma \theta a l \cdot \mu \epsilon$
$\epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \nu \delta o \nu \nu$
$\rho \eta[\nu \omega] \nu \pi \tau \epsilon-$
35 [ро́є $\nu] \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \in \delta\left[[] \lambda \lambda^{\prime}\right.$
$[\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o ́]\} \in \tau \alpha[\iota$,
$[\beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma o] \mu \alpha \iota \delta^{\prime}\langle\epsilon i s\rangle a[i]-$
[ $\theta$ '́ $\rho \alpha]$ ] $\pi$ ou $\lambda \hat{[ }[\nu$
$[\dot{\alpha} \in \rho \theta \epsilon]$ is $[Z \eta \nu i$

Fr. 39. Col. xviii.
${ }^{\prime} \xi \xi \bar{\eta} \rho \chi \in \nu \tau$
$\mu \in \lambda \omega[\delta i ́ a] s$.
ท̂ oủk [oî $\theta \alpha$
öт $\kappa \alpha[i \quad \tau 0 \hat{v}-$
$5 \tau^{\prime}$ 光 $\sigma\left[\theta^{\prime}\right.$ ò $] \phi \eta[\sigma i \nu$
aủr[ôs ; ] (4८.) $\Pi$ ल̂s
oûv; (A) ' $[Z] \eta \nu \grave{~} \sigma \nu \mu$ -
$\mu \epsilon i \xi \omega \nu \quad \dot{\delta} \rho \mu \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$,
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega[\nu,] \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha-$
10 форıкิิs $\dot{\epsilon} \mu$ -
фаivet тòv

$\ddot{\alpha}\langle\mu\rangle \alpha \kappa \alpha i \quad[\sigma v]^{\prime}$,
$\alpha \tilde{v} \xi \omega \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu-$
15 Soòs tì̀ $\dot{v}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho 0 \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$.
( $\Delta \iota) ~ К. о \mu \psi o ́ \tau \in[p] \alpha$
фаi $\nu \in[\iota \mu 0 t]$
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon L \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \pi \epsilon[\rho]$
$20 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta_{\iota \nu}{ }^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$.
(A) $\Pi \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$
̀̀s $\theta$ étcis ék-
$\delta^{\ell} \chi \in \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{L} . \quad \mu \epsilon \tau-$
$\epsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ổ $\nu$

```
\(25 \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \gamma \eta \rho \alpha \sigma \epsilon\)
    \(\epsilon \nu \mu \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta о \nu \iota \alpha \iota\)
    \(\mu \alpha \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \iota \mu \omega \sigma\)
    \(\alpha\) уо \(\mu \in \nu \sigma\)
    \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \omega i \delta\)
\(30 \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \eta เ \tau \alpha \tau \epsilon\)
    \(\lambda о \iota \pi[.] \cdot \kappa \alpha \iota \delta \eta\)
    \(\kappa \alpha \iota \mu \nu[\cdot] \mu 0>\)
    \(\nu \in \nu \in[. . ..] \tau \iota\)
    out[. . . . . . .
```



```
    [.] \(] \phi[\)
```

Fr．39．Col．xix．
оикакшбє！$\eta$
$\kappa \alpha \sigma \cdot \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$
$\gamma \alpha \rho \tau \omega \nu \alpha \theta \eta$
$\nu \eta \iota \sigma \iota \nu[.] \delta \epsilon$
$5 \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \xi[\cdot]{ }^{\prime \prime}$
o८ $\gamma \in \pi 0 \iota \eta \tau \eta \nu$
т $\eta$ 入єкоитоข
$\mu \alpha \kappa \in \delta O \nu \omega \nu$
$\kappa \alpha เ \sigma \iota к \in \lambda i \omega$
10 $\tau \omega \nu v \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ$
$\eta \iota \sigma \theta 0 \nu \tau 0 \cdot \lambda \epsilon$
$\overline{\gamma \epsilon \tau} \alpha \iota \gamma$ оиvoт $\epsilon$
$\nu \iota \kappa \iota \alpha \sigma \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha$
$\tau \epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \iota>$
$15 \kappa \in \lambda \iota \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \pi 0 \lambda$
$\lambda o l \tau \omega \nu \alpha \theta \eta$＞
$\nu \alpha \iota \omega \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$
$\alpha \iota \chi \mu \alpha \omega \tau 0 \iota$＞ $\sigma \nu \chi^{\nu} 0 \nu \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$

25 катє $\gamma \eta \rho \alpha \sigma \epsilon$
є́v Makє
$\mu \alpha \lambda^{\prime}$ є́ $\nu \tau i ́ \mu \omega s$
व’о́о $\mu \in \nu$ оs
$\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \delta \nu-$
$30 \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$
入о८т［ $\alpha$,$] каi \delta \grave{\eta}$
$\kappa \alpha i \mu \nu[\eta] \mu o-$
$\nu \in v \in\left[\begin{array}{ll}\tau \alpha \iota & o \prime\end{array}\right] \tau \iota$
ov゙т［ $\omega$ S ．．．．
$35 \pi \epsilon \chi[\cdots$.
［．］$] \phi[. . . .$.

Fr．39．Col．xix．
（A）Oú как $\omega$ s єi̋p
$k \alpha s^{-} \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇$A \theta \eta^{-}$
$\nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$［ov̉］d＇
$5 \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \iota \nu$ ả $\xi \iota[0] \nu$ ，
oï $\gamma \epsilon \pi 0 \iota \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$

Maкє $\delta^{\circ} \nu \omega \nu$
каi $\Sigma \iota к \epsilon \lambda \iota \omega$－
$10 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ V̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$
$\ddot{\eta} \sigma \theta$ оуто．$\lambda \epsilon ́-$
$\gamma \in \tau \alpha \ell$ रov̂v，öтє
Nıкías є́ $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \alpha_{-}$
$\tau \in \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu \quad$ є $\pi i \quad \sum_{\imath} \iota$
15 кє入íav каi $\pi o \lambda-$
$\lambda o i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇$A \theta \eta$－

$\alpha i \chi \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \tau 0 \iota$,
$\sigma u \chi^{\nu o u ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \omega}$

```
20 \alpha\nu\alpha\sigma\omega0\eta\nu\alpha,
    \delta\iotaa\tau\omega\nu\epsilonvpl
    \pi\iotaочто\eta\mu\alpha
    \tau\omega\nuобоוкат
    \epsilon\chi}\mp@subsup{}{}{\nu
25 \sigma\tau\iota\chi\omega\nu\tau\iota\nu\alpha\sigma
    \delta\iota\delta\alpha\xi\epsilon[.] \nu ,
    \tauov\sigmav!!!\sigma\tau\omega\nu
    \epsiloni\lambda\eta\phiо\tau\omega\nu
    v\pioX\epsilon!\rholov\sigma
30 \alphavTov\sigma.ov
    \tau\omega\sigma\eta\sigma\iotaк\in\lambda[.]\alpha
    \alpha\pi[. .]a\tauov\epsilonv
    [. . . . .]va\pi\epsilon
    [. . . .]\epsilon\nu
35
    [. . . .]T\mp@code{\rho又х\epsilon}
    [. . . . . ..]. . .
```

Fr．39．Col．xx．Plate V．
єХ€［．］тобтон ，
каı［．．．．．］$]$ ？，

oठv［．．．．．．．．］
5 очкєบф［．］$] \eta$
$\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \nu \omega$
$\pi \alpha \iota \pi о \iota o \nu \delta \epsilon$
бтонатоьои
$\tau \cdot] \gamma \in \gamma o \nu \in \nu$
10 $\eta \gamma \in \nu 0 \iota \tau \alpha$
$\eta \delta$ бо⿱ $\delta$ เovy $\epsilon$
§ $\eta$ тоıаита
$\mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha l$
$\epsilon \pi \eta \delta \iota a \pi o \rho \epsilon \nu$
$20 \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$
סıà Tồ Eủpl－
тíסov тоך $\mu \dot{\alpha}-$
$\tau \omega \nu$ ，öбоь кат－

${ }_{2}{ }^{5} \sigma \tau i ́ \chi \omega \nu$ тıvàs
$\delta i \delta \alpha \dot{\xi} \in[t] \alpha \nu$
тoùs vícīs $\tau \omega \bar{\nu}$
єì $\eta$ фór $\omega \nu$
úmoxєipious
30 aủzoús．ou゙－
$\tau \omega \varsigma \dot{\eta} \sum_{\iota \kappa \in \lambda[i]} \alpha$
$\alpha \not \approx \pi[\alpha \sigma] \alpha$ Tò $\nu E u$－
［ $\rho ı \pi i \delta \partial \eta] \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon-$
$[\theta \alpha u ́ \mu \alpha \sigma] \in \nu . \quad \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath}$
$35\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mu \grave{\eta} \nu & \dot{v}] \pi^{\prime} \text {＇ApXє－}\end{array}\right.$
［入áov ．．］．［．．

Fr．39．Col．xx．
${ }^{\epsilon} \mathrm{X} \in[\iota]$ тò $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu \alpha$
$\kappa \alpha i \quad\left[\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi\right] \epsilon \rho-$

ó $\delta{ }^{\circ} \dot{v}[\pi 0 \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu$,
5＇oủk єú $\phi[\eta] \mu \eta$＇
$\sigma \epsilon \iota$ ，＇$\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \ell$ ，＇＇ $\bar{\omega}$
$\pi u i ̂ ; ~ \pi o i ̂ o \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$
бтóца тоьồ－


$\eta ँ \delta \iota o \nu \quad \delta i$ ồ $\gamma \epsilon$
ठ̀ خ тolav̂т $\alpha$
$\mu \in ́ \lambda \eta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \tau \in \kappa \alpha i ̀$


```
15 \epsilon\tau\alphal.o\muoto\sigma
    оитобк\alpha0\alpha
    \pi\epsilon\rho\epsilon\iota\rho\alphaка\sigma
    \delta\alpha\iota\mu[. .]\iota\omega\sigma
    \epsilon\nu\tau\omega! . [.] ] >
20 кот\iota\pi\rho0[.]TO\nu
    \piо\iota\eta\tau\eta\nu>
    \zeta\omega\nu\tau\tau\mu\epsilon\nu
    \delta\eta\tau\alphav0v\pi
    \eta\rho\xi\in\nu\inU\rho\iota
25\pi/\delta\etal/ }\tau\in\lambda\epsilon
    \tau\eta\sigma\delta\epsilon\mu\alpha\lambda\alpha
    \deltav\sigma\chiє\rhoоv\sigma
    \kappa\alphaル\delta\iota\alpha\sigma\epsilon\tauv
    X\in\nu\omega\sigmaol\lambdao
30 y\iotaо\iotaт\epsilonк\alphal\gamma\epsilon
    раוт\alphaто\iota\muv
    0о\lambdao[.]ov\sigma\iota\mu\alpha
    \kappa\in\delta[.]\nu\omega\nu}\cdot\pi
    \lambda\epsilon[. . . . .] }\epsilon\epsilon\sigma\tau
35
    Fr. 39. Col. xxi. Plate V.
    o\delta\epsilon\pi\alpha\alpha\rho\eta\iota\tau\eta\sigma\alpha
    т0`\chipov[. . . .
    \sigma\tau\epsilon\rho[. .] ] \mu [. . .
    \epsilonv\rho\iota[. .] ] \eta[. . .
5 X\inV\alpha . . T\epsilon\rho[.
    \tau\eta\sigma\pio\lambda\epsilon\omega\sigma\epsilon\epsilon\nu
    \alpha\lambda\sigma\epsilon\iota\tau\iota\nu\iotaк\alpha0
    \alphav\tauо\nuє\rho\eta\mu\alpha
    \zetaо\mu\epsilon\nuO\sigma.o
```


oûtos， $\mathrm{ka} \mathrm{\theta} \dot{\alpha}$－
$\pi \epsilon \rho \quad \epsilon i \rho\langle\eta\rangle \kappa \alpha S$
$\delta \alpha \iota \mu[o \nu] i ́ \omega s$
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \underset{\varphi}{\hat{\varphi}} \cdot[.] \alpha-$
20 котl $\pi \rho o ̀[s]$ Tòv $\pi \circ \imath \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ．
（A）Z $\hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$
ठウ̀ $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta$ ن́ $\boldsymbol{\pi}-$
$\eta \rho \xi \in \nu$ Evjpı－
$25 \pi i \delta \eta \cdot \tau \in \lambda \epsilon v-$
$\tau \hat{\eta} S \delta^{\delta}{ }^{\prime} \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha$
$\delta \nu \sigma \chi \in \rho \circ$ ûs
каi iớas є̇тv－
$\chi \in \nu$ ，${ }^{\circ} s$ oi $\lambda o ́$－
30 үıoí $\tau \epsilon$ каi $\gamma \epsilon$－
раі́татоl $\mu v$ ．
$\theta 0 \lambda o[\gamma] o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \quad M \alpha-$
$\kappa \in \delta[o ́] \nu \omega \nu$ ．（ $\Delta \iota.) \Pi \bar{\omega} s$
$\lambda \epsilon \in[\gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu ;](A){ }^{\prime} E \sigma \tau[\iota \nu$


Fr．39．Col．xxi．
ò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \rho \eta \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha-$
то．Х Хо́v $\left[\omega \quad{ }_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \delta^{\prime}\right.$ ú－
$\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho[o \nu]$ ò $\mu[\grave{\epsilon} \nu$
Evं $\rho![\pi i] \delta \eta\left[s{ }^{\epsilon} \tau \tau\right.$ ．
$5 \chi^{\epsilon \in \nu}{ }^{\alpha} \pi \omega \tau \epsilon \in p[\omega$
$\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$＇̇ $\nu$
ä入 $\sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \iota \nu \grave{\imath} \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$
аи́тòv $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu \alpha-$
̧ó $\mu \in \nu o s$, ó


Fr．39．Col．xxii．Plate V．
тоитицо $\epsilon \in о и$
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau[$ ．．］$\sigma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta[$
［．．］$] \delta \delta \alpha[\cdot]!\eta \nu \in \varphi[$
 киข $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { ía } \\ \text { é } \xi \text {－}\end{aligned}$ $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\prime}} \epsilon . \quad \gamma \in \nu \dot{\partial} \mu \epsilon-$ $\nu 0 \iota \delta^{\gamma} \epsilon \xi \omega \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \nu \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ oi $\theta \eta$－
15 рєuraì 入ú $\sigma \alpha \nu$－ TєS $\tau 0 u ̀ s ~ \sigma \kappa u ́-~$ $\lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha s$ т $\rho o a \phi \hat{\eta}$－ $\kappa \alpha \nu$ ，аúto［i $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha}-$ $\pi \in \lambda \in i ́ \pi o v \tau[0]$ 20 като́тıข．є́ $\pi \iota-$ тuXóvtes oỉv oi $\kappa \check{v} \nu \epsilon s{ }^{\tau} \hat{\varphi}$

 $25 \phi \theta \epsilon \iota \rho a \nu$ aủzóv， oi $\delta^{\prime}$＇ $\operatorname{\epsilon } \pi \iota \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon-$ $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ v̋ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \cdot{ }^{\circ} \theta \in \epsilon$ йтı каì vv̂̀ $\lambda$ é－ $30 \gamma \in \sigma \theta a i ́ \quad \phi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ ［ $\tau$ ］$\grave{\nu} \nu \pi \alpha \rho о \iota \mu i-$ $[a] \nu$ év toís $M \alpha$－
 ［ $\sigma \tau \iota]$ кaì кvขòs 35 ［ $\delta i \overline{]}] \kappa \eta$＇．каı̀ $\gamma$ à $[\rho$ ［ $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \kappa\right] \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \kappa \nu-$ ［лáк $\omega \nu$ ．］．o［．．

Fr．39．Col．xxii．
тov̂ Tı $\mu$ o日éov $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau[0 \hat{i}] s{ }^{\circ} E \lambda \lambda \eta-$


т $\eta \iota \mu o v[..] \kappa \eta[$.
5 каıротоц！
$\alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \theta v$ ，
$\pi \epsilon \rho \beta o \lambda \eta \nu \alpha$
$\theta \nu \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \sigma \sigma$
$\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha[.] \tau \alpha \sigma$
$10 \chi^{\epsilon} \ell \rho \alpha \sigma \epsilon \alpha \nu \tau \omega \iota$
$\delta \iota \epsilon \nu \omega \kappa \in \nu \alpha \iota$
$\pi \rho о \sigma \phi \in \rho \in \iota \nu$
$\mu о \nu о \sigma \epsilon \cup \rho \iota \pi \iota$
$\delta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu$
${ }^{15} \tau \omega \nu \mu \in \nu \theta \epsilon \alpha$
$\tau \omega \nu к \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \epsilon$
$\lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota \tau 0 \nu \delta \in[$
$\tau t \mu о \theta \in о \nu \alpha[$ ．
［．］$\theta_{0} \mu \in \nu \circ \sigma \eta \lambda \iota$
20 KOFє $\sigma \tau \iota \nu \in \nu$
$\tau \omega \iota \gamma \in \nu \in \iota \pi \alpha$
$\rho \alpha \mu \nu \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$
$\tau \epsilon \lambda o \gamma o v \sigma \delta t \epsilon \xi$
$\iota \omega \nu \omega \sigma o \iota o \nu$
$25 \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta$ тıкштатоиб
каıঠŋкаєто
$\tau \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \omega \nu$
$\pi \rho о o \iota \mu \iota o \nu \sigma v \gamma$
30 ура廿аıтоutє
$\nu \iota \kappa \eta[\cdot] \alpha / \pi \alpha \nu>$
$\sigma \alpha \sigma \theta[.]. k \alpha \tau \alpha$ ，
$\phi[\cdot] \rho[. . ..] \in \nu o \nu>$
［．．．．．．．］$] \boldsymbol{\tau}![$［．．］
35
$\tau \hat{\eta} \mu o v[\sigma \iota] \kappa \hat{\eta}$
5 каєขотоцí－
av каi ка日＇$\dot{v}-$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \beta о \lambda \eta \grave{\eta}_{\nu} \dot{\alpha}-$
$\theta \nu \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau 0 s$
$\omega ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha[i] \tau \alpha ̀ s$
10 X $\epsilon i \rho a s$ є́autê
$\delta \iota \epsilon \nu \omega \kappa \in ́ \nu a \iota$
$\pi \rho о \sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，
нóvos Eủpıtí－
$\delta \eta s \quad \alpha, \nu \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu$
${ }^{15} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \alpha-$
$\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \epsilon$
$\lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha l$ ，тòv $\delta$ ¿̀
Tıиó $\theta$ єov $\alpha[$ i－
［ $\sigma] \theta o ́ \mu \in \nu o s \quad \dot{\eta} \lambda i ́-$
20 кos $\epsilon$ ́́тì̀ ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu$
$\tau \widehat{Q} \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu \in \iota \pi \alpha-$
$\rho \alpha \mu v \theta \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha i$
$\tau \in \lambda o ́ y o u s ~ \delta t \epsilon \xi-$
ìv $\dot{\omega} s$ oĩo
${ }_{5} \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta-$
тєк $\omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau o u s$,
каì ठ̀̀ каì тò
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$
$\pi \rho о о i ́ \mu t o \nu \quad \sigma v \gamma-$
30 रра́廿 $\alpha \iota, \tau\langle\hat{\omega}\rangle \tau \epsilon$
$\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}[\sigma] \alpha \iota \pi \alpha u ́-$
$\sigma \alpha \sigma \theta[\alpha l]$ к $\alpha \tau \alpha-$
$\phi[\rho] 0[\nu 0 u ́ \mu] \in \nu 0 \nu$
［av่тíка тò］$\nu \quad T \iota[\mu o ́]-$
35 ［ $\theta \in о \nu$ ．．．．］．［．


Fr．40．（From Fr．37， Col．i？）
［．．．］$][$ ．．．．．
［．］aı $\nu 0 \sigma \omega \underline{9}[$ ．．
［．］．$\alpha \nu \epsilon \nu \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha[$
［．］$] \circ \sigma \sigma \xi \in \epsilon \iota \sigma$ §
5 ［．．］$\delta!k \alpha \nu \alpha \nu \epsilon$ ［．］$] \omega \nu o v \gamma \alpha \rho$ ．［．
［．．．．．］ov $\eta \theta[$ ．
［．．．．．$] \in \pi[$ ．
［．．．．．$] \alpha \beta$ о $\lambda \eta[$ ．
$10[. . . . ..] \tau \in \rho \omega[$.

> Fr. 41.
> ]..$\sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon \chi \in[$
> ] $0 \lambda \iota \chi \alpha \sigma \delta$. [
> ]ب! $\alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \tau о[$
> ]u⿱㇒⿻二丨⿴囗十
> $5]$ ] $\eta \lambda o \nu \nu \omega \cdot[$
> ] $\omega \sigma \alpha \rho \iota \theta \mu \omega[$
> ]? $\omega \nu \nu \phi \epsilon \iota \times[$
> ]! $\omega \sigma \alpha \delta[$ ]. $\sigma \tau \epsilon[$


Fr. 44.
Fr. 45 .
Col. i. Col. ii.


Fr. 46.
$\underset{\sim}{\alpha} \cdot[$
$\underset{\sim}{\rho}[$
$\rho \in[$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ] o o \alpha[ \\
& ] \mu \epsilon \cdot[ \\
& ] \cdot \sigma \sigma[
\end{aligned}
$$

Fr. 48.
Fr. 49.
]a! [
]. $\epsilon$

Fr. 42 Fr. 43.


ј $] \tau \eta \nu$. [
] $\nu$. [

Fr. 44 Fr. 45.
Col. i. Col. ii.
] $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ є
$] \sigma \epsilon[$ ] $\quad X[$
]akpa[ ]k $\sigma[$ ] $] \quad \lambda$. [

Fr. 46.
Fr. 47

| $a \cdot[$ | $] o t a[$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $o v[$ | $] \mu \epsilon \cdot[$ |
| $\rho \epsilon[$ | $] \cdot \sigma \sigma[$ |

Fr. 48.
Fr. 49.
${ }_{]}^{]} \mu \lambda[$
]al[
]. $\epsilon[$


Fr. 50. Fr. $51 . \quad$ Fr. $5^{2}$.

| $] \tau[$ | $] \cdot a[$ | $] \cdot[$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $] \tau \cdot[$ | $j \delta \epsilon[$ | $] \cdot \epsilon[$ |



| Fr. 5.5. | Fr. 56. | Fr. 57. | Fr. 55. | Fr. $5^{6}$. | Fr. 57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - - | . |  |  |  |  |
| ] $\cdot \sigma \tau[$ | ] $¢ T$ [ | ] ${ }_{\square}$ [ | ]. $\sigma \tau[$ | ] $\sigma \tau[$ | ] $\pi$ [ |
| ]¢[ |  |  | ]¢[ |  |  |

 Above the $\epsilon$ at the end of the line there is a horizontal stroke which might be supposed to represent the final $\nu$, but that method of abbreviation is not elsewhere used by this writer, who interlineates $\nu$ at the end of a long line, e. g. Fr. 8. ii. 20, Fr. 39. vi. 26, \&c. Moreover, this line would not be of undue length with $\nu$ written in the ordinary position. Cf. Fr. 39 . vii. 2-3.
7. Murray suggests $\tau \grave{o} v \dot{v}[\pi \bar{\partial} \delta i \hat{k} k n s$, which would suit the space.

Fr. 2. i. This column is difficult to reconstruct, but the difficulty does not justify the supposition that the lines were appreciably longer here than in the other columns of the papyrus. It is not clear whether the upper portion is prose or poetry. The language has a certain metrical cast, and ll. 10-12 might well be restored, e.g., as Murray suggests,
 $\delta \in[\rho v]$, though whether the subject of $\epsilon_{l}^{\prime \prime} \eta$ äv was the preceding quotation or $\left.\dot{\eta} \phi l\right\rangle \lambda \eta$ кoia in 1. 16 would be doubtful ; on the latter supposition $\left\langle\delta^{\circ}\right\rangle$ might be inserted, as in Fr. 39. ii. I 5 . If something of this kind were adopted, $\sigma \kappa \eta$ in 1.7 would hardly be likely to be $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ in any form. रopov in 1.6 is just possible, but extremely uncertain.
ii. 3. Since a pause in 1.2 is marked by the paragraphus, the new sentence may well begin, as W-M remarks, with ov $\mu[\eta \dot{\eta} \nu$.

Fr. 3. This fragment might be placed beneath Fr. 2 so that the supposed 1 in i. 1 formed the second upright of $\nu$ in Fr. 2. i. 17; but I do not think that the combination is convincing.
ii. 5. A diagonal stroke is drawn through the first limb of $\pi$, but that the letter was thereby intended to be deleted is hardly certain.

Fr. 5. 3-4. Pcrhaps $\pi a \rho[\rho \eta \sigma t] a \zeta \rho \mu \epsilon \nu[$; cf. Fr. 9. 11.

Fr. 8. ii. 1-27. '. . . in emulation of the beauties of Ion developed and perfected [tragedy] so as to leave no room for improvement to his successors. Such were the man's artistic qualities. Hence Aristophanes wishes to measure his tongue "By which such fine expressions were expunged". And he was almost as great of soul also as in his poetry. For he contended, as we have said . . .

1-3. Restored by W-M. The subject of course is Euripides ; cf. Fr. 39. vii. 20-2.
${ }_{17}-19$. This citation is novel and the reading is rather doubtful. $[\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \in \sigma] \mu \eta \chi \chi \epsilon \tau$, which was proposed by $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}$, seems certain, but his $\lambda[\epsilon \pi] \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is more questionable, since the initial vestige suggests a round letter like $\sigma$ or $\phi$. The top of $\lambda$, however, as of $\delta$ and $\chi$, is sometimes turned over, and a slight exaggeration of this feature might produce the curve found in the papyrus.

20 sqq. There is some resemblance between this passage and Fr. 37 . i. I 5 sqq.; cf. the
 into close connexion.

25 sqq. [ $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma] \epsilon \mu a ́ \chi €\left[\tau 0\right.$ suits $[\pi] \rho o s^{s}$ in 1. 30 , and the absence of a genitive is against
 a difficulty ; $\nu$ is followed by an upright stroke consistent with e. g. $\eta$ or $t$, or perhaps $\lambda$ or $\mu$, but not with $\tau$ or $\pi$. Reconstruction of the latter part of this column is the more conjectural on account of the fact that ]. $\nu \in \nu$ with the beginnings of the succeeding lines, and the final letters ]a, ] $\nu$, \&c., are respectively contained on two detached fragments whose position here, though, I think, probable, is not free from doubt.

Fr. 9. This fragment, the restoration of which is largely due to $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}$, relates to the

 proposes [ $\omega$ s ëot $] k \in \nu[$ [ovó́v.
 the frequent attacks of Euripides upon Apollo. ( $\tau \ddot{a})$ mó $\lambda \lambda]$ $\omega$ vos might be read, but the restoration is not readily carried through on this hypothesis. In all probability $\omega v o \sigma$ ended the line. In 1. 14 he would see an allusion to the poet's large library (Athen. i. 3 a, Aristoph. Frogrs $943,1409)$, and $\left[\beta_{\imath} \beta \lambda_{\ell}\right]$ would be a supplement of the right length, though rather precarious in so obscure a context ; $i \pi] o \theta \eta \kappa \kappa \eta \nu$ is an easy alternative. The supposed stop in 1.4 is uncertain.

Fr. 13. Restored by W-M. Jıs in l. I may well be ] $\eta s$, i. e. another adjective parallel with $\dot{a} \mu a[\theta \dot{\eta} s$.

Fr. 16. i. 2. $[\sigma \kappa \eta] \nu \eta \eta_{\rho}$ is not supported by what follows.
Fr. 17. This fragment rather resembles in appearance Fr. 18, and possibly contains the tops of those two columns; but the fibres of the verso do not confirm the combination.

Fr. 18. i. ${ }^{2-5}{ }^{\text {' } H \sigma i o[\delta o] s ~ i n ~} 1.3$ seems inevitable, but the rest of the sentence is difficult. In l. $5 \kappa$ may be $v$ and $a$ be $\lambda$; ] ]vov or ]kvov is unlikely.

Fr. 26. 4. The mark before $\phi$ may well be a stop instead of part of a letter.
Fr. 29 is probably not to be joined on above Fr. 19.
Fr. 32. It is not certain that this fragment belongs to 1176 .

Fr. 33. i. 5. $] \omega \theta \eta \eta \kappa[$ or $] \pi o \theta \eta \kappa[$ would be possible ; cf. note on Fr. 10. i.

2 I . ov̂ is a doubtful reading. What has been regarded as the horizontal stroke of a rough breathing might be taken for a small $\tau$ over the $v$, but an abbreviation of oütos, though it would suit $\phi[[\sigma \iota$, is unlikely, and the curved stroke above, which suggests only a circumflex accent (cf. Fr. 39. xvi. 37), would be unexplained. At the same time the supposed rough breathing must be admitted to be clumsily formed. The $v$ has apparently been altered or rewritten.

Fr. 37. i. The number of lines lost at the top of this and the following columns can be estimated fairly accurately by means of the worm-holes which persist in Frs. 38 and 39 .

20-1. If the reconstruction is correct the dot at the end of 1.20 is accidental or erroneous. The $\gamma$ in l. 2I may equally well be $\tau$.


 The influence of Anaxagoras on Euripides is traced in the following columns; cf. iii. 17 . For modern discussions of this subject see Wilamowitz, Her. i. 25, Anal. Eur. 163 sqq., Parmentier, Euripide et Anaxagore.
ii. $\mathbf{1 9}^{-28}=$ Eurip. Fr. 593 , from the Pirithous. Line 19 is difficult. The last two letters seem to be va, which strongly suggest aúroфvâ, but that word can only be restored on the assumption of a deep corruption ; moreover, there is barely room for $\epsilon \tau$ between $\sigma$ and o. Perhaps then the quotation began at tóv in l. 20.

2 1. $\dot{\rho} \dot{v} \mu \beta \omega$ : so Hesych., Eustath., Schol. Apoll. Rhod.; ${ }^{\circ} \mu \beta \rho \varphi$ Clem. Alex., $\rho o ́ \mu \beta \varphi$ Euseb., Schol. Eurip. Or.

9-14. Eurip. Fr. 9 I 2. $\chi^{\lambda}$ ón in l. i I confirms Bergk's conjecture for $\chi$ ón , given by the MSS. of Clem. Alex., from whom alone the passage was known. Clement has eit' 'Aiòns,


26-9 = Eurip. Tro. 886.
Fr. 38. i. A loss of two columns between this and the preceding fragment is made probable by the worm-holes; cf. the notes on Fr . 37. i and Fr. 39. iv.

11-16. The quotation in ll. 6 sqq. expressing a belief in divine power is in opposition to the Anaxagorean tenets exemplified in the foregoing citations, and therefore [avr] $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \iota$, as proposed by $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}$, may well be right in I. I6. But the restoration here depends upon that
 but this can certainly not be read. $\tau \eta \nu$ is clear, and though av$\eta \eta \nu$ might easily be corrupted to $a v \tau \eta \nu$, the dative in ll. $144^{-1} 5$ suits $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu[a \dot{u}] \pi \eta \nu$ very well. The last letter of $1 . \mathrm{I} 3$ is probably $\sigma$ or $\epsilon: \gamma$ or $\tau$ is much less likely. Between this and $\phi$ there may be one or two letters, e. g. $\theta$, є., a. In l. I 4 the letter before $\eta \nu$ had a vertical stroke, e. g. $\tau$ or perhaps $\nu$. Heracles may have been brought in, as W-M remarks, as one of Euripides' exponents of a pure religion ; cf. H.F. ェ ${ }^{345-6 .}$


 but this is now shown to be wrong, ris having preceded. What followed ris is uncertain.
 which Murray suggests, might be read, but, as W-M remarks, an adverb of comparison seems needed, and something like $\tau i s \delta^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime} a ̈ \theta$ eas would be expected. This, however, was certainly not written, and to restore it in opposition to the papyrus is somewhat arbitrary, although the inferiority of the text is exemplified in the next line, where $\pi a \rho a \delta a \mu \omega \nu$ (an unknown form) appears to have stood for $\beta a p v \delta a i \mu \omega \nu$.

23. $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \omega \rho o \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ is confirmed against Nauck's conjecture $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \omega \rho o \pi o ́ \lambda \omega \nu$.
 MS.) ; cf. note on ll. 20-3. $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \eta \rho a ́$ is perhaps the more apposite epithet.
29. The ink after the second lacuna may represent an angular sign filling up the line.
ii. The remains of this column are occupied by a lyric citation, the partial coincidence of which with Eurip. Fr. 960 was perceived by W-M. Lines $6-14$ are not clear and there is possibly some defect in the text. In 11. 8-14 the meaning may be 'Let the man who works and who is known to be the friend of the good ( $\kappa \kappa \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ фi $\lambda_{0}$ ( $\phi i \lambda \bar{\lambda}$ ) $\omega \nu$ ) be called my friend', but, if so, it is obscurely expressed. Lines i2-14 give an Anacreontic verse which perhaps terminates a strophe.

14 sqq. 'Why, mortals as you are, have you acquired great wealth for nought, why think you to produce virtue by means of riches? What though you possessed Etna's mount or the marble of Paros wrought in gold in your ancestral halls? Not then, unless you are [good] of heart [are you deserving of honour], but you sit unblessed in the midst of wealth.'


 now clear that Plutarch has greatly compressed the quotation, which Satyrus gives more fully. ri $\mu i ́ \tau a \nu$, which $W-M$ had already restored in Plutarch before I read it in 1l. $1_{4-1}{ }_{5}$, is
 former is perhaps the superior, but either may stand. In l. 19 the MSS. of Plutarch have
 papyrus, seems preferable. In 1. 2 I tiv not rov is clear; after A"troas W-M suggests the insertion of $\tau \epsilon$, which may be right. The words $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \lambda o i s ~ \delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\theta} \eta \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ (so Nauck for ка $\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ )

 $\pi o \tau \epsilon$, was apparently written. Perhaps Plutarch's preceding quotation (Fr. 959) is from the
 with $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu . . . \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \theta \theta \omega$.
iii. 8 sqq. Some iambic lines, which are not elsewhere extant, are here quoted in further illustration of the theme of the foregoing column, the vain pursuit of wealth. Lines 8-9 were restored by $W-M$; the purpose of the oblique dash prefixed to 1.9 is not clear.
$\mathrm{I}_{2-15}$ 5. 'Watching waves as high as heaven' is the sense. àбтраткотia is found in late Greek, but the verb has apparently not occurred previously.
 a substantive to accompany the participle in l. I 7 indicate that the verses are not continuous; cf. Fr. 39. v. 12 sqq., vi. $\mathrm{I}^{-\mathrm{I}} 5$, notes.

18-19. Xpuaous is an unexpected epithet of "I $\sigma \tau \rho a s$, but I do not see how it can be
avoided. The Ister is naturally coupled with the Bosporus. ovte would be an easy mistake for ouסє.
iv. The combination of this column with Fr. 39. i is made with some hesitation. Two points are in its favour, ( I ) the coincidence in 1.20 of the stop after $\nu$ with the paragraphus, (2) the fact that if $\mathrm{Fr} .3^{8}$ is placed thus, certain worm-holes in Col. ii will come at the right distance from the corresponding pattern in Fr. 39. ii. On the other hand a high dot at the end of 1.23 is unexplained; but this is not a fatal objection since similar superfluous dots occur elsewhere, e. g. after $\delta \eta \pi 0 v$ in Fr. 39. vii. 16; cf. Fr. 37. i. 20. The difficulty of restoring this column satisfactorily is no argument against the proposed combination, because that difficulty is not produced by the remains of Fr. 39. i.
19. If ai $\left[\sigma \chi \rho o{ }^{\nu} \nu\right.$ is right, the $\nu$ must have been written above the line.
20. $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda(\lambda) o_{v} \dot{c}[\mu 0] \nu$, as W-M remarks, is preferable to $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \hat{c}^{\prime} \gamma\left[\mu_{0}\right] \nu$.
23. $\chi[.] \rho \nu$ is very doubtful ; $\lambda \eta \nu$ or $\mu \eta \nu$ could well be read.
26. For $\Sigma \omega[\kappa \rho a ́] \tau \eta$, which was restored by W-M, cf. Fr. 39. ii. 17 and Г'́v. 2 סoкєí $\langle\delta \dot{\epsilon}\rangle$

 a paragraphus.

27 sqq. There is no apparent connexion between this and the preceding sentence, ${ }^{\prime} \pi o t \hat{\eta}^{\prime}[\sigma a]^{\prime}$ in l. 34 lacks a subject and [av̀]ròv is undefined; some corruption is therefore to be suspected. The passage of the Danae here referred to is, as W-M suggests, probably
 this passage in a general approval of Euripides' doctrine about wealth; or to have excepted Euripides from his condemnation of poets, though disapproving of this passage. But no such sense can be extracted without the assumption of a considerable dislocation in the text.

Fr. 39. ii. 7-27. ' . . . in the following way: ' $(A)$ When this is done in secret, whom dost thou fear ? $(B)$ The gods, who see more than men." Such a conception of the gods will be Socratic ; for in truth what is invisible to mortals is to the immortal gods easily seen. Moreover, the hatred of tyranny and the [condemnation of] democracies and oligarchies . . .

8-14. These lines are not elsewhere extant. $v$ of rovs has been rewritten.
15. A conjunction seems a desirable addition and will also obviate the hiatus, -which, however, is repeated immediately afterwards in тotaúr $\eta$ inóvola; cf. Introd. p. 127.
$24-7$. The restoration proposed by W-M is attractive (cf. Col. iii), but not altogether satisfactory, since, though the vestige before o in l. 24 is consistent with $\sigma$, there is barely room in front of it for $\mu$. . The $\theta$ also in 1.25 is questionable. An infinitive such as

iii. '. . . and especially to raise none of the citizens above a proper level, nor make him tyrant, and to give bad citizens no admission to honours. For the greatest disease in a State is a worthless orator promoted beyond his worth. Nevertheless, Diodorus, concerning the general imprudence and negligence (?) of the Athenians . . .'

I-2. $[\epsilon i] \pi[\dot{j}]] \tau i \quad \ldots[\delta \dot{\eta}] \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}$.
5. Restored by W-M.
 word as well as in substituting $\pi \rho o a \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$ os for $\pi а \rho а \gamma^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \rho s$.
${ }^{23}-5$. Perhaps ка]i $\grave{a} \mu \epsilon \lambda[\epsilon i a s . \quad \dot{d}] \rho a \operatorname{\pi i}[\lambda \iota \nu$, as $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}$ suggests; but the traces at the end
of 1.23 though slight do not suggest $a$, and the preceding space is barely sufficient. $\pi a] \rho a \pi \lambda[\dot{\eta} \sigma a$ cannot be read.
iv. 1-38. '. . . not in this wise, but we are not also guilty of baseness when we put full trust in somebody whatever he says, speaking not what is base but having recourse to what is weak, and then each one accuses the assembly of which he was a member.
(Di.) The comic poets, it seems, have said much both with severity and like statesmen.
(A) Yes, of course. Euripides again admirably incites the youths to valour and courage, urging Spartan efforts upon them and emboldening the people thus: "Gain glory in the time to come by performing every day a labour . . .".'

1-15. The purport of this quotation from a comic poet, which is not extant, is apparently to excuse the Demos, which allows itself to be guided by demagogues; we are

 words are specious and we allow ourselves to be deceived.' $a \pi] a \tau[\eta \iota$, however, can certainly not be read, though perhaps should be restored. The letter after a (which could be $\lambda$ or $\delta$ ) must be either $\lambda$ or $\mu$; it is not unlikely that the scribe wrote kadots.

33 sqq. The citation is new. A diaeresis should perhaps be recognized on the $v$ of vөтєрoו $\sigma \nu$, but it does not appear to occur elsewhere in this papyrus.
38. [ $\pi \dot{o} \nu]_{o \nu}$ W-M. For the conjunction of $\pi \dot{v} v o s$ and єük $\lambda \epsilon \iota a$ cf. e. g. Eurip. Fr. 474


12-27. "The flute-girls smile at you at the street corners. You ask who the astynomz are: you mean the men who clip the wings of liberty. If a man gains wealth, Pamphilus, you regard it not as property but as power."

12 sqq . These lines from a comic poet are also unknown. They seem disjointed, but that is very likely due to a desire on Satyrus' part for brevity; cf. vi. $\mathbf{1 - 1 5} 5$ note, viii. $\boldsymbol{r}_{7}$ sqq. note, xii. $\mathrm{r}-16$. W-M, to whom is due the restoration of 11.14 and $24-6$, suggests that the idea running through them may be that the astynomi, by regulating the tariff, placed rich and poor.on a certain equality with regard to aj̉ $\lambda \tau \tau \rho i \delta i \in s$.

19-20. ${ }^{2}$ 入o is difficult; the first letter though imperfect is apparently $\lambda$, not $\mu$. The vocative of a feminine name does not seem probable, and a corruption may be suspected, perhaps caused by the compression of the citation. $\pi[\tau \epsilon \rho \sigma]_{k o \pi o i v v}[\tau]$ as $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}$; cf. Callim. Epigr. 46. 8 кєipєv тà $\pi \tau є \rho a ́$.
24. Пá $\mu \phi[l] \lambda \epsilon$ looks probable, but the $\mu$ is rather cramped.
28. $\gamma$ could be read in place of $\pi$ and 1 in place of 0 .
29. $\eta \iota$ : or $\pi \iota$; possibly $] \epsilon \pi \iota$.
vi. 4-29. " ". . and most bitter against the father who begat them. For men who have come to the passion for rule over others are most hostile to their closest friends. Small children are sweeter to an aged father." So one would say, doubtless, auguring ill of the majority now badly brought up. For such persons are eager to carry out their father to burial with all speed, and to dispose of his property.'

I-I5. The verses, which presumably are from Euripides himself, are again unknown. As in Col. v, they appear not to form a consecutive passage ; ll. 12-I5, at any rate, have no evident connexion with the preceding lines. In l. $7 \delta a \mu \omega \nu$ must be corrupt ; "i $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$, which I have suggested, might easily have a very similar appearance.
2. If $\epsilon$ and $\tau$ are right, the intervening letter should be $\iota$ on account of the narrow space ; but $\tau$ may be $\gamma$, e. g. $\gamma^{\prime}[\rho$.

15-16. According to the copyist the quotation ended at $\pi a \pi \rho i$, and it seems safer to follow him than to place the stop after $\not \approx \nu$ and make $\mu[a] \nu \tau \in v o ́ \mu \in \nu o s$ refer to Euripides himself. ris may mean one of the characters in the play.

19-20. [ $\nu \hat{v}] \nu \nu \eta{ }^{2} \gamma \mu^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu \nu \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}$; there is barely room for $[\nu \hat{v}] \nu$.
28-9. т]ク̀̀ є єкфо[ $\rho a ́ \nu ~ W-M$.
vii. ' [. . . the husband] against the wife, and the father against the son, and the servant against the master; or in the reversals of fortune, violations of virgins, substitutions of children, recognitions by means of rings and necklaces. For these are the things which comprise the New Comedy, and were brought to perfection by Euripides, Homer being the starting-point in this and in the colloquial arrangement of verses (?). And Philemon rightly gives him credit for this in the passage, "So says Euripides, who alone can speak...."'

1-6. The restoration is substantially due to W-M. Why the a of кat in ll. 2 and 3 has a horizontal stroke drawn above it is obscure; cf. the note on Fr. i. 3.
${ }^{2} 3^{-6}$. W-M objects to Homer being brought in here, and suspects a corruption of e. g. ö́tı $\mu \dot{\eta} .$. ; but I have no doubt that 'O $\mu \eta{ }^{2} p o v$ stands in the papyrus. The principle of avay $\omega \rho \rho \iota \sigma$ ós at any rate is to be found in Homer as well as an approximation to dramatic dialogue, if that is what is meant by oúvza $\xi_{\iota s} \lambda_{\epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \mathfrak{j} \text {. But possibly there is some omission }}$ towards the end of the sentence; $\sigma \tau i \chi \omega \nu \gamma \epsilon(\nu o ́ \mu \in \nu o s . .$.$) , for instance, suggests itself.$


 restoration of which I owe partly to W-M, is not elsewhere extant.
 in place of $\rho$.
${ }_{1} 7$ sqq. The quotation is from the first oration against Aristogiton § 40. Lines 30-3, which were restored by W-M, are an abbreviation of the ordinary text, which is riva yàp rìv

 the end of 1.2 I. That in 1.29 is not certain.
ix. 3. $] \lambda \epsilon \epsilon o \nu$ : or $] \delta \epsilon \epsilon o \nu$.

4-32. 'He was the owner of a large cave there with the mouth towards the sea, and here he passed the day by himself engaged in constant thought or writing, despising everything that was not great and elevated. Aristophanes at least says, as though summoned as a witness for this very purpose : "As are his characters, so is the man." But once when witnessing a comedy he is said . . '

[^0] for ola.

## 31. $\lambda_{[ }$'́ $\gamma \epsilon \tau a \iota \mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{M}$.

x. 'Every one disliked him, the men because of his unsociableness, the women because of the censures in his poems. And he incurred great danger from both sexes, for he was prosecuted by Cleon the demagogue in the action for impiety mentioned above, while the women combined against him at the Thesmophoria and collected in a body at the place where he happened to be resting. But notwithstanding their anger they spared the man, partly because of their admiration for his poetical gifts . . '

3-5. On the $\delta v \sigma o \mu i \lambda i a$ of Euripides cf. Fr. 9 and note.
15-22. This prosecution by Cleon, which the extant accounts of Euripides do not mention, was perhaps referred to in the columns lost between Frs. 37 and 38 . A charge of $\dot{a} \sigma \in \beta \in l a$ was involved in the property-suit which is mentioned by Aristotle, Rhet. iii. I 5.8

 the accusation was made more than once.





34. A blank space, in which there is no sign of writing, precedes $\epsilon \phi \in[$; cf. xi. 6-7.
35. For the crasis $\tau a \dot{v}\left[\delta \rho \rho^{\prime}\right]$ s cf. xviii. 14.
 in the $\Gamma$ 'evos (cf. the note on ll. 23 sqq.) ; but I can find no suitable word to precede $\delta$ [ $[$ á.
38. There may be a high stop after $\mu$ ov́ $\sigma a s$; if so, ä $\mu a \delta \dot{f}$ followed in the next line.
39. ] $\quad \sigma$ or possibly ]ors.
xi. This column is occupied by a long quotation from the Melanippe Desmotis of Euripides. The lines are also found in the Florilegium recently published in Berl. Klassikertexte, V. ii. p. 123 sqq. with some slight variations partly perhaps due to Satyrus himself. The Melanippe was no doubt quoted in the papyrus, as in 「'́v. 6 (cf. note on


1-4. The supplements suggested are derived from the Berlin papyrus, where the two
 $\dot{a} \lambda] \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda a s \quad \pi\left[\frac{0}{0}\right]$ vous.
$6-7$. There is a blank space at the end of 1.6 and at the beginning of 1.7 , perhaps indicating an omission, though in x. 34, where a similar blank occurs, nothing seems to be wanting.
 now partially confirmed.
 recognized. Something like ai $\sigma \chi$ pous $\lambda$ óyous was apparently the object of the verb.
${ }_{11-12}=$ Eurip. Fr. 492. 6-7 (Athen. p. 613 d).
$\nu \epsilon ́ \mu v v \sigma \iota$ : oiкov̀ $\iota$ Athen., which is less attractive; the word is lost in P. Berl.

 ${ }_{0} \lambda \beta$ oos; the papyrus however perhaps gives the verse as Satyrus wrote it,-though the mistakes in the following lines do not inspire confidence.
20. â ó tis $\theta$ toús P. Berl.

2 I. $\pi \rho \omega \tau a$ was written twice by mistake.
25-6. Фоíßou and до́цоия P. Berl.
 $\delta \omega \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$ is evidently a corruption for $\Delta \omega \delta \dot{\omega} \nu \eta s$, which is given by P. Berl. The $\sigma$ before $\beta a \theta \rho a$ may be a survival of the termination of $\Delta \omega \dot{\delta} \dot{\omega} \eta \mathrm{s}$, but $[\eta]$ s would hardly fill the space.
$33-8$. The restorations in 11. 33-5 are derived from P. Berl., which continues yévos
 and apparently the text of the papyrus was again erratic. $\sigma$ in 1.37 may be $\epsilon$ or o.
xii. 1-16 $=$ Aristoph. Thesm. 374-5, 335-7. These passages were evidently cited by the interlocutor in connexion with the story of the women's attack upon Euripides, perhaps, as W-M suggests, as the actual source of the story.
2. Tтио́клєєa Aristoph.; the papyrus had some other name, e. g. 'A ${ }^{\prime} \chi i \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota a$.
 room for M $\eta \dot{\eta} \delta o u s$ between $\omega[$ and $\tau$.

16-35. 'You have clearly comprehended my meaning and absolved me from developing it. He was embittered against the sex for this reason. He had, it seems, in his home a young man born in the house named Cephisophon ; and he detected his wife in misconduct with this person.


34. $[\hat{a}]$ rax $[\tau 0\} \hat{Z}[\sigma a \nu$ is warranted by 「év. 6 (cf. the previous note), though [ $\tau 0]$ hardly fills the space.
xiii. $1-38$. '. . . bearing the outrage [calmly], as is related, directed the woman to live with the young man. When he was asked "What is the meaning of this ?", he said "In order that my wife may not be his, but his mine,--for that is just,-if I wish ". And he continued to oppose the whole sex in his poetry.
(Di.) Quite absurdly! For why is it more reasonable to blame women because of a seduced woman than men because of the man who seduced her? As Socrates said, the same vices and virtues are to be found in both.'
I. Some adverb such as $\dot{\rho} a \delta i \omega s$ or $\epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} s$ preceded.

9-11. Restored by W-M. It seems necessary to assume some error in II. 9-10.
23. This use of $\nu \dot{\prime}$ is very questionable, and $\left\langle\Delta^{\prime} i a\right\rangle$ should perhaps be inserted, or vai substituted. $\nu \dot{\eta}$ without an accusative is only found in Lucian in the phrase $\nu \eta$ кai ov́ye (Tim. 46, Dial. Deor. 20. 7, 22. 1, Dial. Mort. 20. 3).



34-5. W-M's emendations are clearly right.
40. The letter before $\theta$ can be $\chi$.
xiv. 4. $\nu$ is very doubtful and there is perhaps room for another letter, e. g. ] $\theta \eta \sigma[\theta] a$. 6. $\delta \rho o s$ is possible ( ( $[\dot{a} \nu] \delta \rho o o_{s} ?$ ).

8-35. '. . . that she was drugging Hystaspes with love potions. So she sent for the woman, but when on her approach she saw her stature and beauty, "Welcome, woman," she said; "I see that the accusations were false. For you have the drugs in your face and your eyes".
(A) Capital, best of women, and rightly named Eucleia, since you remember such traits of character . . .'
$\delta$ sqq. This story about Hystaspes seems to be new.
17. $\iota$ of $\kappa a \iota$ is corrected.

2 I. $[\delta a a] \beta o \lambda a i ́ W-M$.
XV. '. . . so long they prevailed over their adversaries; for in my view this is to be reckoned the victory of the women. The men so far as depended upon themselves were worsted.
(A) Perhaps, Diodorus. But let this be the defence of the women and let us return to Euripides. He partly in vexation at the malice of his fellow-citizens and partly in anger at his frequent association with Acestor and Dorilaus and Morsimus and Melanthius-
(Di.) By Zeus, whom do you say? Were they poets?
(A) Yes, poets, who . . '

1-12. Owing to the loss of the context this passage remains obscure.



31-4. Morsimus and Melanthius are ridiculed by Aristophanes, who alludes also to Acestor in Vesp. 1221 and Aves 31; cf. the scholia on those passages. Dorilaus is doubtless identical with the tragedian mentioned by Aristophanes in the $\Lambda \eta \mu \nu i a$, whose name is given as Dorillus or Doryllus in Etym. Nagn. p. 283.45 and Hesych. s.v Sopva入入ós; cf. Aristoph. Fr. 367 Kock.

35-9. Restored by W-M.
xvi. $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} 7$. A quotation from a comedian, apparently giving a receipt for a dish of poetry: 'Take some of Sophocles and Aeschylus, but put in a whole Euripides,' i. e. you will want the whole in order to extract a flavour. It is clear from the sequel that Euripides was being depreciated. Lines $6-10$ ought to be restored. In l. 10 the first letter is perhaps $a, \beta$, or $\delta$, and that before $\epsilon \sigma \theta$ can be $\kappa, \lambda, \mu, \sigma$, or $\chi$. $\beta \sigma[\dot{v}] \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ is hardly suitable; $a^{\prime} \lambda[1] s{ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \theta^{\circ}$ is possible, though unconvincing.

17-3I. $(A)$ 'The verses have the appearance of being by one of his competitors, as you say. But here too the comedian's attack on Euripides is mischievous. In the following winter...
19. $\dot{\alpha}[\nu \tau \iota] \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}$.
$3^{30-1}$. If the words are rightly restored, they are of interest as showing that Satyrus was acquainted with the dates of the plays mentioned. $\delta$ seems to have dropped out in 1.30 , as in ii. 15 .
xvii. "". . . whatever part of the body it has taken for its habitation, in the hands, the inwards, or by the eyes," added mockingly to this, "where the dog as she sleeps puts her nose ". These then, as I said, in their expression of views sought popular favour. He however, after putting in, so to speak, an obstructive plea, renounced Athens.
(Di.) What was the plea ?
(A) It was entered in the following choral ode: "Wings of gold ", \&c.'
${ }^{1}-7=$ Eurip. Fr. $403.3^{-4}$ (Stob. Flor. 38. 8) from the Ino. The first two lines of
 beginning of the third line Stob. gives $\pi$ ov $\boldsymbol{\kappa a i} \pi o \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime}$ oiket, which has been variously emended. The papyrus confirms $\pi о \tau^{\prime}$ oikєí, and $\begin{gathered}\text { ö } \pi o v ~ g i v e s ~ s o m e ~ s u p p o r t ~ t o ~ \\ \pi\end{gathered} \hat{v}$. ${ }_{0} \pi \pi o v$ cannot be right, since an interrogative is required.

7-13. The poet who added the line in the Doric dialect is unidentified.
30 sqq. $=$ Eurip. Fr. 91 I , from Clem. Alex. Strom. iv. p. 642." The play is unknown,
but Il. $2 \mathrm{I}-5$ show that it was among the latest works of Euripides, presumably one of the Orestes group.
34. $\pi \tau \in[\rho o ́ \epsilon \nu] \tau a$ : so rightly Grotius; द́ $\rho o ́ \epsilon \nu \tau a \mathrm{~L}$.

37. $\delta^{\prime}: \tau^{\prime}$ L. The insertion of eis with Clement ('s L , $\epsilon$ is Bergk) is desirable on metrical grounds.
 Gomperz's aiقéptov mó入ov áp $\theta$ eis. The true correction is now given by the papyrus. The quotation continued $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \in i \xi \omega \nu \nu$ óp $\mu a ́ v$; cf. xviii. 7-8.
xviii. '. . . began the songs. Or do you not know that it is this that he says ?
(Di.) How then ?
(A) In saying "to mingle my flight with Zeus" he metaphorically designates the monarch and also magnifies the man's power.
(Di.) What you say seems to me to be more subtle than true.
(A) Take it as you like. Anyhow, he migrated and spent his old age in Macedonia, being held in much honour by the sovereign; and in particular the story is told that . . .'
$3^{-6}$. The suggested restoration, to which W-MI and Murray have both contributed, gives a fairly good sense, though there is some awkwardness in making the speaker assume a knowledge of Euripides' meaning. oi $\sigma \theta a$, however, is better adapted to the space than
 and $\phi$ for $\sigma$ in 1.5 .

7-8. Zпѝ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \mu \epsilon i \xi \omega \nu$ Clement, omitting óp $\mu a ́ v$.
13. ${ }^{\prime \prime}\langle\mu\rangle a$ for $a \lambda \lambda a \mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{M}$. For an analogous interchange of $\mu$ and $\lambda \lambda c f$. vi. 7 .
18. фaive $\iota$ seems demanded by the sense; a slight thickening of the cross-bar of the $\epsilon$, which rather suggests that a $\tau$ followed, may be deceptive.

2 I . at $\pi \in \rho$ is very awkward, and W-M's emendation is an evident improvement.
${ }^{2}$ 万. катє $\begin{aligned} \text { ńpaat } \\ \text { conveys a somewhat false impression, since Euripides was over seventy }\end{aligned}$ when he went to Macedonia, and only survived there about a year and a half. The date of his death is given by the Parian chronicle as 407-406, and this is confirmed by Aristophanes' Frogs.


xix. $(A)$ 'That is not badly said; for the appreciation of the people at Athens is not worth mention, who only afterwards learnt from Macedonians and Sicilians the genius of the poet. The story at least is that at the time of Nicias' expedition against Sicily, when numbers of Athenians were captured, many of them owed their release to the poems of Euripides, any, that is, who remembered some of his verses and taught them to the sons of those who had taken them captive ; so great was the admiration of the whole of Sicily for Euripides. Moreover by Archelaus he was . . .'
2. A paragraphus may be lost below this line.




$34-5$. Restored by W-M. $\chi$ in 1. 35 is very doubtful; the remains suggest rather a $\tau$.
Xx. " ". . his mouth is . . . and extremely malodorous." "Hush, boy," he interrupted,
"what mouth has there been such or could be sweeter than that from which proceed songs and words like his?"
(Di.) He resembled the man who . . . to the poet, as you have admirably said in . . .
(A) Well, these were the events of Euripides' life. The death he met was very violent and peculiar, according to the version of the oldest Macedonian story-tellers.
(Di.) What is their account ?
(A) There is in Macedonia.


4. i $[\pi о \lambda a \beta \omega \dot{\nu} \mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{M}$.

I9. The text must be defective; perhaps the original was $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}\langle\ldots \tau \hat{\varphi}\rangle \ldots$ aкót, the error being due to a lipography. The supposed iota adscript is rather long and may be $\rho, \phi$, or $\psi$.
23. $\theta$ of $\tau a v \theta$ was corrected from $\tau$.
 here by W-M. The vestiges at the beginning of the next line hardly suggest $\kappa[\dot{\omega} \mu \eta$.
xxi. '. . . and he begged them off. Some time afterwards Euripides happened to be alone by himself in a grove at a distance from the city, while Archelaus went out to the chase. When they were outside the gates the huntsmen loosed the hounds and sent them on in front, while they themselves were left behind. The dogs fell in with Euripides unprotected and killed him, the huntsmen arriving on the scene later. Hence they say the proverb is still in use among the Macedonians "Justice even for a dog".

I sqq. Cf. Г'́v. 4, the language of which is very close to that of Satyrus, '̇̀ raúrn morè







35-7. The general sense is shown by the last sentence quoted in the previous note.


xxii. 'When Timotheus was unpopular in Hellas because of his innovations in music and was so exceedingly depressed that he had determined to make away with himself, Euripides alone taking a contrary view ridiculed the spectators and, perceiving the quality of Timotheus in his art, consoled him with most encouraging words, and even composed the proem of the Persae ; and Timotheus owing to his victory soon ceased to be despised. . .'

I sqq. This anecdote about Euripides and Timotheus is narrated by Plutarch, $A n$ seni

 supposed meeting might have occurred at the court of Archelaus, which Timotheus also is said to have visited (Plutarch, De Alex. fort. ii. 1, p. 334 b).
5. каıvoтодià is Plutarch's word (cf. the preceding note) but the reading is not particularly satisfactory. The letter after o may well be $\pi$, but neither каıขотона nor каи $о \pi \rho а \gamma^{\prime} a \nu$ suits.

27-9. This statement that the proem of the Persae was written by Euripides-is very
surprising. The time of its composition is not precisely fixed, but fell somewhere between the years 412 and 395 (cf. von Wilamowitz, Timotheos, pp. 56-61), and though there are reasons for putting the date nearer the lower than the upper limit, the statement of Satyrus is not chronologically impossible. The poem itself shows that at the time of writing detractors had not yet been silenced (Persae 219 sqq.). Of the $\Pi$ роoi $\mu$ но only the first line


30-5. The alteration of rov to tê and the supplements in $11.33-5$ were proposed by W-M. In l. 33 the doubtful o may be e. g. $\epsilon$ or $\sigma$, and the initial letter, of which only the top of a tall vertical stroke remains, can be $\psi$ instead of $\phi$.

Fr. 40. A connexion between this fragment, which contains a lyrical citation, and Fr. 37 is suggested by the similar blackening of the verso. In 1. 3 the $\iota$ of $[\beta a \rho \epsilon]$ iav, which with $\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime}[\rho] \omega \nu$ in 1.5 was suggested by W-M, is questionable, but the general sense at any rate is probably not misrepresented. סikav, if right, will mean 'after the manner of' ; aioav, which W-M wishes to substitute, cannot be read. The stop in 1.8 most likely marks the end of the quotation.

Fr. 41. This fragment, in which there seems to be another quotation, may well come from Frs. 37 or 38 ; but there are no decided indications of its position.

Frs. 48-57. These small pieces were associated with the larger fragments, Frs. 48-9 with Frs. $37-8$, Frs. $50-4$ with Fr. 39. i-xi, Frs. $55-7$ with Fr. 39. xv-xxiii. The discolouration of Frs. $49,5 \mathrm{I}$, and $55^{-7}$ indicates that they come from near the ends of the columns.

## III. EXTANT CLASSICAL AUTHORS

## 1177. EURIPIDEs, Phoenissae.

$$
\mathbf{I I} \cdot 2 \times 7 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

Early first century.
The copy of the Phoenissae of which this fragment gives the bottoms of two columns was written on the verso of the papyrus in a somewhat crabbed and irregular upright hand which is clearly quite early in date. On the recto is some cursive writing apparently of the first century B. C., and above this for the sake of support strips of other documents have been pasted, one of which is dated in the reign of Augustus. This evidence combines with that of its own script to place the literary text of the verso somewhere near the commencement of the Christian era. When verses were divided between speakers, the several parts were written in separate lines, as in 1174 . Lyrical verses seem to have been distinguished by slight indentation. A high stop apparently occurs at the end
of 1. 12. The copyist was not very accurate, but the age of the papyrus gives it some weight, and readings of interest occur in 11. 1, 5, II, and 20.

Col. i.

```
    [ov\tauos \delta \omega \gamma\epsilonpal\epsilon \taul]s \pi[0]0\epsilon\nu
    [os а\rho\mu\alpha \lambda\epsilonvко\nu \eta]\nu\iotaо\sigmaт\rhoоф\epsilon\iota }\beta\in\beta[\omega\mp@code{\omega
    [0 \mu\alpha\nu\tau\iotas A\mu\phi\iota\alphap\alphaos] \omega \delta\epsilon\sigma\piov\alpha o\delta\epsilon
    [\sigma\phi\alpha\gamma\iota\alpha \delta \alpha\mu \alphav\tau\omega \gamma]\etaS \phii\lambda\alphal\mua\tauol po\alphal
5 [\omega \lambda\iota\pi\alpha\rho0\varsigma\omega\nuov 0]uva\tau\epsilon\rho A\in\lambda\iotaov
            [\Sigma\epsilon\epsilon\lambda\alpha\nuala X\rho]v\sigma\epsilonov кук\lambdao\iota\nu ф\epsilon\gamma\gammaos [\omegas
            [\alpha\tau\rho\epsilon\mu\alpha\iota\alpha к\epsilon\nu\tau\rho\alpha] к\alpha\iota \sigma\omegaф\rhoо\nu\alpha
            [\pi\omega\lambdao\iotas }\mu\epsilon\tau\alpha\phi\epsilon\rho]\omega\nu \epsilon\iota0v\nu\epsilon
    [\piov \delta os \tau\alpha \delta\epsilon\epsilon\nu\alpha \tau\eta]\delta \epsilonфv\beta\rho\iota\zeta\epsilon\iota \pio\lambda\epsilont
10 [Ka\pi\alpha\nu\epsilonus ]
                                180
    [\epsilonк\epsilon\iota\nuOS \pi\rhoо\sigma\beta\alpha\sigma]\epsilon\iota\varsigma \tau\epsilonк\mu\alpha\iota\rho\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota
    [\piv\rho\gamma\omega\nu \alpha\nu\omega \tau\epsilon к\alphal] к\alpha\tau\omegal \tau\epsilon\iota\\eta \mu\epsilon\tau\rho\omega\nu.
            [\iota\omega N\epsilon\mu\epsilon\sigma\iota ка\iota U]\iotaos \betaa\rhov\beta\rhoо\muо\iota
            [\betapo\nu\tau\alphal к\epsilonраv\nulo\nu \tau\epsilon] \phi\omegas \alpha\iota0\alpha\lambdao
        [\epsilon\nu \sigmav \tauol \mu\epsilon\gammaа\lambda\alpha\nu0]\rho\iota\alpha\nu [\nu\pi\epsilon]\rho
```


## Col. ii.

$\gamma \alpha \mu a \sigma\left[\iota\right.$ Хрибєотєขктоוs $\Phi_{0 \iota} 220$
$\beta \omega \lambda \alpha \tau\left[\rho \iota s \in \gamma \epsilon \nu 0 \mu \alpha \nu \in \tau \iota \delta_{\epsilon}\right.$ Kacta入ıas
$\nu \delta \omega \rho[\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \kappa о \mu \alpha s \in \mu \alpha s$ $\delta \epsilon v \sigma \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho$
$\theta \epsilon \nu \iota 0\left[\nu \quad \chi^{\lambda \iota \delta} \alpha \nu\right.$ Фoı $\beta \in \iota \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \lambda \alpha \tau \rho \epsilon \iota \alpha \iota s$

I ( 17 T ). ri's $\pi[0]]_{\epsilon \nu}$ : ris $\pi \dot{\sigma} \dot{\theta} \epsilon \nu$ кvpei MSS. Editors generally follow Valckenaer in omitting $\pi \dot{d} \theta \epsilon \nu$ which is supposed to have come in here from 1. 123. But kupei is as likely to be the interpolated word as $\pi \delta \theta e v$, and the papyrus may well give the true text.

3 (173). l. ठєөтоиа.
5 (175). Aediov: the traditional reading is supported by the papyrus; Aaroôs Badham, á aaroùs Wecklein with Nauck.

II (180). The lacuna is of the same length as in the next line, and is satisfactorily filled without the addition of $\epsilon \pi \tau a$ which the MISS. read after eкevos and which was ejected
by Valckenaer. The papyrus, however, agrees with tradition in giving Kamaveús to Antigone and not to the $\pi a \iota \delta a \gamma \omega \gamma$ ós-a needless alteration suggested by Geel and adopted by Murray.

16 (220). 1. a] $\gamma a \lambda \mu a[\sigma$.
$20(226) . \omega$ : so Wecklein with some later MSS. ; io AaBELG.
1178. Euripides, Orestes.

Fr. (a) $1 \mathrm{I} .8 \times 8.9$. Early first century b. c. Plate I.
Remains of two columns written in an upright somewhat informal hand which must go back at least to the earlier decades of the first century b.c. The columns originally consisted of 24 lines each, but these are fairly widely spaced, so that the height of the roll was not less than some 20 cm . No lection signs occur beyond the paragraphi, which are used to indicate alternations in the dialogue. Verses divided between speakers were put into a single line and not split up, as in 1177, into two or more ; the point of division was probably marked in the usual way by double dots. Two small illegible fragments remain unidentified.

For so early a copy, the text can hardly be called a good one. There is an obvious blunder in 1.1345 , besides other probable errors. The arrangement of 11. 1347 sqq. is noteworthy ; cf. note on l. 1348.

## Col. i.

```
    [\omega \phi\iota\lambda\tau\alpha\tau\alphal \gammav\nualk\ins \inS \mu\epsilon\sigmaov \phi]ovov
    [\eta\delta E\rho\mu\iotao\nu\eta \pi\alpha\rho\epsilon\sigmaт\iota \pi\alphav\sigma\sigma\omega\mu\iota\nu] \betao\eta\nu
1315 [\sigma\tau\epsilonlX\epsilonL \gamma\alpha\rho \epsilon\sigma\pi\epsilon\sigmaov\sigma\alpha \delta\iotaктv\omega\nu \beta\rhoolXols
    [\kappa\alpha\lambdao\nu \tauо Ө\eta\rho\alpha\mu \eta\nu \alpha\lambda\omega\iota \gamma\epsilon\nu\eta\sigma\epsilon]\tau\alpha\iota
```



```
    [\chi\rhoo\alpha\iota \delta а\delta\eta\lambda\omega\iota \tau\omega\nu \delta\epsilon\delta\rho\alpha\mu\epsilon]\nu\omega\nu \pi\epsilon\rho\iota
    [ка\gamma\omega \sigmaкv0\rho\omegaто⿱s о\mu\mu\alpha\tau\omega\nu] \epsilon\xi\omega кораs
1320 [\omegas \delta\eta0\epsilon\nu оvк є\iota\deltav\iota\alpha . . . . .] кака
    [\omega \pi\alpha\alpha\rho0\epsilon\nu \etaК\epsilonts \tauo\nu K\lambda\nu\tau\alphal\mu\eta\sigma]\tau\rho\alphas \tau\alphaфо\nu
    [\sigma\tau\epsilon\psi\alpha\sigma\alpha к\alpha\iota \sigma\pi\epsilon\iota\sigma\alpha\sigma\alpha \nu\epsilon\rho\tau\epsilon\rhoo\iotas] Xo\alphas
    [\eta\kappa\omega \lambda\alpha\betaov\sigma\alpha \pi\rho\epsilonv\mu\epsilon\nu\epsilonla\nu \alpha\lambda\lambda\alpha] \muol
    [\phioßos \tauוs \epsilon\iota\sigma\epsilon\lambda\eta\lambda\nu| \eta\nu\tau\iota\nu \in\nu \deltaо\muol]? [
1325 [\tau\eta\lambdaovpos ov\sigma\alpha \delta\omega\mua\tau\omega\nu к\lambdav\omega \betao\eta\nu]
```

$\left[\begin{array}{lll}\tau \iota & \delta & \alpha \xi \iota \\ & \eta \mu \iota \nu & \tau v \gamma X \alpha \nu \in \iota \\ & \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha\end{array}\right] \tau \omega \nu$

```
I335 [\epsilon\pi \alpha\xi!0\iota\sigma\iota \tau\alpha\rho \alpha\nu\epsilonv\phi\eta\mu\epsilon\iotas \deltao\mu]0\iotas
    [\pi\epsilon\rho\iota \tauоv \gamma\alpha\rho \alpha\lambda\lambdaоv \mu\alpha\lambda\lambdaо\nu \alpha\nu \phi0\epsilon]\gamma\xi\alpha<\iotaто 
```

Col．ii．
 $\sigma \eta\left[\begin{array}{ll}\iota & \mu \eta\end{array}\right] \tau \rho \iota \quad \pi \rho о \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \circ\left[\begin{array}{lll}v \sigma \alpha & \tau \eta \iota & \mu \in \gamma\end{array}\right.$ o入ß८al $M \epsilon[\nu \epsilon] \lambda \alpha o \nu \quad \eta \mu \alpha s \quad \mu \eta[\theta \alpha \nu 0 \nu \tau \alpha s \in \iota \sigma \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ I $340 \alpha \lambda[\lambda] \omega \tau \rho \alpha \phi \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \mu[\eta \tau \rho \circ s \in \nu \quad \chi \in \rho \circ \iota \nu \epsilon \mu \eta s$
 $\iota \theta$ єıs ay $\omega \nu \alpha \delta \in v \rho o ~ \epsilon \gamma[\omega$ $\delta \eta \gamma \eta \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$ $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha s \quad \gamma \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \mu$［ $\epsilon \chi \in \iota S \quad \eta \mu \iota \nu \quad \mu о \nu \eta$ $\overline{\iota \delta O \nu} \delta \iota \omega к \omega$ тоv $\epsilon \mu о \nu$［ $\epsilon$ S $\delta о \mu о \nu s ~ \pi о \delta \alpha$ ${ }^{1} 345 \sigma \omega \theta \eta$ oбoy $\gamma \epsilon \tau 0 \cup \pi$［ $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \omega$ кат $\alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma \alpha \varsigma$

ф८入o८ $\xi \iota \phi \eta \rho \in \iota \varsigma \quad o[v] X \iota[\sigma v \lambda \lambda \eta \psi \in \sigma \theta$ a $\gamma \rho \alpha \nu$
o८ $\epsilon \gamma \omega$［ $\tau \iota] \nu \alpha s ~ \tau o[v \sigma \delta ~ \epsilon \iota \sigma o \rho \omega ~ \sigma \iota \gamma \alpha \nu \quad \chi \rho \epsilon \omega \nu$
$\eta[\mu \iota \nu$ रар $\eta \kappa \epsilon \iota s$ ov $\chi \iota$ бо८ $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha$
$\epsilon[X \in \sigma \theta \in X \in \sigma \theta \epsilon \phi \alpha \sigma \gamma \alpha \nu 0 \nu \delta \epsilon \pi \rho o s \delta \epsilon \rho \eta \iota$
${ }^{1} 35^{\circ} \beta \alpha \lambda 0 \nu[\tau \epsilon S \quad \eta \sigma v \chi \alpha \xi \epsilon \theta$ ws $\epsilon \iota \eta \iota$ тоסє

##  <br> $[\pi] \rho \iota \nu \quad \epsilon \tau v \mu \omega s$ เ $\delta[\omega$ тov $E \lambda \epsilon \nu \alpha s$ фоvov <br> $[\kappa] \alpha \theta \alpha \iota \mu \alpha \kappa \tau о \nu$［ $\epsilon \nu$ סоро८s кєє $\mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ <br> $\eta$ кає 入oyov $\pi о v \pi \rho[о \sigma \pi о \lambda \omega \nu \pi v \theta \omega \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$

${ }^{1} 360 \operatorname{\tau } \alpha \mathrm{~s} \mu \epsilon[\gamma \quad \gamma] \alpha \rho$ o८ $\delta[\alpha$ $\sigma v \mu \phi o \rho \alpha s$ $\tau \alpha s$ $\delta$ ov $\sigma \alpha \phi \omega s$
1315．Bpo］xois：BpóXovs MSS．The dative shows that the papyrus read $\epsilon \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma o v \sigma a$ or $\epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma o v \sigma a$ ，not Wecklein＇s ingenious є́ $\sigma \pi a i \sigma o v \sigma a$ ．
 thing like tav סоноьs may have preceded кака，which was perhaps originally a gloss on $\tau а \xi \epsilon \iota \rho \gamma a \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu a$ and afterwards made its way into the text．
$\left.{ }^{1} 324 . \epsilon \nu \delta o \mu o t\right]_{s}:$ Hartung＇s $\left.\epsilon \nu \delta o \theta\right]_{\epsilon}[\nu$ ，which Wecklein accepts，is also possible．
 others，edd．

1342．$\epsilon$ of ats was converted from a straight stroke，i．e．probably the scribe at first wrote $\iota \theta_{\imath}$ unelided．
1345. l. $\sigma \omega \theta \eta \theta$.
1346. фi入ot: so MSS. except F, which has ävofes.

I 348. The papyrus is broken below the $\eta$ of $\eta[\mu \nu$, but if a paragraphus had been written it should be partially visible. In this text therefore $\sigma t \gamma \hat{a} \nu \ldots \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$ were assigned to the speaker of ll. $1349-5^{2}$, in agreement with Lachmann, who gave $\sigma \iota \gamma \hat{\nu} \nu . \ldots \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$ to Electra.

1 359. $\pi$ ov : тov MSS. ( $\quad$ ô ELG). In this hand a $\tau$ may be easily mistaken for $\pi$.
1360. тas: so the MSS. except A, which has tá corrected from tás, rás being restored by $\mathrm{A}^{2}$. $\tau \dot{a}$. . . $\sigma v \mu \phi o p a ̂ s$ Wecklein.

## 1179. Apollonius Rhodius ii.

$8.4 \times$ II. 5 cm . Early third century. Plate I.
This small fragment offers another example of the 'biblical' type of uncials upon papyrus. The hand closely resembles those of 684 and P. Rylands 16 , and may be assigned with some confidence to the earlier decades of the third century, if not to the end of the second ; cf. also 1166, which represents a somewhat later stage of the same style. Accents, breathings, and marks of elision and quantity appear to be a subsequent addition, but the punctuation in 11.3 and 4 is probably original. The text so far as it goes agrees with that of Wellauer.
[rov $\delta \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho о s ~ к о \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu ~ \epsilon u \eta к \epsilon \alpha ~ \phi \alpha \sigma] \gamma \alpha \nu ~[\epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \rho о \iota ~$
$[\epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \quad \epsilon \rho v \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu O L \pi \rho \omega \tau o s \quad \gamma] \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \alpha \nu \epsilon \rho \alpha$ K $\alpha \sigma \tau \omega \rho$
$[\eta \lambda \alpha \sigma \quad \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma \nu \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu \quad \kappa \epsilon \phi \alpha \lambda \eta S]$ и́ $\pi \epsilon \rho \cdot \stackrel{ウ}{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \theta \epsilon \nu$
$[\epsilon \nu \theta \alpha$ к $\alpha \iota \quad \epsilon \nu \theta \omega \mu 0 \iota \sigma \iota \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \quad \alpha \mu \phi \circ] \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota \sigma \iota \quad \kappa \epsilon \breve{\alpha} \sigma \theta \eta$.

[ $\tau 0 \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \nu$ vто $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \nu \circ \iota \circ$ Өош $\pi о \delta \iota] \lambda \alpha \xi \in \pi о \rho o v \sigma \alpha s$

$[\delta \epsilon \xi \iota \tau \epsilon \rho \eta$ $\sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \eta s$ vit $\rho$ oф $\rho v o s ~ \eta] \lambda \alpha \sigma \epsilon$ X $\epsilon \iota \rho \iota$
$[\delta \rho \nu \psi \epsilon \delta \epsilon$ оь $\beta \lambda \epsilon \phi a \rho \circ \nu \quad \gamma \nu \mu \nu \eta \delta \quad v \pi \epsilon] \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \quad о \pi \omega[\pi \eta$


1180. Thucydides $v$.

$$
{ }^{1} 7.3 \times 8.4 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century }
$$

This fragment contains the lower portion of a column with slight remains of the two adjacent columns, written in sloping uncials of the third century. The shortness and horizontal position of the third stroke of the $\kappa$ are noticeable. A rather deep margin, of about $5 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~cm}$., was left at the bottom of the columns. A coronis at 1.33 probably marks the end of a chapter. The papyrus shows the antiquity of the reading $\pi \rho i \nu \eta \eta^{\eta}$ in 6I. I ; but the text is not very correct and is of comparatively small interest.

$$
\text { Col. i. (Opposite ll. } \left.9^{-\mathrm{I}} 3 .\right)
$$

$$
\Lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon] \delta \alpha \iota \quad \text { v. } 60.3
$$

[ $\mu$ оעוo८ $\tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \nu \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \alpha \quad \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ]

$\left[к \alpha \iota\right.$ Kopıv ${ }^{\prime} \iota \circ$ каı $\left.\Sigma_{l}\right] \kappa v \omega$
5 [ $\nu \iota \circ \iota$ каı Пє ${ }^{2} \lambda \eta \nu \eta s$ ка८] $\Phi[\lambda]$ !

Col. ii.

$\pi \rho o s$ [тo]vs $\Lambda a k \epsilon \delta a i[\mu o v t o v s$
$\alpha \pi \iota \in \nu \alpha \iota$ єкє $\epsilon \in v o[\nu$ avtous
каl $\pi \rho o s$ то⿱ $\delta \eta \mu \circ[\nu$ ov $\pi \rho о \sigma$
10 $\eta \gamma \circ \nu$ ßоило $\mu \in \nu 0 v[s \quad \chi \rho \eta$
$\mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \alpha \iota \pi \rho \iota \nu \quad \eta \quad M \alpha[\nu \tau \iota \nu \eta s$
$\kappa \alpha \iota H \lambda \in \iota O \iota \in \pi[\iota] \pi \alpha \rho \eta \sigma[\alpha \nu \kappa \alpha$
т $\downarrow \nu \alpha \gamma к \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ бєо $\epsilon[\nu 0 \iota$ ка८

${ }_{15} \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau 0 v \pi \alpha \rho o[\nu] \tau 0[s \in \nu \tau \epsilon$
тols $A \rho \gamma \in \iota[0 \iota] s$ к $\alpha \iota \tau 0 \iota[s \xi v \mu$
$\mu \alpha \chi[o l] s$ т $\alpha v \tau \alpha$ o[ $\tau \iota]$ оvк o[ $\rho \theta \omega s$
$\alpha \iota \sigma \pi 0 \nu \delta \alpha \iota \alpha \nu \epsilon v \tau \omega \nu \quad \alpha \lambda[\lambda \omega \nu$

```
    \xi\nu\mu\mu\alpha\alpha\chi\omega\nu \gamma\in\nuо\iotaто к[\alpha\iota
20 \nuv\nu \epsilon\nu к\alphal\rho\omega \gamma\alpha\rho \pi\alpha\rho\epsilont,
    \nu\alphal \sigma\phi\epsilon!s a\pi\tau\epsilon\sigma0\alpha\iota \chi\rho\eta!pal
    \tauov \piо\lambda\epsilon\muоv к\alpha\iota \pi\epsilon\iota\sigma\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon\varsigma
    3
    \epsilon\kappa \tau\omega\nu \lambdao\gamma\omega\nu \tauovs \xiv\mu\mu\alpha
    Xous \epsilonv0us \epsilonX\omega\rhoouv \epsilon\pi\iota O\rho
```



```
    \pi\alpha\nu\tau\epsilons \pi\lambda\eta\eta\nu A\rho\gamma\epsilont\omega\nu [0]!
    \tauо\iota \delta о\mu\omegas к\alpha\iota \pi\epsilon\iota\sigma0\epsilon\nu\tau[\epsilons
    v\pi\epsilon\lambdal\piо\nu\tauо то \pi\rho\omegaто\nu [
    \epsilon\pi\epsilon\iota\tau\alpha \delta v\sigma\tau\epsilon\rhoо\nu к\alpha\iota o[v
```

Col. iii. (Opposite 1l. 21-6.)

```
30 \tau[\eta Ma\nu\tau\iota\nu\epsilon\iota\alpha \omegas \epsilon\pi\iota T\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\alpha\nu \iotao
```

        \(\nu[\tau \epsilon S\) каı тıvєs avtols каı
    \(\alpha[\nu \tau \omega \nu T \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \alpha \tau \omega \nu \in \nu \tau \eta \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \iota \in \nu \epsilon\)
    \(\delta[\iota \delta о \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \tau \alpha \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) Иакє \(\delta \alpha \iota\)
    II. $\eta$ : so MSS. ; of H(ude) with Haase and Krüger.
12. $\epsilon \pi[t]$ : 1 . $\epsilon \tau$ yap with the MSS. yap has dropped out owing to the similarity of the first syllable of $\pi a \rho \eta \sigma a \nu$.
14. 1. A $\begin{aligned} & \text { puatoo. oi }{ }^{\prime} A \theta \eta \nu \text {. MSS. }\end{aligned}$
15. $\pi a p \rho[y] r o[s:$ Stahl's conjecture $\pi$ apóorvos is not confiumed.
16. Tols: om. MSS.

28. $v \pi \kappa \lambda \iota \pi$ гито : ілєеєітоито MSS. But in a papyrus of this type the distinction between $\epsilon t$ and $\iota$ is not likely to have been carefully observed.

то $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ о⿱ : о о. то MSS.
30-6. The relation of Col. i to Col. ii indicates that the remains of Col. iii are to be looked for at about the end of Chap. 62, a conclusion which is confirmed by the marginal
 is irregular. The $\nu$ might well be $\mu$, but that leiter cannot be worked in here, and the arrangement adopted seems to be the most probable that can be suggested.
1181. Xenophon, Anabasis vii.
$8.9 \times 6.9 \mathrm{~cm}$. Early third century.
A small fragment containing a few lines from the Auabasis, written in careful upright uncials of medium size and dating perhaps from the carlier decades of the third century. Two short dashes inclined to each other at a slight angle appear to be used as a mark of punctuation in 1.2 ; but the papyrus is broken, and the interpretation suggested is therefore uncertain.

```
    [\epsilon\iota\sigma\omega] Tov T[\epsilon\iotaXovs a\pi\etal vii. I. 40
    \epsilon\iota \sigmav\nu K\lambda\epsilon\alpha\nu\nu\delta\rho\omega\iota= o [
    \delta\epsilon Ko\iota\rhoа\tauа\delta\etas \tau\eta\iota \mu[\epsilon\nu
    \pi\rho\omega\iota\tau\eta\iota \eta\mu\epsilon\rho\alpha\iota ov> [
5 к. а\iotaк\alpha\lambda\lambdal\epsilon\rho\epsilon\iota ov\delta\epsilon \delta\ell\epsilon [
    [\mu]\epsilon\tau\rho\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu o[v\delta\epsilon\nu
```

1. The supplement is rather longer than would be expected.
2. Ko七paraồns: so the better MSS.: Ko七putáôas edd.
3. Leg. єкаллıєрєь, $\delta \iota \epsilon[\mu] \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ is the reading of the better MSS. ; $\delta \iota \epsilon \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ dett.
4. Demosthenes, De Falsa Legatione.

$$
27 \times 22.6 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Second century }
$$

To the copyist of 1093, the Contra Boeotum, are also to be referred the four well-preserved columns following from the Dc Falsa Legatione. His hand is here somewhat less inclined to cursive, but its identity is evident. The two MSS., however, were not quite uniform, for, though the columns of 1182 are of the same breadth as those in 1093, the latter are shorter, notwithstanding the greater height of the papyrus. The elaborate punctuation of 1093 is however repeated, and here too may be to some extent a later addition. On the other hand it is not clear that the corrections are by the same person in both papyri. The marginal insertion in 1093. xii. 17 , at any rate, shows no resemblance to the interlineations, e.g., at 1182. 122, 127. Perhaps more than one revisor should be distinguished in 1093. The complementary symbol at the end of short lines is more angular here than in the latter papyrus.

Textually the characteristics of 1182 are similar to those of 1093：agree－ ments with S preponderate，but the opposite scale is not left empty．No peculiar readings of moment occur ；three valueless variants are contributed by the corrector．

Col．i．

```
    \kappa\alphal \tau\eta\nu \epsilon\pia\gamma
    \gamma\epsilon\lambdaca\nu \in\piv0ov
    \tauо \tau\eta\nu тоvтоv
    к\alpha\iota \tau\alphas v\piо\sigma\chi\epsilon
5 \sigma\epsilonls. кат\alpha \pi\alpha\nu
    tas tous tpotous
    \alpha\pi\omega\lambdaо\nuто.
    \sigmaкот\epsilon\iota\tau\epsilon \gamma\alpha\rho.
    \eta\sigma\alpha\nu a\pi\iota\sigma\tauou\nu
10 tes tives avto
    0l \tau\omegal \Phil\lambda\iota\pi
    \pi\omega\iota[\kappa\alpha\iota \nu]ov\nu
    \epsilonXOV[\tau\epsilonS] OvTol
    \pi\iota\sigma\tau\epsilonv[\epsilon]l\nu v
15 \pi\eta\chi0\eta[\sigma]\alpha\nu}\cdot\mp@subsup{\delta}{l}{
    \alpha т! oт\iota [\eta\gamma]ouvto
    ov\delta \epsilon\iota \delta[\epsilon]как\iotas
    \Phii\lambdal\pi\pi[0s] \alphautous
    \epsilon\xi\eta\pia[\tau]\alpha[\iota\]ov
20 \delta\epsilon\pior\epsilon a\nu tous
    \gamma\epsilon A0\eta\nu\alpha\iota\omega\nu
    \pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta\epsilon\iota& AA\eta
    \nualous \epsilon\xia\pi\alpha
    \tau\alpha\nu \tauо\lambda\mu\eta\sigma\alphal.
2亏.'
    \lambda\eta0\eta a outos
    \alpha\pi\eta\gamma\gamma\epsiloni\lambda\epsilon\nu
    \pi\rhoos v\muas. к\alphal
```

Col．ii．
${ }_{35} \begin{gathered}x \\ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \\ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu,\end{gathered}$ $\omega \iota \circ \nu \tau 0 \cdot \alpha \lambda \lambda[\alpha$
каı toutous $\mu \alpha$［
$\lambda \alpha \kappa o u s \in \pi \circ[\eta \sigma \epsilon$
то тov Фi入ıma［ov
to viapXєІข auto［ls
$\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \eta \nu a \iota \quad$ ка ${ }^{l}$
$[\kappa \kappa \alpha \iota]$ то $\tau \alpha \nu \tau$ ‘ $\mu \eta \iota$
тоıทбovalv．$v$
$\mu a s \in \pi$ autous
$45 \eta \xi \in \iota \nu$ ous $\beta$ o
$\eta \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon l \nu$ avtol［s
$\eta \lambda \pi \iota$ §ov $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota$
vol $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ к $\alpha \iota$
$\overline{\mu \epsilon \tau} \alpha \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$
$50 \nu \mu \epsilon \iota$ ．$\omega$ וо⿱亠䒑o
$\tau ו \nu \in s \pi \epsilon \pi o \iota \eta$
$\mu \in \nu 0$ os $\tau \eta \nu$
$\pi \rho o s \quad \Phi(\lambda \iota \pi \pi o \nu$
$\epsilon\left[[] \rho \eta \nu \eta \nu^{\cdot}\right.$ тои
55 Tols otl kal tols
．avtov．
eyrovors $\tau \eta \nu$ av
$\tau \eta \nu \quad \epsilon \psi \eta \phi \iota \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon$
$\epsilon \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \cdot \omega \sigma$
$\tau \in \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi \eta$
$60 \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \quad v \mu \nu$
$\alpha \pi \sigma \nu \omega \sigma \theta \eta$
tois $\Theta \eta \beta$ alois
30 $\eta \kappa \in I \nu$ ouk av
$\tau 01\left[\begin{array}{ll}S & o\end{array}\right] \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o \nu$.
$\eta \sigma \alpha[\nu] \alpha \lambda \lambda o \iota \tau \iota \$ 54$
$[\nu] \epsilon \varsigma \quad[o l] \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \in \iota \nu$
[otlovv] к $\alpha[\iota \alpha \mu \nu$

Col. iii.
$\alpha \nu \delta \rho \alpha[\theta \nu \eta \tau о \nu$
 [тı] vas $\iota \sigma$ Хvovта

$75 \nu \eta \nu \alpha \theta \alpha \nu \alpha \tau 0 \nu$ $\sigma v \nu \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \tau \eta \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ тךs $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ $\alpha \iota \sigma \chi \nu \nu \eta \nu$ каь $\alpha$ $\pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \iota \mu \eta$ 80 $\mu 0 \nu 0 \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ K $\alpha \iota \tau \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ $\tau \eta S$ TUX $\eta S \in \in \epsilon \rho$ $\gamma \in \sigma \iota \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu$
$85 \pi о \lambda \iota \nu$. кає то $\sigma \alpha \nu \tau \eta \iota \pi \epsilon \rho t o v$ $\sigma \iota \alpha \iota X \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ торךрьая $\omega \sigma$ $\tau \in \mu \eta$ Movov
90 tous ovtas >
AOqvalous $\alpha \lambda$
$\lambda \alpha$ kal tous vate pov $\pi о \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda$
$\nu \alpha \iota$. $\delta \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \alpha \nu$ [
$\tau \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ єוS [ $\epsilon], \nu$
$\psi \eta \phi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \quad \sigma v \nu \in$ [
$6_{亏} \sigma \kappa \epsilon v \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \cdot$ о кац
$\mu \epsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau о \nu \quad \epsilon \mu о \iota$
$\gamma \in$ ठокоибı
$\alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ >
vцаs $\eta \delta \iota к \eta к є$
70 val $\tau 0 \quad \gamma \alpha \rho$ троs

Col. iv.
$105[\nu \eta \nu$ то кає Joıs
є[үरovols $\epsilon l \mu \eta$
$\tau[\alpha \iota s] \pi \alpha \rho A[\iota \sigma \chi \iota \nu o v$
$\rho \eta \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \iota[s \quad v \pi o$
$\sigma \chi \in \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu[$ тотє
110 $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \sigma \alpha \tau[\epsilon$
$\alpha \iota \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ol $\Phi[\omega$
$\kappa \in \iota S \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \sigma[\alpha \nu$
$\tau \in S \quad \alpha \pi \omega \lambda o \nu[\tau 0$
ка८ $\gamma \alpha \rho$ тоl $\pi \alpha[\rho \alpha$
115 Sovtєs $\epsilon \alpha[$ UTous
$\Phi \iota \lambda \iota \pi \pi \omega \iota[\kappa \alpha \iota$
$\epsilon к о \nu \tau \epsilon s \in[\gamma X \in L$
ploavtєs $\epsilon[K \epsilon l$
$\nu \omega \iota$ tas $\pi 0 \lambda[\epsilon \iota S$
$120 \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ [>
$\tau \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \nu \alpha \nu \tau[\omega \nu$
$\eta$.
$\omega \nu \pi \rho o s$ vuas [
$\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket u \tau 0 S \alpha \pi \eta \gamma \gamma[\epsilon \iota$
$\lambda \epsilon \nu \in \tau v \chi^{\circ}{ }^{\cdot}$ ८ [
$125^{T} \nu \alpha \delta \epsilon \iota \delta \eta \tau \epsilon \sigma \alpha$ [
$\phi \omega s$ otl $\tau \alpha u \theta$ ov [

| $\lambda$ Votas $\epsilon \sigma \epsilon$ |  |  | ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \mathrm{X}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mathrm{L}}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 入ovtas e\%t |  |  | тWs каı $\delta \iota \alpha$ тоv |
| 95 бӨaı паขтаs |  |  | Tous $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda[\omega] \lambda \in \nu$ |
| $\eta \delta \iota \kappa \eta \kappa \in \nu \alpha \iota$ |  |  | Tous Xpovous> |
| $\pi \omega s$ ovX $\chi^{\iota} \pi \alpha \nu$ |  | 130 | $v \mu \iota \nu \quad \lambda[0] \gamma \iota \sim[v \mu \alpha \iota$ |
|  | § 56 |  | $\kappa \alpha \theta$ ous $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota v[\epsilon$ |
| тоvтo TOLDU | § 56 |  | $[[\sigma]]^{\prime} \in \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \cdot \pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ |
| 100 ovסєT00 v $\mu \in L 5$ |  |  | $\omega \nu \delta \alpha \nu$ TıS $\alpha \nu$ |
| $v \pi \epsilon \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha$ |  |  | тl入є $\dagger$ тоUT $\omega \nu$. |
| $\tau \alpha \nu \quad v \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho 0[\nu]>$ |  | I 35 | $\alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha S$ ¢ $\dagger$ T $\omega \ell$ |
| $\pi \rho о \sigma \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha \iota$ |  |  | $\epsilon \mu \omega \iota$ vঠaтı> |
| $\pi \rho 0 s$ т $\quad$ ¢ $\epsilon \rho \eta$ |  |  | $\epsilon \iota \pi \alpha \tau \omega \iota \cdot \eta \omega^{*}$ |


19. The erroneous $t$ is crossed through besides having a dot placed above and apparently also beneath it.
22. $\pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota s$ tis S .
23. Bl. brackets $\mathfrak{\xi} \xi a \pi a r a ̂ v . ~$
27. $a \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ : so vulg. ; ȧ $\pi \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \mathrm{SL}, \mathrm{Bl}$. Butch.
30. ovк avtoils: so SL, Bl. ; nủx aviroîs A , Butch.
35. The purpose of the $x$ in the margin at the top of this column is not clear.
 insertion.
$v$ of $v \mu a s$ has been corrected, but was most probably the original reading. The corrector perhaps substituted $\eta$ and then changed his mind and restored the $v . \quad \eta \mu a s$ is found e. g. in O .
54. The final $\nu$ of $\epsilon[\iota] \rho \eta \nu \eta \nu$ was converted by the first hand from $\sigma$.
56. $\begin{gathered}\text { ryovots is the spelling of SL, and so B1. and Butch. avtov which has been added }\end{gathered}$ above the line is not otherwise attested.

64. бuvєбкєvafav: so $\mathrm{S}^{1} \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{Bl}$. Butch.; oîtoı $\sigma v \nu . \mathrm{L}$ vulg.
80. $\tau \omega \nu$ : so $\mathrm{SL}^{1} \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{Bl}$. Butch. ; $\pi$ apà $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ vulg.
102. The papyrus agrees with the MSS. in reading vore $\rho 0[\nu]$ which Bl. and Butch. bracket, with Weil.
115. єa[voovs: aúrous MSS.
117. є[ $\gamma \chi \epsilon \epsilon] \rho \iota \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s:$ so most MSS. and edd. ; '̇ $\gamma \chi \epsilon \iota \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ SQ.
122. The interlinear variant $\eta$, i. e. $\eta$, is novel.
123. ovtos, the corrected reading, is that of the MSS.
 of an explanatory character.
134. A high and a low stop have apparently both been written; the latter is the punctuation expected ; cf. e.g. l. 43.
1183. IsOCRATES, Trapeziticus.

$$
27.6 \times 20.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Late first century }
$$

Three columns written in a hand similar in scale and type to that of 844 (Part V, Plate vii), but showing a somewhat earlier stage of development. I should refer this example of the round upright style to the first century. As in 844 , the final letters of a line are sometimes much reduced in size in order to keep the column even, and with the same object the common angular sign is added when lines would otherwise be short. A pause in the sense is marked by a slight blank space, which may or may not be accompanied by a marginal paragraphus. A doubtful stop occurs in 1. 67.

The text is interesting on account of the strong support given to the eleventhcentury Codex Vaticanus ( $\Lambda$ ) against the older Urbinas ( $\Gamma$ ). Slight variations from both these authorities are noticeable in 11. 41, 42, and 70. My collation is based on the edition of Drerup.

## Col. i.

$\epsilon \nu \epsilon \kappa] \alpha \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu \quad \mu \circ \ell \quad \S 44$
$[\chi \alpha \rho i\} o \mu \epsilon \nu o] s \quad \tau \omega \nu \delta \epsilon$
$[\epsilon \pi] \tau \alpha \quad \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \gamma \gamma \nu$
[ $\eta \tau \eta S$ Mov $\in \gamma \iota] \nu \in \tau o \quad \eta \gamma 0 v$
5 [ $\mu \in \nu$ os $\iota \kappa \alpha \nu] \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \in$
$[\mu 0 \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau]<\nu \quad \in \chi \in \iota \nu \tau 0$
$[X \rho v \sigma \iota \circ \nu$ то $\pi] \alpha \rho \in \alpha \nu \tau \omega$
$[\kappa \in \iota \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu]$ $\omega s ~ \mu \epsilon \nu \tau 0 \iota$ $\left[\begin{array}{llll}\nu \nu \nu & \eta \nu & \epsilon \mu 0 \iota & \pi\end{array}\right] 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha$
10 $[\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \in \nu \theta \alpha \delta] \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota$
[ $\tau \alpha \nu \tau \quad \epsilon \pi \iota \quad \tau \eta$ тovтov $\tau \rho \alpha$ ]
$\left[\pi \epsilon \xi_{\eta} \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \mu\right] 0 \iota$ ка८ $>$ [ $\epsilon \kappa \quad \tau \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu] \tau \omega \nu \Pi \alpha$ $\left[\sigma \iota \omega \nu 0 s \delta^{\delta} \delta \eta \lambda\right] \omega \kappa \alpha>$
${ }^{15}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\kappa \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho \alpha & \tau \omega\end{array}\right] \nu \in \iota \delta 0[\tau \omega \nu$ [ $\alpha \kappa \eta к о \alpha \tau \epsilon]$ ठокє८ § 45 $\left[\tau \epsilon \delta \epsilon \mu о \iota \omega \alpha \nu \delta \rho\left[\epsilon s \delta_{l}\right.\right.$ 4 lines lost

Col. ii.

```
30 \sigma0\alpha\iota \epsilonv\rho\eta\sigma\epsilon\tau\epsilon \gamma\alpha\rho \tauov
    \mu\epsilon\nu \pi\alpha\tau\epsilon\rho\alpha \muov \sigma[v]\nu\epsilon\iota
    \lambda\eta\mu\mu\epsilon\nuо\nu к\alpha\iota \tau\eta\nu>
    [o]v\sigma\iota\alpha\nu \alpha\pi\alpha\sigma\alpha\nu \alphaф\epsilon\iota
    [p]\eta\mu\epsilon\nuo\nu \epsilon\muо[l] \delta ov
35[X] oov \tau\epsilon o\nu \delta\iota\alpha \tau\alphas \pi[\alpha]
    [\rhoo]u\sigma\alphas \tauv[X\alphas] ov\tau\epsilon a[v\tauov
    [ }\mu\epsilon]\nu\epsilon\iota\nu o[u\tau\epsilon \epsilonוS \tauo
    [\Pio]\nuTo\nu \epsilon[l]\sigma\pi\lambda\epsilon\epsilon\nu ка[l §46
    [\tauOL \pio\tau\epsilon]p[OV \epsilon!KOS] €\mu\epsilon
40 [\epsilon]\nu \tauo\sigmaoutous [0]\!\tau]\alpha ка
    kots \alpha\deltaıк\omegas \epsilon\pi⿺к\alpha>
    \lambda\epsilon\iota\nu \eta П\alpha\sigma\iota\omega\nu\alpha \delta\iota\alpha то
    \mu\epsilon\gamma\epsilon0os \tau\omega\nu \eta\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon
    \rho\omega\nu \sigmav\mu\phiо\rho\omega! к[\alpha|
45 \delta\iota\alpha \tauо \pi\lambda\eta\etaOs \tau\omega\nu
    \chi\rho\eta\mu\alpha\tau\omega\nu \epsilon\pi\alpha\rho0\eta
    \nu\alpha\iota к\alpha\iota \tau\eta\nu \alpha\piо\sigmaт\epsilon
```



Col. iii.
$\sigma \alpha s[\tau \eta] \nu \quad \delta[v \nu \alpha \mu \iota \nu \quad \tau \eta \nu$
$60 \epsilon \mu \eta \nu \quad \epsilon \mu[\epsilon \lambda \lambda] \epsilon \nu \quad \epsilon[\nu \theta \nu S$
$\mu \circ \iota \delta \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \alpha \rho \gamma[v \rho \iota \circ \nu$
$\alpha \lambda \lambda[o v] X$ ov $\omega \omega s[\eta \mu \omega v$
$[\epsilon \kappa \alpha] \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma s \quad \epsilon \pi[\rho \alpha \tau \tau \epsilon \nu$ $\alpha \lambda \lambda \in \iota \mathcal{\alpha} \omega \omega \nu \alpha$ к $\alpha \tau[\alpha \sigma \tau \alpha S$
$65[\omega] \mu \eta[\nu \quad \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho] \alpha$ т! $\delta[\iota \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \nu$
$[\pi] \lambda \epsilon \circ \nu \quad \epsilon \xi \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \Pi \Omega \alpha \sigma \iota[\omega \nu O s$
$\pi \alpha \rho \quad v \mu \epsilon \epsilon \nu$. o[s ov $\quad$ 的 $\epsilon \nu$
$\theta \alpha \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \alpha[\rho \epsilon$
$\sigma \kappa \in v \alpha\} \rho \mu \eta \nu \quad \delta \in \delta[\iota \omega s$
$70 \mu \eta \mu \epsilon \xi \alpha \iota \eta \sigma \eta \quad \sum \alpha \tau[v \rho o s$
$\pi \alpha \rho v \mu \omega \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda \quad \iota \alpha \mu[\eta$
$\delta \in \nu \quad \delta \iota \alpha \pi \rho \alpha \tau \tau o \mu \epsilon[\nu o s$
єXpos точт由l ката[ $\sigma \tau \alpha \iota$
$\eta \nu \omega \mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \in \tau v \gamma X^{\alpha}$
$75 \nu 0 \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu$ [ $\epsilon \nu$ $\tau \eta \iota \pi 0 \lambda \in \iota \quad \chi \rho \omega \mu \in \nu[0 S$ ка८ T८S $\alpha \nu v \mu \omega \nu \alpha \xi \iota \omega$ [ $\sigma \epsilon \iota \in \nu \quad \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \nu \alpha \iota \quad \mu[o v$ $\tau о \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \quad \mu \alpha \nu \iota \alpha \nu \kappa[\alpha \iota$
$80 \alpha \mu \alpha \theta \iota \alpha \nu \quad \epsilon \nu \theta v \mu \eta[\theta \eta$ $\nu \alpha[\iota] \delta \alpha \xi \iota o \nu \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu \omega$ [ $\alpha \nu[\delta] \rho \in S \quad \delta_{\iota K} \alpha \sigma \tau[\alpha] \iota \quad \tau \eta \nu$ $\alpha \tau о \pi \iota \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha[\iota \quad \alpha \pi \iota] \sigma[\tau \iota \alpha \nu$ $\omega \nu \in \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau о \tau \epsilon \Pi[\alpha \sigma \iota \omega \nu$
$8_{5} \epsilon \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \iota \quad \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ oт $\epsilon$
$\mu \epsilon \nu \quad \gamma \alpha \rho$ out $\omega[s] \in \pi[\rho \alpha \tau$ $\tau 0 \nu \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ov $\delta \alpha \nu$ є८ $\pi \rho o[\sigma$
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \chi \rho \bar{\omega} \sigma a \nu$ is given as a variant on iкavi$\nu$ by the last corrector of $\Gamma$.
7. єаขтш: so $\Lambda$; aíт $\Gamma$ Г, D. Bl.
9. In view of the decided tendency of the papyrus to agree with $\Lambda$, I write $\epsilon \mu \circ$ here and єкєєто in l. 12; тє́ $\mu \iota \iota$ and кєітає Г.

28. то $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \nu] \epsilon \tau о \lambda\lceil\mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ : so D. Bl. with $\Gamma$; є́тó $\lambda \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon$ тò $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu \Lambda$.
33. aфє $[\rho] \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu:$ 1. $a \phi \eta[\rho] \eta \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ with MSS.
41. єтєкалєє : є̀ $\gamma к а \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ MSS.
42. ठıa: каì סúa MSS.
47. кav: so $\Lambda$; om. D. Bl. with $\Gamma$.
49. тобоu[ $\tau]$ : тобойтоц MSS.
60. $\epsilon \mu[\epsilon \lambda \lambda] \in \nu$ : so D. with $\Lambda$; $\eta_{\mu} \mu$. $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Bl}$.

62-3. $[\eta \mu \omega \nu \epsilon \kappa а] \tau \epsilon \rho o s:$ so $\Lambda$; $\epsilon \in \alpha ́ \tau . ~ \dot{\eta \mu \omega ิ \nu ~ D . ~ B l . ~ w i t h ~} \Gamma$.
67. A doubt attaches to the supposed stop after $v \mu \epsilon \tau$, since there is a slight trace of ink between this and the following o; but to read os [ with no stop is still less satisfactory. The vestiges after $\nu$ would perhaps best suit $\omega$, but there is no variant, and $\omega$ [s does not cominend itself.


73. l. єX $\begin{aligned} & \text { pos. Cf. } 852 \text {. i. iv. } 15 .\end{aligned}$
75. $\tau \omega \nu$ : so D. Bl. with $\Lambda$; om. г.
83. кu[ı: so D. with $\Lambda$; каì $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ г pr., Bl.


87. $\epsilon$ : om. Г.

The unplaced fragment, if the angular sign is right, must come from the end of a line ; but the reading is far from secure.

## 1184. PSEUDO-Hippocrates.

$$
32.2 \times 22.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Early first century }
$$

One complete column. inscribed on the verso of 1210 in a rather large and clear cursive hand, which is probably of the reign of Tiberius, if not of Augustus, and could not be placed later than the middle of the first century. A document with which this papyrus was found is dated A. D. 24-5. 1184 is thus much the oldest MS. authority for these Pseudo-Hippocratean letters, being considerably earlier than the two Berlin papyri published by Kalbfleisch in Berliner Klassikertexte, III. pp. 5-9. And it possesses several unique features. As originally written, Ep. 3 (ll. I-IO) was shortened at the end, the ordinary termination being appended as an adscript. Ep. 4 (ll. II-I6) appears in a double shape, a greatly compressed version of the longer form, and the shorter form which is found in a group of mediaeval MSS. and is here added in the margin ; P. Berlin 7094 has
only the shorter form. Between Ep. 4 and Ep. 5 three editorial lines (11. 17-19) are inserted which do not occur elsewhere. Of Ep. 5, of which in P. Berlin 7094 the shorter form follows the longer, the shorter form, with some peculiar variations, is alone given (11. 20-7). Lastly, whereas in both the Berlin papyri Ep. 5 is immediately followed by Ep. 11, in 1184 there succeeds (1l. 28-33) a letter to Gorgias which apparently occurs nowhere else but has coincidences of phraseology with Ep. 6, which is addressed to Demetrius. The papyrus illustrates afresh the instability of the tradition regarding these letters.

The hand of the alterations and additions is probably not to be distinguished from that of the body of the text, and is at any rate contemporary. For the collation given below, Littrés edition has been used.

$\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon$ єs $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \alpha$ ws $\tau \alpha \chi$ оS оть ка८ $\pi \rho \rho \sigma$ форך каl $\epsilon \sigma \theta \eta \tau \iota$ к $\alpha \iota$ оוкך $\sigma \epsilon l$ каl $\pi \alpha \sigma \eta$ т $\eta$

2．5 $\sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ o入 $\beta_{\omega t}$ ov $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega t$ є $\pi \alpha v \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha t$ ov $\delta \epsilon \pi \alpha v$ $\epsilon \iota \nu \beta \alpha \rho \beta \alpha \rho o u s$ a $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi$ ous vovo $\omega \nu$ єк $\theta$ pous єоעтаs E入入 $\eta \nu \omega \nu$
Iттократทs Горүıа $\tau \omega$ фı $\lambda \tau \alpha \tau \omega \pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ X $\alpha \iota \rho \iota \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \iota$ vylàvıl $\beta \alpha \sigma l \lambda \epsilon \cup S$ ○ $\Pi_{\epsilon \rho \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha$
30 $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \eta \mu \epsilon \alpha s \in \beta$ ou入 $\eta \theta \eta$ $\epsilon \pi \iota$ хрибшь $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \quad \alpha \rho \gamma v \rho \omega \iota \iota \pi \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon \iota} \alpha \gamma \nu 0 \omega \nu$ от८入оубо $\epsilon \mu о$ о $\sigma о ф \iota \eta ~ к є \chi \rho \eta \mu є \nu о s ~ \chi \rho v \sigma o v ~$ $\mu \epsilon \xi^{\circ} \nu \alpha \delta^{\delta} \nu \alpha \mu \nu \nu \in \chi \in \iota$

2．Yoтavך：so CDHIKb：Yotaveє others，Littré，and 1.2 i below． रaıьı ：so CDHJгb；om．vulg．
4．$\epsilon t s$ ：so $v$ ；द＇s others，Littré．



5－6．кає apyopov is omitted in the MSS．and P．Berl．CFGHIJKb have xpuaiov．опобоу is also the spelling of CD ，and $\tau a \lambda \lambda a$ of $\mathrm{K}_{o}$ ；óкóvov and $\tau \dot{a} \not{ }^{a} \lambda \lambda a$ others．

7．єav $\sigma \pi a \nu \iota \zeta \zeta \eta$ ：so $\phi$ with ${ }^{\circ} \nu$ for $\epsilon a \nu$ ；$\sigma \pi a v i \zeta \epsilon \iota$ others，Littré．
$\pi \rho o s: ~ s o ~ C H \phi b ; ~ ' ̀ s ~ o r ~ \epsilon i s ~ o t h e r s . ~$

9．The interlinear insertion brings the papyrus into agreement with the ordinary text，
 into the lacuna after $\phi i \lambda o v$ ．Sioov oûv is unattested．

тıs：so oбтф $\psi$ ：тıs êg̃ev others，Littré．
 in P．Berl．7094．The latter has，however，$\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \rho \rho \omega \sigma o$ which 1184 omits with oтvф．

$\iota \eta \tau \rho \iota \iota$ K $\omega \omega \iota$ ：so $\phi$ ；$\imath \tau \tau \omega$ P．Berl． 7094 ，om．others．
12．$\epsilon^{\gamma} \gamma \boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \iota$ ：á $\pi о \gamma o ́ v \omega$ MSS．
кає vyıavı ：om．MSS．





The marginal adscript coincides with the shorter form of the letter，except for the
 papyrus agrees with $\phi$ P．Berl．in omitting o before $\beta$ avı $\lambda \epsilon \nu s$ and placing $\iota \nu a$ before кãa $\tau a \chi o s$, and with $\phi$ in reading $\sigma o v$ for $\sigma \epsilon \sigma$ ，and $\pi \epsilon \pi о \mu \phi a$ for $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a$ ．For $\epsilon$ ß Baб亢入єa P．Berl．


20．$а \pi о$ ．．．Абк $\eta \pi a \square \delta \epsilon \omega \nu$ ：om．MSS．




оть: ঠıтє CDGHIJK.


 others.
 $\| \epsilon \rho \sigma \epsilon \in \omega \nu(\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \omega \bar{\omega})$ ס̀є ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \beta$ ßov others and P. Berl. 6934.
$\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega \iota: ~ \mu о \iota ~ \theta^{\prime} \mu \iota$ MSS., including P. Berl. 7094. ii and 6934.



27. єоутаs: so отvфұ: ínápхоутas other MSS., P. Berl. 6934. The papyrus agrees with $\tau \nu \phi \psi$ in omitting $\stackrel{\mu}{\epsilon} \rho \rho \omega \sigma o$ which other MSS. and P. Berl. add after E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \omega \nu$.

28-33. The text of Ep. 6, the phraseology of which is reflected in $11.3^{2-3}$ is:



# IV. DOCUMENTS OF THE ROMAN AND EARLY BYZANTINE PERIODS 

## (a) OFFICIAL.

## 1185. Letter of a Praefect, etc.

Though the writer of this papyrus was merely amusing himself or practising his hand, its contents are of some interest. On the recto sentences have been copied out from four distinct documents : (I) the commencement of a petition from Ammonion to the praefect Magnius Felix Crescentillianus (11. I, 2, 4) ; (2) a letter, or part of one, from the same praefect to the strategi of the Heptanomia relating to the offices of gymnasiarch and agoranomus (11. 3, 5-8); (3) a proverbial saying (1l. IO-I2); (4) the opening formula of a letter from Ammonion to Diogenis (1. I3). On the verso is a partial copy of another letter from Felix to the strategi of the Heptanomia and Arsinoïte nome, referring to their failure to pay the proceeds of the eight-drachma tax, which had been made over to him, and ordering the centurions in the nomes to go to Alexandria in order to celebrate 'the Emperor's festival '.

The papyrus bears no datc, but apparently belongs to a period of joint rule (1.21), and since it was accompanied by a document of the reign of Septimius Severus, it is most probably to be referred to the time of his association with Caracalla, a date which suits the handwriting. In the list of praefects most of those years are already accounted for, but there is a blank between 197 and 201, and to this Magnius Felix Crescentillianus, who is not otherwise known, may be conveniently referred. The tax of eight drachmae, the name of which occurs here for the first time, is evidently the same as that which in 916 and one or two other texts is represented by the abbreviation $\eta \int$ or $\eta^{+}$. 918 shows that it was levied upon land per aroura, and that the praefect Aemilius Saturninus, who may have been the immediate predecessor of Felix, had issued instructions regarding it ; possibly his interest was of a similar direct kind. What the centurions had to do with this is not clear. Military officers are not ordinarily associated with the collection of taxes, but the special circumstances of this impost may have rendered their co-operation desirable (cf. Wilcken, Ost. i. 62I).

Recto.



'A $\mu \mu \omega \nu i ́ \omega \nu$ оs то̂ каi каi как.
万 $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma v \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha \rho \chi \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\alpha \gamma о \rho \alpha \nu о \mu \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$


 как каí
 $\alpha{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \alpha s$ є́ $\pi \iota \tau \rho \omega ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, ó $\psi \alpha \rho i ́ o v ~ \mu \eta े ~ \theta \iota \nu \gamma \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$,
 Х $\alpha i ̂ \rho \epsilon$, кирía $\mu$ оv $\Delta \iota \omega \gamma \in \nu i ́ s, ~ ' A \mu \mu \omega \nu i ́ \omega \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon \pi \rho \circ \sigma-$

Verso.
Má $\gamma \nu$ los $\Phi \hat{\eta} \lambda[\iota] \xi$ $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma o i ̂ s$

iтоv Х $\alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu . \quad \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \iota \nu$
$\dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$ $\theta$ Є́ $\lambda \omega$ ö $\tau \iota$ тò $\mu \grave{\mu} \nu$
ảpyúpıov $\tau \eta ิ S \kappa \alpha \lambda$ оv

$\mu \in ́ v \eta s$ óкт $\alpha \delta \rho \alpha ́ \chi \mu о \nu$<br>$20 \sigma v\langle\nu\rangle \epsilon \chi{ }^{\omega} \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$<br>oi $\theta \in เ$ ót $\alpha$ тоו $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s ~ к \alpha i$<br><br>$\mu^{\prime} \chi \chi \rho \iota$ тои́тou. єi oủv oi є́к $\alpha\{\nu\}$ -<br>$\tau о \nu \tau \alpha ́ \rho \chi \propto \stackrel{\mu \epsilon}{ }{ }^{\prime} \chi \rho[\iota] \pi о \lambda-$<br>${ }_{2} 5$ 入ov̂ '่ $\nu$ тoîs тómous $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$<br><br>$\sigma \alpha \nu$ '่ $\nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \lambda[\alpha] \mu \pi \rho о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta$<br><br>т $̀ \nu$ той $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega s$ є́opт $̀ \nu$<br><br>

10. Second $\epsilon$ of $\epsilon \sigma \theta \iota \epsilon \omega$ inserted above the line.
11. $1 . \delta i \delta i o v$.
12. 13. Diozevs.
1. 2. $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$.
' To Magnius Felix Crescentillianus, praefect of Egypt, supplication from Ammonion also called . . '
' Magnius Felix to the strategi of the Heptanomia, greeting. I have assigned to the most high epistrategi the appeals concerning the offices of gymnasiarch and agoranomus, and an edict has been published concerning this in the most illustrious city of Alexandria.'
'A little boy must eat bread, nibble besides some salt, and not touch the sauce; but if he asks for wine, give him your knuckles.'
' Greeting, lady Diogenis, I, Ammonion, address you.'
'Magnius Felix to the strategi of the Heptanomia and the Arsinoïte nome, greeting. I would have you know that the most divine sovereigns granted to me the money from the so-called eight-drachma tax, and you have not yet up to the present made any payment. If then the centurions are in your districts for long, let them attend with speed at the most illustrious city of the Alexandrians and celebrate the festival of the sovereign. Otherwise, if any one disobeys this my order ...'

1-8. Lines 3 and $5^{-8}$ as far as 'A $\lambda_{\epsilon} \xi a v \delta \rho \in i a s$ were first written ; the letters of $11, \mathbf{1 - 2 , 4 ,}$ and 8 (from коиঠ́u $\langle\lambda]$ ous) -r 3 , though by the same hand, are larger and heavier. Ammonion was perhaps the actual writer ; cf. l. i3.

5-6. The meaning apparently is that the decision in certain cases concerning the offices in question had been delegated by the praefect to the epistrategi.

10-12. The third of these iambic lines is known as a proverb from Suidas and the paroemiographi. Diogenianus and Zenobius give it in the form ầ oivov aitî, кóvoùdov aủtê סiôov, but Suidas, s. v. âv oivov, rightly has кovóvious, as in the papyrus; cf. Schol. Aristoph. Pax 123, Plutarch, An virtus doceri potest, 2 ( 439 d ) $\pi a \iota o ̀ o ̀ s ~ o ́ \psi o ф a \gamma o v ̂ \nu t o s ~ o ́ ~ \Delta ı o y e ́ v \eta s ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$
 but looks more like $\mu$ than anything else. Metre is easily restored by writing $\langle\tau\rangle \dot{\partial} \nu \pi a \hat{i} \delta a \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ тòv $\mu$ ккрóv, with $\hat{\mu} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ oivov in l. 12.
13. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma a y o \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$ was no doubt the word intended; cf. c. g. 528. 2.

17-20. For this appropriation of the proceeds of a tax to the praefect of. the ímокеінеva imıoтpar $\begin{aligned} \text { ria \&c. as rightly explained by Martin, Épistratèges, pp. } 137 \text { sqq. }\end{aligned}$
29. The festival was perhaps the customary celebration of the emperor's birthday. Since the date was known and was still some little time distant, a celebration in honour of the accession of Caracalla, who became full emperor between Nov. a. D. 197 and May A. D. I98 (cf. 910 introd.) is less likely to be meant, though it would fit in well enough with the supposed date of this papyrus.
31. ठıaтá-[ $\gamma \mu a r \iota$.
1188. EDICT OF A PraEses.

$$
14.4 \times 6.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century } .
$$

The recto of this papyrus contains parts of sixteen lines of a late third-century account. On the verso, written in a clear semi-cursive hand probably of the first half of the fourth century, is part of an edict of Aurelius Herodes, praeses of the Thebaïs, directed against the use of the whip (iцávтєs) in the punishment of free men. For slaves, he says, this is permitted, though to be deprecated; but for the free it is illegal. In their case the proper instrument, according to Roman law, was the fustis, as opposed to the flagellum, and even this came to be regarded as out of place for persons of superior station. Cf. Dig. xlviii. 19. Io ex quibus causis liber fustibus caeditur, ex his servus flagellis caedi et domino reddi inbetur, I9. 28 non ommes fustibus caedi solent, sed hi dumtaxat qui liberi sunt et quidem tenuiores homines: honestiores vero fustibus non subiciuntur, idque principalibus rescriptis spccialiter exprimitur; Mommsen, Strafrccht, pp. 983 sqq.

$\Theta_{\eta} \beta \alpha i ̈ \partial o s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ тò $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ठì̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ i $\mu \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \lambda \eta$ -





 [ 33 letters ]. $\underset{\alpha}{\alpha \tau \epsilon}$
 7. $\ddot{\beta} \beta \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ Pap.
' Edict of Aurelius Herodes, most honourable praeses of the Thebaid. Subjection to the punishment of scourging, called in the native speech . .., is even for those of servile
estate lamentable though not entirely forbidden；but for free men to be submitted to such an outrage is contrary to the laws and an injustice ．．．＇
$2-3$ ．The first letter of 1.3 may be $\gamma, \tau$ ，or possibly $\sigma$ ，and between the $\iota$ and $\omega$ there is a space and a small hole in the papyrus，but there is no trace of ink and it is not certain that any letter is lost．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \omega \rho i \omega s$ naturally suggests that the preceding word is Egyptian， but Mr．Griffith，whom I have consulted，does not recognize it．If $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \omega \rho \dot{\omega} \omega s$ is reconcileable with a Latin term，$\lambda_{\eta \tau a \rho i \omega \nu}$ might stand for lethalium；$\lambda \eta \gamma a \rho i \omega \nu=$ legalium is a less likely epithet．Nitteis suggests a connexion with the late word ligaria；cf．Du Cange s．v．In P．Leipzig 40．iii． 20 the scourge used for a slave is called buneura．The reference of the words $\epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \in v \theta^{\prime} \dot{f}$ ous $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \dot{v} \pi \tau \eta \tau \in$ in the next line there is obscure ；cf．the note on p． 132.

## 1187．Proclamation of a Strategus．

$$
2 \mathrm{I} \cdot 5 \times 7.2 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 254
$$

A notice issued by the strategus Aurelius Posidonius summoning the in－ habitants of those quarters of the city upon which devolved the liturgies for the coming year to meet for the nomination of a phylarch．This functionary，who is rarely mentioned，had duties similar to those of the amphodogrammateus，and is perhaps the same official under a different title；cf．1118，and the fourth－century Leipzig papyrus cited by Wilcken，Chrestomathie，p． 67 ．His business was to submit the names of persons suitable for the various public offices．Hence it was of much importance to those liable that the man entrusted with that power should be honest and impartial ；and this，it is interesting to find，was recognized to the extent of giving them the right of election．On the verso there are parts of six short lines of an account．

```
    A\dot{v}\rho\etá\lambdalos По\sigma\epsiloni\delta\omega-
    \nulos \sigma\tau\rho\alpha(\tau\eta\gammaoेs) 'O\xi{v\rhov\gamma\chi(íTov).
    \pi\alpha\rho\alpha\gamma\gamma\epsiloń\lambda\lambda\epsilon\tau\alphal \tauоîs
    \alpha<\pì̀ \tau\hat{\omega}\nu \mu\epsilon\lambda\lambdaóv\tau\omega\nu
э \lambda\epsilon\iota\tauоv\rho\gammaєîv т臽 \epsiloni\sigmalóv-
    \taul '̇T\epsilon\iota \alpha \mu }
    \epsilon\lambda0\epsilon[\imath]}\nu\quad\sigma\tilde{\eta}\mu\epsilon\rhoо\nu \epsilon'
```




```
10 \tau\alpha\iota \phiú\lambda\alpha\rho\chio\nu oै[\nu]\tau\alpha
    \epsilonй\piоро\nu к\alphai ध́\pi\iota\tau\etá\deltaє\iota-
    ov к\alpha\tau\grave{\alpha}\tau\grave{\alpha}}\kappa\epsilon\lambda\inv
```



रúvaбӨaı aủtòv
тô X $\rho^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} \nu o v ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu-$
тos $\dot{u} \gamma \iota \omega ิ s ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$
${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \tau i \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$


Kаıб人́ $\omega \nu$ Поит入íov
Aiklvuíov
Óva入єpıavov̂ кaì
${ }_{25}$ Пout入iov Иıкı［ $\left.\nu\right]$ víou
Oن̉a入єрıа $о \hat{v}$

Eùvu入领 $\Sigma[\epsilon \beta \alpha] \sigma \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$

$15 \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu, \pi \rho[\grave{o}] s$ тò

$$
\text { 12. } \tau \text { of } \tau a \text { blotted. } \quad 13 . \ddot{̈} \pi \sigma \text { Pap. }
$$

＇From Aurelius Posidonius，strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome．Notice is given to the inhabitants of the quarters about to serve in the coming year to assemble to－day at the accustomed place and to name whomever they choose as phylarch，being a person of means and suited for the post，in accordance with the orders of those who constituted the appointed office（？），in order that when the time comes he may be able to perform the duty honestly and faithfully．Signed by me．The first year of the Emperors and Caesars Publius Licinius Valerianus and Publius Licinius Valerianus Gallienus Pii Felices Augusti，Pauni 26．＇

I sqq．This is the usual formula in notices promulgated by the strategus ；cf．e．g． B．G．U．7．i． 18 ．

6．For the ${ }^{\alpha} \mu ф о \delta a$ in this connexion cf．1119． 6 ，note．
9．òopá⿱亠䒑⿱⺊口灬 seems to have been the word intended，but what precisely stands in the papyrus is doubtful．Perhaps ovouat was originally written and then amended by the insertion of $a \sigma$ ．The sense at any rate is evident．
${ }^{1} 3^{-1} 5 . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ldots \sigma v \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu$ is an obscure phrase．áло́тактоs is commonly applied to
 1124．5，P．Fay．39．17．But ánóтактò here can hardly be the salary of the phylarch，who was probably unpaid．In 34．i． 2 the word is employed of officials，［rois àm］oráктoıs $\pi \rho a[\gamma \mu a-$ тєv］onévous；cf．P．Leipzig 28．7，P．Flor．7I． 722 àmotakтıкós．Hence I suggest that rò àтóvaктò is＇the appointed office＇of phylarch，a sense which combines well with $\kappa \in \lambda \in v \sigma \theta$ évia． It does not seem likely that oi tò àmót．бvят．could mean the persons constituting the whole body of those liable to $\lambda$ etrovpría．

21 sqq．This date confirms the view taken of 1119．5 and 30 ，where Mesore of the year 254 is still attributed to the Galli．The dating of that papyrus is clearly abnormal．

## 1188．Official Correspondence．

$$
3^{2.6} \times 26 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 13 .
$$

This correspondence relates to a proposed sale of some persea－wood and acacia－wood which had no private owner and so belonged to the iòıos $\lambda o{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} o s$. The series of letters begins with the application of the purchaser，Didymus，to the idiologus Quintus Attius Fronto（11．18－26；cf．721），who forwarded it to the basilicogrammateus of the nome with instructions to verify details and value the wood（11．14－17）．The correspondence then descended in the usual way from the basilicogrammateus to the topogrammateus（11． $7-13$ ）and from the latter to the comogrammateus（Il．2－6），upon whom devolved the business of supplying the information required by the idiologus ；cf．e．g．P．Amh．68．Acacia－trees occur in a similar connexion in 1112：a dead persea was the subject of 53 ；cf． C．P．Herm．7．ii． 28 ，iii． 7.
[. .] $K є \rho \kappa \in \dot{v}(\rho \omega \nu) \kappa \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}(\delta o \iota) \gamma$.

7 2nd hand. $\left.\Delta l o \sigma \kappa o v \rho i ́ \delta \eta s, \sum \alpha \rho \alpha \pi i \omega \nu t ~ \tau o \pi o\right) \gamma \rho(\alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon i) \tau \hat{\eta} s \mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma \eta(s) \tau 0(\pi \alpha \rho \chi i \alpha s)$ $\kappa \alpha i ~ \Pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \rho \epsilon \iota\{s\} \quad \kappa \omega(\mu o) \gamma \rho(\alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon i ̂) \quad K \epsilon \rho \kappa \epsilon \dot{\prime}(\rho \omega \nu)$ каi $\Delta \iota \nu \nu v \sigma i(\omega)$ $\kappa \omega(\mu) \gamma \rho(\alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath}) \Pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu \omega े \chi^{\alpha i} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$. $\tau о \hat{v}$



 $\nu 0 \mu \mu^{\prime}(\nu o u s)$

 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad$ € $\pi$ ’ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta(\theta \epsilon i ́ \alpha s) \dot{\alpha} \xi i \alpha \nu$
 $\mu \eta \delta(\grave{(\grave{\nu}}) \quad \dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu 0 \eta(\theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota) \quad \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ трòs $\chi \alpha ́(\rho \iota \nu)$ oikovo $\eta \eta(\theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota)$, $\dot{s}$ т $\pi$ òs $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha}(s) \tau o \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{p} \tau(\hat{\omega} \nu) \dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \sigma \eta(\theta \in ́ 匕 \nu \tau \omega \nu)$ 入óy(ov) $\sigma v \sigma \tau \alpha(\theta \eta \sigma o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v)$.
(Ётous) $\mu \beta$ K $\alpha$ í $\sigma \alpha \rho o s ~ M \epsilon \chi(\epsilon i \rho)$ )
${ }_{13}$ isióou $\lambda$ ó $\left.\gamma \gamma 0\right]$.
$M \epsilon \chi(\epsilon i \rho) \quad$.

 yєyovvía
 $\delta \eta \lambda o u ́(\mu \in \nu \alpha) \xi u ́ \lambda \alpha, \dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{\eta} \xi \eta \rho a ̀ ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ a ́ \delta \epsilon ́ \sigma \pi о \tau \alpha ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ o ́ \phi \epsilon i ́(\lambda о \nu \tau \alpha) ~ \epsilon i s ~ i ́ \delta ı o(\nu) ~$

 $\tau \circ \hat{v} \mu \eta \delta(\epsilon ̀ \nu) \dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu o \eta(\theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota)$,

18 Koìvт $\omega \iota$ 'A $\tau$ тímı $\Phi$ ро́vт $\omega \nu \iota$

 ó $\phi \in i \lambda o \nu \tau(\alpha)$




 $\Pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu \grave{\omega} \tau \hat{\eta} s \alpha(\dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta} s) \tau 0(\pi \alpha \rho \chi i \alpha s) \epsilon ้ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \iota \quad i \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega \iota} \tau 0 \hat{v}{ }^{\prime \prime} A \mu \mu \omega \nu o s$







 $\tau о i ̂ s \quad \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha(\tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota)$. $\gamma \rho \alpha \phi \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \iota \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \quad \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \iota \kappa(\hat{\omega}) \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha(\tau \epsilon i) \epsilon i s \notin \pi i-$


 $\mu \beta$ Kаí $\alpha \rho о$ о $M \epsilon \chi(\epsilon i \rho) \kappa \delta$.

## 4. 1. $\lambda$ ó ${ }^{2}$ ov.

Kerkeura, three branches.
'Sarapion to Peteuris, comogrammateus of Kerkeura, greeting. A copy is appended of the document sent to me by Dioscurides, basilicogrammateus. Go therefore to the objects specified as concerning Didymus son of Heracleides, namely a branch of a live persea-tree at the Thoëreum of Osorphnas, and two dry branches of a living persea-tree in
the temple of Harpebekis at the tomb of the sacred animals, and see whether they are dry and ought to be appropriated by the privy purse in accordance with the tariff, add the true value with a signed declaration and report clearly, making it your aim that nothing be concealed or done by favour, knowing that you will be held accountable in any inquiry concerning facts that remain unknown. The 42 nd year of Caesar, Mecheir 24.
'Dioscurides to Sarapion, topogrammateus of the middle toparchy, and to Peteuris, comogrammateus of Kerkeura, and Dionysius, comograminateus of Peënno, greeting. A copy is appended of the document delivered to us from the person below written in accordance with the instructions of Quintus Attius Fronto, controller of the privy purse. In furtherance of the object stated go to the branches and acacia-trees indicated and see whether they are dry and ought to be appropriated by the privy purse in accordance with the tariff, add the true value with a signed declaration and report clearly, making it your aim that nothing be concealed or done by favour, knowing that you will be held to account for facts that remain unknown. The 42 nd year of Caesar, Mecheir 9.
'For the privy purse. Signed by me, Dioscurides. The 42 nd year of Caesar, Necheir 9.
'To the basilicogrammateus of the Oxyrhynchite nome. Appended is a copy of the memorandum presented to me from Didymus son of Heraclides, with the endorsement made below it. Go then to the logs therein stated and see if they are dry and have no owner and ought to be appropriated by the privy purse in accordance with the tariff, and after learning their condition and adding the true value furnish a report, making it your aim that nothing be concealed, knowing that you will be held accountable. The 42 nd year of Caesar, Mecheir 9.
' To Quintus Attius Fronto from Didymus son of Heraclides. I wish to purchase in the Oxyrhynchite nome from the privy purse some dried logs which have no owner and ought to be appropriated by the privy purse in accordance with the tariff, namely at the village of Kerkeura in the middle toparchy in the Thoëreum of Osorphnas a single branch of a small persea-tree, dried and worth six drachmae, and in the temple of Harpebekis on the tomb of the sacred animals two dried branches of a living persea-tree worth two drachmae, and at the village of Peënno in the same toparchy in the temple of Ammon a dried branch of a living persea-tree worth two drachmae, and near the same village in the holding of Melanthius in the cutting made in the great dyke two fallen acacia-trees worth eight drachmae, total value is drachmae, and I will give no trouble with regard to . . . nor to anything else at all, if it seems good to you to give instructions to the secretaries that on my paying as the price the aforesaid 18 drachmae of silver I may receive the proper authorization.
'To the secretaries. Let a letter be written to the basilicogrammateus for an inspection. The 42 nd year of Caesar, Mecheir 9.
' Read by me. The 42 nd year of Caesar, Mecheir 9.
'To the comogrammateus. Inspect and report. The 42 nd year of Caesar, Mecheir 24.'

1. The number of $\kappa \lambda$ áoo in this marginal note is three because only those at Kerkeura are counted. A parallel document was no doubt sent to the comogrammateus of Peënno, the other village concerned (1.22).


 P. Tebt. 5. 70. Osorphnas, in whom a deified ammal is probably to be recognized (cf. Wilcken, Grundzuige, pp. 105-6), is apparently new.

$\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu o \nu a$ : the $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \omega \nu$ of the " $\bar{\delta}$ os $\lambda$ ágos is mentioned in the edict of Ti. Iulius Alexander, C. I. G. $4957=$ Dittenberger, Or. Gr. Inscr. 669.44 ; cf. P. Tebt. 287.5 note, B. G. U. III8.45, Wilcken, Grundzuige, p. 210.
2. For $\pi \rho o \dot{s} \chi^{a}(\rho \omega v)$ cf. P. Amh. 68. 10; the lacuna at the beginning of that line
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu ~ к \tau \lambda ., ~ p r e c e d e d ~ b y ~ a ~ v e r b ~ s u c h ~ a s ~ \pi \rho o \sigma a \nu \epsilon \nu \dot{\nu} \gamma \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ or $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau \epsilon$. At the end of the line I am unable to read any abbreviation of $\lambda$ óyov ; the suspended $\eta$ is fairly clear, and the preceding letter can well be $\zeta$.


3. As Wilcken remarks, ék tô̂ iôiov $\lambda o ́ \gamma(o v)$ may be restored on this analogy in 721. 3 . Seppius Rufus, the idiologus there concerned, was no doubt the successor of Fronto.
${ }^{25} 5-6$. Cf. the conclusion of 835 quoted in the note on 731. 14-15.
$27-8$. These lines contain the endorsement of the idiologus. The day of the month must apparently be $\theta$, not $\epsilon$, and if this is correct, Fronto must have been at Oxyrhynchus or in the immediate neighbourhood.
4. The identity of the date with that in 1.6 indicates that this line emanated from the topogrammateus; the hand, however, is not the same as in II. I-6, which were probably written by his secretary.
5. Letter of a Strategus.

$$
16.6 \times \text { I } 3.1 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { About A. D. II } 7 .
$$

This letter, of which the conclusion is lost, from the strategus of the neighbouring Heracleopolite nome to Apollonius, strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, relates to a $\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ or schedule of 'property which belonged to the Jews'. The large upright handwriting cannot be later than about the beginning of the second century, and since an Apollonius is known from 74 and 97 to have held the office of strategus in A. D. II6 it is highly probable that the papyrus belongs to the period of the great Jewish outbreak which occurred in the previous year, and was not ended until after the accession of Hadrian. Confiscations would be the natural consequence, and it was doubtless with some of the property thus forfeited that the $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta$ of the text was concerned. For the papyri referring to these disturbances cf. Wilcken, Grundziige, pp. 64-5.

The document was the forty-fourth of a series made up into a roll in the bureau of the strategus. Of the one adjoining it on the left the ends of a few lines remain
 fragment from the commencement of another letter from Aquilius Polion most likely belongs to this.

\[

\]

```
    \sigma\tau\rhoa\tau\eta\gamma\omegaิ\iota 'O\xiv\rhov\gamma\chiєí[0]v
        \tau\omegâ\iota \phi\iota\lambda\tau\alphá\tau\omega\iota \chi\alphaí\rho\epsilon\iota.
    \epsiloṅ\pi\iota\sigma\tauo\lambdaàs \deltaúo às \epsilon̈\gamma\rhoa\psia
    \eta}\nu \mu\hat{\epsilon}\nu \sigmaoì \hat{\eta}\nu \delta\grave{\epsilon} \sum\alpha\beta\epsiloniv
    \sigma\tauрат\eta\gamma\hat{̣}}\mathrm{ Kvvoподєíтov
    \pi\epsilon\rhoì \gamma\rhoa\phi\hat{\} \tau\hat{\omega}\nu \tauoîs ['I]ov\deltaai
10 ols \dot{v\pi\alpha\rho\xi\alphá\nu\nu\tau\omega\nu K[\alphai \alphaủ\tau\etaे\nu}
```



```
    ко\mu\iota\sigma\alphá\mu\epsilon\nuоя каi т\grave{\nu}
    \muèv \sigmaoì iк\nuov\muév\eta\nu ката-
    \sigma\chi⿳亠凶禸}\nu \tau\grave{\eta}\nu \delta\grave{\epsilon}\mathrm{ єis Tòv Kvvo.
15 \pio\lambda\epsilonít\eta\nu \delta\iota\alpha\pi\epsilon\mu\psi\alphá\mu\epsilon-
    [vos
```

On the verso

＇No． 44.
＇Aquilius Polion，strategus of the Heracleopolite nome，to his dearest Apollonius， strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome，greeting．Kindly receive two letters which I have written，one to you and one to Sabinus，strategus of the Cynopolite nome，about a list of property which belonged to the Jews，with the list itself，and keep the letter coming to you and forward the other to the Cynopolite nome ．．．（Addressed）To Apollonius，strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome．＇

1180．Letter of a Strategus．

$$
26.7 \times 14.5 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

A．D． $347^{\circ}$
A letter from the strategus to two praepositi of a pagus informing them that the commander－in－chief had ordered the recruits to proceed to Babylon and directing the praepositi to assist in carrying out the order．It was intended to add a list of the recruits，but this was not completed．Cf．1022， 1103.

```
    \Phi\lambda\alphaov́\iotao[s . . . . . \sigma\tauр]\alpha\tau\eta\gammaòs 'O\xi\xiv\rhov\gamma\chi(í\tauov)
    \Phi\lambda\alphaoví\omega \Pi[. . ... к\alphai] Av`\rho\eta\lambdaí\omega \Theta'́\omega\nul
```




```
5 \deltaovкòs Ф\lambdauovío[v . . . . .]\tauí\muov \pi\rhoо\sigma\epsilońт\alpha\xiєv
```

Toùs тip $\omega \nu \alpha[s \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda] \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ єis т $\eta \nu \quad B \alpha \beta \nu \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha$.
$\sigma \pi o v \delta \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \tau[\epsilon$ oủ $\nu, \alpha \dot{\alpha}] \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o i ́$, тoùs $\delta \eta \mu 0-$
oíous $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \xi\left[\right.$. . . . . . . . . .] '่ $\pi \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u s$


$\pi o ́ \lambda[\epsilon \omega s$ каі є́тоц $\mu \alpha ́ \sigma] \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ [ $\tau] \eta ̀ \nu \tau[0]$ и́т $\omega \nu$

2nd hand $\quad \epsilon \in] \rho[\omega[\omega \theta] \alpha i \sigma \in[\epsilon \mathcal{U}] \times \not \subset \mu \alpha \iota$, $\alpha^{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \epsilon$.



3rd hand $\quad$ í⿱íi $\delta^{\prime}$.
$\sum_{\alpha} \alpha \rho \alpha \pi i ́ \omega \nu\{o s\} X \alpha \iota \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu o \nu o s$
20

'Flavius . . ., strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, to his brothers Flavius P . . . and Aurelius Theon, praepositi of the fifth pagus, greeting. His highness my lord the most honourable dux Flavius . . .timus has ordered the recruits to be dispatched to Babylon. Take care therefore, my brothers, that the officials of the . . . produce the recruits for which they are severally responsible as hereinafter declared and assemble them at the city and prepare for their dispatch, in order that there may be no hindrance. I pray for your health, brother,' Date.

1. $\sigma \tau \rho] a \tau \eta \gamma$ ós: cf. 1057. 2, note; Wilcken, Grundzïge, p. 77.
2. For á $\delta \in \lambda]$ poîs cf. 11.7 and 14. A vestige above the line suits the top of a $\phi$, and roîs фı入tátoıs is too long, even if $\pi a ́ \gamma o u$ were abbreviated.
3. The supplement is rather short as compared with those in the adjacent lines.
4. Perhaps $\hat{\epsilon} \xi[\eta \bar{\eta}$, as in l. 1o.

10-12. The restoration suggested is of course very uncertain. An alternative in
 then it becomes difficult to complete the sentence satisfactorily, unless this be supposed to have extended into another line which was begun further to the right than those preceding ; cf. 1. 17. катабт] $\bar{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ in 1 . 10 is somewhat long for the lacuna.

13-14. The signatory forgot that he was addressing two persons.
20. This line appears to lack sense. It is not certain that the word $\tau \iota \rho \omega \nu \omega \nu$ was abbreviated at the fourth letter, but the remains are not easily reconciled with $\tau \iota \rho \omega \nu \omega \nu$ or $\tau \iota \rho \omega \nu \omega(\nu)$. There is a wide margin ( $5 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~cm}$.) below the line.

## 1191. Official Correspondence.

Breadth 12.8 cm .
A. D. 280 .

This document consists of two parts. Lines $1-10$ are a copy of a letter sent by Aurelius Ammonius to the strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome conveying to him an order of the praefect Hadrianius Sallustius that all acts emanating from the senate with regard to the appointment of various local administrators ( $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau a i)$ should bear the signature of the secretary ( $\sigma \kappa \rho \epsilon \in \mathcal{B} a s$ ). Appended to this is a letter from the strategus to the secretary directing his attention to the foregoing document, with a repetition of its provisions.

Hadrianius Sallustius is a new name to be added to the list of praefects. Aurelius Ammonius, who is given the title крátıбтоs and was perhaps epistrategus, is also unknown.


```
    [x]\alphai\rho\epsilon\iota\nu. \dot{\alpha}\kappaо[\lambdao]ú0\omegas \tauoîs к\in\lambda\epsilonv\sigma0\epsiloní\sigma\iota vi\piò \tauo\hat{v}
```



```
    \muóvos 'A\deltapıaviov \Sigma'a\lambda\lambdaov\sigma\tauiov \pi\epsilon\rho\grave{ \tau\hat{\eta}S \tau\omegâ\nu}
10 (\epsilon̈Tovs) \varsigma 'A\thetà̀\rho \iota\alpha.
    A\dot{v}\etá\lambda\iotaos 'O\lambdav́\mu\pitos \gamma\in\nuó\muє\nuоs \dot{v}\piо\mu\nu\eta\mu\alpha-
    \tau[0\gamma\rho\alphá]\phioṣ \sigma[\tau\rho\alpha\tau\eta\gammaò]! ['O]\xiv\rhov\gammaxí\tauov
    [ \sigma\kappa\rho\iní\beta\alpha \chi\alphai\rho\in\epsilon\nu.]
```




```
    [\delta]ок\etá\sigma\epsilon\omegas \tauo\hat{v} \sigmaк\rho\epsiloní\betaov \lambda\alpha\mu\beta\alphá\nu\epsilonl\nu \mu\età \pi\alpha-
    [\rho]a\lambdaí\mu\pi\alpha\nu\alpha\iota, \alphảко\lambdaoú0\omegas \tauoîs \pi\epsilon\rhoi \tauoú\tauov k\in\lambda\epsilonv\sigma-
```




```
    \tau\hat{\omega\nu\nu \chi\epsilon\iota\rhoо\tauoví\alphas к\alpha\tau\grave{\alpha} к\epsiloń\lambda\epsilonv\sigma\iota\nu \tauôv \mu\epsilon\gamma\epsiloń-}
```



```
    'A\delta\rhoı\alpha\nuíov \Sigmaa\lambda\lambdaov\sigma\tauio[v] द́\phi' є́к\alphá\sigma\tauov \tau人̂\nu \pi\epsilon-
```



```
    [v̀]\pio\gamma\rho\alphaф\età\nu \epsilonủ\deltaoк\etá\sigma\epsilonढ́s \sigmaov \lambda\alpha\mu\beta\alphá\nu\epsilon\iota\nu \mu\etaे \pi\alpha-
    [p]\alpha\lambda\iota\mu\pi\alphá\nu\iota\nu \tau\grave{\eta}[\nu] \sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\eta\gammaí\alpha\nu, \grave{s \epsiloṅк\epsilon\lambda\epsilonv́\sigma0\eta,}
```



```
        [k]\epsilon\lambda\epsilonv\sigma0\epsiloǹ\nu \epsiloṅ\nu \phi\rhoo\nu\tauí\deltal ''X\\\s. (2nd hand) {\epsilon\rho} '́\rhop\omegaि\sigma0\alphai
                                \sigma\epsilon \epsilonध\mathcal{U}
2.5 (\epsilon̈\tauous) 5 \tauov̂ [кv\rhoíov \dot{ }\mu\hat{\omega}\nu M\alphá]\rhoкоv Aú\rho\eta\lambdaíov
[\Pi]\rhoó\betaov \Sigma[\epsilon\betaa\sigma\tauо\hat{v}
```

3rd hand [. ] $] \underset{(~) ~}{\text { ( }} \sigma v \mu \phi o[$

$$
\text { I. } o \xi v \rho v \gamma^{\prime} \chi \iota \tau 0[\text { Pap. 7. 1. } \pi a[\rho] a \lambda i \mu \pi a \nu \epsilon \text {. }
$$

'Aurelius Ammonius to the strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, greeting. In accordance with the orders of his highness my lord the most honourable praefect Hadrianius Sallustius concerning the election of administrators, on every occasion when instructions are issued concerning them do not neglect to take the subscription signifying concurrence of the secretary, in accordance with the orders concerning this. I pray for your lasting health. The sixth year, Hathur in.
'Aurelius Olympius, ex-hypomnematographus, strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, to . . ., secretary, greeting. A copy is sent to you of the letter which we have received from his excellency Aurelius Ammonius concerning the election of administrators in accordance with the order of his highness my lord the most honourable praefect Hadrianius Sallustius, directing the office of the strategus, on every occasion when instructions are issued by the most high senate concerning the administrators, not to neglect to take your subscription signifying concurrence, as ordered, so that you may be informed and keep the order in view. I pray for your health. The sixth year of our lord Marcus Aurelius Probus Augustus ...'

7. A oкрєißas appears in connexion with the $\beta$ oùn' in 59. 9. Cf. P. Leipzig 40. ii. 12, \& c.
12. A break occurs below this line, but the gap is evidently slight.

## 1192. Order for Payment.

$$
7.5 \times 16.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 280 .
$$

An order from a financial secretary to a local agent for a payment of lentils to two collectors of annona. The payment was probably due to the collectors as part of their remuneration; cf. the note on l. 4. The writing in this and the following papyrus is across the fibres of the recto.







```
    \(\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \nu \quad \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\). ' \(\rho \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha i ́ \quad \sigma[\epsilon] \epsilon \cup \cup \chi \gamma \mu(\alpha \iota)\).
```



```
    \(X[о i ́ \alpha к] \quad \gamma\).
```

4. a of $\tau \epsilon \tau a \rho \tau \eta s$ corr. from $\rho$.
＇Chaeremon，secretary for the public records，to Isidorus，agent at Episemou， greeting．Measure out to Apollonius and Herminus，collectors of annona，for the fourth period of six months on behalf of Ammonion six and a half artabae of lentils by the tenth measure，total $6 \frac{1}{2}$ art．，and take a receipt．I pray for your health．The sixth year of our lord Probus Augustus，Choiak 3．＇

2．For $\pi \rho \circ \nu o \eta \tau \hat{\eta}$ cf．e．g．1134．8，1147．17，and Gelzer，Byzant．Verze．p．87．The village＇E $\pi \iota \sigma \eta$＇$\mu$ ov is mentioned in 136．r6，1031． 8.

4．The mention of the tєтáptך éǵaquos in conjunction with the fact that the order was issued by the $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon ⿱ 亠 乂 s ~ \delta \eta \mu o \sigma i \omega \nu \lambda$ 入ó ${ }^{\prime} \omega \nu$ indicates that the payment was made to the collectors personally in consideration of their services．In that case Ammonion would be another official．

5．фaкरิs：for the fem．form cf．B．G．U．14．iv．24，977．2，P．Flor．171． 6.
9． $\mathrm{X}\left[\right.$ oiak is more probable than Me］$\left[\right.$［ $i^{i}$ p．

1193．ORDER FROM A SPECULATOR．

## Height 7 cm ．

Fourth century．
An order addressed to a village police－officer to supply a donkey and． a guard．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi(\alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}) \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \pi \epsilon \kappa о v \lambda[\alpha ́ \tau o \rho o s]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau \widehat{\varphi} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \iota \quad \phi \rho\langle 0\rangle v \rho \hat{\varphi} \text {. (2nd hand) } \sigma \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu i \omega \mu \alpha l \text {. [ ] }
\end{aligned}
$$

＇From the speculator to the chief of police of the village of Taampemou．Immediately on receiving my letter supply one donkey together with one guard to the sentinel whom I have sent．Signed by me．

I．For $\sigma \pi \epsilon к о \nu \lambda[$ átopos］（speculatoris）cf．1214．2，1223． 21 ，P．Flor．71．652，\＆c．
2．［à̇च $\hat{\eta} s]$ ढ̈pas：cf．à $\theta \omega$ oóv，which occurs in another（unpublished）order of this period，
 $\delta \in \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \nu 0 \varsigma$ ，and perhaps［ajp］$\chi \in \phi[\delta \dot{\delta} \omega]$ ］is wrong，though it well suits the remains．

## (b) DECLARATIONS TO OFFICIALS.

1194. Arrears of Annona.

$$
15.8 \times 28.9 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third cent. (about A. D. } 265 \text { ). }
$$

This papyrus contains a mutilated report of arrears of military supplies (annona) which had been ordered for the use of troops accompanying the praefect Claudius Firmus but had not been fully delivered.

The chief point of interest here is the identity of the praefect. A [C]1(audius) Valerius Firmus was in office in A. D. $246-7$, but his praenomen is only known from 720. t , and even if it is there rightly restored, which is not quite certain ([Iu]l(ius) is another possibility), usage requires that, where brevity was desired, the first and not the second of the two prior names should be omitted. Moreover, that usage is followed in the case of this particular man in P. Amh. 72. 10 and 81. 5, as well as in an unpublished Oxyrhynchus text, where he is called simply Valerius Firmus. An identification with the praefect of A. D. 246-7 is therefore unsatisfactory, and I prefer to suppose that Claudius Firmus was the praefect mentioned by Vopiscus, Firmus, 3... plerique Graecorum altoram tradunt, ignari eo ipso tempore tres fuisse Firmos, quorum umus praefoctus Aegypti, altor dux limitis Africani idemque proconsule, tertius iste Zenobiac amicus ac socius. 'Eo ipso tempore' means the period of the Palmyrene war in the reign of Aurelian; an unpublished Berlin papyrus (P. 1463), the knowledge of which I owe to Wilcken's kindness, fortunately fixes the date of Firmus' tenure more precisely. The document, a fragmentary petition, is dated in the twelfth year of Gallienus (A.D. $264-5$ ), and in 1.5 a reference occurs to $\tau \hat{\varrho} \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho o \tau \alpha ́ \tau \varphi \dot{\eta} j \gamma \in \mu o ́ v \iota$ K $\lambda$ avoíi $\Phi i \rho[\mu \varphi]$. Our praefect is accordingly to be placed between Aurelius Theodotus (A.D. 262 : P. Strassb. 5) and Juvenius Genialis (A.D. 266-7). Whether he was after all, in spite of Vopiscus, the same person as the alleged usurper, as maintained by P. Meyer (Hermes xxxiii. pp. 268 sqq.) and Homo (Aurélicn, p. 113, n. 2), is a further question which need not here be considered. It is curious, however, that in the inscription upon which the former relied (Néroutsos, Inscr. d'Alexandrie, 48) the name coincides with that of the present document. The text is . . . (an
 Meyer took the word $\dot{\xi} \pi \alpha \nu o \rho \theta \omega \tau o \hat{v}$ to refer to the rôle of Firmus as deliverer from Roman rule. But as against this Stein has observed (Pauly-Wissowa, Real-Enc. iii. 2720 ; cf. Cantarelli, La Seric dei Prefetti, p. 75) that the title $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ \tau a r o s$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \nu 0 \rho \theta \omega \tau \eta \dot{\prime}$ corresponds to clarissimus corrector, and points rather to a period
subsequent to the reforms of Diocletian. A mere coincidence of names is not sufficient to overcome that argument.

The troops had apparently gone in a southerly direction (1. $4 \hat{a} \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$, 1. 10 àvóóov ; but cf. P. Leipzig 63. 7 ảvıov̂бiv $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau \alpha \iota s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \Pi \epsilon \nu \tau a ́ \pi o \lambda \iota \nu — f r o m ~$ Coptos), and Wilcken makes the plausible suggestion that the Blemyes were giving trouble. It may be noted in this connexion that the usurper Firmus is stated to have been in league with that people (Vopiscus, Firmus, 3 idem et cum Blemyis societatem maximam temuit) ; but the case for the identification of the praefect and the usurper is hardly to be strengthened by this consideration.

## Col. i.





5
 $\mu \varphi \quad \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau \alpha \quad \dot{\nu} \pi 0$ -





 $[\mu \in \lambda \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \alpha \iota)$

Col. ii.

${ }^{1} 5$ Tos $\xi(\epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha l)$ ' $I(\tau \alpha) \lambda(\iota$ коò ?') $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon[\rho \omega \nu$
д̀ $\pi 0 \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \xi(\epsilon \in \sigma \tau \alpha s) \quad$ ' $I(\tau \alpha) \lambda(\iota \kappa o u ̀ s) \rho \pi[$
$\dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \iota \epsilon \delta \delta \theta \eta \sigma[\alpha \nu \delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad$ '̇ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta-$
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\xi}(\epsilon \in \tau \tau \alpha)[\kappa$
$\lambda o \iota \pi(0 i) \xi(\epsilon \in \sigma \tau \alpha l) \rho \nu \quad$ [
$\dot{\nu} \pi[\epsilon \in] \rho \hat{\omega} \nu . . .[$

$\tau \grave{\alpha}{ }^{\epsilon} \kappa$. [
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu) \kappa \quad[$

25
$\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma[$
$\dot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \theta^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu[\quad$ ' $H \rho \alpha-$
$\kappa \lambda \epsilon$ ídov [
$\beta$ oíov [

In the left-hand margin of Col. i, at right angles

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ] } \nu^{\prime} \pi \rho о \sigma \delta o ́ \kappa \alpha ~ \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o ̀ s \\
& \text { ] • [.].]ov } \sigma \alpha \quad \tau \omega[. ~ .] \nu \epsilon!\text {. [ }
\end{aligned}
$$

On the verso, along the edge opposite the left margin of Col. i

$$
] \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a[\iota]
$$

6. їто Pap. 1о. ӥ $\pi \epsilon \rho$ Pap.; so in l. 20. ${ }^{15} 5 \bar{\delta}$ Pap.; so l. $25 \bar{\gamma} . \quad$ 28. ßoïov Pap.

Lines 1-13. 'Oxyrhynchite nome: in answer to the requisition for a report of the arrears devolving upon the superintendents of the annona on account of the soldiers who have gone up with the most illustrious praefect Claudius Firmus, the amounts ascertained are declared below, and the proper measures for their collection can, if it be approved, be communicated to the strategus. The amounts are as follows:

Bread, imposed for a journey of four days, ${ }^{136}$ artabae; of which there were distributed through the superintendents . . . artabae ; remainder . . .
 The annona of the present text was no doubt a special levy occasioned by the passage of the troops.
II. I prefer $\delta$ (cf. l. 15) to $\gamma$ (cf. 1. 25) because I36 is divisible by 4.
12. For the supplement cf. 1. 17. The ס́áoooıs here appears as carried out directly by
 is not always strictly used; cf. P. Leipzig 58. 9-1 4 and note ad loc.

I5. 'I( $\tau a) \lambda($ เко $i)$ : the abbreviation here and in 1 . I 6 is $\imath \lambda \lambda$, with a diagonal stroke after the second $\lambda$. Of this, 'I $\lambda \lambda$ (vpıкoi) would be the natural expansion, but there seems to
 $\xi \in \sigma \tau \eta s$ and $\lambda i \tau \rho a$. Wilcken suggests that the compendium is a misrepresentation of $\mathrm{I}^{\lambda \lambda}$, the doubled $\lambda$ indicating the plural, after the Latin method.
23. The $\xi^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta s$ was apparently valued at 20 drachmae.
28. $\beta$ o( $\epsilon$ )iov: sc. кр'є́шs.

## 1195. Promise of Attendance in Court.

A declaration on oath to appear on the following day before a judge delegated by the praefect to try a case, the nature of which is not stated. The person making the declaration was an inhabitant of Hermopolis; his opponent, whose patronymics only are given, was presumably an Oxyrhynchite. Cf. 260, B. G. U. 891 $^{1}$, P. Leipzig 52, 53, Hamburg 4, Wenger, Rechtshist. Papyrusstudien, pp. 61 sqq., Gradenwitz, Archiv ii. pp. 573 sqq.

Maرєртєívov то̂ кратíaтov $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu o ́ v o s . ~$

${ }^{`} E \rho \mu[0] \hat{v} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \mu \in \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \eta s$ ó óvv́ $\omega$







$\Sigma_{\epsilon} \in \alpha \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v} M \epsilon \chi \epsilon i \rho \frac{\text { év } \nu \alpha \kappa \alpha[l] \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \eta .}{}$
8. $\zeta$ of $\epsilon \kappa \beta \iota \beta a \zeta \omega \nu$ corr. from $\sigma$. 12. Second $\nu$ of $\epsilon \nu \nu a \kappa a[l] \delta \epsilon к a \tau \eta$ added above the line.
' To Apollonius, the judge appointed by his highness the praefect Petronius Mamertinus. I, Hermaeus also called Anubion, son of Hermaeus, inhabitant of Hermopolis Magna, swear by the Emperor Caesar Trajanus Hadrianus Augustus that I will speak before you to-morrow, being the twentieth day of the present month Mecheir, in explanation of my case against Hermaeus son of Apollonides son of . . . ; otherwise let me be liable to the consequences of the oath.' Date.
I. If the date in 1. Io is rightly read, this papyrus was written two days after B. G. U. 19, which has hitherto provided the latest point (II Feb. A.D. I35) for the praefecture of Petronius Mamertinus.
8. For $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \kappa \iota \beta a ́ \zeta \omega \nu$ cf. e.g. 260. I5, P. Hamburg 4. ıо.
9. The grandfather's name was possibly 'Avoußiov, but the traces of letters are hardly identifiable.
 so spelled, though a $\nu$ has apparently been added above the line.

## 1196. Declaration of a TAX-COLLECTOR.

$$
\mathrm{I} 3.7 \times 9.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. } \mathrm{D} .2 \mathrm{II}-\mathrm{I} 2 .
$$

This declaration upon oath by a person nominated to serve as a collector of corn-dues is a parallel text to 81 , and fortunately in" better preservation, though it is itself not quite complete. Cf. also 82.
$\omega s$ д́ $\mu \phi о \delta о \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \omega s$ єis тракторєí$\alpha \nu$ бєاтוк⿳⺈ $\nu \quad \mu \eta \tau \rho о \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \lambda \eta \mu-$ $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \gamma \in \nu \eta ́ \mu \alpha \tau o s ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ є́ $\nu \in \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau o s$ $\kappa$ ('є́точs) $\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \lambda \iota \omega ́ \tau о v$ тотархі́аs Пакє́ $\rho$ $\kappa \eta$ тóт $\omega \nu$ ó $\mu \nu v ́ \omega ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ тô̂ кupíou Aúтокра́тороs Máркоv Aúp $\lambda$ íou
 $\sum_{\epsilon} \beta \alpha \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v} \tau u ́ \chi \eta \nu$ á $\nu \tau \iota \lambda \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \iota \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa о \nu \tau \iota$ Х $\rho o ́ \nu \varphi$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\delta \eta \lambda o u-$

 $\tau \eta \theta \hat{\omega}$ ن́ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тộ̧ $\gamma \in \iota \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o ̣ \iota$ $\mu \eta \nu \iota \alpha i ́ o l s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ ~ \mu ~ \mu \eta \delta є \nu i ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \mu$ $[\phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$

On the verso



' To Anubion, strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome. I, Ptollas son of Ision and Stephanous, of the city of Oxyrhynchus, having been presented along with others by the amphodogrammateus of the same city now in office for the collection of corn-receipts at the metropolis from the produce of the current 20th year in the district of Pakerke in the eastern toparchy, do swear by the fortune of the lord Emperor Marcus Aurelius Severus

Antoninus Pius Augustus that I will take up at the proper time the said office and will discharge it, appearing whenever I may be required and presenting myself at the regular monthly statements, so as to incur blame in no respect . . ' Endorsement on the verso.
5. This analogy makes it certain that тồ ả $\mu \phi о \delta о \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \in \omega s$ is to be restored in 81. 7, and Mr. Bell informs me that he can read $\tau[0] \hat{v} \grave{a} \mu \phi о \delta о \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \in \varsigma \subseteq$; cf. 1119.6, B. G. U. 1062. 9-10.
8. к: or perhaps ка is meant.

12-14. Cf. e.g. 1187. 17-20, P. Flor. 2. i. 9-10, \&c., Thead. 50. 12 sqq.

 P. Tebt. 339. The termination of $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \epsilon$ voos could be read as -ats, and in rois too the o is not quite clear ; the masculine however (sc. तóyots) is more likely.
 ' $\mathrm{A} \mu \mu \dot{\omega} \nu \imath \nu \quad$; cf. 1.20 and 82. 7-10.
20. $\gamma^{\nu \omega \sigma \tau}(\dot{\eta} \rho): c f .496 .16$, note, 976 , P. Amh. 139. 23 , 140. 5, B. G. U. 1032. it.

## 1197. Declaration of a Shipper.

$$
23.2 \times 10.2 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 2 \text { II. }
$$

A declaration on oath addressed to the strategus by a boat-owner promising to supply a boat for the transport of corn. That the supply of vessels for the transport-service, on which cf. Wilcken, Grundzüge, i. pp. 378-9, Rostowzew, Archiv iii. pp. 220 sqq., was not always adequate is shown by C. P. Herm. 6.
$\sum^{\Sigma} \alpha \rho \alpha \pi i ́ \omega \nu \iota \tau \hat{\iota} \kappa \alpha i \quad \Phi \alpha \nu i ́ \alpha$
$\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma(\hat{\omega})$ 'O
$\kappa \alpha i ' A \nu \tau \omega \nu i \nu o v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кирíшע
$\Sigma_{\epsilon} \in \beta \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \dot{\chi} \chi \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma$ -
$\tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ тò $\dot{v} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi$ о $\mu о \iota \pi \lambda o \hat{\imath}$.
IO ov кúd $\alpha \rho \circ \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta} s$
( $\alpha \rho \tau \alpha \beta \hat{\omega} \nu) \rho \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ठı $\alpha i ́ p \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$
тô $\delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i ́ o v ~ \pi u \rho o \hat{v}$
ó $\pi \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ' $\epsilon \xi$ аं $\pi \sigma \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda \omega \nu$
$\pi \lambda o i ̂ \alpha ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma є ́ є \eta \tau \alpha \iota$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota . \quad \dot{\eta} \text { Xєєроурафía }
\end{aligned}
$$

```
    кuрía. [. . .]ox . . \delta\epsiloǹ vaú-
        \tauov \epsilońv[[...] ..[.] \sum\alpha\rho\alpha-
        \pií\omega\nu\alpha [..] . . . ov \alphá\piò
20 \Phiакоv\sigma[\hat{\omega}].
```



```
        Movk[\iota]ov \sum_[\epsilon]\pi\tau\iota\muíov \sum\epsilonov\etá\rho[ov
        Ev}\sigma\epsilon\betaо[\hat{vs] Пє\rhoтív\alphaкоs
```



```
25 M\epsilon\gammaí\sigmaтои каi Má\rhoк[о]v Av́\rho\eta\lambdaíov
    'A\nu\tau\omegavívov E[vं\sigma\epsilon\betaо]
    M\epsilon[\sigma]o\rho\eta . . (2nd hand) T[l]\tauo\etâs \sum\epsilonp\alpha\pii-
    \omega[os] \check{\omega}\mu\delta[\sigma]\alpha \tauò\nu öрко\nu \grave{\omega}}
    о́к[\iota\tau]\alpha\iota. \sum\alpha\rho\alpha\piíc\omega[\nu .........
30 'Є%[\rho\alpha\psi\alpha U'\pi\epsiloǹ\rho \alphaủ\tauôै \mu\etaे \epsiloni\deltaó-
    т!S [\gammaра́\mu\mu\alpha\tau\alpha.
    . . [
```

27. 28. T $\mathfrak{\imath} \theta 0 \hat{\eta} s$.

- To Sarapion also called Phanias, strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome. I, Tithoës son of Sarapion and Ptolema, of Phacusae, corn-lader (?) of the said Phacusae, swear by the fortune of Severus and Antoninus the lords Augusti that I will provide the small boat belonging to me of $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ artabas' burden for the lading (?) of the government corn whenever the boats collected in accordance with the orders of lading arrive, so as to incur blame in no respect. This bond is valid. And ...sailor Sarapion son of . . . of Phacusae.' Date, and signature of Tithoës written for him by Sarapion.

2. 'o ${ }^{\prime}$ vpuyxicou, if that is the word meant, is very cursively written. It is not clear that $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\varphi}$ was abbreviated.

 $\delta_{t}$ pa $\mu a$ is no doubt either to be read or restored in P. Thead. 26. it (a receipt issued by
 for embarkation, but 'sifting' seems a not very apposite sense for סıє́paats in I. II, where 'lading' would be more suitable to the context. Perhaps the word merely implies pouring the corn into the hold of the vessel. In Plutarch, Non posse suaviter vivi 4 (p. 1088 e) סıє́papa signifies a strainer, but that meaning will clearly not fit P. Thead. 26 and 27.
3. кúdapos or кúdapov is known from the lexicographers, who describe it as $\pi \lambda$ oiov or
 than ä $\gamma \mathrm{o}$ (vtos).
4. Cf. P. Amh. 138. 1o, where Mitteis is doubtless right in reading [ ${ }^{\prime}$ ] $\xi$ à àootódou


 $\pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\sigma}\}(\theta)$ at．Nitteis has aptly cited Dig．xlix．6．I litteras dimissorias sive apostolos．In 522 入óyos àmooтó入ov T pıǻ $\lambda \phi \sigma v, \& c$ ．，a somewhat different sense is required．
${ }^{1} 7-20$ ．The purport of this additional sentence was broadly to fix the identity of the vavirns．In l．i $8 \in \nu[\ldots$ is not improbably a verb，but whether Sarapion is the object or the
 vaútov év［白 $\sigma \tau] \eta \sigma a$ would be a possible reading，but is not at all convincing．

## 1198．Notification of Death．

$$
26.2 \times 7.2 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 150
$$

A notice addressed to the comogrammateus by an inhabitant of the Oxyrhyn－ chite village Teïs（cf．1200．I4）of the death of his father and his paternal uncle ； cf．e．g．79，262，1030．The present document is peculiar in mentioning that these deaths，which had occurred in the previous year，had been too late to be included in the periodical return of the comogrammateus relating to that year ；and the notice was delayed till the last day of Tubi．In P．Brit．Mus．28I a decease is similarly reported the year after it had taken place，but there is no analogous explanatory statement．
$\sum \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \hat{\alpha} \tau \iota \kappa \omega \mu \sigma \gamma \rho \alpha(\mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath})$ $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$＇Avtєітоs＇A $\mu \mu \omega \nu$ íov тồ＇Avтєîos $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ T a \pi \epsilon-~$

5 $\omega$ s．ò $\pi \alpha \tau \eta ́ \rho ~ \mu o v ~ ' A \mu \mu \omega \nu \hat{\alpha} s$
＇Aעтєîтos тô＇${ }^{\prime}$ роклйои $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ T \alpha \nu \epsilon \tau \beta \epsilon \in \omega s$ каì ó тои́тоv ó $\mu о \gamma \nu \eta$ $\sigma$ Los $\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda$－ фòs＇Aעтєîs $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon i \bar{S}$
Io ä $\tau \epsilon \chi^{\nu 0 L}$ बं $\nu \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \phi o ́ \mu \epsilon-$ $\nu 0 \iota \epsilon i S \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \alpha u ̉ \tau \eta े \nu$ T $\eta \in \iota \nu$ є́t $\tau \lambda \epsilon u ́ \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ т $\hat{\omega} \iota \quad \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda$ Oó $\nu$－ $\tau \iota \delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega$＇̈ ${ }^{\prime} \tau \iota$＇$A \nu \tau \omega \nu \epsilon i ́ \nu o v$ Kaí $\alpha \rho o s$ тô̂ кupíou $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ к $\alpha$－
${ }^{1} 5 \tau \alpha \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ ò $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ ．ठıò
 $\phi \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu$－ $\tau \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota$ $\langle\iota \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

ن́mò $\sigma o \hat{v}$ катаХшрıకонє́－
$20 \nu \omega \nu \delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma i ́ \omega \nu$ 入ó $\gamma \omega \nu$ ，
каì ó $\mu \nu v ́ \omega ~ A v ่ т о к р а ́ т о р а ~ а ~$
Kaíбара Títov Aı̉ııv
＇Aסpıavò $\nu$＇$A \nu \tau \omega \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu o \nu$
$\Sigma_{\epsilon} \epsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \grave{o} \nu \quad E \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\eta} \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta}$ 25 єîvaı $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\tau \grave{\alpha} & \gamma] \epsilon \gamma[\rho] \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha\end{array} \kappa \alpha[i]\right.$
$\mu \eta \theta \in \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \delta \iota \epsilon \psi \epsilon \in \hat{v} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \ddot{\eta} \quad \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon-$

трьбкаіठєка́тоv Av่токра́тороs
Kaíбара Tíтov Aìíou
＇Aסpıavov＇A
$\Sigma \epsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$ Ev̉ $\sigma \epsilon \beta$ ồs $T \hat{v} \beta \iota \lambda$ ． 2nd hand＇$A \nu \tau \epsilon i ̂ S ~ ' A \mu \mu \omega \nu$ íov＇ $\operatorname{mi} \pi \iota \in \delta \omega$－
$\kappa \alpha$ каі ò $\mu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon к \alpha$ тò $\nu$ őркоу．
$\Theta \in ́ \omega \nu$＇$A \mu \mu \omega \nu i ́ o v ~ ' Є \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha$ v̇ $\pi \epsilon ̀ \rho$ $35 \alpha u ̛ \tau 0 \hat{v} \mu \grave{\eta}$ єiơóтоs $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$.
16. vs of tovtous corr. from $\nu, 21$. Final a of autoкраторa corr. fromo (?). 24. 1. $\dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$. 26. 1. Є̈עoxos. 29. 1. Kaíapos. $v$ of titov and aidıov corr. from $\nu$.
' To Sarapas, comogrammateus, from Anteis son of Ammonius son of Anteis, his mother being Tapeëis, of the village of Teïs. My father Ammonas son of Anteis son of Heracleus, his mother being Tanetbeus, and his full brother Anteis, who were past age, had no trade, and were registered in the said village of Teïs, died in the past twelfth year of Antoninus Caesar the lord after the presentation of the accounts. I therefore request that they be registered in the list of dead persons through the public accounts presented by you, and I swear by the Emperor Caesar Titus Aelius Hadrianus Antoninus Augustus Pius that the above declaration is true and that I have made no false statement, otherwise let me be liable to the consequences of the oath.' Date and signature of Anteis written for him by Theon son of Ammonius.
2. ' $\lambda \mu \mu \omega r i o v:$ in 1.5 the name is given as ' $\lambda \mu \mu \omega \nu$ âs.




## 1199. NOtification of Purchase.

$$
12.4 \times 10.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century }
$$

A notice, addressed to the $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota \frac{\phi}{} \lambda \iota \kappa \epsilon s \dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$, of the purchase of a house, with a request for the proper official recognition of the change of ownership. The document is not in the form of the usual $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$, but is a $\dot{v} \pi o ́ \mu \nu \eta \mu a$ or memorandum asking for a $\pi a \rho a \dot{\theta} \theta \sigma \iota s$ to be made. It is thus akin to P. Tebt. $3^{18}$, B. G. U. 243 , P. Gen. 44, Class. Phil. 2, Hamb. 16 ; but there is a certain distinction. According to the usual view of that group of documents, which all come from the Fayûm, the reason for the substitution of what may be called the $\pi a \rho \alpha \dot{\theta} \theta \sigma \iota s$-form for an $\dot{\alpha} \pi \gamma^{\prime} \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ was the fact that the previous owner had not made an $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \gamma \rho a \phi \eta$; cf. Eger, Äg. Grundbuchzvesen, pp. 13 I sqq., Mitteis, Grundz̈ige, pp. 103 sqq. That explanation will not apply to the present case, since in 11. 24-5 it is distinctly stated that the vendor had declared her ownership in an $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \gamma \rho a \phi \eta$. Why the $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$-form was nevertheless adopted by the purchaser remains obscure ; the lost conclusion of the papyrus perhaps gave the solution.

 $\tau \epsilon ́ \rho o \iota s[\beta] \iota \beta \lambda(\iota \circ \phi u ́ \lambda \alpha \xi \iota \iota)$
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ A \dot{v} \rho \eta \lambda i ́ \alpha s ~ ’ I o v \lambda i ́ a s ~ ' A \rho \pi т о к \rho а т l є ́ \nu l ~ \Theta ' \epsilon ́ \omega \nu o s ~$





10 wvíoos $\theta u \gamma \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \Theta e ́ m v o s ~ t o v ̂ ~ k a i ̀ ~ Z \omega i ́ \lambda o v ~ \epsilon ́-~$











 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \delta ~ \delta \epsilon ́ o v \sigma \alpha \nu ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \theta \theta \epsilon[\sigma l] \nu \quad \gamma \in \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha[l$,


[ $\kappa \lambda]$ прооо $[\mu$
 Pap. ; so in 1. r3. s of $\tau a \tau \rho \epsilon \iota \phi$ os added above the line. og $\nu v \gamma^{\prime}$ Pap. 7. Final $\eta$ of




'To . . ., ex-gymnasiarch of the most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, and his associate, keepers of the archives, from Aurelia Julia Harpocratiaena daughter of Theon also called Asclepiades surnamed Zoilus, her mother being Tatreiphis, of the most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, acting in virtue of her children. I have bought in accordance with an autograph deed of sale made in the present seventh year, Hathur a, from Aurelia Theonis daughter of Theon also called Zoilus, ex-exegetes of the most illustrious city of Alexandria and however he was styled, likewise acting in virtue of her children, her mother being Ptolema, of the same city, the house belonging to her by right of inheritance and formerly the property of her said father Theon son of Zoilus, situated in the same city in the quarter of the South Square with frontage (?) and cellar beneath and all
appurtenances as contained in the autograph deed of sale; which having been deposed to by me through the local record-office in the month Hathur of the same seventh year, I bring before you a single copy with the subscription of the tax-farmer and present this memorandum in order that the proper entry may be made; and I declare that the said Theonis registered the aforesaid property . . .
I. This was perhaps the first line of the address ; $\gamma v[\mu(\nu a \sigma \iota a \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau \iota)$ is very uncertain,
 is possible, but cf. l. 6 .

17. For $\beta \rho о \nu \eta \sigma i \varphi$, which is for $\pi \rho о \nu \eta \sigma i \varphi$, cf. P. Brit. Mus. 262. 1 оiкiu(s) каì $\pi \rho о \nu \eta \sigma i o v$ каì
 word is presumably derived from $\nu \eta \bar{\eta} \sigma s$, but what exactly it means is not clear.
 1208. 2 , note.
23. $\left.\pi a \rho a \dot{d} \epsilon_{[ } \sigma_{i}\right]_{\nu}: \pi \alpha \rho a \theta \dot{\eta}[\kappa \eta]_{\nu}$ could well be read, but there is apparently no analogous instance of the word, although $\pi a \rho a \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \sigma$ occurs in place of the more usual $\pi a \rho a \theta i k \eta$ in the sense of deposit ; cf. 1039. 7, note.

## 1200. ReGIStRation of a Deed.

$$
34.3 \times 16.1 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 266 . \quad \text { Plate VI. }
$$

This long and well-preserved papyrus, which was found rolled up in a cloth, is an application to the archidicastes asking him to communicate to the recordoffice of Oxyrhynchus the publication at Alexandria of a deed of sale; a copy of the latter and of the request for publication is enclosed. The component parts of the document may be placed in their chronological order as follows:
(I) Copy of the agreement of sale (ll. 14-40) with the signature of the vendor (ímoy $a \phi{ }^{\prime}, 11.40-3$ ).
(2) Application to the archidicastes for the publication ( $\delta \eta \mu 0 \sigma^{\prime}(\omega \sigma \iota s)$ of this agreement (ll. 9-I $3,44-52$ ).
(3) Further application to the archidicastes for the communication of the publication to the record-office at Oxyrhynchus (ll. 5-8, 53-5).
(4) Endorsement of the archidicastes ordering the proper steps to be taken (1. $5^{6}$ ).
(5) Endorsement prefixed by an official in the bureau of the archidicastes, forwarding the document to the proper quarter (1l. 1-4).
(6) Signature of the applicant, appended at a later time (ll. 57-6I ; cf. note ad loc.).

On this process of publication of private agreements cf. P. Oxy. IV. pp. 192-3, Mitteis, Grundziige, pp. 82-7, and for analogous documents see especially 719, P. Leipzig 10, B. G. U. 578. The present example is differentiated from that group by the secondary application for communication to the local $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota \circ$ v́лакєs ; cf. P. S. I. 74. 1-9, with which 11. 53-5 agree very closely.







 фov vimóкєıтаl.







 '̇ $\pi \iota \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \widehat{̣ ̂} \pi \alpha \tau \rho i ́ ~ \mu o v ~ ' A \rho \epsilon \omega ́ \tau \eta ~ \psi \epsilon i \lambda o v ̂ ~ \tau o ́ \pi o v ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \alpha ̀ ~ \nu \grave{\alpha} ~ \mu ' ́-~$























 єіঠóтоs $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$.







 $\sum_{\epsilon} \beta \alpha \sigma \tau o \hat{v} \Pi \alpha \hat{v} \nu \iota$.




$\Pi \alpha \chi \grave{\omega} \nu$. A $\dot{\nu} \eta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota o s ~ \Theta ' \epsilon \omega \nu$ ó каi " $A \rho \pi \alpha \lambda$ оs
 ías $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu\langle\mu\rangle \alpha \tau \alpha$.

On the verso
hand (?) $\quad \delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \iota \omega(\sigma \epsilon \omega S)$
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \dot{\omega}(\nu \eta \sigma / s)$

$$
\epsilon i s A \dot{v} \rho(\eta \lambda i \alpha \alpha \nu) \text { 'I } \sigma i \delta \omega ́ \rho \alpha \nu
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5. їєєє Pap.; so in 1. 9. 8. ӥтокєєта Pap.; so in l. I3. If. Second } \tau \text { of }
\end{aligned}
$$

16. l. 'Apıбт $\omega$ тos ; cf. l. II. 23. 1. $\delta \rho a \chi \mu \omega \bar{\omega}$.


 49. їбov Pap.
17. 18. ßov̀oнév $\eta$.
1. їтоуєүрацнєข $\nu$ Рар. 55. і̀ Рар.
'Aurelius Didymus also called Sarapion, priest and archidicastes, to the keepers of the archives in the Hermopolite nome, greeting. A copy is appended of the communication that has been made to me. The $13^{\text {th }}$ year of Gallienus Augustus, Pauni ir. The official of the bureau . . .
' To Aurelius Didymus also called Sarapion, priest, archidicastes, and superintendent of the chrematistae and other courts, from Aurelia Isidora. Appended is a copy of the publication which has been effected.
' To Aurelius Didymus also called Sarapion, priest, archidicastes, and superintendent of the chrematistae and other courts, from Aurelia Isidora styled the daughter of Aristos. Appended is a copy of the bond issued to me in duplicate with the subscription beneath it.
'Aurelius Morus son of Hareotes and Minous, of the village of Teïs in the Oxyrhynchite nome, to Aurelia Isidora styled daughter of Aristos, of the said village of Teïs, greeting. I acknowledge that I have sold and ceded to you from henceforth for ever the share falling to my father Hareotes of a free space in the middle part of the village, whereof the boundaries are, on the south the property of Ammonas son of Politas, on the north that of Cronius also called Nepotianus, senator, on the east that of Achilles also called Antoninus, on the west that of Cornelius son of Artemidorus, at the price mutually agreed upon, namely one hundred and twenty drachmae of silver of the Imperial coinage, total 120 dr . of silver, which I received from you forthwith from hand to hand in full. Therefore from henceforth you shall own and possess with your descendants and successors the aforesaid free space and shall have power to sell it to others and manage and dispose of it as you choose without hindrance and no one shall in any wise proceed against you, and I will further guarantee the property always against all claims with every guarantee and free from persons' property-returns and the cultivation of royal or domain land and from every obligation or debt or lien of every kind, public or private. And I have delivered this bond to you in duplicate with my subscription, and you shall make it public through the bureau whenever you choose without requiring any further consent or concurrence from me, because I now agree to the future publication, and to your question whether this is done rightly and fairly I have given my assent. The thirteenth year of the Emperor Caesar Publius Licinius Gallienus Germanicus Maximus Pius Felix Augustus, Choiak 4. I, Aurelius Morus son of Hareotes, have sold the free space falling to me and have received the price as aforesaid. I, Aurelius Apollonius, wrote for him, as he was illiterate.
'And whereas I desire that a single copy of the duplicate bond shall be publicly registered, I give to the city the prescribed 12 drachmae and the ad valorem tax, and request that on receiving it from the person sent by me, Aurelius Apollonius, with his attestation that the subscription is the writer's autograph, you register it together with this memorandum at the Library of Hadrian, and a copy at the Library of the Nanaeum, in order that my rights in virtue of it may be assured as by a public deed, owing to the assent to the publication. The 13 th year of Gallienus Augustus, Pauni.
'And whereas I desire that this should be communicated to the keepers of the property registers of the Oxyrhynchite nome, I request that on receiving the publication with the proper subscription you will give orders for a letter to be written for their information.
'Let the proper steps be taken. The I 3 th year of our lord Gallienus Augustus, Pauni 9.
' I, Aurelius Isidora daughter of Aristos, have presented this memorandum and it has
been registered．The 3 rd year which $=$ the ist year，Pachon 30．I，Aurelius Theon also called Harpalus，son of Demetrius，wrote for her，as she was illiterate．
（Endorsed）＇Communication of publication，for Aurelia Isidora．＇
1－4．These four lines inserted at the top of the application in the bureau of the archidicastes are in an extremely cursive hand（see Plate VI）and there are several words in them which I cannot read with certainty even with the help of a parallel document （unpublished）of the following year，where there is a similar but still worse written endorse－
 doubtful．iepeis kai is suggested by similar communications from the archidicastes to strategi，e．g．485．4，B．G．U． 5 78．7．iepeís however is unsatisfactory；the initial letter might well be e．g．$\gamma$ ，and $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ might perhaps be read，if it were otherwise suitable． $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ т a t o s ~ i s ~ e q u a l l y ~ u n l i k e l y, ~ s i n c e ~ t h a t ~ t i t l e ~ i s ~ n o t ~ e l s e w h e r e ~ a p p l i e d ~ t o ~ t h e ~ a ~ p \chi \chi \delta \iota к a \sigma \tau \eta!s . ~$ If $\chi^{a}(i \rho \epsilon i v)$ is right in l．2，a preceding mention of the $\beta_{\imath} \beta \beta_{\imath} \circ \phi_{\nu} \lambda_{a k \epsilon s}$ seems demanded by Il． $53-4$ ，and $\beta_{t}$ is probable here in the parallel text；but＇Epнотолєíoov is a difficulty，since
 ence．$\pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\epsilon} \pi \mu \mu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon(a) \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \chi \rho \eta(\mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu)$ is clearly impossible．In 1． $4 \delta \pi \rho \dot{\delta} \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \alpha a(\lambda o \gamma \hat{\eta})$ is confirmed by the unpublished text，which has here $\delta!a\left(\lambda_{0 \gamma \hat{n}}\right) \tau\left(\hat{\eta}_{s}\right) \pi o ́ \lambda(\epsilon \omega s)$ ；cf．P．Leipzig
 On that analogy $\chi \rho \eta$（ $\mu$ atiJovtos）may be read with some confidence at the end of this line， but there is nothing in front of it in the least like $\delta<\epsilon \notin \rho q \psi \in \nu$ ．The letters might possibly be

 ［ $\gamma \rho a] \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon i s$, and Mitteis，Grundzüge，pp．85， 125.

18．A similar marginal entry occurs in the parallel text referred to in the previous note， and there the $a$ of $a \dot{v}(\tau \eta s ?)$ is fairly clear．

30－2．Cf．577，719．23－5．
37．১ј $\rho \hat{\omega} s$ кад⿳亠人s ：so 1040．33，where кai is not to be inserted ；cf．e．g．1208．29， 1209． 28.

40．The marginal entry apparently notes the fact that the vendor was illiterate．


51．єù
58．The date here is strange．Since the month is Pachon（1．59），this signature must be at least a year subsequent to the rest of the document，where the latest date is Pauni in （l．3）．The writer was unpractised，and the figures，which are in each case above the sign for（ë́cous），are not very clear．$\delta$ might well be read in place of $a$ ，but how could a $\delta$ be explained？The third and fourth years cannot be those of Maximian and Diocletian for two reasons：（1）$\gamma$ and $\delta$ should be in the reverse order；（2）an interval of 22 years between the signature and the other dates is too long．Neither could（ ${ }^{( } \mathrm{\epsilon}$ тovs）$\gamma$ каi（ ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ тоиs）$\delta$ refer to a period of joint rule by Claudius and Vaballathus in A．D．${ }^{270-1}$ ，since not only is there no evidence that the latter was recognized during the lifetime of Claudius，${ }^{1}$ but in P．Strassb．8．I－2 Aurelian is already found associated with Vaballathus in Pharmouthi of that year．I therefore adopt the reading（ध̈тоия）$\gamma$ каі（ ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ тоия）$a$ and suppose that the third and last year of Claudius which coincided with the first of Aurelian is meant（A．D．27I）， Vaballathus being ignored．No doubt the more usual expression for this would be（ễ $\tau o v s) \gamma$
${ }^{1}$ P．Grenf．ii． 70 is no doubt to be referred to the reign of Diocletian and Maximian，as was pointed out by P．Meyer in Hermes，xxxiii．p． 269 ；Meyer＇s correction has been overlooked by both Preisigke， P．Strassb．i．34，and F．Hohmann，Chronologie der Papyrusurkunden，pp． 18 and 55.

тоv̂ каì a, as e.g. in Wilcken, Ost. ii. 109. ${ }^{2-3}$; but that objection is hardly to be pressed in the case of such an ill-written subscription.

63 . Below 'I $\sigma \iota \delta \dot{\omega} \rho a \nu$ there are a few small illegible marks.

## (c) PETITIONS.

## 1201. Succession to an Inheritance.

Fr. $2 \quad 18.4 \times 12.4 \mathrm{~cm}$.

$$
\text { A.D. } 258
$$

An application to the praefect Mussius Aemilianus from a man whose father had died intestate, asking for the right of succession to the estate (agnitio bonorum possessionis). His request was couched in Latin, with a signature appended in Greek (cf. 720, 1114) ; this is followed by an endorsement of the praefect granting the petition (l. II), and a translation, in a very cursive hand, of the Latin text into Greek. The central part of the papyrus is decayed, and most of the Latin original has disappeared ; but the loss is of small consequence, since a precisely similar document, also from Oxyrhynchus, at Giessen has recently been published with a valuable commentary by O. Eger in $Z$. Sav. xxxii. pp. 378 sqq., and by means of this the portion here missing is easily restored ; cf. note on I. 4. In the Giessen papyrus, on the other hand, the Greek translation, which in 1201 is well preserved, has been badly mutilated. The two documents thus supply each other's deficiencies in the happiest manner.

Aemilianus was already known to have held the office of praefect in A. D. 257 from Euseb. Hist. Eccl. vii. II. 9, where he is called $\delta \iota \epsilon \pi \omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta} v \quad \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \nu v i \alpha v$ as in 1. It here; in the Latin of 1. I he is styled pracfectus Acgypti simply. His name Mussius is novel ; the praenomen is added by another papyrus not yet published, Lucius. This was the man who a few years later revolted against Gallienus. On a coin of that period, attributed to him by Poole, Catalogue of coins of Alcx. p. 299 (cf. introd. p. xxxiv), he is given the initials M. I.

> Mussio Acmiliano v(iro) p(erfcctissimo) pracf(ecto) Acg(ypti) ab Aurclio Hcudacmonc. rogo domine des mihi b(onornm) p(ossessioncm) [Catilli]i Variani patris mci

2nd hand





```
    \lambdaєv\tau\etaко́тоs. A\cup\cup\rho\etá\lambdaוos \Theta\epsilon'\omegav 'A\rho\pi\alphá\lambdaov єै\gamma\rho\alpha-
10 \psi\alpha ú\pi\epsiloǹ\rho \alphaủ\tauov \mu\grave{\eta}\mathrm{ íóтos रра́ }\mu\mu\alpha\tau\alpha.
    ('̇Tous) \varsigma \Theta\omegà0 к\zetaً. (3rd hand) ex edicto: legi. кó\lambda(\lambda\eta\mu\alpha) ô, \tau(ó\muos) \epsilonis.
                \epsiloń\rho\mu\eta\nu\epsiloní\alpha \tau\hat{\omega}\nu 'P\omega\mu\alpha\iotaк\hat{\nu}.
    Mov\sigma\sigmai\omegal Ai\mui\lambda\iota\alpha\nu\hat{\omega} \tau\hat{\omega}}\lambda\alpha\mu\pi\rhoо\tau\alphá\tau\omega\iota
    \deltalє́\piо\nu\tau\iota \tau\grave{\eta}\nu \grave{\eta}\gamma\epsilon\muо\nuí\alpha\nu \pi\alpha\rho\grave{\alpha Av`\rho\eta\lambdaíov Ev̉\delta\alphaí-}
15 \muovos. \epsilońр\omegaт\hat{\omega}, ки́\rho\iota\epsilon, \deltaо仑̂v\alpha!! \muо\iota \delta\iota\alphaк\alphaто\chi\età\nu
    v̇\pi[\alpha\rho]\ó\nu\tau\omega\nu (\pi\rhoо́т\epsilon\rhoо\nu) K\alphaт\iota\lambda\lambdaíov Ov̉\alpha\rho\iota\alpha\nuô̂ \pi\alphaт\rhoós
```



```
    \mu\epsiloń\rhoovs \tauồ \deltat\alpha\tau\alphá\gamma\mu\alpha\tauos \tauov̂ \tauoîs \nuo\muí\muo\iotas
    к\lambda\eta\rhoо\nuо́\muо\iotas \tau[\grave{\eta}]\nu \delta\iota\alphaкатох\grave{\eta}\nu \delta\iota\deltaó\nu\tauоs. €́\deltaó0\eta
20 \pi[\rho]ò \eta к\alpha\lambda(\alpha\nu\delta\hat{\omega\nu) 'Oк\tau\omega\betaрí\omega[\nu]. Tov́\sigmaк\omega к\alphai` B\alphá\sigma\sigma\sigma\omega}
```



```
    \tauоs. \alpha\nu
```

4. $\ddot{i \pi}$ Pap. 6. $\ddot{\pi} \pi \rho$. . iöoros Pap. $\quad$ 12. $a^{\prime}(=\pi \rho о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu)$ added above the line.

- To Mussius Aemilianus the most illustrious, praefect of Egypt, from Aurelius Eudaemon. I beg you, my lord, to grant me possession of the property of my father Catillius Varianus . . .
' I, Aurelius Eudaemon son of Catillius, have presented this petition asking for the succession to the inheritance of my father, namely the property inherited in turn by him, having died intestate. I, Aurelius Theon son of Harpalus, wrote for him as he was illiterate. The sixth year, Thoth 27 . (Endorsed) In accordance with the edict; read by me. Sheet 4 , volume I.'
'Translation of the Latin. To Mussius Aemilianus the most illustrious, deputypraefect, from Aurelius Eudaemon. I beg you, my lord, to grant me the succession to the property formerly belonging to my father Catillius Varianus who has died intestate, in accordance with that portion of the edict which grants succession to the lawful heirs. Dated the 8th day before the calends of October, in the consulship of Tuscus and Bassus. The sixth year, Thoth 27 . In accordance with the edict; read by me. Sheet 4 , volume I.'

1. The letters $v p$ have no dots either after or, as in the Giessen papyrus, above them. On the other hand dots are placed after praef and Aeg.
2. Heudaemonem: for the erroneous aspiration cf. e. g. 32. 9 omo.
3. [Catilli]i seems hardly enough for the space, but Variani is probable. There are some slight illegible traces of the two following lines, which with the rest of the Latin can be restored with security from the Greek by the aid of the Giessen papyrus and 1114. 13 thus: intestati defuncti ex ea parte cdicti quae legitimis heredibus b(onorum) p(ossessionem) dat. datum a(nte) d(iem) z'ïi kal(endas) Oct(obres) Tusco et Basso co(n)s(ulibus). Instead of quae ... dat the Giessen papyrus has qua $\{\varepsilon\}$. . . daturum te polliceris. The mistake of quae for
qua may now be explained as due to a change of construction, the writer having quae . . . dat in his mind and then substituting daturum te polliceris without altering the quae.




4. In l. 12 of the Giessen papyrus Eger restores do b(onorum) p(ossessionem)] before ex edicto, but probably those two words stood by themselves, as here. recognovi there takes the place of legi. The $\tau$ of $\tau\left(o_{o} \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)$ is written just like a $v$, both in this line and 1.22 , but rópos is demanded by analogy. The reference no doubt is, as Eger explains (l.c., p. $3^{81}$ ), to the liber libellorum rescriptorum of the praefect.

It is hardly certain that the three Latin words are in the same hand as what follows, but there seems to be no further change beyond this point. Too many hands are probably distinguished by Eger.

18. Cf. the passage of B. G. U. i 40 quoted in the note on I. 6, and Eger, l. c., p. 382.
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \omega \nu$ was intended to be abbreviated or not ; perhaps $\dot{a} \nu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \gamma(\nu \omega \nu)$ should be written.

## 1202. Petition concerning an Ephebus.

$$
26.1 \times 11 \cdot 3 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

This document is an interesting supplement to the existing evidence about the enrolment of ephebi, a subject which has recently been discussed at length by Wilcken, Grundziige, pp. I 39 sqq., and Jouguet, La vie mmincipale, pp. I 50 sqq. It is a petition to the deputy-epistrategus from the father of a youth qualified to become an ephebus, complaining that his son's name had been omitted from the list which was annually prepared by the amphodogrammateus, and begging that the error might be rectified. The list in question is stated to have been regularly prepared shortly before the time of the contest of the ephebi, established at Oxyrhynchus by Septimius Severus and Caracalla (11. 5 sqq.). This reference is explained by 705, which contains a copy of the Imperial rescript sanctioning an endowment for the purposes of the festival. The boy's qualifications are set out in ll. 17 sqq. He was already a member of the gymnasium, having reached the age of fourteen, and having been admitted through the $\dot{\varepsilon \pi i \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \iota s ~ t o ~}$
 become one a further $\epsilon \ell \sigma \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \iota s$, probably by the praefect (cf. P. Flor. 57. 73, Wilcken, l. c., p. I42), was required, a preliminary to which was apparently the list of the amphodogrammateus. The fact that the epistrategus is addressed in the present petition does not imply that the elँ $\sigma \kappa \rho \iota \iota \iota$ was conducted by that official.

The date of the papyrus is approximately fixed by the mention in 11 . ig
and 21 of the 25 th year, which was perhaps still current, in spite of the absence of the word $\dot{e} \nu \in \sigma \tau \sigma$ 's. Caracalla did not attain to a 26 th year, and the petition seems to have been written before the accession of Macrinus. At any rate it can be little later than A.D. 217. Aurelius Severus, the acting epistrategus, was not previously known.



```
                    [\pi\alpha]\rho\grave{\alpha} Aú\rho\eta\lambdaiov П\tauо\lambdaє\mu\alphaíov \Sigma'\epsilon\mu\pi\rho\omega\nuiov \tauо\hat{v}}\mathrm{ Mov-
                    [\kappaío]v \mu\eta\tau\rhoòs \Theta\alpha\etá\sigmalos à\pi' 'O\xiv\rho\rhó́\gamma\chi\omega\nu \pió\lambda\epsilon\epsilon\omegas.
```



```
                    [ки\rhoí]\omega\nu \Sigma'єои\etá\rhoоv к\alphaì \mu\epsilon\gammaá\lambdaоv 'A\nu\tau\omega\nuívov
                    [\delta\omega]\rho\epsilon\hat{\alphas}\tau0\hat{v}\tau\omega\hat{\nu}\elĺ{\emptyset\eta
                    [\rhoò]\nu \tau\etâs \pió\lambda\epsilon\omegas \alphá\mu\phio\deltao\gamma\rho\alpha\mu\mu\alpha\tau\epsiloń\alphas \dot{\epsilon}\nu\gammaí{o\nu-
```



```
                10 \pi\rhoo0\epsiloniv\alphal \tau\grave{\eta}\nu \tau\hat{\omega}\nu \epsiloń\phi\eta\beta\epsilon勹́\epsilon\iota\nu \mu\epsilon\lambda\lambdaóv\tau\omega\nu
                    \gamma\rho\alphaф\etá\nu{\alphal} \pi\rhoòs \tauò '\epsilonкк\alpha\sigma\tauo\nu \alphà\phi' o\hat{v} \pi\rhoо\sigma\etáк\epsilonl к\alphal\rhoо\hat{v}
```






```
            [к\epsilon]v \tauòv \grave{\eta}\mu\epsiloń\tau\epsilon\rhoov viòv A\dot{v}р\etá\lambdalov По\lambdav\delta\epsilonú-
                    к\eta\nu каì \alphaưтò\nu \mu\epsilon\lambda\lambdaо́́\emptyset\eta\betao\nu к\alphaì ö\nu\tau\alpha \epsilońк \tauоv̂
                    \tau\alphá\gamma\mu\alpha\tauos \tauо\hat{v}}\pi\alpha\rho’'\eta\mp@code{\eta\epsiloniv \gammav\mu\nu\alpha\sigmaiov \pi\rhoо\sigma\beta\alphá\nu-
```





```
[`]]\sigma\omegas \dot{\alpha}\nu\nuо\etá\sigma\alphas, ка\tau\grave{\alpha} \tauò \alpha}\nu\alpha\gammaк\alphaîo\nu \pi\rhoо\sigma\phi\inú
```



```
\tau\hat{\eta}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu \epsilon'ф\eta}\beta\omega\nu \gamma\rho\alpha\phi\hat{\eta} к\alpha0' \dot{\rhoо\iotaó\tau\eta\tau\alpha
```



```
\delta\ell\epsilonutú\chi\epsilon!.
2nd hand Aú\rho\etá\lambdalos \Piтo\lambdaє\mu\alphaîos \epsiloṅ\pi\epsilon\iota\deltá\elĺ\sigma-
\kappa\alpha.
```


 for каi.
'To his highness Aurelius Severus, deputy-epistrategus, from Aurelius Ptolemaeus son of Sempronius son of Lucius, his mother being Thaësis, of the city of Oxyrhynchus. It is the custom since we gained by the gift of our lords Severus and the great Antoninus the contest of the ephebi that those who are for the time being amphodogrammateis of the city should, as the contest of each year approaches, submit and publish a list of those about to become ephebi, in order that each one may assume the status of ephebus at the proper season. Since then the present amphodogrammateus of the city, Aurelius Sarapion, in the list recently published by him of those who are auspiciously about to become ephebi, has, perhaps in ignorance, passed over my son who is also an incipient ephebus and on the roll of our gymnasium, and who reached the age of 14 years in the 25 th year, and was passed in accordance with his age and parentage into the list of the members of the gymnasium in the same ${ }^{2} 5^{\text {th }}$ year, I perforce have recourse to you, requesting that my son too may be entered in the list of the ephebi in the same way as his companions, that so I may obtain relief. Farewell.
'I, Aurelius Ptolemaeus, presented the petition.'
3. $\Sigma_{\epsilon \mu \pi \rho \omega \nu i o v: ~ o r ~ p e r h a p s ~} \Sigma \varepsilon \nu \pi \rho \omega \nu i o v$. At the end of the line $\Lambda o v[k i o v]$ seems more likely than $\Lambda o v i[\pi o] u$.
7. The $\delta \omega \rho \epsilon \dot{\alpha}$ was really that of Aurelius Horion, who gave a large sum to provide prizes for the contest ; the emperors merely sanctioned his endowment.

8-9. In A.D. 323 the date of the contest was Jan. 19; cf. 42. 2, 10.
1I. $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta \nu a r$ was no doubt due to the influence of the preceding infinitives.
17. $\mu \in \lambda \lambda \sigma^{\prime} \phi \eta \beta o v$ : the word is spelled $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ ' $\phi \eta \beta o s$ in Censorinus, De die nat. 5, Eustath.

18. тárдatos: cf. e.g. 891. I5.

19-21. Fourteen was apparently the usual age for admission to the ranks of the ephebi; cf. Wilcken, l.c., p. 14r, Jouguet, l.c., pp. 150 sqq. The anomaly of P. Tebt. 316 (which of course was found at Tebtunis and not, as stated by Wilcken, Chrestomathie, p. 173, at Oxyrhynchus), where boys of three and seven years are described as '́ $\phi \eta \beta \epsilon v \kappa o ́ \tau \epsilon s$, is still unexplained.
 well illustrated.


## 1203. Claim of Creditors.

$16.1 \times 12.2 \mathrm{~cm}$. Late first century.

Though the commencement of this petition is lost, the main details of the transaction involved are sufficiently clear. According to the petitioners' statement (the first person plural is used throughout), Leonides after obtaining a loan upon mortgage had surreptitiously alienated the security to a third party and made a claim against his creditors for a debt which he asserted was due to him from their father. A notice of the claim had been served upon them through Apion, the son of Leonides, who seems to have been himself absent, and the
collection of the debt put into the hands of the $\xi \in \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \alpha к \kappa \tau \omega \rho$. The petitioners accordingly request that copies of their counter-claim (àvtipp $\eta \sigma \iota s$; cf. 68. II ) should be communicated to Apion and to the $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\kappa} \tau \omega \rho$, in order that no further proceedings should be taken pending a legal decision. It would naturally fall to the strategus to take the steps required, and probably he was the person to whom the petition was sent, since there is no mention of written instructions, which would be expected if the addressee were the ${ }^{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \eta$; cf. 68. 29-31, P. Brit. Mus. 908. 29-30. At the foot is a signature of an assistant stating that notice had been given to the $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \kappa \tau \omega \rho$ as desired.
... [
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha[\grave{\imath}$

$\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad$ ' $\xi \xi \alpha \lambda \lambda o \tau \rho \iota \omega ิ \sigma \alpha \iota \quad$ є́ $\nu$ ' $A \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ \alpha ~ \tau \grave{\iota} \nu$

$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta o ́[\nu] \tau о s \quad \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau о \lambda \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \delta \delta о \mu \epsilon \nu$ ס८ळ̀



 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ُ \nu \theta \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon \epsilon ~ \xi \epsilon \nu เ \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \alpha ́ к \tau о \rho \alpha$
 $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, тоúv $\alpha \nu \tau i ́ o \nu ~ \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda \lambda o \nu \pi \rho o \sigma-$ офєì入 $\omega \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \bar{i} \nu$ ஸ́s $\pi \rho o ́ к \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \iota, ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \epsilon-$



 $\mu \alpha$ '̈ $\chi \in \iota \nu \quad$ '̀ $\nu \quad \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi}, \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \delta 0 \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$

'Amímvi єis ròv rô̂ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ A \epsilon o \nu i ́ \delta o v ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ~$



${ }_{25} \mu^{\epsilon} \nu 0 \nu \tau \alpha$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i \nu$ т $\rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \Lambda \epsilon o \nu i ́ \delta \eta \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon-~$
 $\xi \in \nu \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho a ́ \kappa \tau \omega \rho$ $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\delta} \epsilon \nu$ ка $\theta^{\prime} \quad \grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ oi-

 $30 \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ סıкаí $\omega \nu \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \tau \nu \quad \alpha \quad \alpha \tau \epsilon \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ каi $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \epsilon \xi \neq \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$. (2nd hand) $\Theta$ ' $\epsilon \nu \nu$ ' $O \nu \nu \omega ́ \phi \rho t o s$ $\overline{\dot{v} \pi} \eta \rho \epsilon ́ \tau \eta S \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \delta \epsilon \in \delta \omega \kappa \alpha$ то仑̂ $\pi \rho о \kappa \epsilon \iota \mu(\epsilon \in \nu O \nu)$
 [кторє $̀ s$ каӨи́кєє.
8. 1. At $\omega$ viö : cf. 11. $21,23,25$. 23. Above the left-hand limb of $\eta$ of $\epsilon \delta \eta$ there is a vertical stroke, which is not in the right position for an inserted iota adscript.
' . . . [not only]. . . but also . . . ventured wrongfully [without our knowledge ?] to alienate at Alexandria the security to Philostratus son of Zoilus, to whom with your just concurrence we presented through you a notification concerning his improper purchase. In addition to this the said Leonides, heedless of the reckoning that would follow, provided himself with a memorandum from the bureau to the collector of external debts here on the plea of other sums being due to him from our father, whereas on the contrary he was our debtor, as stated above, and served upon us a copy of this through his son Apion on the fourteenth of the present month Epeiph. Wherefore we perforce come forward with the request that this memorandum should be duly placed on record, and that a copy of it be served through an attendant both upon Apion on his father's account and upon the collector of external debts, in order that Apion may know that everything that has been done by his father Leonides to our hurt is invalid and that our claim holds good against Leonides on all the counts that we have against him, and that the collector of external debts may take no step against us in consequence of the aforesaid memorandum before the trial of the case. For we maintain and shall maintain all our subsisting rights. (Endorsed) I, Theon son of Onnophris, assistant, have duly presented a copy of the above memorandum to the collector of external debts.'
3. Perhaps $\dot{\alpha}[\gamma \nu o \eta \sigma a ́ v t \omega \nu$; the letter before the lacuna had a rounded base suiting e.g. $a, \epsilon, \theta$, or $\omega$.
6. $\delta \iota a \sigma \tau o \lambda \iota \kappa o ́ v$ is a term applied to notifications of various kinds; cf. e.g. P. Brit. Mus. 1231. 25 . The document which in l. 10 is described as a $i \pi o ́ \mu \nu \eta \mu a$ is often called ঠıaбто入ıкóv ; cf. Mitteis, Grundziĭge, p. 124.

9-10. Cf. e. g. 485. 3, B. G. U. ı038. 9, P. Leipzig 120. 3, Mitteis, Grundzilge, pp. ${ }^{1} 59$ sqq.
11. For the $\dot{\xi} \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a ́ \kappa т \omega \rho$ in the Roman period cf. 286. ${ }^{15}, 712.1,8,825$, B. G. U. 970. 26, 1038. 13, P. Leipzig 120. 1, Mitteis, Grundzuige, pp. $30,159 \mathrm{sqq}$. It is remarkable that here too the person suing for the debt was apparently living outside the Oxyrhynchite nome (ll. 4, 15-16, 20-1), but whether the functions of the $\xi \in \nu \kappa \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a ́ \kappa \pi \omega \rho$ were limited to such cases, as suggested in P. Tebt. I. p. 56, P. Oxy. II. p. 279 , IV. p. 178, is very doubtful.
 $\pi o ́ \lambda(\epsilon \omega s)$ rather implies the contrary).
 pp. 33-4.

29-3I. Cf. e. g. 282. 18-2 I, 286. 22-4, and Strassb. 74. 17-18, where no doubt yáp should be read in place of $\pi \rho^{\prime}$; I do not see in the facsimile the justification for the spelling д̀vтє́Хш $\mu a$.

32-4. Cf. 485. 49-50, P. Brit. Mus. 908. 39-40, Flor. 56. 22-3. In 485. 50 каӨ́̆кєє is more probably to be restored than $\pi \rho o ́ к \varepsilon \epsilon \tau a t$.

## 1204. Petition to a Strategus.

$20.4 \times 27.2 \mathrm{~cm}$.
A. D. 299.

The following very interesting petition represents a stage in some legal proceedings taken by Aurelius Plutarchus in consequence of his nomination for the office of decemprimus, from which, he maintains, his rank exempted him. In order to release himself from municipal burdens, as is expressly stated in 1. 13, Plutarchus had obtained from the Emperors the rank of крátıatos, i. e. vir egregius. Sometime afterwards, while absent on a special mission in the Small Oasis, he had been nominated to the office in question. He at once instituted proceedings of appeal through his father (cf. Dig. 1. 5. I qui cxausatione aliqua utuntur . . . necesse habent appcllare), and had also applied to the rationalis (каволькós), the chief of the general department of finance, before whose tribunal he appeared. The rationalis reserved judgement, ordering documentary evidence to be produced and notice to be given to the official responsible for the appointment. Plutarchus accordingly now forwards a copy of the official report of this preliminary bearing to the strategus, with the request that the necessary notification should be made.

That senatorial rank brought release from local munera is well known (cf. Dig. 1. 1. $22-3$ ). It was not however clear that this privilege was enjoyed by those whose dignity was merely honorary ; cf. Mommsen, Röm. Staatsrccht, iii. p. $473^{4}$ ' bei einer Person bloss senatorischen Standes, die ausserhalb Rom wohnt, kann allerdings die Frage aufgeworfen werden, ob sie nicht als incola leistungspflichtig ist'. It is therefore surprising to find a provincial of a rank lower than the senatorial claiming exemption, for the egregiatus (кратьซтєía, 1. 15) was but a degree in the equestrian order (cf. Hirschfeld, Sitz-Ber. Berl. Akad. I 901 , pp. $5^{84}$ sqq.) No doubt the term крáтєбтos was not unfrequently employed where $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ \tau a \tau o s$ would be expected (cf. Magie, Dc Rom. iuris vocabulis sollem. p. 3i) ; but if Plutarchus had really acquired senatorial rank the fact would surely have been expressed on the present occasion with more precision. Nevertheless he asserts that the nomination was absolutely illegal ; and though his advocate speaks with
 point. The object of the latter's examination is rather to establish the relative
dates of Plutarclus' attainment of rank and his nomination to office ; cf. Dig. 1. 6. 6.7 si ante quis ad munera municipalia vocatus sit quam negotiari inciperct, ach antiquam in collcgium adsumeretur quod immunitatcm pariat, . . . compellatur ad honorem gercndum.

The papyrus makes an addition to the list of praefects in the person of Aelius Publius, who is mentioned in 11. 7-8.
 $M \alpha \xi \iota \mu \iota \nu o \hat{v}$ тò $\varsigma \Sigma_{\epsilon} \in \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$.

 oủ $\delta \epsilon$ óvtшs каì $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha s ~$


 इapađá $\mu \mu \omega \nu$ оs тô каi $\Delta$ tovvaíov




 тòv кúplóv $\mu$ ou тòv
 $\dot{\nu} \pi о \mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ аù兀̀̀ $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$
 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \lambda \alpha i ̂ \mu \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \rho о \kappa \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega$,
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\nu} \Delta \iota о \kappa \lambda \eta \tau \iota \alpha \nu$ ô $\Sigma \epsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v}$ тò § каi


 $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \dot{\mu \epsilon \nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ó $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \grave{\omega} s$




 '่ $\nu \quad \alpha \nu \dot{\tau} \hat{\omega}$. $\quad \delta \iota \in \tau \in ́ \lambda \in \sigma \in \nu$
 $\gamma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ тoîs $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \iota \xi \delta \nu \omega \nu$.


 тเळ́таs, $\triangle \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho \iota \alpha \nu o ́ s ~ \tau \iota s$
 $\mu \alpha ́ \oint \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ єis $\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \pi \rho \omega \tau \epsilon i \alpha \nu$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о \cup \rho \gamma \iota \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \epsilon \iota-$




 є́ $\nu \nu 0 \mu \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa о v \sigma \theta \epsilon i \eta, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon i \lambda \alpha \dot{-}$
 $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ кє́ $\overline{\epsilon \epsilon \sigma \sigma \nu \text { є́к } \kappa \circ \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota . ~}$


 тòv $\pi \rho о к \epsilon i ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu \quad \Delta \eta[\mu \eta \tau \rho \iota a-$
[vòv





'In the consulships of our lords the Emperors Diocletianus Augustus for the seventh and Maximianus Augustus for the sixth time. To Aurelius Zenogenes, strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, from Aurelius Plutarchus also called Atactius, excellency, and however I am styled. Having been nominated wrongfully and in contravention of all law for the decemprimate by Aurelius Demetrianus, decemprimus of the western toparchy, I brought an action of appeal through my father Aurelius Sarapammon also called Dionysius, and
however he is styled, because I was at the time in the Small Oasis for the discharge of the soldiers stationed there, in accordance with the order of my lord the most honourable praefect of Egypt Aelius Publius; and having taken the proper steps for the appeal I had recourse 10 my lord the most honourable catholicus Pomponius Domnus, and applied to him in a memorandum setting these facts before him. Whereas then his highness ordered me by a judgement to give notice to the aforesaid person, the essential part of the proceedings and the judgement being as follows:-

In the consulship of our lords Diocletianus Augustus for the seventh time and Maximianus Augustus for the sixth time, August 19, at Alexandria, in court. Plutarchus, excellency, having been summoned, Isidorus said, "His excellency Plutarchus who has presented himself before your eminence, endeavouring to find for himself a release from municipal offices, some time ago besought the divine fortune of our masters the Augusti and Caesars to grant him the rank of excellency, and their divine fortune consented and granted it, and he now enjoys it. Now he has continued in obedience to your lordship's department and also to the orders of you magnates. Lately when he was in the Small Oasis, where he had been sent by my lord your colleague Publius the most honourable praefect to discharge the soldiers, a certain Demetrianus, an Oxyrhynchite of the same city, made a design upon him and ventured to nominate him for the decemprimate, ignoring his acquisition of a superior rank, which presumably releases him from municipal offices". After other evidence Dommus the most honourable catholicus said, "Read the day of his appointment". Plutarchus said, "Pauni 30. I was away in the Oasis; I came back when I knew". Domnus the most honourable catholicus said, "Let the document containing the appointment be produced, and let him also show the following correspondence, as I ordered; and that he may be heard in a more regular way, let him give notice to the person who nominated (?) him for the decemprimate". Gregorius said, "Give orders for the issue of the minutes". Domnus the most honourable catholicus said, "They shall be issued". I, Olympius, official notary, issued the minutes.

Wherefore I beg, if it seem good to you, . . .'
4. This nomination of one $\delta є \kappa a ́ \pi \rho \omega \tau$ os by another is noticeable.


 Plutarchus commenced proceedings through his father because the period during which an appeal was allowed was limited ; cf. the passage already cited in P. Amh. 82, which proceeds
 ordine eiusmodi appellationum peragendo non servaverint, merito praescriptione repelluntur.
 a term technically used of the discharge of soldiers, e. g. Plautus, Curc. 4. 4. 29 miles . . . expuncto in manipulo, Dig. xlix. 16. 5 ex causa desertionis notatus ac restitutus temporis quod in desertione fuerit impendiis expungitur. No doubt the same word was meant in B. G. U. 435 . 14 '̇छ $\ddagger$ оиукєроя (saec. II-III).

10-11. Perhaps something has been omitted; cf. the critical note.
12. $\sigma \eta \kappa \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega=$ secretario, for which cf. e.g. P. Thead. 13. I in secret(ario), Leipzig 38. i. r, C. Just. i. 48.3, iii. 24. 3, xii. 19. 5. $\sigma \in \kappa \kappa \rho \epsilon \tau о \nu$ or $\sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa \rho \eta \tau o \nu$ is the usual Greek


${ }^{15}$. Kaıซáp $\omega \nu$ : i. e. Constantius and Galerius.

24. The misuse of the optative is noticeable in an official document of this period.
25. The letters $\epsilon \lambda a \mu$, which are clear, suggest nothing which suits the sense and construction. Some word like óvopíवаvть is expected, and possibly this has been miscopied; or $\lambda a \mu \beta[$ ávovtı $]$ might be adopted. The slight vestige of the letter after $\mu$ is indecisive.

тà $\mathbf{i \pi} \pi \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a:$ i. e. the minutes or memoranda of the proceedings, which the petitioner was thus enabled to quote. Cf. P. Leipzig 38. i. 17-18, and Cairo Cat. 67131. 28-30, where something like àriypaфov кє́ $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma o v$ is to be supplied in 1. 23.
27. At this point the petitioner resumes, and a[ may be $\bar{i}\left[\xi \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega}\right.$. Further on ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\omega} \pi[\iota \omega \nu$ might be read (cf. e. g. P. Flor. 56.20 ), but does not combine well with the other remains.

## (d) CONTRACTS.

## 1205. MANUMISSION inter amicos.

Fr. $114 \times 16.9 \mathrm{~cm}$.
A. D. 29 I.

The solitary specimen hitherto known of a manumission inter amicos was published in 1904 by S . de Ricci from a tablet in the Amherst collection (Proc. Soc. Bibl. Arch. xxvi. pp. 145 sqq.; reprinted by Girard, Textes de droit rom. ${ }^{3}$ p. 849, Mitteis, Clirest. p. 405 ; cf. Grundz. p. 272). That document was in Latin, with Greek signatures. The following second example, which is of greater length, of this form of manumission is in Greek throughout, but here too Latin was apparently the original language ; cf. the note on l. I. An additional feature of interest is that several of the persons concerned were Jews. Unfortunately there is a large gap at the beginnings of the lines, extending, as 1 . 15 shows, to some 40 letters throughout. Nevertheless, though there is some obscurity in detail, the general sense is sufficiently clear. The manumittors were either a man and his half-sister, acting with a curator, or perhaps two half-sisters; and the persons freed were a middle-aged female with her two young children, one of whom was named Jacob. The ransom was paid by the Jewish synagogue, presumably that of Oxyrhynchus, and reached the large sum of 14 talents of silver. To ransom Jewish slaves from Gentile ownership was regarded as a duty incumbent upon the community, if their own relatives were unable to perform it (this is recognized by the Talmud, e. g. Baba Bathra, fol. 8 ad fin., a reference which I owe to Dr. Cowley; cf. S. Krauss, Talmudische Archäol. ii. pp. 98-9); and it seems probable that the action of the synagogue in the present case is to be connected with that religious obligation. But there is some uncertainty as to the nationality of the manumittors ; cf. the note on 1.8 .



[ $\rho$ átopos
33 letters
] $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o ́ \xi o v ~ \Pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$



$[\rho \omega \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\alpha} \alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{v} \sigma \alpha \mu \nu \quad 17$ letters $\quad \alpha \pi \grave{\partial}] \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \tau o \hat{v} \pi \alpha \tau \rho \omega$ -



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \sum_{\text {vpías }} \Pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \nu \eta s$ татрòs $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ 32 letters
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \nu \rho i ́ o v] \quad \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \sigma-$
$\sigma \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega^{\prime} s \tau \epsilon \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu 0 \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu \eta s$










 Kaíбароs Ма́ркоv Av̉р $\lambda$ íov Ov̉a入єрíov
$\left[M \alpha \xi \iota \mu \iota \nu 0 \hat{v} \Gamma \epsilon \rho \mu \alpha \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu M_{\epsilon} \gamma^{\prime} \sigma \tau \omega \nu E \hat{v} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} \nu E \dot{v} \tau v \chi \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta \alpha \sigma \tau\right] \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \Phi \alpha \rho \mu[0 \hat{v}] \theta_{\iota}$ [... $\grave{\eta}] \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \underset{\epsilon}{\alpha} \epsilon \in \nu \epsilon \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon-$ [ка́т $\eta$.

Vestiges of two lines of signature.
Fragments of signature


$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{2} 5
\end{aligned}
$$

 1. і́тát $\omega \nu$.
 єрипреia is indicated by Latinisms in the Greek; cf. Il. 13-14. Apparently the deed was bilingual, as e. g. 1201; there is a fair margin above this line and the edge of the papyrus is straight, but perhaps the Latin text preceded in a separate column.
3. кпv[ри́тороя: cf. 888. 3, note, B. G. U. 705.3 , Mitteis, Grundzïge, p. 250.
4. $\pi a p u \delta \delta^{\xi}$ ov may be either a proper name, as in B. G. U. $3^{62}$. xiv. IO, or a title signifying
 пapaóógov, \&c., and Meyer's note on P. Hamburg 2 I. 3. For Парацóvך cf. e. g. 1044. 23 Пара́ $о$ ооя.
5. тà тav́tŋs тékva: cf. 1. I9.
7. For the Jewish colony at Oxyrhynchus of. 335 (A. D. 83 ) which not only mentions
 'Iovঠauк̀̀ " " $\mu ф о \delta o \nu$. Fragments in Aramaic have occurred among the Oxyrhynchus papyri.
8. This reference to the ' $\Omega v e i$ ital is rather puzzling. Since they had a $\beta$ ou $\lambda \dot{\eta}$, their town must have been a considerable place, but its identity is not evident. Besides the Egyptian $" \Omega \nu$ (Heliopolis), which naturally could not be described as belonging to Palestine, even if its inhabitants could be called 'sveirau, there was according to Cheyne in Black's Encycl. Bibl. Col. 3500 a district bearing the same name in S. Palestine, but the supposed biblical allusions to it rest upon conjecture. Possibly the Benjamite Ono, to which references occur in post-exilic literature ( 1 Chron. viii. 12 , Ezra ii. 33, Neh. vi. 2), is meant.

Another question which is not quite easily answered is, of what woman was this Bovieuti)s ' $\Omega \nu \epsilon \epsilon \tau \omega \bar{\omega}$ the father? If of the $\dot{\delta} \mu о \mu \eta \tau \rho i a \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \dot{\eta}$, the manumitting family was Jewish. But her
 named in 1. 3. Moreover, if the manumittors were Jews, the part played by the synagogue is not readily explained, for that body does not merely witness and confirm the transaction, as e.g. in the manumissions from Panticapaeum (C.I. G. $2114^{\text {b }}$, Latyschev, Inscr. Ponti
 Harkavy, p. 65), but pays the purchase money. This action would be more intelligible if the owners were Gentiles and the slave a Jewess; the objection to that view, however, is that the latter is described in 1. 4 as oikoyevis, and to regard this description as inaccurate is a somewhat arbitrary assumption. It is of course not certain that ]rov in 1.8 is to be restored 'Iov́q]rov: $\left.\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \eta \gamma \eta\right]$ rove, as in 1.3 , is an alternative; but this does not affect the difficulty.
12. The supplement is quite conjectural. In the line below, the gap may be filled by

13. é $\pi \rho a ́ \chi \theta \eta=$ actum; cf. e. g. the Amherst tablets 1. in 2, 1114. 38, \&c.
14. The day of the month according to the Roman calendar preceded $\epsilon \pi i$; cf. the Amherst tablets 1. is.

I 8 sqq . The arrangement adopted of these three detached pieces is suggested as well by the handwriting and spacing of the lines as by the satisfactory restorations obtainable in 11. 19 and $21-2$. Some small unplaced scraps are not printed.
24. Eìvєßia was perhaps the name of the $\dot{\delta} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \eta \tau \rho i a \operatorname{a} \delta \bar{\delta} \lambda \phi \dot{\eta}$.

25-8. The letters ]'lovar[ and those immediately below them seem to be in a different hand from those opposite on the left. If that is so, some of the signatures must have been written in separate columns. táda]ита ঠ[є́ка cannot be read in l. 26.

## 1206. ADOPTION.

$$
23.5 \times 15.6 \mathrm{~cm} .
$$

$$
\text { A. D. } 335 .
$$

Like manumission inter amicos (1205), adoption has hitherto been represented by a single text, P. Leipzig 28, first published by Mitteis in Archiv iii. pp. 173 sqq. and lately reprinted by him in Chrestomathie, p. 406. A second example is therefore very welcome. It is some fifty years older than the Leipzig specimen and rather simpler though essentially similar in form. A husband and wife, Heracles and Isarion, agree to the adoption of their two-year-old son by Horion, who promises that the boy shall be his heir. Apparently there was no affinity between the contracting parties, nor is there any obvious reason for the adoption as in the Leipzig text, where an uncle adopts his fatherless nephew. Another small point of contrast is the absence here of stipulations about proper food and clothing, which are replaced by the negative guarantee that the boy should not be repudiated or reduced to a state of servitude. These however are minor details; the important feature from the juristic standpoint is that the transaction is regarded as a purely private affair, the forms prescribed at this period by Roman law, the sanction of an imperial rescript and the intervention of the praefect (C. Fust. viii. 47.2 ), being in complete abeyance, and that the participators are not concerned with any constitution of patria potestas (although, as 1208.6 shows, that was not quite a dead letter in the provinces), but simply with the upbringing and eventual testamentary succession of the adopted child; cf. Mitteis, Grundaiige, pp. 274-5.


 $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi(\rho о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta)$ ' $O \xi v \rho \nu \gamma[X(\tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu)$






















 erasure. 13. 1. रvvaкi Eíaapị. 14. First $\epsilon$ of $\epsilon \kappa \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu a \iota$ corr. from $\delta$. 15. l. $\pi a \rho a-$ ßaivetv. 16. l. $\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon i \sigma \theta a t$. 20. ı of $\omega \rho \iota \omega \nu$ rewritten.
' In the consulship of Julius Constantius, patrician, brother of our lord Constantinus Augustus and Rufius Albinus the most illustrious. Aurelius Heracles son of Harasis, whose home is in the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, and his wife Aurelia Isarion daughter of Agathon of the said city, and Aurelius Horion son of Horion of the said city, mutual greetings. We agree, Heracles and his wife Isarion on the one part, that we have given away to you, Horion, for adoption our son Patermouthis, aged about two years, and I Horion on the other part, that I have him as my own son so that the rights proceeding from succession to my inheritance shall be maintained for him, and it shall not be lawful for me to disavow him or to reduce him to slavery, because he is well born and the son of well born and free parents, and in the same way it shall not be lawful for us, Heracleus and his wife Isarion, to remove the boy from you, Horion, because we have once for all given him to you for adoption, nor shall it hereafter be lawful for any one to transgress the terms herein written, because we have consented and agreed on these conditions. This deed of adoption, done in duplicate so that each party may have a copy, is valid, and in answer to each other's question we have given our assent, in the consulship aforesaid, Pharmouthi...
' I, Aurelius Horion, have received the boy for adoption and will register him as my own son so that the rights from succession as my heir shall be maintained for him as aforesaid, and in answer to the question I have given my assent. I, Aurelius . . ., wrote for him, as he was illiterate.'


6. $\grave{\epsilon} \delta \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa є \nu a u:$ it seems not unlikely that the same word should be read in P. Leipzig 28. $1_{3}$, where Mitteis gives $\pi[a p] a \delta \in \delta \omega \kappa$ ќvat.
8. There is no room for $\omega s$ after $\tau o[\hat{v} \tau] o v$, which however is doubtfully read. Cf.
 диіјкьоу каї фибько́v.
12. 'Нраклєị : 'Нраклєî is expected from 1l. 3 and 6.

## 1207. LEASE OF A CAMEL-Stable.

$$
7.2 \times 9.3 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

A. D. 175-6 ?

Part of a lease for five years of premises which had been used for keeping camels, and were now to be turned into a fowl-house. The rent was 300 drachmae per annum, with yearly extras of 4 cocks, 8 hens, and 100 eggs, besides a donation to the lessors' servants of 8 drachmae 'for a libation'. The reign of which the seventeenth year is referred to in 1.2 may be that of Marcus Aurelius.

$$
\left.\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} ' O \xi v \rho v ́ \gamma \chi \omega\right]!\nu \quad \pi[0] \lambda \epsilon[\omega s] \text { '́ } \pi \grave{\iota} \text { Хрóvo }
$$





 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \epsilon \tau i ́ \alpha \nu$ кат ${ }^{\prime}$ є̈тоs $\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ трьакобí-
 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \nu$, ó $\rho \nu \epsilon i \theta \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu$ тока́ $\delta \omega \nu$







$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [ } \alpha \cup \mathfrak{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \text { то́тоוs . . }
\end{aligned}
$$

2. ívo ovzos Pap. 7. First $a$ of $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau a \epsilon \tau u \nu$ corr. from $\epsilon$. 14. фацє $\nu \omega \theta$ Pap.
'[. . . have leased to . . ., ] of the city of Oxyrhynchus, for a period of five years from Thoth I of the coming 1 yth year the camel-stall which they have in the quarter of the Hermaeum together with all its appurtenances, for a fowl-house, excluding any parts needed for an oil-press that may be chosen by Epimachus, the rent for the rest of the premises for the term of five years being 300 drachmae annually, with an additional payment likewise annually of 4 cocks in perfect condition, 8 laying hens in perfect condition, 100 eggs, and 8 drachmae for the slaves for a libation. On the lease being guaranteed, the lessee shall pay to the lessors the additional payments whenever they wish and the rent at the two fixed dates of Phamenoth and Mesore with no delay. The lessee with his sons or [other agents] shall then utilize the premises leased to him . . .
3. Epimachus was one of the lessors.
4. є́ктакта, like є’छаірєта (cf. Berger, Strafklauseln, p. ${ }^{5} 56^{2}$, Meyer, P. Hamburg, p. i8), are special or separate payments as distinguished from the фópos ámótaktos or rent proper.



 cf. ibid. $269.4,8$. In a Rylands lease of land one cock is stipulated for.
5. ó $\rho \nu \in i \theta \omega \nu$ : probably the фópos ópvi ${ }^{\circ} \omega \nu$ coupled in P. Strassb. 56. 67-9 with фópos $\pi \rho \dot{\beta} \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu$ refers to fowls and not, as supposed by Preisigke, to pigeons; cf. P. Giessen 8 ı. 6.
 P. Hamburg 94.33 , n., P.S.I. 109 A 7.
6. oís éà aip $\hat{p}[\tau] a[l]$ is not satisfactory though perhaps just possible ; but viois kai is very uncertain.
7. Public Acknowledgement of a Contract of Sale.

$$
23.2 \times 37.3 \mathrm{~cm} .
$$

A. D. 291.

This long and interesting document contains an affirmation ( $\grave{\kappa \mu \alpha \rho \tau v ́ \rho \eta \sigma \iota s, ~}$ 1. 30 ), drawn up before the representative of the agoranomus (cf. note on 1.2), of the validity of a private contract of sale and cession dating from the previous year. The property sold and ceded by the contract, a copy of which is given (11. $6-28$ ), was $\frac{4}{5}$ of an aroura of arable land, with a share in appliances for irrigating, for which the large sum of I talent 3,000 drachmae was paid.

What is the significance of this process of $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{v} \rho \eta \sigma \iota s ?$ Another example of it is $\mathbf{9 5}$, a re-affirmation of a contract for the sale of a slave, but that papyrus is unfortunately incomplete. It has occurred in the present volume in 1199. I9, and a similar allusion is to be recognized, according to Eger's obviously right

 to which '̇кцарти́p $\eta \sigma \iota s$ was thus applied were alike in this, that they were all private cheirographa. By the éккарли́p $\bar{\sigma} \iota s$ the cheirographon was embodied in a notarial document, and so elevated into a $\grave{\eta \mu o ́ \sigma \iota o s ~ \chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s . ~ T h e ~}$ process would thus appear to be a form of publication. According to 1208. 5, however, the purchaser of the land, who makes the é $\kappa \mu a \rho \tau \dot{v} \rho \eta \sigma \iota s$, had already presented to the keeper of the $\mu \nu \eta \mu \nu v \in i o v$ a copy of the original contract for
 in close association (cf. e. g. I. 2), and the possibility remains that the registration and the éкцарти́p $\quad \sigma \iota s$ were parts or stages of the same process. According to 1199, è $\kappa \mu a \rho \tau \dot{v} \rho \eta \sigma \iota s$ preceded the application to the $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota о$ úлaкєs for $\pi \alpha \rho a ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$.

But in any case we here seem to obtain a proof which has hitherto been lacking that $\delta \eta \mu o \sigma i \omega \sigma \iota s$ or public registration of cheirographa could be effected elsewhere than at the archives of Alexandria. Some indications of this local publication have indeed already occurred: see P. Leipzig $3^{1}$ (Oxyrhynchus), Amh. 98 (Hermopolis), and especially Grenf. ii. 70 (Kusis), which is closely similar in form to the present document and can now be better understood; cf. ibid. 71 . $2^{2}-6$. But the evidence of those documents was not sufficiently explicit to convince Mitteis, who in Grundziige, p. 86, adheres to the view that the publication of cheirographa 'bei den ländlichen $\gamma \rho a \phi \in i ́ a ~ n i c h t ~ v o l l z o g e n ~ w e r d e n ~ k o n n t e ' . ~$ The clear statement of 1.5 renders that view no longer tenable. There is no word here of the Alexandrian libraries, and the $\delta \eta \mu o \sigma i \omega \sigma \iota s$ provided for in 1l. 24-5 is carried out on the spot.




 à үорагорíov каi $\mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu i ́ o v . ~$














 $\dot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rho \circ \nu \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$


 ódòs каі̀ ধ́к $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ v́d $\rho \tau \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ каì $\mu \eta \chi^{\alpha \nu} \hat{\eta}_{s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \pi \epsilon \phi \omega \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha ’ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda o v s$


 $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \theta \in \epsilon \nu$ бol $\dot{\omega} s \pi \rho о \sigma \phi \in \rho \eta$


 є́күóvous











 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \omega \rho о \cup \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 v$,





 $\delta i \alpha ̀ \quad \delta \eta-$




 $\pi \epsilon ́ \pi \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha$ каì $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \chi$ '́ $\rho \eta \sigma \alpha$ тò $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \tau о \nu$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho о s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho о \cup \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \in \sigma \sigma \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu$


 $\rho(\omega \tau \eta \theta \in i s) ~ \dot{\omega} \mu о \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha$.


 '゙ $\omega$ мs тоútou





$31 \quad \gamma \rho \alpha ́[\mu] \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$.







'The seventh year of the Emperor Caesar Gaius Aurelius Valerius Diocletianus and the sixth year of the Emperor Caesar Marcus Aurelius Valerius Maximianus Germanici Maximi Pii Felices Augusti, Xandicus-Mecheir, in the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, before Aurelius Agathinus also called Origenes, farmer of the tax payable to the agoranomi and recorders. Aurelia Thermouthion surnamed Tanechotis, daughter of Nepheros and Tanechotis, of the village of Pakerke in the eastern toparchy, being not yet of age and acting through her father Aurelius Nepheros son of Dionysius, of the said village, acknowledges, in the street, that she hereby deposes at her own valuation to the autograph deed of sale formerly agreed to with her by Aurelius Thonius son of Thonis, of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, and drawn up in the past year on the rith of the month Epeiph, of which she, the acknowledging party, presented a single
authentic copy to the keeper of the record office to be deposited in the local archives, of which the following is a copy.
'Aurelius Thonius son of Thonis and Artemidora, of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, with his father, who has him under power according to Roman law, as co-guarantor, namely Aurelius Thonius son of Serenus and Isarous, of the said city, to Aurelia Thermouthion surnamed Tanechotis, daughter of Nepheros and Tanechotis, of the village of Pakerke in the castern toparchy, being not yet of age and acting through her father Aurelius Nepheros son of Dionysins, of the said village, greeting. I acknowledge that I have sold and ceded to you from henceforth for ever my property by right of inheritance and formerly belonging to my aforesaid mother Aurelia Artemidora daughter of Pausiris and Iseis, of the said city, who died leaving me and my brothers, namely my full brother Aurelius Demetrius and my half-brothers on my mother's side, Aurelius Diogenes, Aurelius Isidorus, and Aurelius Iseis, her three children by Hermias, the five of us, her sons and heirs, which was hers by right of inheritance, having formerly belonged to her father Aurelius Pausiris son of Dionysius and Artemidora, of the said city, in accordance with the will left by him in the second year of Claudius which was the first year of Aurelian, the . . of the month Tubi, and opened after his death, and bad been bought by the father of Pausiris, Aurelius Herammon son of Pausanias also called Eutychus, his mother being Hermione, of the said city, in accordance with an autograph sale and cession drawn up in the 12th year of Gallienus, Pachon 23, at the village of Pakerke in the eastern toparchy, in the holding of . . . with that of Epanthes, the fifth part of four arable arourae of private land, that is $\frac{4}{5}$ of an aroura, forming part of a parcel of 8 arourae held jointly with Horion son of Acrono..., which themselves formed part of a total of 28 arourae, or thereabouts, containing irrigators and a machine fitted with all wood-work and iron-work, together with the proportionate share of the irrigators and machine, the boundaries being on the south a road and on the other three sides a canal, at the price and cession-value agreed upon between us for the fifth part of the aforesaid four arourae of private land and irrigators and machine, namely 9,000 drachmae of the Imperial silver coinage, that is one talent 3,000 drachmae of silver, which I have forthwith received from you through your said father from hand to hand in full, and for which the land is bestowed upon you as a present and gift unchangeable and irrevocable, and to your question whether I have counted the money in full with the concurrence and assistance of my father I have given my assent. You shall therefore possess and own with your descendants and successors the fifth part sold and ceded to you by me as aforesaid of the four arable arourae of private land and the share of the irrigators and machine, and shall have power to use and dispose of it as you choose, no right of proceeding against it or any part of it in any wise being left to me nor to any one else on my behalf, and I will of necessity deliver it to you guaranteed perpetually against all claims with every guarantee, free from cultivation of royal or domain land and from every impost and debt and lien public and private, and from municipal . . . and every other impost and from construction and . . . of dykes and from public dues and requisitions and contributions paid for other purposes of every kind up to and including the present 6th and 5 th year, because from the coming 7 th and 6 th year the proceeds of this property are yours who are purchasing it and having it ceded to you, and who are to be responsible for the public dues and all requisitions from the end of the present 6 th and 5 th year. And every one who in any manner proceeds against or claims this property, whether the whole or a part of it, I will of necessity and at once repel at my own cost, as if in consequence of a legal decision. This sale and cession, of which three copies are made, is valid, and you shall whenever you choose make it public without requiring any further approval from me, because I now approve the eventual publication, and to your question made through your father whether this is rightly and fairly done we have given our assent.' Date
and signatures of the parties to the contract and of Agathinus, the official of the record office.
2. The second name of Agathinus, as is shown by his signature in l. 32, was ' $\Omega p e \gamma e ́ \nu \eta s$, which was here misspelled in some way; perhaps ' $\Omega \rho \omega \gamma^{\prime}$ 'טous was written.


 as a tax is found in P. Brit. Mus. 856 . 17. It is now seen that these tax-farmers could discharge the notarial functions of the agoranomus ; the present document is drawn up, like

 replaced in this manner is obscure. It is noteworthy in this connexion that in Heracleopolite contracts of the third century the regular phrase is $\delta i^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \rho \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \gamma o \rho a \nu o \mu i a s$.


 $\tau \epsilon \mu \dot{\prime} \mu a \tau o s \tau^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ os, P. Leipzig 10. ii. 21 . The analogy of the two latter passages suggests that here too a télos was in view, though it is not directly named.
 the cheirographon. For $\sigma v v к a \tau a \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon v o \nu$ cf. e.g. 1200. 47 . In the present passage too $\sigma \dot{v} v$ must imply a $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\delta} \mu \nu \mu a$ or some similar accompanying document.
6. $\sigma v v \beta \epsilon \beta a \iota \omega \tau о 仑$ : cf. B. G. U. 937. 6, C. P. R. 149. 6, P. Leipzig 4. 6, 5. ii. 2.
inò rî $\chi \in \iota p i=i n ~ m a m u$, commonly used of the status of married women, but also of children e. g. Inst. i. I 2. 6 filios suos vel filues . . sua mamu dimitterent, Cod. Just. vii. 40. 1. 2 fliis familias . . postquam mamu paterna . . . fuerint liberati. Nitteis perhaps goes rather too far in asserting (Grundziige, p. 275) that the patria potestas was to the Romanized provincial a matter of no importance.
7. Өwviou: cf. 1. 28 Өஸ́vos ; but in 1. 6 Ө'́vos is given as the genitive.
10. Is(e) is is apparently masculine also in P. Brit. Mus. 188. 46.
 show that Claudius reached a third year ; cf. P. Strassb. 7. 21. Presumably $\gamma$ should be read for $\beta$; the copyist makes a mistake in figures in l. 23 also, not to mention other inaccuracies. For $[\hat{\eta}] d \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \lambda\langle o \imath\rangle \pi \epsilon \nu \ldots \lambda v \in[\epsilon i] \sigma \eta$ cf. e. g. P. Leipzig Io. ii. 12-1 3 .
13. $\sigma[\nu \nu \tau] \hat{\omega} \ldots \kappa \lambda i \rho \omega \nu$ : the same mistake occurs in 1124. $21-4$.




16-17. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \epsilon \rho \eta$ is apparently for $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi$ орáv ; cf. e.g. C.P.R. 24.8 кат $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \circ \rho \dot{a} \nu$



 $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda$ єías. For $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \lambda a \sigma \mu \sigma i$ cf. P. Tebt. 373. in 2 , note.

 $\tau]$ óv should be restored on the present analogy, and e.g. 504. 26-7, P. Leipzig 6. 12-13.
24. ka $\theta \dot{0}[\pi \in \rho \bar{\epsilon}] k \delta i k \eta s:$ so probably P. Giessen 5 I. 21 rather than kaì $\delta a \pi a ́ v a u s$.
$24-5$. C. e.g. 1200. $34-7$. In 95.35 a negative is to be supplied before $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta \bar{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \theta a \iota$.

 rightly read by Wilcken, Archiv iii. p. 124. The formula є̇кто̀s $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi$ тpoartєi $\omega \nu$ found in l. 3 of that papyrus and in others from the Great Oasis seems to be the local phrase corresponding to the Oxyrhynchite $\epsilon^{e} \nu$ advuậ.
 supposing this use of $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \pi i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ to be confined to the Ptolemaic period (La vente dans les papyrus, p. 87) has already been pointed out by Mitteis, Grundziige, p. $6 \mathrm{I}^{1}$.

> 1209. SALE OF A SLAVE.
> $2 \mathrm{I} \cdot 5 \times 12 \cdot 7 \mathrm{~cm}$.

A contract for the sale of a young female slave and her infant son at the price of 2,000 drachmae ; cf. $94-5,263$, B. G. U. I93, \&c., Mitteis, Grundzuige, pp. 192-4. The deed was drawn up, like 1208, before an à $\quad \chi o \lambda o u ́ \mu \in v o s ~ \grave{\omega} \downarrow \dot{\eta} v$ ájopaloutíov, on whom see the note on 1208. 2.

As a small point of palaeographical interest it may be noted that in two places (1. $6 \mu \eta \tau \rho o ́(s), 1$. I $5 \mu \in \lambda i x \rho o(v v))$ the writer of this papyrus abbreviates words without any suspension of letters or other indication of abbreviation. This method is not therefore confined to the early Ptolemaic period, as supposed by Wilcken, Grundzïge, p. xl ; cf. Mitteis, Chrestomathie, p. Ioı.


$[E \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon] \beta \hat{\omega} \nu \quad E u ̛ \tau v \chi[\hat{\omega}] \nu \quad \sum_{\epsilon} \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \Delta \alpha \iota \sigma i ́ o v \quad \Phi \alpha \rho \mu o v ̂ \theta$




























 [ $\dot{\omega} s \pi \rho o ́ k(\epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \iota) \ldots$


' The . . . year of the Emperors and Caesars Gaius Vibius Trebonianus Gallus and Gaius Vibius Aphinius Gallus Veldumianus Volusianus Pii Felices Augusti, Daisius Pharmouthi , at the city of Oxyrhynchus, before Aurelius Antipater also called Dionysius, farmer of the tax payable to the agoranomi. Aurelius Asclepiades also called Saras, son of Sarapion and Lucilla also called Demetria, of the city of Oxyrhynchus, aged about 32, with no distinguishing mark, has purchased from Aurelius Serenus also called Sarapion, son of Agathinus and Taposiris, of the said city, aged about 34, with no distinguishing mark, in the street, the female slave belonging to him named Tereus, aged about 2 I, fair, with a scar on her . . ., together with her male nursling child named . . ., who was purchased by him in accordance with a deed made through the said office of the agoranomi in the $4^{\text {th }}$ year of the Philippi in the month Phamenoth from the mother of the present purchaser Aurelia Lucilla also called Demetria, daughter of Euporus son of Diogenes, her mother being Tauris also called Philumene, of the said city, and was born in her house, which slave together with the nursling the purchaser has forthwith received from the vendor just as they are and unrenounceable, free from epilepsy and external claims, Tereus having been examined as set forth in the former deed; and the price mutually agreed upon for the said slave and the nursling, 2,000 drachmae of silver of the Imperial coinage, has been received by the vendor Aurelius Sarapion also called Serenus from the purchaser Aurelius Asclepiades also called Saras from hand to hand. The vendor sells and guarantees the said slave with the nursling [on the liability of all that stands in (?)] his name, as aforesaid, in the same street,
and to the purchaser's question whether this has been rightly and fairly done the vendor has given his assent.' Signatures.
3. The day of the month, as frequently happens, has not been filled in.
${ }_{15}^{5}$. [oikoyє] $] \dot{\eta} \nu$ is a justifiable restoration in spite of the final $\nu$, for which cf. e. g. B. G. U.

17. The spelling imoritlos occurs in B. G. U. 629. 14, 1058. 12.
 The sense of mamus iniectio for $\begin{gathered}\pi \\ \pi\end{gathered} \phi^{\eta} \eta^{\prime}$ in this context seems now established by P. Strassb. 79 (cf. Kübler in Z. Sav. xxxii. pp. 366 sqq.), which contains the passage (1.7) dvamópıфo]v
 887. 5-6, 17. For d̀va ${ }_{<} \rho t \theta i \sigma \eta$ ]s (Mitteis) cf. P. Brit. Mus. ${ }^{25}$ 1. 7, Leipzig 4. 15.
27. Some definition of the liability of the $\beta \varepsilon \beta a \omega \omega \tau$ ís seems to have stood at the beginning


29-30. Cf. e. g. P. Brit. Mus. 25I. 8-10, Leipzig 4. 3 I; a similar restoration is attractive, as Mitteis has remarked (I. c. p. $368^{1}$ ), in P. Strassb. 79. 9.

## (e) ACCOUNTS.

1210. Poll-tax Register.

$$
32.5 \times 22.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Late first century в.с. }
$$ or early first century A.D.

This text is written on the recto of 1184 in a large semi-uncial hand which can hardly be later than the reign of Tiberius and is more likely to belong to that of Augustus. There are remains of two columns, but those of the first are confined to the ends of a few scattered lines and are not worth reproducing. The second is entire and is concerned with the poll-tax in the Oxyrhynchite and other nomes. At the top of the column are two lines which gave the total of persons paying the tax in the Oxyrhynchite and Cynopolite nomes,--or rather, which were intended to give them, for the figures here and elsewhere, except in 1. 12, are omitted, the document never having been completed. Below this are two other sections, one reporting the numbers of persons chosen by their parents to support them in their old age, in the same two districts; the other specifying various officials in the Tentyrite, Cynopolite, and Oxyrhynchite nomes and in the (Small ?) Oasis, who were exempted on account of their official duties. Presumably these two sections stand in close connexion with the one immediately preceding them, and imply that special treatment with regard to poll-tax was accorded to persons on whom devolved the maintenance of aged parents or who served the state in certain official capacities. It further appears that the parents selected the son who was to support them ; what further conditions were imposed, and whether the son enjoyed complete or only partial immunity, there is no
evidence to show．With regard to the officials，the privilege is stated to be ＇customary＇．Possibly these immunities，of which there seem to be no traces at a later period，were a legacy from the Ptolemaic régime（cf．P．Tebt．i．p．447， Petrie iii．p． $17+$ ，B．G．U．I198．ii． 7 sqq．）．

Col． i ．

$$
\text { ] } \tau \epsilon-
$$

Col．ii．

Kuvomo入ítov


＇O§v $\quad$ v $\chi$ Хitov
Кขขото入íтоข
$\gamma^{\prime} \nu 0 \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ тоúт $\omega \nu \quad \alpha^{\prime} \nu \delta(\rho \epsilon S)$


ß $\alpha \sigma \iota \alpha \iota$ òs $\gamma р \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon$ ѐs Tєขтvpíтov，
Kvขото入íтоv
$\alpha$, тотоура $\mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon$ is каi кш $\mu о \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon i$ §
＇O乡vрv $\chi$ і́тоv
${ }_{15}$ Kvvoтo入ítov $[\kappa \omega] \mu о \gamma \rho \alpha[\mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{i}] s$＇$O \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \iota$

＇Men paying poll－tax in the Oxyrhynchite nome ．．
In the Cynopolite nome
Men chosen by the parents from their sons to support them in old age，in the Oxyrhynchite nome

In the Cynopolite nome
Total of these
Those usually absolved because of service rendered by them to the state ：
The basilicogrammateus of the Tentyrite nome，
In the Cynopolite nome，ditto
Topogrammateis and comogrammateis：

In the Oxyrhynchite nome
In the Cynopolite nome
Comogrammateus of the Oasis by [the Oxyrhynchite nome] . . .'
II. It is rather strange that the Tevivpirns should be associated in this list with the Oxyrhynchite and Cynopolite nomes, which were so much further to the north.


## 1211. Articles for a Sacrifice.

$8.9 \times 6.7 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Second century.
A short list of objects which had been or were to be supplied to the strategus for the celebration of a sacrifice 'to the most sacred Nile'. Evidence for the cult of the Nilc-god at Oxyrhynchus has already been supplied by 519. 10 ; there a payment of 20 drachmae to the кшцабтai $N \in i \lambda(o v)$ is recorded ; cf. the N $\epsilon \iota \lambda a i a$ celebrated at the temple of Jupiter Capitolinus at Arsinoë (B. G. U. 362. xv. ir) and, on Nile-worship in gencral, Lumbroso, L'Egitto, pp. 1-8. The participation of the strategus in the celebration is a point worth noting ; cf. Otto, Priester und Tempel, ii. p. 79.

```
            \Sigmaт\rhoат\eta\gamma\hat{c}}\tau\grave{\alpha}\pi\rhoòs \tau\grave{\eta}\nu 0v
                    \sigmaí\alpha\nu \tauо\hat{v i\epsilonр\omega\tau\alpháтov}
                    N\epsiloní\lambdaov \Piаûv\iota \lambda.
                            \muó\sigma\chios \alpha, ol้\nuov єvंढ-
5
\delta\eta к\in\rho\alphá\mu(\iota\alpha) \beta, \lambda\alphá
    =\sigmaт\epsilońф\alpha\nuol 15,\sigma\tau\rhoó\betaı\lambdaol 15,
    =\pi\lambda\alphaкоиि\nu\tau\epsilonS เร,
        \beta\alphaïs X\lambda\omega\rho\hat{\alpha}s 15,
    к\alphá\lambda\alpha\muо\iota ó\muоí(\omegas) 15,
IO ``\lambda\epsilonо\nu, \mu\epsiloń\lambdal, \gamma\alphá\lambda\alpha,\pi\hat{\alpha}\nu
    ä\rho\omega\mu\alpha \chi}\mp@subsup{\chi}{}{\omega\rhois \lambda\iota\beta\alphá\nuоv.
```

        10. 1. \(\epsilon\) ' \(\lambda \alpha \omega \nu\).
    'To the strategus, articles for the sacrifice of the most sacred Nile on Pauni 30: I calf, 2 jars of sweet wine, 16 wafers, 16 garlands, 16 cones, 16 cakes, 16 green palm-branches, 16 reeds likewise, oil, honey, milk, every spice except frankincense.'
2. iep $\quad$ тútov: so e. g. 486. 32 .


8. Buits: cf. B. G. U. $3^{62}$. vii. i 3 , P. Tebt. 295 . II, note, and the $\pi i \lambda \mu a t$ in 519. 18.

## 1212. List of Vegetables.

$$
7.5 \times 17.1 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Second century }
$$

The following short account of vegetables supplied to the archephodus of the village of Pela is written on the verso of an order for arrest in two lines, of which
 a(ìrov̂) ̇̀vvvXóvtos Mquâtos (cf. e.g. 960). Below the second line there is a long row of crosses, and the writing is across the fibres, as is also that of the verso.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Toû }{ }^{\alpha} \rho \chi \in \phi o ́ \delta o v \tau[\hat{\eta}] \text { ṣ } \\
& \text { Пé̀a dià } \Delta l o y a ̂ t o s ~ \\
& \phi[\tilde{\lambda} \lambda \alpha] \kappa 0 s \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \quad \Sigma_{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau \omega . \\
& \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \gamma o v ~ \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma(\alpha \iota) ~ \iota \theta \text {, } \\
& 5 \text { Өрv́סak(os) } \delta^{\prime} \sigma \mu(\alpha l) \beta \text {, } \\
& \text { रov } \boldsymbol{v}^{\prime} \lambda \eta(s) \delta^{\ell} \epsilon \sigma \mu(\alpha \iota) \beta \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { / } \kappa \delta \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

'For the archephodus of Pela through Diogas, guard, of Sento: r9 bundles of asparagus, 2 bundles of lettuce, 2 bundles of turnips, i bundle of radishes, total $2 \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$.

4-6. $\dot{a} \sigma \pi$ ápayos occurs in 736. 36 , and $\gamma o \gamma \gamma v \lambda i s$ (cf. l. 6) is mentioned in l. 5 of that papyrus. $\theta \rho i \delta a \xi$ is the usual spelling, e.g. P. Tebt. Ir2. I i.

## ( $f$ ) PRIVATE CORRESPONDENCE, etc.

1213. Question to the Oracle.

$$
2.7 \times 5.7 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Second century }
$$

A question addressed to the oracle of Zeus-Helios-Sarapis by a man in doubt about marriage. Cf. 1148-9, and for another example on the same subject, Wessely, Script. Gr. Spec. 26, re-edited by Wilcken, Chrestomathie, p. 150. The writing is across the fibres.

> [ $\Delta u$ ' $H]$ ]íc $\omega \quad \mu \in \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \quad \sum \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \pi \iota \delta \iota$
> [каi] тoîs ovvขáoıs $\theta \epsilon$ -

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [oîs.] à } \mathfrak{\xi} \text { ıô } M^{\prime} \nu \alpha \nu \delta \rho o s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \text { [тô̂] } \frac{1}{\prime} \mu o l \text { סós. }
\end{aligned}
$$

On the verso

## Mє $\tau \alpha ́ \nu \delta \rho o v$.

5. $s$ of $\delta o s$ corr.

- To Zeus Helios, great Sarapis, and the associated gods. Menandrus asks, is it granted me to marry? Answer me this.'

4. $\delta^{6} \bar{\epsilon}$ סotat rather than $\left.\delta_{i}^{\prime}\right]$ orat is probably to be restored in Wessely, Script. Gr. Spec. 26. 2-3.
5. סós: cf. 1149. 9, note.
6. Invitation TO A Birthday-FEASt.

$$
8.6 \times 9.6 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fifth century }
$$

This formal invitation has an interest as being considerably later in date than those previously published, which are all of the Roman age ; cf. e. g. 110-11, 524, 747, 826-7, Wilcken, Grundziige, p. 419. The present example shows a different formula, beginning with an address like a letter.


```
    \Gamma\epsilon\nu\nu\alphá\deltaıos \sigma\pi\epsilonк(ov\lambda\alphá\tau\omega\rho).
\phi\epsilon\delta\rhoú\nu\omega\nu \tau\grave{\eta}\nu \pi[\alpha]\nu\etá\gammav\rho\iota\nu
\tau\etâS \gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon0\lambdaíov \tauov̂ viôv \muov \Gamma\epsilon\nu\nu\alpha-
5 \deltaíov ка\tau\alpha\xi'í\omega\sigmaov ä\mu\alpha \dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\imath}\nu
\sigmav\nu\delta\iota\pi\nu\hat{\eta}\sigma\alpha\iota \tau\hat{\eta} 15 \alphaं\piò
\omega}p(\alphas)\zeta
```

2. 3. $\phi a<\delta \rho \dot{v} \nu \omega \nu$.
'To my lord Macarius from Gennadius, speculutor. Deign to gladden the birthday festival of my son Gennadius by dining with us on the 16 th at 7 o'clock.'
I. There would be room for two or three letters of an abbreviated title after Макар $\frac{1}{2} \omega$.
1. $\sigma \pi \epsilon \kappa\left(\right.$ (ov入ít $\left.\omega_{p}\right)$ : cf. 1193. 1, 1223. 21.
2. Letter of Sinthonis.
$12.5 \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Second or third century.
An illiterate letter written in a rather large uncultivated hand.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma \iota \theta o \nu \iota s \text { T } \eta \rho \eta s \text { т@̣̂ } \alpha \delta \in \lambda \phi о \chi^{\alpha i} \rho \iota \nu .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \chi \rho \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \text { к } \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \hat{\eta},
\end{aligned}
$$

$\kappa \alpha \kappa \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \quad \pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma\langle\sigma\rangle \iota\langle\nu\rangle . \quad \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \xi \tau \alpha i \quad \sigma \alpha \iota$
$\sum \alpha \rho \alpha \pi i \omega \nu$.
$\alpha i \rho o \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \epsilon \alpha l . \quad T \hat{v} \beta \iota \iota \epsilon$.

On the verso

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \delta o s \\
\text { I0 } & \text { T } \eta \rho \hat{\imath}
\end{array} \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \text {. }
$$




Sinthonis to her brother Tereus, greeting. Please come to me until matters are arranged, but if not, do not go to the house of Satyrus, for we hear that he is going to get into trouble. Sarapion greets you. Good-bye. Tubi 15 . (Addressed) Deliver to Tereus from Sinthonis.'
1216. Letter of Sarapas.

$$
18.5 \times 9.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Second or third century }
$$

A letter to a sister, who is rebuked for having neglected to write. She seems to have been lately married. As in 1215, the spelling is erratic.
$\sum \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \alpha \hat{s} \Delta \iota \omega \gamma \epsilon \nu i \delta \iota \tau \hat{\eta}$
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \in \lambda \phi \hat{\eta} \chi^{\alpha i ́ p} \rho \iota \nu$.
$\alpha i \gamma \dot{\omega} \epsilon \dot{U} \chi \circ \mu \alpha \iota \quad \dot{\alpha} \epsilon i \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \iota$
тоîs $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \sigma o \hat{v}$ каì ảтò
$5 \mu \iota \kappa \rho o ́ \theta \in \nu$ бù oî $\delta \alpha ́ s \quad \mu o v \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$
$\pi \rho \circ \alpha i \rho \in \sigma \iota \nu$ кäע $\mu \dot{\eta}$ бOl $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \omega$,
$\sigma v ̀ ~ \delta \alpha i ̀ ~ o u ̉ k ~ \eta ̉ \xi i ́ \omega \sigma \alpha ́ s ~ \mu \alpha \iota ~ \alpha ̇ \sigma \pi \alpha ́-$

тòs $\sigma \eta \eta^{\mu} \mu \rho \circ \nu$ ékтòs $\sigma 0 \hat{v}$ єì $\mu i ́$,
$\mu a$


$\mu o \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ бо仑̂ каi $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\tau} \tau 0 \hat{v} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda$
$\phi \circ \hat{v}{ }^{~} \Omega \rho i ́ \omega \nu 0 s \pi \hat{\omega} s{ }^{\epsilon} X \omega \nu, \lambda \epsilon i ́ \alpha \nu$
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \phi \iota \lambda \hat{\omega} \alpha \cup \cup \tau o ́ \nu . \quad \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha i \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \ell-$
${ }^{15}$ кòv $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \phi i ́ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha[\iota ;] \tau о ч \tau \omega ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$
$\epsilon \ddot{\nu} \chi \omega \mu \alpha \iota \quad \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} \varsigma \quad \dot{\delta} \mu \nu \nu \omega \in i \nu$,



$20 \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \quad \sigma \pi \epsilon v ́ \delta \omega \epsilon \epsilon \in \xi o \rho \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s . \quad \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \zeta[о \mu \alpha \iota \quad \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$
$\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha s . \quad \epsilon \in[\rho] \rho \sigma \sigma \theta[\alpha i ́ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \mathcal{U} \chi \circ \mu \alpha \iota$.
On the verso
$\Delta \omega \omega \epsilon \nu i ́ \delta \iota \quad \quad \dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{\eta}$.




'Sarapas to his sister Diogenis, greeting. I pray always to all the gods for you, and you know from close experience my good-will even though I do not write to you ; but you have never thought proper to send me greetings in a letter. A year to-day I have been away from you and all the time you have not thought proper to give me tidings about yourself or your brother Horion, how he is; for I love him greatly. Have you produced us a male child? For I pray that you may agree in this, as you entirely deserve. Tell me now about anything here that you want, for with the help of the gods I am hastening to set out to you. I greet you all. I pray for your health. (Addressed) To my sister Diogenis.'
5. $\mu \iota \kappa \rho o ́ \theta \in \nu$ will give a sense, but does not occur, and $\mu a \kappa \rho o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ was perhaps meant.
$9-\mathrm{ro}$. The purpose of the interlineated letters is obscure. $\epsilon$ of $\epsilon \mu \ell$, which seems inevitable, has been corrected from $a$ or vice versa. $\mu a$ cannot be read as àd入á in l. ro.
 possible.
13. Horion was probably the husband of Diogenis.
1217. Letter of Eudaemonis.

$$
8.5 \times 1 \mathrm{II} .6 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century }
$$

A short formal letter of greeting. The writing is across the fibres of the papyrus.
$\chi \alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$.
$\kappa \alpha i ̀ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ \delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \mu o v ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$
$\sigma \epsilon$ каi $\epsilon \hat{v}$ ठıáyov $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \xi о \mu \alpha \iota ~ \Pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \delta \alpha$
каi $N \epsilon \hat{\imath} \lambda \alpha \nu$ к $\alpha i$ тоѝs $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \alpha$.
$\epsilon \in \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha i ́ \quad \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \cup ้ X \circ \mu(\alpha \iota)$.

On the verso

Eudaemonis to my lord Ptolemaeus, greeting. I am again writing you this my letter, first sending you salutations, and secondly praying to all the gods that you may receive them in health and prosperity along with all our friends. I salute Pallas and Nila and all our friends. I pray for your health. (Addressed) To Ptolemaeus from Eudaemonis.'
1218. LetTer of Didymus.

Third century.
A letter to a father from his son who reminds him of some farming operations and gives him domestic news. The writing is across the fibres.
 $\chi^{\alpha i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu .}$



 $\epsilon i s{ }^{\prime} A \nu \tau \iota \nu o ́ o v, ~ \delta o \kappa \hat{\omega}, ~ \dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath} \kappa \eta \delta i ́ a \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$.



т̀̀ $\alpha \beta \alpha ́ \sigma к \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau о 仑 ~ \pi \alpha ı \delta i ́ a ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu ~ \sigma u ́ \mu \beta \iota-~}$

［ $\alpha \sigma] \pi \alpha ́ 乌 o \nu \tau \epsilon ́ ~ \sigma \epsilon ~ o i ~ \sigma o i ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ o i ~ o i k i ̂ o i ́ ~ \sigma o v ~$

On the verso

$$
{ }^{1} 5 X \alpha \iota \rho \eta ́ \mu(o \nu l) \quad \text {. . [. .] . . [ }
$$


＇Didymus to his father Chaeremon，very many greetings．Do not neglect the things to be done for the land－holder，as you desired，for I know your goodness and reasonableness． There is nothing unpleasant at your house．My mother Thaësis went，I think，to Antinoöpolis for a funeral．Tell me freely about anything which you want and I will do it gladly．Give many salutations to my dearest Phullon and his children，whom the evil eye shall not harm，and his wife and those whom we love severally．All your relatives and your children salute you．I pray for you health．＇

7．eis＇Avtivóov：cf．P．Tebt．416．6，417． 26.

${ }^{1}$ 万．$\pi($ aрà $) \Delta ı \delta \dot{v} \mu o v$ is expected，but the traces are really too slight for recognition．

1219．Letter of Aristandrus．

$$
24.1 \times 12.5 \mathrm{~cm} .
$$

Third century．
A letter from Aristandrus，of Oxyrhynchus，to his son Apion，basilico－ grammateus of the Letopolite nome，recommending to the latter＇s good offices a person who is described as＇our son＇but was not actually so related to the writer，since it is clear that the real father was dead ；cf．P．Giessen iii．p． $53{ }^{1}$ ．
＇A í $\sigma \tau \alpha \nu \delta \rho o s ~ ' A \pi i ́ \omega \nu \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \ell$ vî̂ı $\chi^{\alpha i ́ p \epsilon \iota \nu . ~}$
$\Theta \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ ó viòs $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \epsilon i \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$
тро̀s $\sigma \epsilon$ т торєvó $\mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ єis тŋ̀ $\nu \quad N \in \iota-$
 ஸ́pou iै $\sigma \omega s$ ò каi $\sigma \dot{v}$ ध́ $\pi i ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \epsilon ̋ \tau \iota ~ \pi \alpha ́-~$ $\lambda \alpha \iota$ வं $\pi o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i \grave{\nu} \nu$

тov̂. каì $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ бv̀ aúтòv фi入єîs каì $\delta i$ aú-
IO $\tau o ̀ \nu$ к $\alpha i$ $\delta i \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \mu \nu \eta ́ \mu \eta \nu ~ \tau[o] \hat{v} \pi \alpha-$
т $\rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o v ̂ . ~ \alpha ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ ô̂ $\delta \alpha$ öтt каi таиิт $\alpha$
$\mu o v \tau \grave{\alpha}$ र $\rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ тó $\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \alpha u ̛ \tau o ̀ v ~ \omega ं \phi \epsilon-$




$\theta \hat{\eta}$. (2nd hand) $\epsilon \rho \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha i ́[\sigma \epsilon] \epsilon \mathcal{v}^{\chi} \chi \mu \alpha \iota$, $\tau \in ́ \kappa \nu o \nu, \epsilon \cup ้[\tau] \nu \chi o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha$ $\delta_{\iota}$ ’ öخ $\lambda$ ov.
On the verso

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi(\alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}) \text { ' } A \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu \delta(\rho o v) \text { 'O } \xi\left(v \rho v \gamma \chi^{i \tau o v) .}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## 7. I. $\pi \in \rho$ tóvтa.

'Aristandrus to his son Apion, greeting. Theon our son is coming to you on his way to the city of Nikias on account of a pressing incompleted negotiation of which you have perhaps been aware long since from his father ; I think that he wrote to you about it while he was still alive. Indeed you love him both for his own sake and for his father's memory. But I know that this letter of mine also will be of much help to him, if he wants anything either with Apion the basilicogrammateus of the Prosopite nome or with any one else, if you will ask them and not delay to write to them. I pray for your perpetual health and prosperity, my son. (Addressed) To my son Apion, basilicogrammateus of the Letopolite nome, from Aristandrus of Oxyrhynchus.'
4. The mention in l. I4 of the Проэөтiт ${ }^{2}$ is, as Wilcken remarks, a sufficient indication that $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{Netkiov}$ here means Nıкiov $\pi$ ólıs, the capital of that nome; cf. e. g. Ptol. iv. 5. 49
 nome ( 1.20 ) to Nikiu in the Prosopite nome.
5. $\mu \in \tau \epsilon \dot{\omega} \rho o v:$ cf. 238. introd., P. Fay. i $16.12, \& c$.
16. $\delta \epsilon \dot{r}[\sigma \eta]$ : the first letter appears to be either $a$ or $\delta$; $\theta \in \lambda[\eta s]$ is unsuitable.

## 1220. Letter of a Bailiff.

$$
21.7 \times 8.7 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century. }
$$

A letter from a steward or agent enclosing some accounts and giving other information, and asking for various supplies. In the left-hand margin there are some indications of a previous column, which perhaps contained the accounts
referred to ; and the verso also is inscribed with 22 lines, incomplete on both sides, of an account, but it is in a ruder hand than that of the recto, though it corresponds well enough with the description in 11. 3-5. Most of the entries
 $\tau \rho \rho_{\chi} \varphi$ who received a uniform wage of 2 drachmae. A curious reference to a hippopotamus occurs in 11.20 sqq . of the letter.

['Eß]бó $\mu$ ои $\chi^{\alpha i \rho \rho \epsilon \tau . ~}$
 [ $\omega$ ] $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$ тò $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha\langle\nu\rangle \tau o \hat{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \nu$ -
 кî $\sigma o \iota$, кúplé $\mu 0 v, \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \epsilon \mu 0 \iota$ $\kappa_{\epsilon}^{\rho} \rho \mu \alpha$ єis $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ रıvó $\mu \in \nu \alpha$
 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \alpha \not \partial \lambda \omega \nu$ ढै $\rho \gamma \omega \nu$; каı̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\tau} \tau \bar{\eta} s$

 ноí. $\pi \in \rho i ̀ ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho ~ \tau о \hat{v} \pi \alpha ́ к т о \nu о s ~$

$\tau \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \gamma \kappa \omega$ тòv oîvov тои̂



$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \eta \chi^{\alpha \nu} \hat{\omega} \nu$ каì тои̂то
$\sigma v \nu \phi \in ́ \rho \iota ~ \epsilon i v \alpha \mu \eta ̀ ~ \alpha ̇ \pi o ́ \lambda \eta \tau \alpha \iota$

ó imтотота́ $\mu \iota s$, そ้ $\tau \iota \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$




'́ $\rho \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha i ́ ~ \sigma o \iota ~ \epsilon U ̛ X о \mu a t$,
кúpt'́ $\mu 0 v$, єu่ $u v \chi o ̂ ̂ v \tau \alpha$.
$\mu \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha ̀$ т $\omega \nu \nu$ 入ó $\omega \omega \nu$ т

3о та́кıov.
2. 1. $\left.{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \beta\right] \delta o \mu o s$ ? 4. $\omega s$ of $[\omega] \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ above the line. 5. 1. ${ }^{i \nu}{ }^{\prime}$. 6. 1. $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \psi a$. 10. 1. $\lambda \epsilon \in \xi \epsilon \omega$. 12. 1. тáкт

'To my lord Theon from Hebdomus (?), greeting. I send for your information in some notes the journal of expenditure. Would you be pleased, sir, to send me some money for the business of harvesting going on here and the other business? With regard to the collection of the wine about which you wrote to me, I see nothing bad in my behaviour; for the boat is being prepared in order that I may transfer the wine of Silenus. You will send me the nails for emptying (?) and a jar of gum for the tools of the machines; this will be of use to prevent their perishing of neglect. The hippopotamus has destroyed nothing, for if there is any superfluity, I watch over the place. About the fields, if you come, D. V., you will learn their condition. I pray, sir, for your health and prosperity. The accounts will show the details as contained in the memorandum.'
16. What $\bar{\epsilon} \times x$ vocuios as applied to $\bar{j} \lambda o t$ denotes is obscure. The adjective occurs only here.

imпотóтаноs．I am not aware of other references to the animal in Greek papyri ；possibly its persistance at Oxyrhynchus is to be connected with the local cult of Thoëris．
$28-30$ ．The papyrus is damaged in front of these lines，but there is apparently no loss of letters．

## 1221．LETTER OF ISIDORUS．

$$
12.4 \times 7.6 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

Late third or early fourth century．

This letter，in which Demetrianus is informed where the corn－dues of the western toparchy were being paid，perhaps belongs to the category of official rather than private correspondence．Both the writer and the recipient were probably public functionaries of some kind．
$K \nu \rho i ́ \omega ~ \mu о \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \widehat{\omega}$
$\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho \iota \alpha \nu \hat{\omega}$
'I $\bar{\prime} i \delta \omega \rho o s \chi^{\alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu .}$
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \in \tau \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\eta} s$
$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ кат⿳亠 $\tau$ о̀ ${ }^{\prime} \in \theta o s$
$\mu \in \tau \rho \epsilon і ิ \tau \alpha \iota$. $\tau \hat{Q}$ จủ̀ $\nu$

> Io $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ roútou.
> $\dot{\epsilon} \rho[\rho] \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha i \quad \sigma \epsilon$
> то入入oîs Xpóvols
> єüXo $\mu \alpha$.

[^1]The writer of this letter asks his son to send a colt and some medicines with which to treat it．The writing is across the fibres of the papyrus．

 каì тò $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho$ -


 $\mu \epsilon$ тод入oîs хрóvols.
'Isidorus to his son Demetrius, greeting. Give your brother Ammonianus the colt to be brought to me and the salt of ammonia, both the pounded and the unpounded, and the basil-seed, in order that I may doctor him away here, for I have been asked by my father Posidonius to stay for the collection during these five days ; and send to me about anything you need. I pray for your lasting health.'
 course for $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon$, the $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ being redundant, as e.g. in 1215. 5 .

## 1223. Letter of Hermias. <br> $$
26.9 \times 9.3 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Late fourth century }
$$

The chief point of interest in this letter, which was written by a man in financial difficulties, is the ratio given in 11. 31 sqq. between the current values of the gold solidus and the $\mu v \rho$ ás $_{s}$ of drachmae or denarii. A date in the second half of the fourth century is suggested by the handwriting, and the great depreciation of the $\mu \nu \rho$ dás makes it $^{\text {it probable that the century was nearing its }}$ close ; cf. the note ad loc.
 'Eputías.
 $\mu \in \nu 0 s \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \check{\epsilon}$ тò $\pi \lambda 0 i ̂ o \nu$
5 тò $\tau 0 \hat{v} \gamma \epsilon \circ \mathcal{U}_{\chi}{ }^{\circ}$ к кат $\alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota$ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀$ नoí. $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \alpha \grave{\alpha} \nu$ d $\grave{\alpha}$ $\dot{\alpha} \mu \hat{́} \lambda \iota \alpha \nu \tau \iota \nu \alpha ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \eta$, бтои́óaбov $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \alpha u \tau \alpha ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \nu ~$



20 ảnovaíav тov̂ $\gamma$ €oúXov únò tov̂ фортькоиิ 'A $\mu \mu \omega \nu$ iov $\sigma \pi \epsilon к о \nu \lambda \alpha$ -

 ко́ттוva, ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \in \iota \dot{\alpha} \pi \delta o ́ \sigma \tau \iota \lambda o \nu$.
 $\omega \sigma \tau o \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu$, каi oủkétı $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu-$

 тoîs бoîs $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \chi^{\epsilon i \nu} \mu o l ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{v} \pi(0)$ -

$\dot{\alpha} \mu \in \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta s . \quad \grave{\eta}$ каì єủdía $\epsilon \sigma \tau i$
каi тò $\pi \lambda о i ̂ o \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \gamma \kappa \epsilon$ о́

 $\pi \rho \grave{s}$ Xıроүрафiav, oủk ö $\lambda i \gamma \omega s$

$\sigma \eta \xi$, ò oîkos $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \iota$
коוข $\omega \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ סı̀̀ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$

30 入oımá $\delta \alpha[\lfloor!]$ тov̂ oìvov каì тท̂S каAó-

ó入oкóттıvos $\nu \hat{v} \nu \mu \nu(\rho \iota \alpha ́ \delta \omega \nu), \beta \kappa$
є́ $\sigma \tau i \nu . \quad \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \beta \eta \quad \gamma \alpha ́ \rho . \quad \mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$ -


$\rho \bar{\omega} \sigma \theta a i \quad \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} X{ }^{\circ} \mu a \iota$
$\pi \circ \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~ x \rho o ́ v o o s, ~ a ̈ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \epsilon$.

## On the verso


 Pap. 29. v) Pap. 34. $\pi \lambda o \iota o ̄$ Pap.

- To my lord and brother Horion from Hermias. I am surprised if my messenger finds the boat of the landlord with you; if, however, owing to some carelessness he finds it there, make haste to send the sailor to the city at once with the attendant whom I have sent. See that you do not neglect this. If it is calm weather and he cannot bring back the boat to-day, let the sailor himself return in order to make a bond, for I am being worried not a little. If you neglect it, our house is likely, owing to the absence of the landlord, to be brought to a critical pass through the tiresome Ammonius the speculator and the praefect's assistant. If you have any silver coins with you or solidi, send me them quickly, for I owe on account of so many obligations and I am no longer trusted, unless I behave fairly. Send and tell your people to hand over to me the remainder of the wine and one and a half units of the general account. The solidus now stands at 2,020 myriads; it has come down. Do not neglect to send the boat or the sailor to-day. I pray for your lasting health, brother. (Addressed) To my lord and brother Horion from Hermias.'

12 sqq. A sense may be obtained by taking $\hat{\eta}$ as the interrogative particle, ' Do you pretend that the boat cannot be brought in fair weather like this?' But probably Wilcken is right in supposing that $\eta$ here represents $\epsilon$, although this mistake is avoided in 11. 3 and 22 .
 likely to be a person in the service of a military praefect than an official of the $\epsilon$ ėapxia.

27. For $\epsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \nu \omega \mu \circ \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ cf. e. g. P. Fay. 124. 9, 21 I, B. G. U. 970.24.
30. т $\eta$ s каটóגov is obscure.
$3^{\mathrm{I}}-3$. The very low value of the $\mu v \rho a^{\prime} \mathbf{s}^{s}$ shown by this passage points to a late date in the fourth century. Other fourth-century equations cited by Wessely, Altersindiz. im Philogelos, pp. $3^{2-3}$, are 62, 72, and 10 myriads to the solidus; but a papyrus which he attributes to the fourth or fifth century (p. 46) gives $\nu o(\mu \iota \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \iota \nu \nu) a \pi(a \rho a ̀) ~ \beta \mu \nu(\rho \iota a ́ \delta a s)$, and 1133. 8-9 shows that in the year 396 A. D. 600 myriads were considerably less than four solidi, and in the light of the present passage it is more probable that they were really less than one. катє́ $\beta \eta$ implies that the value of the $\delta \lambda$ окóттtvos had lately been relatively higher.


## I N D I C E S

## I．NEW LITERARY TEXTS．

（a）1174， 1175 （Sophocles，Ichneutac and Eurypylus）．
（Roman figures refer to the columns of $1174 ; \mathrm{Fr} .=$ fragments of 1174 ；numbers in thick type refer to fragments of 1175．）
â viii．7．合合 vii． 12.
ảßov入ía 6． 5 （？）．
à äaós viii． 4.
à $\gamma a \sigma \tau \omega ิ \mathrm{x} .7$（？）．
à $\gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ i．г ；ii． 9 ；4．I（（？）．

ä $\gamma \epsilon \epsilon$ iv．I7．$\quad$ й $\gamma \epsilon$ iii． 5 ；iv． 7 ．
й $\gamma \kappa \nu \rho a 5$ ．iii． 8.
à $\gamma$ vociv i． 14 ；ix． 14 ．

à $\gamma \rho \omega \tau \dot{\prime} \rho$ ii． 6.
＂$\gamma \chi^{\prime}$ 91．I ．
à $\gamma \chi^{0 \hat{v}}$ 5．ii． 10.
à $\gamma \omega \nu i \xi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ 5．ii． 22.
ä $\zeta \in L \nu 101.20$（？）．
ä $\begin{aligned} \text { peiv iv．} \\ 24 .\end{aligned}$
aicí ix．10．aiél xiv． 15 ．
uiè $\begin{aligned} & \text { ovopos xii．} 7 .\end{aligned}$
aiö入ı $\sigma \mu a$ xiii． 3 ．
aĩıढ́тatos 91.14.
Aituaios xii．II．
aǐथทтท́s 5．iii． 25 （？）．
иккартоs xiv． 12.
йкє $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \nu$ xiii．I．
tiкๆঠ̀n＇s 3．го．
àк ${ }_{\eta}{ }^{\text {vi．}} 20$（？）；xi．I I．
àкодоv ía i．$^{\text {I }} 5$（？）．
áко́ $\mu$ сттоs vi．II．
äкоитоs 5．i．Іо．
áкоข́є兀 v． 27 ；vi．I，4，6；ix． 25 ；xv． 4.
àктท́ 99． 2.
ä $\lambda \eta \theta_{\eta}{ }^{\prime} s$ viii． 13 ；xiii．18， 19 ； xv .5 ．
ä入кабда х．І
à入入́ v． 4 ；vi． 20 ；vii． 10 ； viii．10， 18 ， 22 ；ix． 2 ；x． 6 ，14；xii．Io，II ；xiv． 3 ， 15；1．II（？）；3．9，II（？）； 5．ii．14，iii． 19 ；47． 8 ； 57．1；74．3；91． 4 ； 94． 2 ．
à $\lambda \lambda$ á $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ v． I ．
à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ v．II ；5．ii． 23.
ä入入os xii． 10 ；xiii． 10 ；xiv． 24 ；8．ii．6．$\quad \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ix． 24.
à入入ótpıos ii． 25 schol．
ả入oıóp ${ }^{2}$ тos 5．i． 10.
$a ̉ \lambda u ́ \epsilon L \nu$ xiii． 2.
ä $\mu a$ iii． 27 （？）．

à $\mu \lambda$ д ás i．I $^{2}$ ．

${ }_{\text {ä }}$ i． 9 ，10；ii． 2 I（？）；iii． 25 ； ix．18， 24 ；x． 4 ；xiii． 17 ； xv． 20 ；8．ii． 8 ； 91.2 I ； 94．4．＝ẹáv ii． 17 ；iii． 15 ；vii． 7 ．
à̀á $\gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ vii． 17.


àvaítos ix． 27.


ävaráa x． 16. àváттатos 94.8.

üvavóas xii． 4.
à $\nu \rho \in \epsilon i a$ vi． 16.
${ }^{u} \nu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ iii． 17 ；iv． 18 （？）．
à $\nu \in \lambda \epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \in \rho o s$ vi．．II．
à $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 5．ii． 28.
${ }^{\text {ä }} \nu \in v$ 5．i．II ．
ävevpos vi．II．
àv $\eta^{\rho}$ xiv． 15 ；5．iii． 12 ； 41.
2；91．12， 22.
ảvóz $\gamma \in \mathrm{l}$ 9． 4 （？）．
àvtí xiii．I I，I 2 ．
àvtios 47． 3 ．


àтататаї iii． 7 ．
ätas iv． $13 ; 12.6$.

à $\pi \epsilon v \theta \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \mathrm{vii} . ~ I ~ I . ~$
à $\pi$ tévau viii． 8.
àmıनтєìv xii． 2.
àлó xiv． 25 ；5．ii． 23 ．
àтoүрáфєıv iii．I 3 schol．
àтоккia iv．I7．

${ }^{\prime} \AA \pi{ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ii． $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ ；xvii． $\mathrm{I}_{3}$
marg．

d $\pi \bar{\pi} \pi \rho \circ \theta \in \nu$ i． 3 ．
ăто́ұ $\eta_{\text {ктоs }}$ xiv． 2 I．
＇Apreiot 5．ii． 20.
＂Apqs 5．iii． 23 （？）．
ápí̧ $\eta \lambda$ os iii．I 9 ．
äplotos 3． 5 ；5．ii． 14 and marg．
＇Apıoтoфávəs iii． 20 schol．； vi． 5 schol．， 8 schol．；ix． 6 schol．
й $\rho \rho v \theta \mu$ ．．．12． 9 ．
＂ирт xiv．I．
ágкeì vi． I 7 ．
＂${ }^{\prime} \eta$ 91． 16.
＇Atдavtis X． 25.
＇Aтpeións 91． 22.
av̉ v． $8,10,13$ ；vi． 4 ；xii． 10， 16 ；xiii．I 6 （？）．
av̉ó x ． 8.
$a v \xi_{\epsilon} \in \nu$ xi． 8 ．
aüpa iv． 9 ．
aĩт ．．．5．ii． 7 schol．
aủ̃ıs ix． 18 （？）， 20.
aùrós iv． $2 \mathrm{I}, 23,25$ ；v． 4 ； vii． $4,5,7,8$ ；viii． 18 ； x ． 19，20， 22 ；xii．13；xiii． 3． 17 ；1． 5 ；5．ii．20， 27 ， iii． 19 ．
aủróхр $\mu$ а ii．I I．
ảфavís xi．I5（？）；ảфavês i． 9 （？）．
áфı́́vaı vii．I ；ix． 22.
＂фраaтos xi． 20.
йфи́є兀 vii． 26 v．l．
＇AХato＇5．ii． 25 ； 91.14.
＇AXı入入єís 5．i． 24 ；80． 2.
ßüṑ xiii． 8.
$\beta a \theta i j g \omega_{0} \mathrm{x} . \mathrm{I}$ ；xi．I．
Batús 91． 24.
及aively vii．3；5．ii．19； 91. 1о；93． 4 ；98． 3.
ßaкरєv́єiv V． 22.
$\beta$ उá $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ 5．ii． 17.
$\beta a \mathfrak{g} \iota \iota$ xiv． 2 I．
及aбi入єús 6． 2.
Bávıs iii． 6 ；vii． 10.
$\beta \in \lambda \tau i \omega \nu$ viii． 18 schol．
$\beta \hat{\eta} \mu a$ v． 7.
Bíc 5．ii． 20.
$\beta ı \beta a ́ j e \iota \nu$ xiv． 26.
Boís 12． 5.
$\beta \lambda a ́ \sigma t \eta$ xi． 13.
$\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \mu a 91.2$.
$\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ vi． 10.
$\beta \lambda \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota$ ix． 17.
ßoầ ii．I3．
ßoń ix． 7 ；xi． 20.
$\beta o \eta \lambda a ́ t \eta s$ v． 12.
Botetía i． 22.
ßooóv vi． 19.
ßovkólos vii． 3 ．
ßoûs i． 5 ；iv． 16 ；v． 5 ；vii． 3 ；viii．I， 20 ；xiii． 2 I ； xiv． 24 ；xv． 15,20 ；xvi． 1 ；xvii．12， 15 ；Fr．20． 1.

$\beta \rho a ́ \beta є ข \mu a$ Fr． 26.
$\beta \rho a \chi$ ús xii．5， 6.
ßрахvoкклグs xii． 8.
$\beta \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \nu$ xi． 15 ；xii． 3.
ß 19；23． 2.
raîa 4． 4.
үáp i． 15 ；iv． 2 I ；v．19；vii． 7；ix．15；x．2，12， 25 ； xi． 4,10 ；xii．2，4， 8 ； xiii． 3 ；xiv．${ }^{15}, 22$ ；xv． I；1． 14 ；3． 2 ；5．ii． 7 schol．，10；47． 7 ；48． 3 ； 81．1 ；91． 3 ；97．2．каì犭áp x．23．kaì үàp oưv 5. ii． 6 ．
$\gamma \epsilon$ viii． 4 ；xi． 17 （？）；xv． 16 ； 5．i． 4.
रєitulầ ix． 23 ．
$\gamma \epsilon i \tau \omega \nu$ ii． 2.

$\gamma^{\prime}$ ́notos $^{\text {xiv．}} 18$.
$\gamma^{\prime} \hat{\lambda} \omega \omega$ 5．ii． 20.
$\gamma$ ย́vos xiv．13；2．I．
$\gamma^{\prime} \rho \omega \nu$ 5．iii．I 7 ．
$\gamma \hat{\eta}$ v． 15,18 ．
रпри́ยı x． 8.
rйpus iii． 12 ；xii．I．
$\gamma \dot{\gamma} \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a t$ ii． 16 ；76． 5.
$\gamma \iota \nu \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ vii． 7 ；xii． 12.
$\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma a$ vi． 13 ；ix． 19 ；x． 4.
रoryúdos xii． 8 ．
үра́тıs vii． 19.
रpuîs 41． 3 ．
quvŋ́ xiii．II ；Fr． 2 I．I ； 5. iii． 12 ；91． 12.
$\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ iii． 20 ；xiii． 9 ；5．ii． 9， 18.

סaкри́єเข 5．ii． 7 schol．
סậos x． 3.
ס́ciतia vii．4， 6.
סєi入ós x．у I（？）；3． 8.
$\delta \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \frac{\partial ̂ \nu}{}$ vi． 18 v． 1 ．
$\delta \in \mu$ аìvelv vi． 7 ．
$\delta \epsilon \iota \mu \tau \frac{1}{} \sigma \theta a \iota$ vi．ı 10.
$\delta \in \iota \lambda_{0} \nu \mathrm{vi} . \mathrm{x} 8 \mathrm{v}$ ． 1 ．
סєiv iv． 18 ；vi． 13 ．
סєt L ós v． 12 ．
ठєїтขov 7． 8.
Øє́ $\rho к є \sigma \theta a \iota$ v． 8.
ס́́p $\rho a$ xii． 18.
ठ́є $\sigma \pi o ́ \tau \eta s$ ix． 9 ； 9.4.
$\delta \epsilon \hat{\rho} \rho o$ vii．26．$\delta \epsilon \hat{\tau} \tau \epsilon$ vii． 18 （v．l．סєútepos）．
סє́́тєpos vii． 18 v．l．；49． 5.
ঠє́ $\chi$ єб $\theta a \iota 3$ 3． 3 ．
ớ iv．7；vi． 23 ；5．ii．II．
$\delta \tilde{\eta} \tau a$ xii． 11 ．
סuá iv．I（？）；xiii． 6.
ठıаßáдлєı 5．i． 8 （？）．
ঠєaiveє 5．ii． 7.
бıaкалєîஏөaı vii． 9 ．
ঠıaкoveì vi． 12.
$\delta \measuredangle a v \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \nu$ iii．II．
סavúxtos iii．I3 v．l．
סıaұара́ббєбӨaı X．I9．
סıóóva 5．iii．I3．
ठıкầ 5．ii．I 8.
Sík 5．ii．12，13， $17,18$.
סım
дí $\omega$ у $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ vi． 3 （？）．
ঠокá̧ $\epsilon \iota \nu$ 91． 23.
Soкєiv iv． 19,20 ；xiv． 5.
סoкๆтós 5．ii． 24 （？）．
ठó $\mu$ os ix． 1 ；xiv． 12.
סoveir xi． 19 （？）．
סopá ix．II ；xii． 6 ；xiii． 24 ； xiv． 25 ．
§ópv 5．i．11，19；6．ıо．
ठоб ．．．80． 5 ．
סovגoûv vi． 18 （v．l．סєı入oî̀）．
ठоа́к九s vii． 19.

ठрâرa 8．ii． 6 （？）．
брá $\neq \eta$ iii． 21.
8pû̀ i． 1 I（？）；iv．18； 91. $6(?) ; 94$. I．
бро́́цos ii．г 6 ；iv． 26.
．．ठ $о$ о́тоs 7．І 3.
бúvaб白aı viii．I 7 （ $\theta \star \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ Pap．）， $18 \mathrm{v} . \mathrm{l}$ ．
§́voßaros ii． 4.
$\delta v \sigma \delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ 5．ii． 9.
$\delta v \sigma \epsilon u ́ p \epsilon \tau о s$ xi．I 4 （？）．
биби́кооя 83． 3.
ठúg
סúqтtpos 3． 5 （？）．
ঠvбфорєī $\theta a \iota ~ x i i i . ~ I 3 . ~$
$\delta \omega ิ \mu a 12.8$ ；91．II．
$\Delta \omega \rho \iota{ }^{\prime}$ ós ij．I．
є́á ${ }^{2}$ iv． 9 ；v．2．Cf．ả้
єُầ iv． 14 ；v． 6 ；79． 9.
є＇$\gamma$ үovos ix．I 3 ．
є̉ $\gamma \gamma$ ús ix．I 7 ；xii． 12.

є่ $\gamma \chi$ áбкєเ xiv． 2.

є＇$\gamma \chi \omega \rho o s$ xiii． 6.
є่ $\gamma \omega$ i． 9 ；ii． 18 ；iii． 2 I， 25 ； vi． 2,7 ；vii． $\mathbf{I}, 5,8, \mathbf{I I}$ ， I4；viii． 22 （？）；ix． 2 ；x． 5，6，7，12， 2 I；xi．3， 9 ； xiii． 13 （l．$\mu \eta \delta$ ©̀ ？）；xiv． 4 ． 19．22， 26 ；Xv． 2 I ；5． ii ． $6,9,12$ ；8．ii． 7 ；10． 5 ； 14． 4 ；94． 2.
є $\delta \rho a \times 23$.
є́ ${ }^{\prime} 5$ ．ii．I 5 ．
єi iii．I 5,24 ；vi． 13 ；vii． 2 ， 6 ；viii． 17 ；X． 2 I ；xii． I5；xv．5，20；94．7．кєl ix． 5 ．
є $\hat{i} a$ iv． 7 ；vii． $10 ; 91.4$.
єi̊éval viii． 24 ；x． 20 ；xiii． 9，II；94． 7 （？）．
єỉos xii． 5 ．
єiкá̧̧ıข xii． 7.
fivaı i． 8 schol．， 22 schol．； ii． 9, II， 24 ；iii． 25 ；iv． 2 schol．， 3 schol．， 3 schol．， 16， 25 ；v．9，19， 24 schol．； vi． 5 schol．；vi． 9, I 4 ；vii．

6，17， 22 schol．；viii．9， I5，I 8 ；ix．5．I 5 schol．； X． 9 ；xi． 14 ；xii．4， 5,8 ， 10，II，I3，I4 schol．；xiii． I，IO，I6（？）；xiv． 7 （？）， II，I5， 23 schol．；xv．5， 18 ；xvi．I schol．；Fr． 20. 3；5．i． 20 schol．，ii．II schol．；13．i． 6 schol．； 91. I 3.
єimeîv vi． 25 ；xv． 6 ；91． 2 r． $\epsilon$＂$\pi \epsilon \rho$ ii． 2 I．
єis xi． 16.
tis v．8，10；vi． 18 ；ix．rg（？）； xi．II ；xiv． 4 ．
єїбакои́єเv v． 3 ；viii．I 4 ；ix． 5 ． ধíซtévat viii．I I．
єíoopầ v．8， 2 I ；vi．I2， 23.
єітє іi． 6 ；9．5．
＇́K，＇̇＇ x .2 I ；xi．I7， 26 ；xiv． 18， 26 ；5．ii． 26 ；85． 7. є̌каттоs iv． 2 I．
є́к $\delta \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu 5$ ．ii． 8.
є́кєє̂ขos iv． 16 ；x． 9 ；xiii． 11. кєî̀os V． 5 ；xiii． 2.
єُккалєє̂бӨaє 5．iii． 19.
є́кки $\boldsymbol{\prime} \eta \gamma \epsilon$ î iii． 22.
є́кца́б $\sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ vi． 8.
є’кр．єтрєі̀ iv． 25 （？）．
$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \lambda \eta \neq \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ i． 12 ；vi． 5 （v．l． $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu)$ ．
є́ктvขӨávє $\sigma$ Өaı $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{O}$ ．
Є́ $\kappa т \in \lambda \in i ̃$ ii． 2 I．
є̈ктоs xi．Iо．
ékтós 5．ii．Io．
 $\epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \rho$ os iii．4，I 6 ；xvii．I 9.
є＇$\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \sigma \iota s$ vi． 26.
Єُ $\lambda \pi \iota$. ．．87． 1.
è $\lambda \pi$ ís $^{5}$ ．iii． 2 I．
$\epsilon_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \mu a \nu \dot{\prime} \mathrm{i}$ ． 15.
є́ $\mu \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau о$ х xi． 19.
$\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \mu \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu$ V． 8.
$\epsilon \epsilon \mu \pi \delta \delta o \bar{\nu} \nu$ ii．22， 23.
є́ $\mu$ ós ii． 20 ；vi． 3 （？）；vii． 17 ；xi． 3 ；xiv． 2 ；5．ii． 17 ；85．7．
${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ i． 8 schol．， 22 schol．；ii． 7 ；iii． 13 schol．；iv． 2 schol．， 3 schol．；iv．II， I． 3 schol．；v．I 6, I 8,24
schol．；vi． 5 schol．， 9 ； vii．II，I5， 22 schol．；ix． 15 schol．；x．7，13， 23 ； xi． 4 ；xii． 14 schol．；xiii． 7 schol．；xiv．8， 23 schol．； xvi．I schol．；5．i． 20 schol．，ii．I I schol．，i 7 ； 8. ii． 6 ；13．i． 6 schol．
є́vantios V．II．
є̇עapy ${ }^{\prime}$＇v． 5.

є̇veivat，êvt viii．I．
є́v Өáó vi． 5.
${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \nu \theta_{\epsilon} \nu$ ii． 2.
є̈ע $\begin{gathered}\text { \＃npos ix．} 7 .\end{gathered}$
Є＇$\nu \nu \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon เ \nu$ xii． 15 （？）．
évtós xii．I3．
ধ’ $\xi a i p \in \iota \nu$ xiii． 3 ．




є́ $\xi \in \lambda a v ́ v \epsilon เ \nu$ XV． 22.
＇є＇$\epsilon \rho \gamma a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ vi． 20.
＇́ $\xi \in v \theta \epsilon \tau i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ xi． 7 （？）．
＇́ $\xi \in \cup р і ́ \sigma к є \iota \nu$ V．I 3 ；xii．IO．
є＇＇$\iota \chi \nu \epsilon \cup \in \epsilon \iota \nu$ vii． 2 ；viii． 19.
є＇$\xi \circ \rho \mu \epsilon \nu i\} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ xi．I 2.
є＇$\xi_{v \pi \epsilon} \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma$ Өat viii． 23.
＂${ }^{\prime} \xi \omega$ xii．I 3 ．
єоєкє́ขat iv，6， 15 ．

$\epsilon \pi \pi a \nu \theta \epsilon \mu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ xiii． 7.
${ }^{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \gamma \chi a i v \epsilon \iota \nu$ 5．ii． 2 I．
$\epsilon \in \epsilon i$ 5．ii．8，22，iii． 28.

є̇ $\pi \epsilon i ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu, \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \omega ́ s ~ x i . ~ 8 . ~$
є́ $\pi \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \rho$ і．I 2.
Є̈ $\pi \epsilon เ \tau \alpha$ i．20， 23 ；98．2（？）．
є́ $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a$ i． 16.
є̈ $\pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ vii． 26 ；viii． 5.
є่ $\pi \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ viii．I I．
є̇лi vi．I6；5．ii． 6.
є́тเ＇ย́va九 iii． 9 ；viii．I I．
є่тเк入ข́єєข ii．I 2.
є̇тікиртоs xii． 5 ．

є́ $\pi i \sigma \eta \mu \circ \nu$ iv． 23.
є̇тiбıцоs iv． 23 v．l．
є่ $\pi \iota \sigma \pi \hat{a} \nu$ 5．ii． 12.

є̈ $\pi$ os xii． 2 ；5．iii． 15.
є＂$\pi$ оохоя vii． 23.
є’ $\rho \gamma a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ xiv． 23.
еै $\rho$ yov i．II ；vi．I 4 ；vii．II； X． $23 ; 3.8 ; 6.6(?) ; 54.3$ ．
є $¢ \rho \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 6． 6 ；8．ii． 9.

є́рєขva iv．I2．
${ }^{〔} E \rho \mu \eta$ 万 87． 2.
єॅ $\rho \chi \in \sigma$ Өaı vii． 16 ；x． 17 ； 1. 4，I6；5．ii． 2 I ；81． 3 ．
є’р $\omega$ ．．．1． 2.
＇́s ii． 5 ；5．i．9，ii． 2 I．
є́ $\sigma \chi a ́ \rho a 15$ ． 1.
${ }^{\prime} \sigma \chi$ Хатаs 5．iii．20， 27 （？）．
$\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \sigma \omega$ 5．ii． 1.
 schol．；13．i． 6 schol．
ย́ть iv． 18 v．l．；viii． 2 I ；ix． 27 ；5．iii． 8.
є́тоїцоs ii． 24.
є́tos 5．iii． 22.
$\epsilon \mathcal{U}^{3}$ vii． 6 ；8．ii． 8.
$\epsilon ข ์ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ vii．I．
єv̉oía xiv． 4.
$\epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \in ́ \tau \eta s$ ii． 15 ；iii． 26.
$\epsilon \dot{v} \theta v . .$. Fr． 3 I． 3.

є $่$ vaíos ix．I7．
єủvis 91 ．I 8.

$\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \pi \epsilon \tau \omega ิ s$ x． 6.
єن́píakєєข ii． 24 ；xiv． 2 I；94．3．
є $่ \tau \cup \chi \in i ้ \nu 7.3$.
є $\dot{\phi} \phi \rho \circ$ ．．．10． 2.
єं $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma$ ą viii． 16.
є́ $\phi \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho$ а i． 10.
є́ф＇́テтаб才aı vii．IO．
${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi \in \iota \nu$ i． 13 ；iv．I5；v． 12 ， 22,26 ；vii． 16,23 ；viii． I2；ix． 9 （єî́s Pap．）；x． I5；xi． 9 ；xii．4，I5；xiv． 4 ；XV．I7；5．ii．20，iii． $20 ; 91.2$ I．${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ iv． 26.
є่ $\chi$ ìvos v． 16.
$\tilde{\epsilon} \omega s$ 8．ii． 8.

Zeús v． 7 ；x． 25 ；xiv．7；xv． I（？）， 19 ；7． 2 ；47． 7.
$\zeta \eta \mu i a \mathrm{x} .22$.
$\zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$ xii． 4.
乌そreî̀ viii．I 9 ；ix． 12 marg．
$\eta$ iii． 24 ；iv． 5 ；v． 7 ；viii． 14 ；ix． 24 ；xii． 5,7 ；xiv． 5， 25 ．
${ }^{3}$ iv． 18 ；viii． 8 ；5．ii．I 9
$\eta \not \beta \eta$ vi． 16 ；xi． $\mathbf{I I}$ ．
$\eta{ }^{\eta} \delta \eta$ iv． $6 ; x v .16,21$.
$\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \dot{\eta}$ xi． 18 ；xiv． 17 ．
ท゙кєเข ii． 3 ；x． 2 （？）；xiv． 14 ； 3．II．
 iii． 7.
í $\mu$ fís x． 18 ；5．iii． 26 （？）； 12．II．
$\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a$ xi．7， 10 v．l．；xi． 16.
${ }^{7} \mathrm{H} \rho \mathrm{x}$ X． 24.
$\eta^{7 \sigma} v_{\chi o s ~ X . ~}^{\text {x }} 4$.
$\theta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \nu$ xiv． 16.
$\theta a \mu \beta \epsilon i \nu$ xi． 16 （？）．
Oav̂ $\mu a$ ix． 15 ；xi． 9.
$\theta \in a ́ ~ x i . ~ I ~ ; ~ x i i . ~ 2 . ~$
$\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon \tau}$ ii．I 6 ；viii． 18 ；xiv． 2 I．
$\theta$ єós i．IO，I4；iii．I7；iv． 14，17；ix．I2；x． 23 （？）； xiv． 18 ；49． 2 ．
$\theta$ évıs xi． 14.
$\theta \epsilon \sigma \mu o ́ s$ 7． 5.
Өє́ $\sigma \pi \iota s$ x． 8.
Өє $\sigma \sigma a \lambda o i ́ ~ i . ~ 2 ~ I ~, ~$
Өє́ $\omega \nu$ i． 8 schol．， 22 schol．； iii． 13 schol．；iv． 2 schol．， 3 schol．，I3 schol．；v． 24 schol．；vi． 5 schol．；vii． 22 schol．；ix．I5 schol．； xii． 14 schol．；xiii． 7 schol．；xiv． 23 schol．； xvi．I schol．；5．i． 20 schol．
$\theta \nexists \rho$ vi． 9 ；ix．6，ı7；xi． 18 ； xii． 4 ；xiii． 4 ．
Өŋрầ x． 10
Өךрáaıцаs 5．i． 5 schol．
Ө́n $\rho є ข \mu a$ xi． 22.
Onpion vi． 15.
Oŋaavpós xi． 13 ．

xiii． $4 ; 7.3 ; 12.6 ; 15$.
2；91． 20.
Opaoús 94．7．
$\theta \rho i \xi$ 80．4．
$\theta v \eta \lambda \eta$ 3． 6.
Ouцaìєıv V．I 7 （ảmoӨ．Pap．）．
Oípoos ix．II

＇Iסaîos 6． 2.
iठєi้ 5．ii．5，iii． 1.
¿Kov́ iv． 22.

í $\delta$ и́єєน 7． 5.
lє́vaı i． 8 ；iii． 5 ；viii．II．
iOvит $\quad$ pıos iii． 20.
iкvєíӨ
＂1入ıор 106． 2.
i $\mu$ cipєt v． 23
íva vii． 6.
ioù iov́ xvii． 5 ．
ítávaı 5．ii．IO．
＇Iotplavis 5．iii．I I．
$\iota^{\prime} \sigma \chi \in \iota \nu$ iv．I 5．．．．$\sigma \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu 41.6$.
i $\sigma$ रús xi． 4.
ix $\chi \in \dot{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ xii． 9
＂̌ $\chi$ vos iii． 6 schol．；v． 4.
íरขобкотєì i．7．
í́ iv． 2 ；viii． 25 ；xvii． 9 ；
6． $10 ; 98.4$ ．
каӨaıpєî̀ 5．ii．I．
каӨа́ттєєン іх．Іо．
каӨарно́乌єє xiii． 22.
каí，каì үá $\frac{\mathrm{x} .}{} 23$ ．кaì $\gamma \mathrm{a} \rho$ oủ้ 5．ii．6．кả $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ 8．ii． 7. ка̉кó $\mu \iota \sigma \tau o s ~ v i . ~ I I . ~ к a ̉ \nu \epsilon \delta ́ є ́-~$ §́aтo vi．25．кávє $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \rho \frac{s}{}$ vi．II．кảłíरvєvє viii．19； $\kappa a ̉ \xi о \rho \mu \in \nu i\} \epsilon \iota$ xi．12．кєì ix．
 2 （？）．$\chi$ ढ่ v． 4.
каітєр 5．iii． 25 ．
какós 2． 3 ；5．ii．I 9 ；77． 3 ；
91．І7；94．4．какติs XV．
4．ка́кıбтоs vi． $9,15$.
калєî̀ xii． 16 ；xiii． 16 ； 5.
iii．I 8 （？）
калós viii． 3 ．
кá̀Өapos xii．I I．

ка́тŋ i． 8.
ка́ра 5．ii． 17 ；10．4；98．г． каркіроз xii． 9.
катá viii． 5 ；xi．7， 8.
катаıреі̀ vi． 26.
катак入и́єєข ix．I5（v．l．кати́－ $\lambda \nu \theta \in \nu)$ ．
катаррıкдоиิ xii． 6.
катet́évą vii． 6.
катє́ $\rho \chi є \sigma$ Өat ix． $15 \mathrm{v} . \mathrm{l}$ ．
кати́кооs iii． 24.
катоє $\chi$ дєì xiii． 5 ．
ка́тн xi．19；5．i． 22.
каұáそєเข xiv． 6.
кєìlos．See éreìos．
$к є і \rho є \iota \nu$ 5．ii． $9,18$.

I7；5．ii．23，iii．I4．
кє $\lambda \in \cup \mu a$ ix． 16.
кєрá⿱㇒日тทs xii． 1 I．
кєрסає⿱亠乂єє xiv． 5 －
кє́ $\rho \chi$ доs v． 23.
ки́ $\nu v \gamma \mu$ i．I 3 ；ii．I3；ix． 21.
$\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho v \xi$ ix．2ェ ；4．Іо；81． 5 （？）．
кıขễ 91． 8.
кíaтๆ xi． 17 （？）．
кла $\gamma \gamma$ ávєı xii ． 19 ．
клaíety vii． 4 ；xiv． 19 ； 5. iii． 16.
$\kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \mu a$ iii． 14.
$\kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau є \iota \nu$ xiii． 20 （？）；xiv． 24.
$\kappa \lambda \epsilon$ є́ $\pi$ тทs xiv．9，I I．
к入 $\eta \delta \omega \dot{\nu}$ ix． 23.
к入íveı V． 14 ；vi． 18.
к入от ．．．XV． 2.
$\kappa \lambda о \pi \epsilon$ ús xiii． 10.
$\kappa \lambda o \pi \eta$ ix． 19 ；xiii．14，I7； xiv． 10.
$\kappa \lambda \nu ́ \in \iota \nu$ vi．I．
$\kappa \lambda \omega ́ \psi$ iii． 9 （？）．
кцทิкоs xiv． 16.
$\kappa \nu \omega \dot{\delta} a \lambda o \nu$ xii． 12.
коí $\eta \mu$ хі． 5.
коєо́Өакоs 7． 6.
коıvós 5．ii． 22.
кódás vi． 22.
ко́л入о廿 xii． 23 （？）．
колі́a 4． 6 （？）．
ко́ра६ 3． 4.
крá̧єıд 3． 6.

краєт
кратєì xiv．10；92． 2.
крє ．．．79． 2 schol．
крокє ．．．viii． 2.
кюи́ттєє X． 23 ；8．ii． 8.
крифаîos X． 25 （？）．
ктє́aขoу xii． 17.
$\kappa т \eta ́ \sigma t o s 5$ ．ii． 8
кти́тоs ix． 3.
ки́ßба V． 17.
кикךбнós V． 12.
ки́к久os 5．i． 9.
Kv $\nu \lambda \eta^{\prime} \nu \eta$ ii． 4 ；x． 16.
кuvๆ $\gamma \in$ î ii． 17.
кvขך $\gamma \in \tau \epsilon i \nu \mathrm{i} .15$ ；V． 14.
кขขך $\gamma \in \tau \eta$ ix． 16.
кขขортєкós vii． 9.
$\kappa \omega \phi \hat{a} \nu$ viii． 14.
$\kappa \omega \phi$ ós ix． 5 ．
$\lambda a \nless \epsilon ́ т \eta s$ 91．I 2.
$\lambda a \theta \rho a \hat{\iota o s ~ i . ~} 8$ and schol．
$\lambda a ́ \theta \rho t o s ~ i i i . ~ I 3 . ~$
入áктьт $\mu$ іх．4， 22.
入a入iбтatos V． 24.
$\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ s ~ v i . ~ 2 I$.
$\lambda a ́ \xi ́ o o s ~ 7 . ~ 6 . ~$
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ii． 21 ；iii． 3 ；vii．7， 12；xi． 22 ；xiii． 18 ， 19 ； xiv． 19 （ $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \hat{a} \nu$ Pap．）；5．i． 26，ii．16；15． 4 ；40． 6 ； 87． $3 \cdot$
$\lambda$ tía iii． 22.
$\lambda \in i o s$ xiv． 17.
入єiтєє 1． 15 ；5．iii． 23 （？）．
$\lambda \eta \theta_{\eta}$ xi．I．
入íav ix． 5.
$\lambda_{\text {ıкиітıs xi．}} 6$.
入óyıov 88． 3.
$\lambda o ́ \gamma o s$ ii． 7 ；iii． 27 （？）；iv．I4； viii． 8 ；x．I3， 2 I， 24 ；xiv． 7， 8 ；1． x 8 ；92． 12 （？）； 94． 6.
$\lambda$ о́ $\gamma \chi$ П 6． 12.
Moǵas xiv． 25 ；xvii． 8 （？）， 10.
$\lambda_{0 ́ \chi \mu \eta}$ v． $\mathbf{x} 6$.
$\lambda v \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} \mathrm{\zeta}_{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \mathrm{at}$ xiv． 20.
$\lambda u ́ \mu \eta$ 5，ii， 25.
$\lambda u ́ \pi \eta$ xiii． 1.
$\lambda u ́ p a$ xii． 16 ；xiii． 3.
$\mu a ́ v .7$.
цакрós 5．iii． 22 ；27． 2 （？）．
$\mu a ́ \lambda a$ iv． 24 ；v．6．$\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~$
ii． 19 ； x ． I 5 ；xii． 12 ； 91． 9.
$\mu a ́ \lambda \theta a$ vi． 8.
$\mu a \nu$ Өáveı i． 12 ；v．5，15， 18 ， 23 ；xiv． 1.
$\mu a v i ́ a$ ix． 15 ．
$\mu а \rho \iota \lambda о к а и ́ т \eta s$ ii． 7 （？）．
нátatos X． 4.
$\mu a r \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota$ i．I 3 ．
$\mu a ́ т \eta \nu$ vii．I 3.
$\mu a ́ \chi \eta$ x． 3.
$\mu_{\epsilon}$＇$\gamma$ as 12．8．$\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma$ totos xi． 9 （？）； 5．iii． 2 I．
$\mu \in \theta_{\iota} \in ́ v a \iota ~ v i i i . ~ 2 . ~$
$\mu \in$ Өıбтávaı 94．5．
$\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda a \theta \rho o \nu$ 91． 7.
M＇́ $^{\prime} \mu \nu \omega \nu$ 5．iii． 24.
$\mu^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \nu$ X．I 7， 20 ；5．ii．24，iii． $15 ; 10.3$.
$\mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu \in \iota \nu$ viii．I $6, ~ I 7$ ；xi． 6.
$\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma$ оs 5．i． 20.
$\mu \in \tau а і$ диноs 5．i． 7.
$\mu \epsilon \tau a \xi v ́$ xii． 8.
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota$ ix． 8.
$\mu \epsilon ́ т \rho о \nu$ iv． 25.
$\mu \eta$ iv． $\mathrm{r}_{5}$ ；vii． 2 ；viii． 2,10 ， 21， 22 （？）；x．5， 24 ；xii． 2 ；xiii． 12 ；xiv． $26 ; 91$. 17．ov̉ $\mu$＇ xv ．6；3． 9.
$\mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ ~ x i i i . ~ I 3 ~(\epsilon ́ \mu o ̀ ̀ ~ \delta ́ ́ ~ P a p) ;$. 7． 9.
$\mu \eta \delta$ eís i．I4；vi．I．
$\mu \eta \nu$ V． 4.
$\mu \eta \nu \cup \in \epsilon \nu$ X．I4．
$\mu \eta \eta_{\nu v \tau \rho o \nu ~ i v . ~}$ ．
$\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \omega \nu$ xiv． 10.

$\mu \eta \chi a \nu \eta$ xi． 15.
цıapós viii． 7.
$\mu \iota \sigma$ Oós ii．II ；viii． 27 ；xvii． 18.
$\mu \nu \eta \eta_{\mu}$ vi． 16.
$\mu \nu \eta \mu \eta$ 5．iii． 23.
$\mu \nu \eta \mu о \sigma v ́ \nu \eta$ 6． 7.
нóvos iv． 23 schol．；xi． 2 ； 1. 17；77．2．$\mu$ о́vov v． 24 schol．；vi． 5 schol．， 12 ；
xiii． 2 ；5．i． 20 schol．；
13．i． 6 schol．$\mu$ ồvov ii． 22. но́т $\chi$ оs i． 6.
$\mu \hat{v} \theta o s$ xiv． 20.
$\mu \nu$ pios 14． 2.
Muaós 5．iii． 18 ；12．7．
$\mu \bar{\omega} \nu$ viii． 13 ．
$\mu \omega р i ́ a$ xiv． 2.
$\mu \hat{\omega} \rho o s$ xiv． I 8.
vai v．7；5．ii． 13 ．
veavias 5．iii．17．
$\nu \epsilon ́ \beta$ рıขos ix． 10.
$\nu \in \kappa$ рós 5．ii．19，23，iii．2， 13 ．
$\nu \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \mathrm{xv} .{ }^{\mathrm{x}} 5$ ．
véó ix． 14 ；xiv． 8,15 ．
$\nu \epsilon \omega ́ \rho \eta s$ vi． 22.
Nı ．．．iv． 23 schol．；vi． 18
schol．；84． 2 schol．
ขópos vii． 22 ；viii． 5 ．
עобє̂̀ ix． 26.
ขобท入єن́єเข 10． 5 （？）．
ขó́oos ix． 27 （？）；xi．4．
ขótos 5．iii． 9 ．
$\nu \dot{\mu} \mu \phi \eta$ ix． $13 ; \mathrm{x} . \mathrm{I}, 12$.
$\nu v \mu \phi ı \alpha_{0}$ vi． 17 ．
$\nu \nu \mu ф о \notin ́ \nu \nu \eta \tau o s$ ii． 8 ．
$\nu$ v̂̀ vi． 21 ；ix． 14 ；X． 9 ；xii．
2， 12 ；xv． $16 ; 7.5 ; 10$.
3；47．5．
$\nu$ v́g xi． 7 ；98． 3 ．
$\xi \in \nu i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ vi． 5 ．
گ́vos 83． 3 ；91． 13.
$\xi \in \nu o u ̀ \nu 5$ 5．iii． 22.

צıva ．．．Fr． 24.3.
§uvovaia 7．7．
 тós xii．13．тov̉ $\xi \omega$ xii． 13 ．
ó，$\dot{\eta}$ ，тó（demonstr．）．ó $\mu \in ́ v, \delta$ ס́́ v． 10 ；ix． 2 ；xv． 18 （l．ös）；5．ii． 24 ，iii． 14 ； 10．3．$\pi \rho$ ò тoù v． 24.
ö，$\eta_{n}^{\prime \prime}, \tau o ́($ relat．）．$\tau o ́ v ~ v i . ~ 6 . ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~$ iii． 24 ．
 22 ；iii．${ }^{2} 5$ ；iv． 2 I；v． 4 ， 8，13；vii．15，18， 24 ；
viii． 4 ；ix．6，8；x．2， 7 ， 10， 16,20 ；xi． 24 ；xiii． 12 ；xiv． $12,14,26 ; 7$. $9 ; 47.6$ ；84． 8 ；91．6．
óoós xiv． 26 ；84． 2 ．
ó $\delta \nu \rho \mu$ ．．．11． 6.
оиєбөat i． 9 ．
oikos vi．I7．
о＂дос 5．iii． 20.
oìmos vii．Io．
olooô̂ 5．ii． 2.
oios vi． 5 ．

öк $\quad$ os i．I2．
${ }_{\partial} \lambda \beta i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ix．I．
${ }_{\delta}^{\mu а і} \mu \omega \nu$ 5．iii． 16.
${ }^{\circ} \mu \mu \alpha$ 5．i．${ }^{2} 3$.
ómồ ix．16，18， 23 ．
ò $\mu \dot{\eta}$ xiii． 5 ．

òvıávà vi． 3 ．
${ }_{0} \pi \eta \eta$ vii． 3 ；viii． 18.
${ }_{0}{ }^{\prime} \pi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ ix． 12.
oп $\pi \quad \sigma \omega$ V． 10.
${ }_{0} \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \mathrm{iv} .{ }^{2} 3$.
${ }_{0}^{\circ} \pi \lambda o v 5.1 .9$.
олтоios xiv． 20.
óтótà viii． 8.
öттотой viii． 7 ．
önォи́ $\operatorname{iii} .24$.
ötcos x． 24 ；xiv． 23.

${ }^{\circ} \rho \gamma$ ．．．53． 5.
öpravov 5．i．i6．
ópetvós xii． 14 （？）．
＂̈рєtos ii． 8.
ј́реітрофоs vi．ry．
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{o} \rho \theta$ los ii． 13 ．

ó $\rho \mu \hat{a} \nu \mathrm{ix} .7$.

ös ii．14；iii． 2 I；v． 25 （？）；
vi．16， $21,23,25,26$ ；ix．
9， 10 ；xii． 12 ；xiii． 9 ；xv．
18 （ $\delta \delta^{\circ}$ Pap．）；xvii． 16 ；
6． 3 ．
$\dot{\sigma}^{\sigma} \mu \eta^{\prime}$ iv． 8.
ö́oos 5．iii． 24 ；42． 2.
ӧ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ii． 21 ；X． 18.
ö $\sigma \sigma \epsilon$ ii． 20.
övтракоу xii． 14.
ö́Ttเ ii．10， 24 ；vii．12， 22 ； viii． 24 （？）；x．I5，I7 ； xiii． 9 ；xiv． 14.
oủ，oủk i． 9 ；v． 3,19 ；vi． 18 ； viii． $14,16,18,22$ ；ix． 2 ； x．I2（？）；xi． 8 ；xiii． 10 ； xiv． $12,14,18,22$ ；xvii． 7 ；5．ii． $10,18,21$ ，iii． 15， 22 ；7． 11 （？）；48． 3 ； 57．r ；91．ı0．oủxi 5．ii． 17；7．I．oủ $\mu \eta$ र̀ xv． 6 ； 3． 9 ．
จข่ $\delta a \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$ vi． 3 ；91． 2 1．
oúdé iv． 4 （？）；vi．19；viii． 23 ； x． 3 ；xii． $9,10$.
oưסєís vi．6；vii．7；xiv． 3 ； 5．iii． 13 ．

оиккєть xi． 12.
งั้้ i． 12 ；3． 7 ；5．ii． 6.
ойขєка х．Іт．

ойтотє 6． 9 ．
oủpavós 5．i．I 4 ．
oûplos vii． 20 ．
oưs iv． I ．
ойтє i．10；x．2；5．iii．18， 24.
oư่าด ii． 17 ；iii． 3 ；iv． 16 ， ${ }^{2} 5$ ；v．I 8 ；vii．I ；viii．I8； ix．22， 24 ；x．9， 18 ；xiii． 1，10；xiv．22， 23 ；xvii． 7 ；2．4；94．2．oútoのi v．9．тaút iv． 19.
оข้т $\omega$ ，оข̃т $\omega$ s i． 8 schol．， 22 schol．；iii．I3 schol．；iv． 2 schol．， 3 schol．，12， 13 schol．；v． 24 schol．；vi． 5 schol．；vii． 22 schol．；ix． ${ }^{1} 5$ schol．；xii． 14 schol．； xiii．4， 14 ；xiv． 23 schol．； xvi．I schol．；5．i． 20 schol．，ii．II schol．；13．i． 6 schol．

та ${ }^{2}$ кád $\omega$ 7．7． 4.
тáyos ix． 6.
$\pi a \iota o a ́$ xiv． 3 ．

тaidion 51． 3.
mais ii． 20 ；vi． 23 ；ix． 13 （ $\pi$ oús Pap．）；xi．2，11， 13 ， 20， 2 I ；xii． 16 （？）；xiii． 4 ；xiv． 15 ；xv．2，18，19；
F5．iii．17；6．II．
Паєы̀ ii．IO．
$\pi a ́ \lambda a t \sigma \mu a ~ 5 . ~ i . ~ 12, ~ i i . ~ 22 . ~$
$\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ iv． 23.
тадıข
$\pi a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 5．і． 17 ．
па́лфиртоs ix． 23.
$\pi a \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda$ خ́s i． 13 ．
$\pi a ́ v v$ iv． 20 ；xiii． 2 I．
тарá vi．I 7 ．
параßaivet vii． 2 I ；79． 2.
$\pi а р a ́ \delta \iota \iota \gamma \mu a$ iii． 19.
$\pi а р а \mu \epsilon ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ vii．I I．
таратаiєь ix． 25.
таратлак ．．．viii． 10.
$\pi а р а \psi v к т \grave{\eta} \rho \frac{\nu}{\text { xiii．I．}}$
тарєiva ii． 14 ；vii．5，7， 8.
$\pi \alpha ́ \rho a=\pi a ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \vee .5$.
таре́єєє 5．iii． 23.
тар $\theta_{\text {évos 23．}} 3$（？）．
таріттабөaь ii． 7 （？）．
тápos 7．II．
$\pi a \tau \eta ́ \rho$ iii． 16 ；vi． 15 ；vii． 5 ；
viii． $13 ;$ xi． 4 ；xiv． $9 ; 5$.
ii． 4 ，iii． $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ ．
$\pi a \tau \rho \iota \kappa$ ós iii． 12.
$\pi а т \rho థ ิ o s ~ 5 . ~ i i i . ~ І 5 . ~$
 I（？）；xiv． 17 ；xv． 19.
тай入а 94． 4.
$\pi a ̂ s$ i． 16 ；ii． 9 ；iv． 7 ；vi． 9 ， 10；5．ii． 24 ；6． 4 ； 10. 2， 8 （？）；98． 2.
$\pi \epsilon ́ \delta o \rho т$ оs ix． 3.
$\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ xiv． 22 ；6．5．$\pi \epsilon i \theta_{\epsilon}$－ $\sigma \theta a t$ vi． 2 ；xii．3， 4.
$\pi \epsilon \iota \eta{ }^{2} \nu$ xiv． 12 （？$\pi a \nu a ̂ \nu$ Pap．）．
$\pi \epsilon \lambda a$ ．．．89． 2.
$\pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \in \theta o s$ xvi．I．
$\pi$ ध́v $\theta$ os 5．iii． 25 （？）；9． 5 ．
$\pi \hat{\eta}$ iv．9．$\pi \hat{̣}$ iii． 13 ．
$\pi \dot{\delta} \delta \eta \mu$ ix． 4.
$\pi \eta \mu о$ ข́ $^{9 .} 7$.
$\pi i \theta \eta k o s$ v． 17 ．
$\pi i \pi \tau \epsilon 1$ i．ІІ ；v． 16.

тıттós vi．I＋；xii． 2.
$\pi \iota \tau \nu a ́ v a \iota ~ x i v . ~ I 7 . ~$
$\pi \lambda a ́ \gamma l o s ~ v i i i . ~ I 2 . ~$
$\pi \lambda a ́ v \eta$ xiii． 14 （？）．
$\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega \nu$ xii． 15 ；xv．16．$\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon_{-}^{-}$
otos viii． 21 ；xii． 8.
$\pi \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau a$ ．．．xii． 2 I．
$\pi \lambda \epsilon \cup \rho a ́$ 5．iii．I 4.
$\pi \lambda \epsilon v \rho o ́ v ~ 5 . ~ i i . ~ I ~(?) . ~ . ~$
$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ ıaitavas 7． 8.
$\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ vi． 5 v．l．；91． 18.
$\pi \lambda$ ókos 7．І3．
$\pi \lambda o u \tau \epsilon i \nu$ viii． 19 （？）．
$\pi \lambda$ oûtos vi． 24.
$\pi \nu i \gamma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{xv} .2 \mathrm{I}$ ，
$\pi 0^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \nu$ vi． 22.
$\pi$ ô̂ ix． 14.
тoteiv xiv． 8 （？）．тoєiv ix．27；
5．iii． 25 （？）．
токкілоs хіі． 6 ．
$\pi<\not \mu \eta$ и іi． 6 ；vi． 22.
тоєо ．．．45． 2.
тоós iii． 3,18 ；v． 18 ；xii． 5；1． 7.
тo入ús vi． 16 ；ix． 7 ；xi． 16 ； 1．IO；5．iii．10，I I，22， 26 ， 29；9．8；98． 4.
тoveiv vii． 12 ；Fr． $23 \cdot(a) 4$.
тоипрía xiv． 13.
то⿱亠䒑䶹ós $\mathrm{xv} .3,17$.
тóvos iii． 18 ；viii．I ；ix． 8 ； 91． 19.
$\pi o ́ \rho \delta a \lambda \iota s$ xii． 7.

$\pi о \rho i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ x． 22 ；xii．1．
$\pi$ поのテúvєє xii． 15 ．
то́pтis i． 6.
тoré v． 22 ；vii． 22 ；x．19； xiii． 9 ．
$\pi$ ơท́s xi． 5 ．
то́т $\mu$ оя 15． 3 ．
Tồ v． 18 ；xv． 15.
$\pi o v$ vi． 13 ；xiv． 25 ．
moús iii．6， 14 ；ix． 13 （l．$\pi$ aîs）， 22.
$\pi \rho \hat{\gamma} \gamma \mu a$ v．9，v．l．тá $\neq a$ ； x ． 6 （？）， 15 ；xiii． 8.
$\pi \rho a ̂ y o s ~ i i i . ~ 2 I . ~$
$\pi \rho a ̂ \xi t s$ 47． 4,6 ．
$\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ix．I6；xiv． 14.
$\pi \rho \in \pi \tau o ́ s \times$ xiii． 6 ．
$\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \in ⿺ \rho a \times$ xii． 15 ？
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\tau} \tau \eta s$ ii． 14.
IIptaцiöns 6．I（？）．
прі́ацоs 5．ii．5，iii． 16 ；6． 3.
$\pi \rho i v$ vi． 23.
$\pi \rho o ́ v .24$.
тipodióóvaı 5．iii． 20.
$\pi \rho \sigma \lambda \epsilon і \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 6． 8.
$\pi \rho \circ \mu \eta \dot{k \eta}$ xii． 5 ．
тоós i．II ；v． 15 ；xiv．9， 14 ；
5．i．I4，ii． 19.
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma i ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ 5．ii． 7 ．
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma a ́ \pi \tau \epsilon i \nu$ xiv． 13.
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \beta \iota \beta a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ vii． 8.
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \gamma \epsilon \lambda a ̂ \nu$ xii． 2.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i v$ 5．ii．го．
$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon, \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \in \nu$ ii．19；v． 10 ； ix． 9 ．
$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \pi a \iota o s ~ v . ~ 14 . ~$
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \phi \epsilon \rho$ и́s xii．9， 12.
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \phi_{\iota} \lambda \lambda_{s}$ ii． 15 ；iii． $2_{5}$ ．
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \phi \omega \nu \epsilon i v x$ xii． 2.
$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega$ 5．i． 2 I．
$\pi \rho о ф а і \nu \in \iota \nu$ iii． 18 ；x．6， 14.
$\pi \rho \circ \psi a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ X． 5 ．
$\pi \rho \hat{\omega}$ тоs vii． 15 ；49．3．$\pi \rho \hat{\omega}-$ rov iii． 3 schol．
$\pi \tau \eta \nu o ́ s ~ 5 . ~ i . ~ 5 ~ s c h o l . ~$
$\pi \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ vi． 20.
пúatis X． 24.
$\pi \omega \mathrm{v} .3$ ．
$\pi \dot{\omega} \gamma \omega \nu$ xiv． 16.
$\pi \dot{\omega} \pi о т \epsilon$ vi． 6.
$\pi \omega ิ s$ iii．I3；iv．19；vi．I； xii． 3 ．
$\pi \omega$ sii． 17 ；iii． $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ ；ix． 16.
¢́nүvv́vat 5．i．9，iii． 9 ．
р $\iota \nu \eta \lambda a \tau \epsilon i ̀ \nu$ iv． 8.
р́шоко́лддтоs xiv． 24.

ค́o日eiv 5．iii．Io．
роí $\beta \delta \eta \mu a$ v．I．
poîßoos v． 2.
इарпク $\delta$ ف́ $\nu$ 5．iii．－ 24. đáтvpos iii． 5 marg． бaф ．．．xiii． 20.
$\sigma a ́ \phi a$ xiii．I I．
$\sigma a \phi \dot{\prime} \mathrm{iv} .2 \mathrm{I}$ ；xiv．7．$\sigma a \phi \bar{\omega}$ viii． 23 （？）；x． 20.
бй $\mu \mathrm{a}$ iv． I 6.
$\sigma \eta \mu a i \nu \epsilon \nu$ iv． 2 I ；v．Ig．
$\sigma$ बévos x ． I 6.
бเรầ iv． 17 ；v． 24 ；viii． 13 ， 15.

Eıl ${ }^{2} \nu$ ós iii． 20 marg ．
$\sigma \iota \nu \delta \dot{\omega} \nu 5$ ．iii．II．
бкпттós 91．19．
бкотєî̀ xiv．I I．
бxıá vi． 9 ．
इкरิроз 1． 8.
$\sigma \pi a ́ p y a \nu o \nu$ xi． 6.
$\sigma \pi$ е́os xi． 2 （？）．
$\sigma \pi o v \delta \eta^{\eta}$ ii． 14.
$\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ xi．13，v．l．тр́́申єє
बтє́ $\gamma \eta$ x． 25 （？）．
$\sigma \tau \in \nu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 5．i．I 5 ．
बтévect 5．i． 16.
बтє́ $\neq \iota$ ii． 18.
arißos v． 4 ；vii． 24 ．
бто́ма 5．iii． 10.
बтрátoos vii． 25.
aтратós i． 16.
 xiv． 20.
बтроф́́ ix． 14.
$\sigma \dot{v}$ ii． $12,15,23$ ；iii． 8 ；v．
13；vii．8，11；viii．3， 18 ；
xii． 2 ；xiii． 12,14 ；xir． ${ }^{1} 5 ;$ xv． 2 I；1． 5 （？）； 5.
ii． 7 schol．，iii．20；11． 5 ；
84． 2 v．l．；86． 2 ； 91. 23.
oíryovos xii． 14.
$\sigma u \gamma k \nu \rho \in i v i v . ~ I ~ I . ~$
$\sigma u ̈ \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$ iii． 22.
$\sigma \nu \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \kappa \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ V．II（？）．
$\sigma \nu \mu \pi о \delta \eta \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon i \nu$ vii． 5.
बúv ii． 13 ；ix．7，13， 22 （？）；
91． 17.
बvva入 $\gamma \epsilon i v 14.5$ ．
бvvápa iii．I 7.
बvvín $\eta_{\mathrm{g}} 88.5$（？）．
бvขтє $\lambda_{\eta}^{\prime} \mathrm{iii}$.26 （？$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau$. Pap．）．
बúpєı 5．ii．I I．
бúp $\gamma \mu a$ vii． 9 ．
बфаұ́n 5．iii． 14.
$\sigma \phi a ̈ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu 79.6$.

$\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ vi．8， 12.
$\sigma$ ल̂s 3．7．
$\sigma$ ต́тєєра 8．I2（？）．
$\sigma \omega \tau \eta p i a$ 5．iii． 2 I．

râv， $\begin{gathered}\text { a } \\ \text { râv iv．} 18 .\end{gathered}$
т́́申о 48． 2.
тáxa viii．8；ix． 2 ； 92.4.
тaұús 5．i．28．тáxıбtos 5．ii． 14 schol．；94．6．тáxıбтa 5．ii． 14.
тє i．I4， 22 ；iî 4,5 ；iii．I 6 ， 25 （ $\gamma \in$ Pap．）， 26 ；v． 4 ； vii．I；viii．19，20；ix．II； x． 4 （？）；xiv． 20 ；xvii． 6 ； 5．ii． $26 ; 10.7$ ．
тєivet ix． 19.
тє́кขov iii． 4 ；5．ii．5́，iii．16， 20.

тe入eìl i． 2 ；iv． 13.

тє́ртєөӨaı xiv． 6.
тєû̃os 7．I．
$\tau \in \chi$ ขâ $\theta$ Aa xiii． 10.
тé $\chi$ ทŋ i． 9 ；v． 13 ；ix． 8.
Tク́n $\epsilon \phi$ os 2． 2 ；5．i．I schol．， 26，iii．18；6．10；7．7； 10． 4 ；40． 2.
т $\eta \lambda$ ои̃ 91． 23.
тı日évą 7．II（？）．
тіктєє 7．Io．
ris iii． 3 ；iv． 18 （v．l．érı），I9； v． $9,13,15,1_{7}, 18,2 \mathrm{r}$ ， $22,24,26$ ；vi． 7 ；vii． 13 ， ${ }^{15}$ ， 18 ；viii． 3, I $_{3}$, I $_{5}$ ；ix． 6，8；x．19；xii．I3， 17 ； xiii． 14 ；Xv． 17 ；2． 4 ； 3．7， 8 ；5．ii． 16,17 ； 50． 4.
tes ii． 9 ；iii． 24 ；iv． 5 ， 17 ； v． 16 ；vi． 4 ；vii． 6 ；viii． 22 ；ix． 5 ；xii．5，10， 15 ； xiii．2，5，I5；xiv．5，II ； xv．20；3．9；66． 2.
тоє iii． 8 ；v． 7 ；xv． 3.
тоєо ．．．Fr．28． 2.
 xii．I．
toooûtos xii．3， 10 ；5．iii．IO；
68．I ；81． 4 ；105． 3 （？）．
$\tau o ́ \lambda \mu a$ i．II．
то́тоя v． 18 （тго́тоя Pap．）；x． 7， 16 ；xiii． 5.
tóros 1.9 （？）．
тобойтоя 5．ii． 2 I ．
то́тє ii． 18.
т $\quad$ áyos xiv． 16.
$\tau \rho \epsilon . .$. viii． 4 ．
т тє́тєє 91．15．
$\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ xi． $3,13 \mathrm{v} .1$.
трísuoos vii． 10.
т $\rho$ г $\sigma$ ós 11. i I（？）．
трítos 5．ii． 6 ； 9.3.
тоótos v．9，I 5， 18 （l．то́tos），
19；vii．I5；xii．10；2． 3.
т $о \varnothing$ ．．．5．iii． 6.
т $\quad$ оф́ ${ }^{\text {ix．}} \mathrm{I} 7$ ；xi． 6.
$\tau \rho \circ \chi \omega \dot{\sigma} \eta \mathrm{s}$ xii． $6 \mathrm{v} . \mathrm{l}$ ．
Т $\rho \omega$ ．．．1． 13 ．
тuүхáveเข iii．15， 2 I．

тúx ${ }^{\text {94．5，8．Tú } \chi \eta \text { iii．} 20 .}$
$\tau \omega s$ xii． 7 ．
$\hat{v} \hat{v}$ v̂ं $v$ v．20． $\mathcal{v} \dot{v} \hat{v}$ vii． 12.

$i \mu$ îs v．I5；vi． $2 \mathrm{I}, 25$ ；vii．
I；ix．10，26；X．20， 22.

imó vi．16， 2 I；91．I6．
ímok $\lambda a ́ s \epsilon \iota \nu$ vii． 13 ．
iпокрí̧є $\nu$ vii．І 3 ．
ímóvopos iii． 10 ．


ṽ $\pi \tau$ cos xi． 17 ．


v̋ $\phi o s 5$ ．iii． I 2.
фaivery x． 2 I ；xi． 10 （v．l．
éкф．）．фаivé才at ix． 2.
фалакрós xiv． 17.
$\phi a \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ vi．I 3.
фával iv． 5 ；xvii． 6 ；5．ii． 16.
фáos 5．i． 23.
$\phi а \rho \mu$ ．．．11． 6.
фá $\not \mu a$ xiii． 6.
$\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon t \nu$ vii． 22 ；ix．3，9， 1 I． $\phi \in \dot{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ vi． 14 ；5．i．I 8.
$\phi \eta \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \eta s$ xiii．I 6 ．
ф ${ }^{\prime} \mu \eta$ 3． 2.
$\phi \theta^{\prime} \gamma \mu a \mathrm{v} .3$ ；viii． 26 ；x． 18 ；
xi． 15 ；xii． 3 ；xiii． 4.
$\phi \theta \in i \rho \in i v 91.17$.
фi入os iii．I7；x． 27 ；3． 10 （？）； 76． 9.
фıtúeiv xi． 2.
$\phi \lambda^{\prime} \notin \mathrm{Fr} .3^{2 .} 2$.
фоßєî̄Өaı v． 21 ；vi．7， 23. $\phi$ óßos vi．го；xi． 9.
Фоîßos ii． 55 ；iii． 26 ；vi． 25 ． фрá̧̧ıv iii． 25 （סрá́as Pap．）； x．17，18；xii．13；Fr． 27 ． 1. фр $\eta_{\nu}$ i． 4 ；xiv． 6 ；5．ii． 8.
фрои̂oos i． 7 （？）；91．I3．
Фри́yєs 5．iii． 2 I．
$\phi v \gamma \eta$ vi． 18.
фи́єє xii．7， 9 ；xiv． 9 ．
$\phi u{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{xii} . \mathrm{II}$ ．
фила ．．．48． 5 ．
$\phi \nu \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ 8．ii． 7.
фѝлo i． 16.
фú $\delta \dot{\eta} \nu$ 5．ii． 1 I（v．l．фиртáv）．
фขтєข̇єเข 5．iii． 19.
$\phi \omega \nu$ ề X． 18 ；xii．I3，I6（？）． $\phi \omega \nu \eta$ vi．I ；ix． 25 （？）；xii． 4. $\phi \omega ́ \nu \eta \mu a$ ii． 12 ；xi． 23.
$\phi \hat{\rho} \rho \mathrm{ii} . \mathrm{IO}$ ；ix． 18.
$\phi \hat{s}$ 14． 2.
$\chi$ aip $\rho \iota \nu$ xiii． 2.
$\chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon \ell \nu}$ xiii． 12.
$\chi^{\text {àııós } 1.3}$
$\chi^{\text {a入кoйs 5．i．} 9 .}$
харı ．．．80． 6.
хव́pıs ix． 9.
$\chi$ д́бкєเข xiv， 19.
$\chi є \iota \mu ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ xi． 4 ；xiii． 15.
хєір ix． 11 ；xi． 3 ；5．i．1ヶ．
$\chi^{\lambda} \iota \delta \hat{a ̂ \nu}$ xiv． 16 ．
$\chi^{\lambda \text { доє }}$ ós ix． 6 （v．l．$\chi$ लिpos）．
xopós iii． 5 marg．；x．I marg．；
xiii． 5 marg．
хреіа x .15.
$\chi \rho \eta$ x．20；xiv． 18.
$\chi \rho \eta \bar{\mu}$ ii．17；vi．4；ix． 14 ；
xiv． $23 ; 47.9$.
$\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ iv． 13 v v．
$\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o ́ s ~ i v . ~ 13 ~(v . ~ 1 . ~ \chi \rho \eta ̂ \sigma \theta a u) . ~$
хрóvios 9． 6.
xpóvos vi． 4 ；viii． 2 I；xiv． 1 ；

5．iii． 22 ； 91.9 ； 93. 5 （？）．
रevóós ii． 18 ；iii． 19 ；viii． 20 ；Fr．23．（a） 2.
रpucó申avtos vi． 24.
$\chi \rho \omega ́ s$ iv． 1 I（èv $\chi \rho \varphi \bar{L})$ ．
 $\chi \omega \rho \epsilon i ้$ iv． 26.
$x$ м̂pos ii． 5 ；ix． 6 v．l．
$\psi \psi$ vii． 12 ．
$\psi \circ \phi \epsilon i \nu \quad$ vii． 4 ．
廿́́фos vi． $6,7,19,22$.
ఓ̂ v．24；vi．15；xv．17；
xvii．8， 10 ；3． 5 ；5．ii． 9 ；
6． $\mathrm{I} 2 ; 49$ ．2．$\hat{\omega}$ tầ iv ．
18．${ }^{\omega}$ vii． 18 ．$\omega \ddot{\omega}$ iii． 8.
ڤ̂ठも V． 14 ；ix． 25 ；xii． 19.
む̈pa 91． 19.
es ii． 12 ；v． 16 ；vi． 23 ；x． 2I；xii．7，9，II；xiv． 16 ；
5．ii．14，26，iii．19， 27.
wैs xiv． 19 （？）．
ढ̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon$ 81． 2.
డ̈वTध ix． 5 ；xi． 9 ；5．ii． 2 I．
ஸ̀фєлєív 5．iii．I3．

## （b） 1176 （Satyrus，Life of Euritides．）

## （Numbers in thick type refer to fragments．）

ảßov入ía 39．iii． 23.
áyaOós 37．i．I7；38．ii．II． ä $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ Өaı 39．х． 37.
ä $\gamma \in \iota \nu$ 39．vi． 20 ，vii． 2 I ，xviii． 28.
a่ $\gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$ 8．ii． 28.
ảठ $€ \lambda \phi$ ．．．6． 16.
ảóseì̀ 13． 3.
ásiкпиа 39．xiii．I．
áєi 39：ix． 14.
ả $\begin{array}{r}\text { óo ．．．18．i．} 2 .\end{array}$

＇A $\theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ 39．xvii．24．＇A $\theta \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu-$ $\sigma \iota \nu$ 39．xix． 3 ．
＇A $\begin{aligned} & \text { nuaîo 39．iii．22，v．} 10 \text {（？），}\end{aligned}$ xix． 16.
à $\theta$ póos 39．x． 27.
à $\theta v \mu$ єiv 39．xxii． 7 ．
aí大日ávéӨaı 39．xix．I I，xxii． 18.
aí $\chi$ ро́s 38．iv． 19 （？）， 22.
Aioxúdos 39．xvi．8，xxiii． 4.
A＂゙т $\eta$ 38．ii． 2 I．
aỉ $\chi$ ád $\omega$ тos 39．xix． 18.
＇Акє́бт $\omega \rho$ 39．xv． 3 I．
àкоข́єเข 22． 8
ג̇крıßิิs 37．iii． 14
áкро́aбıs 5． 7.
äкроs 39．vii． 2 I．
ả $\eta \theta \iota \nu \omega ́ т \epsilon \rho o s ~ 39 . ~ x v i i i, ~ 20 . ~$
ả $\lambda \kappa \eta$ 39．iv． 25.
à $\lambda \lambda \dot{a}^{38}$ 3．ii． 8 ；39．iii．I8， iv．2，v．26，ix．28，xiii．I 4， xviii． 13 （l．ä $\mu a$ ）．

ả $\lambda \lambda a \chi \hat{\eta}$ 1． 2.
ä $\lambda \lambda$ os 39．vi． 7 （ $\delta п \mu \omega \nu$ Pap．）， xvi． 3 1，32．ä $\lambda \lambda \eta$ 37．iii． 2 I．
ả入入óтрıos 37．i． 20.
ä $\lambda$ s 39 ．xvi．І $4,16$.
ä $\lambda \sigma$ оs 39．xxi． 7.
ä $\mu$ 39．iv． $19, \mathrm{x} .36, \mathrm{xv} .21$ ， 26，xviii．I 3 （ả入入á Pap．）．
á $\mu a \theta_{\eta} s$ 13．I（？）．
$\dot{a} \mu \epsilon \lambda \in \iota$ 39．vi．I 6.
ä $\mu \phi \omega$ 39．xiii． 37
ä้ 2．i． 12 ；13． 3 （？）；38．iii． I 6 ；39．ii． 15 ，iv． 6 ，vi． 16 ， xiii． $24, \mathrm{xx} .10$.
àvay $\nu \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ ós 39．vii． 12.
ảvaypaф＇ 39 ．xxiii． 2.
＇Avaछ̆áópas 37．i． 24.
＇Avaॄ̆аүо́рєьоs 37．iii．I7． àvíтa入ı 39．xxii． 14. àvamvoiŋ́ 39．ix．7． àvađá̧̧єı 39．xix． 20.
ảข $\eta \mathrm{p}$ 8．ii． 4 ；39．x．4，35， xiii． 30 ，Xv．IO，xvi．I8， xviii． ч ；40． 5 ．
${ }^{\imath} \nu \partial \rho \omega \pi<s$ 39．ii．I3，xiii． 4 ， xiv． 13.
ä $\nu \pi \in \rho$ 39．xiii． 16.
àขтıঠıঠá $к \kappa є \iota$ 39．xvi．I 9.
à้т $\lambda \epsilon$ ढ̂̀ 39．iv． $3^{6 .}$
ágía 39．iii． 17 ．
ä $\xi \cos$ 39．xiii．39，xix． 5 ．
áóputas 39．ii． 20.
áta入ós 39．iv． 9 （？）．
ä $\pi$ ая 39．iv． 36 ，ix．I 6 ，xix． 32 （？）．
à $\pi \epsilon i \pi a \sigma \theta a \iota$ 39．xvii． 23.
à $\pi \epsilon \chi \theta a ́ v \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 39．x．I．
$\dot{~} \pi \lambda \bar{\omega} s$ 39．ix．I 5 ．
ảnó 39．X． 13 ．
ảmoӨavんá̧єı 39．xix． 33 （？）．
а̇ $\pi a \lambda \epsilon i ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 39．xxi．I 8 （or $v \pi$ ．）．
ȧлофаivєбӨaı 38．iv． 28.
ả $\pi \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega$ 39．xxi． 5 ．
äpa 39．xiv． 20.
à $\epsilon \tau \grave{\eta}$ 39．xiii． 33.
àpt $\theta$ цós 41.6.
＇Apıбтауєíт $\omega$ 39．viii．I 5 ．
＇Apıбтофáms 8．ii． 13 ； 39. ix． 20.
ар $\rho \chi є \iota$ 39．vi． 7.
＇A $\varnothing \chi$ є́ aos 39．xix． 35 ，xxi．ıо．
á $\rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ 39．vii． 24.

ȧто́s 39．iii．3． 8 （？）．
a่ $\sigma \tau \rho а \sigma к о \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ 38 . ~ i i i . ~ I ~ 2 . ~$
àттидо́ $о$ оя 39．v． 16.
àтактє้̂̀ 39．xii． 34 ．
ảтćp 39．xvi． 23.
àтıцá乌єєข 39．ix．I 8.
ӥтıцоs 2．i．Io．
aủ入 $\eta \tau$ рís 39．v．I 5 ．
au゙ $\xi \in \iota \nu$ 8．ii． 3 （？）．
av̇бтทpês 39．iv．I 9.
aủvótı 39．ix． 5 ．
aùtós 6． 8 ；8．ii． 6,$16 ; 13$ ． 4 （？）；16．i． 5 ；38．i． I3（？），iv． 33 ；39．iv． 15 ，

29，vii．28，ix．22，x．2， 25 ，xii． 25 ，xiii． 36 ，xiv． 7 ， xvi． 21 ，xviii．6，xix． 19 ． 30，xxi．18， 25.

＂$\chi$ Өє
＇Axı $\lambda \lambda \epsilon$ ús 11．i． 3.
$\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 19．ii． 2.
$\beta a \rho v \delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ 38．i． 18.
ßapús 40． 3 （？）．
ß九．．． 32.
ßıa⿱䒑䶹ós 39．vii． 8.
ßios 39．xxiii． 2.
$\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon \iota$ 39．ii． 12.
Bó $\sigma \pi \sigma \rho o s$ 38．iii． 8 （？）， 20.
$\beta$ оú $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ 39．xiii．I7．
$\beta$ ротós 38．ii．I5．
ráp 8．ii． $26 ;$ 10．i． 5 ； 18．i． 3 ；22． 9 ；35． 3 ； 38．ii．7，iv．I9；39．ii．I 9， iii． 12 ，iv． 22 ，vi． 7,23 ，vii． ェ6，x． 16 ，xiii． 16,24 ，xiv． 3,22 ，xv．5，10， 2 I ，xix． 3，xxi． 35 ；40．6；44．1．
$\gamma \in$ 10．i．13；37．iii． 21 ； 39. vi． 17, vii． 25 （？），xiii． 23 ， $3^{2}$ ，xiv． 28 ，xvi． 24 ，xvii． 20 ，xix．6，xx．in．
$\gamma \in \lambda a ̂ \nu 9.6$.
$\gamma \in \lambda$ oí $\omega$ s 39．xiii． 23.
$\gamma \in ́ v o s$ 39．x．14，xii．23，xxii． 21.

үєраітатаs 39．xx． 30.
$\gamma \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ 39．vi．I 3.
रíyvєб日ai 39．v．25，xix．I7， xx．9，Io，xxi．I2．
$\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a$ 8．ii． 15.
रav̂ 39．iv． 23 ，ix．20，xix． 12.
үрáфєıン 6．6；39．ix．I4．
रuvク́ 39．vii．I，x．6，23，xii． $3^{2}$ ，xiii． 28 ，xiv． 1, I $9, x v$ ． 8，I7．
 18.

סактú入ıov 39．vii．I3．
هaváj 38．iv． 30.
ס́́patov 39．vii． 14.

ठєбто́тทs 39．vii． 5 ．
ס $\eta$ 39．xiv．13，xx． $12,23$.
каì ठ̀̀ каí 38．i． 12 ；39．v．
II，xviii． 3 I，xxii． 27.
$\delta \eta \lambda . . .33 .1 .9$.
ठ $\bar{\eta} \lambda$ os 41.5 ．
б $\eta \mu a \gamma \omega$ оós 39．iii．I 5，X．I7．
ठท́nov 13． 6 ；39．vii． 16.
סıá 8．ii．I7；39．vii．13，I4，
x． 4,7 ，xiii． 26,29 ，xiv．
34，xix． 21 ，xx．I I，xxii． 3 ．
$\delta \iota a \beta o \lambda \dot{\eta} 39$. xiv． 21.
ठtaүı $\downarrow \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota$ 39．xxii．II．
ठtáкоб $\mu$ оs 37．iii． 18.
Stauaprvpía 39．xvii． 2 I．
ठtaторє̂̂̀ 37．iii． 22.
ঠıaторєن́є $\sigma$ Өa 39．xx． 14.
סıatє入є̂̂̀ 39．xiii．I9．
סıaфөєipєı 39．xxi． 24.
ঠเঠáбкєь 39．xix． 26.
סıóóva 39．iii． 9.
ס七є६̌เย́vaı 39．xxii． 23.
סıŋ $\mu \epsilon \rho є$ v́єเ 39 ．ix．II．
סíкаєos 39．xili．I5．
бíкך 39．x．20，xxi．35．ठiкпข 40． 5 （？）．
ठ́ó 8．ii．I 2.
$\Delta \iota o ́ \delta \omega \rho o s$ 39．iii．19，xv．I3．
ঠєо́т 39. xiv． 31.
סixa 42． 2.
סò čós 41． 2.
$\Delta o p i \lambda a a s$ 39．xv． 32.
$\delta \rho a ̂ \nu$ 38．ii． 6 ；39．ii． 9.
סv́velv 38．ii． 6.

ঠvขa⿱亠тєía 39．ii． 26 （？）．
ঠvváatךs 39．xviii． 29.
סvvatós 1． 8.
$\delta v \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \in ́ \sigma t a t o s ~ 39 . ~ v i . ~ 5 . ~$
$\delta v \sigma а \mu і \lambda i ́ a ~ 39 . ~ х . ~ 5 . ~$
$\delta v \sigma \chi \in \rho \eta{ }^{\prime}$ 39．xx． 27.
$\delta \omega \hat{\omega} \mu a 39 . x i .3$ I（1．$\Delta \omega \delta \hat{\omega} \nu \eta s)$ ．
ćảd 2．ii．I．
є́autov，aútov̂ 38．i． $22 ; 39$. ix．I 2 ，xii． 33 ，XV．II，xxi． 8 ，xxii． 10 ．
є่ $\gamma \omega ́$ 10．i． 4 ；39．xii．19，xiii． 14，XV． 4.
єi 38．ii． 20 ；39．ix． 16.
fivau 16．i． 3 ；37．i．18，iii． ${ }^{2} 3$ ；38．ii． 13 ；39．ii．${ }_{5} 5$ ， iv． 15, v． 17,24 ，vii．I 6 ， 23 ，ix．28，xii． 24 ，xiii． 37 ， xiv． 20 ，xvi． 18 ，xviii． 5 （？）， xx．34，xxi．33，xxii． 20.

єinєє้̈ 39．xvi． 22 ，xvii．I5，xx．6．
fis 8．iii． 10 ；39．vi． 8 ，ix． 8 ， $\mathrm{x} . \mathrm{II}$ ．
єi̊ctévą 39．xiv． 15.
$\epsilon$ є́ 16．i．i．
є̌кабтоs $39 . \mathrm{iv}. \mathrm{13} 14.$,
є́ка́тєроs 39．х．І 3.
ধ̇кঠ́є́ $\chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 39．xviii． 22.
ṫkễvos 39．xv， 2 I ，xvii． 19. кєivos 2．i．I I．
є́ккд $\eta \sigma i ́ a ~ 39.12 . ~ 12 . ~$

є̇кфори́ 39．vi． 29.
є̇ $\lambda \in v \theta \epsilon \rho i_{a} 39$. v． 2 I．
єौкоя 39．iii．із．
${ }^{7} E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ 2．i．I 3 ；39．xxii． 2.
 Pap．）．
є $\mu \beta$ ád $\lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 39．xvi． 13.
є́ $\mu$ ós 38．ii．13；39．xiii． 12.
$\epsilon_{\epsilon} \mu \phi$ аivelv 39．xviii． 10.
$\epsilon_{\epsilon} \nu$ 34．i． 3 ；37．iii． 19 ； 38. ii． 25 ，iv． 30 ；39．iv． 33 ， ix． $10, x .9,30$ ，xi． 20 ， xiii． $21,3^{6(?)}$ ，xiv． 22 ， xvii． 26 ，xviii． 26 ，xx． 19 ， xxi．6， 32, xxii． 3,20 ； 40． 3 ．
ṫvá $\begin{gathered}\text { ıos } 38 \text { ．iii．} 14 .\end{gathered}$
èvavtios 39．xv． 3 ．
ėvtầ $\theta a$ 39．v． 27 ，xvi． 24. द̀vtav ${ }^{\prime}$ 39．vii． $3^{1}$ ，viii． 13 ．
 39．xviii． 27.
є̀veivaı 38．ii． 7 （ $\left.{ }^{\prime \prime} \nu u\right)$ ．
é $\xi a i ́ p \in \tau o s ~ 38 . ~ i v . ~ 35 . ~$
＇́ $\xi \dot{\prime} \rho \chi \in \iota \nu$ 39．xviii．I．

é $\xi$ téval 39．xxi． 11 ．
$\epsilon \in \xi_{0 \rho \gamma i ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu} 39 . x .33$（？）．
є́छovaia 39．v． 26.
$\epsilon \xi \in$ 39．xxi． 13 ．
є́oкке́vat 39．iv．18，xii． 25 ，
xvi．I7．єiкót由s 39．vii． 30.

є̇тацর́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 39．xv． 17.
éneí 39．xiii．8， 3 I．
ย̈Tยєта 37．i． 22 ；39．iv．II， xvi． 1.
èmєрштầ 39．xiii． 9.
єँтєб $\theta a t ~ 39 . ~ x v i . ~ 30 ~(?) . ~ . ~$
ढ́тi 37．i．16，19；39．ix．22， x．29，xv．11，19，28，xix． I4，xxi． 10 ．

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \forall v \mu \epsilon i \nu 8 . \mathrm{ii}$. I 4.


ढ̇ $\pi \iota \tau v \gamma \chi a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ 39．xxi． 20.


є＂$\rho$ ¢о⿱ 37. i． 2 1．
épcì 39．xix．I，xx．i 7.
є́p $\quad \mu a \dot{\zeta} \epsilon \iota \nu$ 39．xxi． 8.
 I7（？）；39．x．If．

ย̈тı 39．xxi． 29.
єن̉ 39．iv．24，xiv． 28.

єن̉ка́тоттоs 39．ii． 22.
єüкえєєa 39．iv． 34 ．
Eüклєta 39．xiv． 3 I．
є $\dot{\lambda} \lambda a \beta$ ．．19．ii．I．


Eủpltiôns 8．iii． 6 （？）；39．iv． 23，vii．22，32，viii．I I，xv．
20，xvi．11，29，xix．2I， 32，xx．24，xxi．4，23， xxii．I3，xxiii． 6.
EúpıTióov 39．xii．I 3 （l．Eỉ－ $\rho$ итi $\delta \eta$ ）．
єن́рі́ткєєц 39．xiii． $3^{8}$ ．
є ̇̇фпиєî̀ 39．xx．5．
єivuxia 39．iv． 26.
$\epsilon_{\chi}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \iota 10$ ．i．${ }^{1} 3$ ；38．ii． 26 ； 39．ix．8，xi．8，xiii． 13 ， xiv． 26,35, xvii．I $3, \mathrm{xx} . \mathrm{I}$ ； 40．4．．．є єє 1 42． 3 ．
єَ $\omega \lambda$ оs 2．i． 4.
Zev́s 39．xv． 35 ．

丂ŋク入oû̀ 8．ii．I（？）．
丂ク̆̀ 39．xx． 22.
ऊ̛́n 40． 4.
خु 39．xv． 37.
$\eta$ グ 39．vii．6，ix．18，xiii．29，
xviii． 3 ，xx． 10 ．
ท่ $\gamma \epsilon і ̈ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 38 . ~ i . ~ 22 . ~$
${ }_{j} \delta i \omega \nu$ 39．vi．I $4, \mathrm{xx}$ ．I I．
$\bar{\eta} \theta 0$ s 10．i．го；11．i． 4 ； 39.
xiv． 33.
च̈кєเ 9.8.
j̀入izos 39．xxii． 19
j̀ $\mu$ eis 39．iv． 14.
і̀ $\mu$ е́ ра 39．iv． 3 خ．
グ 39. v． 25 （？）．
ท̈тєן 39．xviii． 19.
${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H} \rho a к \lambda \bar{\eta} s$ 38．i．I I．
＇Hoioóos 18．i． 3 ．

Өáda ${ }^{2}$ os 38．ii． 25 ；40． 3 ．
$\theta$ áлаттa 39．ix．9．
Өavんáşıv 38．iv． 25.
$\theta \in a ̂ \sigma \theta a t ~ 39 . ~ i x . ~ 29 . ~$
$\theta_{\epsilon} a \tau \eta \eta^{2}$ 39．xxii． 15.
$\theta \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 38．iii． 16 ；39．xviii． 22.
$\theta$ єós 39．ii．14，r 7 ．
$\theta \epsilon \rho a ́ t \omega \nu$ 39．vii． 4.
Өєбдофо́ $\ell$ з 39．х． 26.
$\theta \in \tau$ éos 39．xv． 6.
Өnpeutís 39．xxi． 14.
Өuๆтós 39．ii． 19.
Өvдотоєєí 39．iv． $3^{1}$.
өípatev 38．iii．I 5 ．
ioćiv 39．xiv． 15.
ícoos 6．I3；37．i． 7 ；39．xii． 33，xx． 28.
iठ̀ஸ́tทs 19．ii． 4.
ikavós 16．i． 2.
¿̈да 39．xiii． 11 ．
＂I $\sigma \tau \rho$ os 38．iii． 19.
ひ̈ $\sigma \omega s$ 39．xv． 13 ．
${ }^{*}$ I $\omega \nu$ 8．ii．I（？）．
каАа́тєр 39．xiii．33，xvi． 21 ， xvii． $2 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{xx} .16$.
каӨєن́v̂єเข 39．xvii．I I．

кaí，kảvтav̂ $\theta a 39 . \mathrm{xvi}, 24$ ．кä－ $\pi \epsilon เ \tau а$ 39．iv．II．
каเขотоціа 39．xxii． 5 ．
каıро́s 6． 4.
какіа 39．xiii． 32.
какós 16．ii． 5 ；39．iii．I 4. какผิs 39．vi．I g，xix．I．
калєi้ 38．ii．II ；39．ix． 24. ка́入入os 39．xiv． 17 ．
калós 6．14；8．ii． 2 （？）．
катá 8．ii． 9 ；33．і．I I ； 39. vi． 17 ，vii． 6 ，ix．I 2，Xv．4， xx．2，xxi．7，xxii． 6 ．
катаує $\lambda a ̂ \nu$ 39．xxii． 16.
катаүךрầ 39．xviii． 25.
катафроуєір 39．xxii． $3^{2}$ ．
катахюрі乡єьข 39．xvii． 28.
кат́́ $\chi є \iota \nu$ 39．xix． 23.
катŋүаре̂̂ข 10．i． 7 ；39．iv． I2，viii．I4．
катóтıv 39．xxi． 20.
кє入єข́єє 39．xiii．5．
кєivos．See ékeivas．
K $\eta$ ф८тоф $\omega \nu$ 39．xii． 28.
кívóvvas 39．x．I 2.
K $\lambda_{\epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ 39 . ~ x . ~}^{16} 6$.
коเขós 39．iii． 2 I．
ко $\mu \nless$ о́тєроя 39 ．xviii．I 7.
кратєі̆ 39．XV． 2.
кра́тьттаs 39．xiv． 28.
ктâ$\sigma \theta a \iota$ 39．iv．33，ix． 4 （？）．
кvpeì 39．vi．II．
ки́шข 39．xvii．I 2，xxi．22， 34 ．
кขข 7 रia 39．xxi．I I．
$\kappa \omega \mu \iota$ кós 39．iv．I7．
к $\omega \mu \omega$ ঠ́ía 39．vii．I 9，ix． 30.

$\lambda a ́ \theta \rho a$ 39．ii． 8.
＾акшขıкós 39．iv． 30.
$\lambda a ́ \lambda o s 39 . ~ x v i . ~ I 6 . ~$
$\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \in \iota \nu$ 38．iij． 2 I ； 39. xvi．7，xix． 28.
גє́ $\gamma \in \iota$ 17．ii．I；38．j． 16 ，ii． 14 ；39．iv． $6,8,20$ ，v． 22 （？），vii． 36 ，ix． $27,3 \mathrm{I}(?)$ ， xii． 18 ，xiii． 34 ，xv． 37 ， xviii，9，i9，xix．5，it，xx． 34，xxi． 29.
入єітєє 8．ii． 8.

入ektıкás 39．vii． 27.
$\lambda \epsilon \pi т$ о́s 8．ii． 18.
$\lambda о \gamma i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 3．ii． 8.
入oyıkás 1． 5.
$\lambda a ́ \gamma \iota \mu o s 38$. iv． 20 （l．é $\lambda \lambda a ́ \gamma$. ？）．
入óरıos 39．xx． 29.
入óyos 1． 4 ；3．i． 4 ；5． 6 ； 33．i． 22 ；39．xxii． 23.
入атто́s 39．xviii． 3 I ．
$\lambda$ ข́єıข 39．xxi．I5．

Макє $\delta$ ．．．14．.
Make $\delta$ áves 39. xix．$\delta, \mathrm{xx} .3^{2}$ ， xxi． $3^{2}$ ．
Макєठогіа 39．xviii． 26.
щакрсív 38．iii．I7．
цáлa 39．iv．24，xviii． 27 ，xx． 26．$\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda a \nu 8$ ．ii．29．$\mu a ́-$
$\lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ 39．iv． 5 ．
$\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma$ Өaı 39．vi． 2 I．
цартирєє̀ 39．vii． 28.
$\mu a ́ т \eta \nu$ 38．ii． 14.
．．．$\mu a \chi$ єí 39．xv．I
$\mu a ́ \chi \not \subset \sigma \theta a \iota$ 39．xiii． 20.
$\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \epsilon i o s ~ 39$ ．ix． 17.
 $\mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \nu$ 39．ii．I2．$\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \ell \sigma \tau$ 39．iii． 12.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon$ Oos 39．xiv． 16.
$\mu \in \iota \rho а к і ́ \sigma к а s ~ 39 . ~ x i i . ~ 26 . ~$
Mє入áv ${ }^{\text {tos }} 39 . \mathrm{xv} .34$.
$\mu$ é $\lambda$ as 39． xx ．I3．
$\mu \in \lambda \omega \delta i a$ 39．xvii． 2.
$\mu^{\prime} \nu$ 38．iv． 19 ；39．iv．23，v． 28 ，ix． $26, \mathrm{x} .3,16,36$ ，
xiii．4，xv．5，9，15，22， xix．2，Xx．22，xxii．I5． $\mu \in ̀ \nu$ ov̉ 8 ．ii． 9 ；39．xvii． 14.
$\mu є \rho \iota \mu \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ 39．ix．I 3 ．
$\mu \in \tau a ́ 8$. ii． 6.
$\mu \epsilon \tau а ß о \lambda \eta$ 40． 9 （？）．
$\mu \epsilon \tau a \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ 39．xiv．I I．
$\mu \epsilon \tau а ф о р \iota к \omega ̂ s ~ 39 . ~ x v i i i . ~ 9 . ~$
$\mu \in \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 38．iv． 2 I ； 39. xviii． 23.
$\mu є \tau \epsilon \omega \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 39．iii． 4 ．
$\mu \in \tau \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ 8．ii． 16.
н́́tpıas 39．iii． 5.
$\mu \eta$ 2．i．I I ；8．ii． 8 ；18．i． 9 ； 38．ii． 29 ；39．iii．9，ix． 16，xiii．ı 1 ，xvi． 16 ．
$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} 39$ ．iii． 6.
$\mu \eta \delta \epsilon$ ís 39．iii． 2 （？）．
$\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ 39．xvii．20．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{a} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ 39．iii．19．каì $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$ 39．ii． 23.
$\mu \iota \mu \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 39．xvi．I 4.
$\mu \iota \sigma o \tau v \rho a \nu \nu \epsilon i \nu 39$. ii． 24.
$\mu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$ 39．xiv． 34.
$\mu \nu \eta \mu a \nu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon เ \nu$ 39．xiii．2，xviii． 32.
$\mu$ оу ．．．22． 3 ．
цо́vap ${ }^{\circ}$ ©s 39．xviii． 12.
$\mu$ д́vos 38．iv． $3^{2}$ ；39．vii．35， xxii． 13 ．
นovov̂̀ 39．xxi． 23 ．
Mápбıцаs 39．xv． 33 ．
$\mu$ и̂бa 39．x． $3^{8 .}$
цоvбıќ 39．xxii． 4.
$\mu \nu \theta 0 \lambda o \gamma \epsilon i ้ \nu 39 . \mathrm{xx} .3$ I．
עavato入єiv 38．iii．IO．
ขєavíккоs 39．xiii． 7.
$\nu \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \nu$ 39．xi． 12.
Nєantód $\epsilon \mu$ оs 11．i． 5 ．
ขє́os 39．iv．28．ขєผ́tєроs 39. vii． 18.
$\nu \eta$ 39．xiii． 23.
ขเкầ 39．xxii． 3 I．
עíкךна 39．xv． 7.
Nikias 39．xix．I3．
Nīगos 38．iii． 9 （？）．
$\nu а \mu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 6．I2；39．v． 23.
váaos 40． 2.
ขขิ้ 39．vi．I9（？），xxi． 29.
ó，$\dot{\eta}$, тú．ávク́p 8．ii．I I．тảv－ § $\rho$ ós 39．x．35，xviii． 14. та่тофаєขó $\mu є \nu a$ 38．iv． 28.
$\dot{i}, \dot{\eta}$ ，тó（demonstr．）．$\quad \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ ， ó ס́́ 39．v． 28 ，xi． 20 ，xix． 2，xxi．I． 26.
ä $\delta є$ 39．ii． 7 ，xvi．12，xvii． 27.
วٌ $\theta \in \nu$ 39．xxi． 28.
aîn 39．ix．25．aiós $\tau \in 39$. xxii． 24
aikoyєע ${ }^{\prime}$ s 39．xii． 27.
ò íyos 39．ii． 27 （？）．
ö̉os 39．xiii． 18 ，xvi． 10. ${ }_{0}{ }^{\circ} \lambda \omega s$ 37．iii． 15 ．
＂O 1 прроs 39．vii． 23.
ӧцо七os 39．xx．I5．
${ }^{\text {oै } 2 о \mu а ~ 39 . ~ x i i . ~ 28, ~ x v . ~} 36$.
öтоv 39．xvii．I．
${ }_{\text {ö }} \pi \pi a$ 39．xvii． 10.
öncs 39．xvi．I 5.
о́ $\rho \boldsymbol{\eta}$ 39．iv．29，xviii． 8.

ös 8．ii． 17 ；33．i． 21 ； 39. iv．14，vii．20，35，x． 2 I ， 30，xii．I7，xv． 39 （？），xviii． 5 （？）．ös $\gamma \in 39$ ．xix．6， xx．il．
öros 39．xv．II，xvi．9，xix． ${ }^{2} 3$.
ö $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ 39．xvii． 15 ，xviii． 2 I Pap．
ö́tıs 38．ii． 8 （örtต）．
ӧтє 8．ii． $3^{1}($ ？$) ; 34$. i． 3 ； 39．iv． 5 （？），xiv． 14 ，xix． 12.
ö тı，ö тı тúxos 39．vi． 23.
ỗt 39．xviii．4， 33 ．
oن่，oن่к 33．i． 23 ；37．i． 19 ； 38．i．20，iii． 16 ；39．iv． 8，22，v．22，xviii．3，xix． I，xx．5；40．6．oủxi 39. iv． 1 ．
oย๋ס̇є 38．iii． 20 （oüтє Pap．）； 39．iv．2，xi．16，i9，xix． 4 ．
ở้ 8．ii． 9 ；39．xii．30，xvii． 14，xviii．7，xxi．21．$\delta^{\circ}$ oưv 39．xviii． 24.
oủpávlos 37．iii． 25 ．
oưs 39．xi．Io．
oùria 39．v． 22.
оขีтє 9．7，9；38．iii． 20 Pap．
๐ชีтоะ 38．ii． 28.
oîtos 38．iii． 22 ；39．ii． 9 ，iv． 1，vii．15，29， 34 （l．oṽтตs）， ix．10， 23 ，xii． 23,30 ，xiii． 10，12， 15 ，xv．6，14，xvii． 9，13，26，xviii．4， $34(?)$ ， xx． $16,23$.
ouีt $\omega$ ，oűt 39．iv．32，vii． 34 （oîtos Pap．），xvili． 34 （？）， xix． 30.
ó $\phi \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 41．7．
ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu$ ós xiv． 25.
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Z}$ Х 人os 38．iv． 24.
тáyos 38．ii． 22.
таиठiov 39．vii．I 1 ．
tais 39．vi．14，xx． 7.
$\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ 39．iv．22，xv．I9，xvi． 25.

Пáнфıлоs 39．v． 24.
та⿱䒑䶹үvр ．．．22． 4.
тávv 10．i． 5.
тарá 38．iv． 23 （？）；39．iv． 16 ，xii．${ }^{2} 5$ ，xvi．8，xviii． 29，xxii． 2.
тараıтєíन $\theta a \iota$ 39．xxi． I ． таракалєє้̂ 39．iv． 26.
тарак $\lambda т є \iota к \dot{т} т а т о s ~ 39 . ~ x x i i . ~ 25 . ~$ $\pi а р а \lambda \dot{\iota} \epsilon \iota$ 39．xii． 18.
$\pi а р а \mu \iota \mu є і ̈ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 1 . ~ 6 . ~$.
$\pi а \rho а \mu \nu \theta \epsilon i ̄ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 39 . ~ x x i i . ~ 21 . ~$
тарєivat 38．ii． 9 ；39．x．28， xviii． 2 I（ $і \pi \epsilon \rho$ є́ $\sigma \tau i \nu$ Pap．）． $\pi a \rho \theta_{\text {évos 2．i．}} 5$ ；39．vii． 9 ． Пápıos 38．ii． 22.
тápodos 39．iii．го．
тароціа 39．xxi． $3^{1}$ ．
$\pi а \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota u ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 9．і і．
tâs 38．iv． 33 ；39．※．2，xiv． 29.
$\pi a ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \imath ~ 38 . ~ i i . ~ 16, ~ 26 . ~$
$\pi a \pi \eta \rho^{39}$ 39．vi． $5, ~ 15,26$ ，vii． 2.
тátplos 38．ii． 27.
$\pi a v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ 39．xxii． 3 I．
$\pi \epsilon і р а ~ 38$ ．ii． 23.
$\pi$ tévクs 39．v． 28
$\pi \epsilon ́ \rho a 38$. iii． 9 ；39．iii． 16.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ 38．iv． $3^{1}$ ；39．ii． 17 ， iii． 20.
$\pi \epsilon \rho ı \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \downarrow$ 37．iii． 16.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i o d o s ~ 37 . ~ i i i . ~ 20 . ~$
$\pi \epsilon р \iota \pi$ ध́тєєa 39．vii． 7.
тєрібта⿱宀тs 39．v． 10 （？）．
Пє́рбає 39．xxii． 28.
$\pi \eta$ 37．iii． 22.
$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon ย \in \ell \nu 17$. i． 2 ；39．iv． 7.
$\pi \lambda \in \rho \nu \in \xi i a 38$ ．iv． 3 I．
$\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ 38．i．8．$\pi \lambda \epsilon$ îotos 39.
vi． 18.
$\pi \lambda \bar{\eta} \theta$ os 39．ii． 25 （？），iv． $3^{2}$ ．
$\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \quad$ 39．xv． 14.
$\pi 0 \iota . . .18$. i． 6.
тotề 38．iv． 34 ；39．iii． 7 ， vi． 28 ，viii． 14 ，ix． 26.
$\pi о i \eta \mu a$ 8．ii． 24 （？）；39．x． Io，xiii．22，xix． 22.
тoontís 39．iv．17，xv．37，38， xix． $6, \mathrm{xx} .2 \mathrm{I}$ ．
тốos 39．xvii． $25, \mathrm{xx} .7$ ．
$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu$ ．．．18．i． 7.
$\pi о \lambda є \mu+\omega ́ т a t o s ~ 39$ ．vi．I I．
тódes 39．iii．13，xxi． 6.
$\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \theta a \iota$ 39．xvii．I7．
$\pi$ од七тєкиิs 39．iv． 20.

то入入ákis 39．xv． 30.
modús $1.2 ; 18$ ．i． 2 （？）， 6 （？）； 22． 6 （？）；33．i． 4 （？）； 38．ii．I6，iv． 27 （？）； 39. iv． 15 ，xvii． 17,38 （mov ús $^{\text {s }}$ ， xix． 15 ．
тоуєiv 38．ii．го．
торпріа 39．iv． 3.
тоиррós 39．iv．9，viii．I7．
пóvos 38．ii． 8 ；39．iv． $3^{8 .}$
то́тє 37．iii． 23 ；39، ix． 32.
$\pi o v$ 39．vii． 33.
$\pi \rho о a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 39．iii．I7（ $\pi$ арау． Pap．）．
троафі́vaı 39．ххі． 17.
$\pi \rho o \delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma к \epsilon \iota \nu$ 38．i． 20.
 39． x ． 2 I ．
$\pi \rho o t \sigma a ́ v a t$ 37．iii． 24.
$\pi \rho о о i ́ \mu о \nu$ 39．xxii． 29.
 i． 6 ；38．iv． 22 ；39．iii． Io，iv． 25 ，vii．I， $3,5,20$ ， xii．29，xiii．10， 18 ，xir． 7 ， xv．35，xvi．I2，xvii．I6． xx． 20.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \gamma \epsilon \lambda a ̂ \nu$ 39．v．I 4 ．
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \mu \tilde{\lambda}_{\chi} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 8．ii． 25 （？）．
$\pi \rho o \sigma o \chi \theta i \xi \epsilon \ell \nu$ 39．xii． 2 I，xv． 22.
$\pi \rho о \sigma v \pi о т$ tévaı 39．xvii． 7.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ 39．xxii． 12.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \chi \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ 39．iv． 4.
$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi о \nu$ 39．xiv． 23 ．
$\pi \tau \epsilon$ рóets 39．xvii． 34.
$\pi \tau \epsilon \rho о к о \pi \epsilon$ iे 39．v． 19 （？）．
$\pi u ̛ \lambda \eta$ 39．xxi． 14.
$\pi v \nu$ Ө́ùย $\sigma$ Oat 39．v． 19.
$\pi \hat{\omega}$ 39．iv． 21 ，xviii． $16, \mathrm{xx} .33$ ．


рйтьр 39．iii． 14.
pís 39 ．xvii．ז3．
£átupos 39．xxiii．I．
ฮафติs 39．xii． 16.
$\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu$ ós 39．ix． 18.
£ıкє入ín 39．xix．14， 31.
$\Sigma_{\iota \kappa є \lambda \iota \omega ́ т \eta ร ~ 39 . ~ x i x . ~}^{9 .}$
$\sigma \iota \nu а \mu \omega ́ \rho \omega s$ 39．xvi．${ }^{2} 3$.
бкотєî̀ 39．хіii． $3^{8}$ ．
бки́лаॄ 39．xxi．16， 36.

oós 39．xiv． 24.
इофок入 $\eta_{s}$ 8．iii． 5 （？）； 39. xvi． 6, xxiii． 5 ．
$\sigma \pi \eta ́ \lambda a \iota o \nu ~ 39 . ~ i x . ~ 6 . ~$
$\sigma \pi o v o ́ a ́ ̧ \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ 39．vi． 22.
бта́бヶцог 39．xvii． 29.
$\sigma \tau \epsilon i \chi \in \omega$ 41．1．
arixos 39．vii． 25 ，xix． 25 ．
бто́да 39．xx．i， 8.

бv́ 39．v．I3，xiv． 22.


大úv 16．i． 8 ；38．iii． 26.
бvขav́ǵєı 39．xviii．I 3 ．

बขvךүорєì 39．xv．I5．
$\sigma v \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 39．xv． 29.
бvขokeî 39．xiii． 8.
бúvтa૬̌s 39．vii． 26.
$\sigma u \chi \nu o ́ s ~ 39 . ~ x i x . ~ i g . ~$
$\sigma_{\chi} \in \delta \dot{\sigma} \nu 8$ 8．ii． 22.


£бкратıкós 39．ii．і7．
．．．таулa 6． 15.
тá入aytov 16．ii． 3.
тaтєเข้ข̂̀ 37．i． 2 I．
тúxos 39．vi． 24.
$\tau \in$ 38．ii． 1 I， 18,23 ，iii． 10 ； 39．vi．${ }^{2} 5$ ，vii． 13 ，xviii． 30，xx．13，30，xxii．23， 30 ．
．．．Teiveiv 19．ii． 3 ．
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \hat{v} \nu$ 8．ii． 4.
тє $\uparrow \epsilon v \tau \eta$ 39．xx． 25 ．
тє́ $\chi \nu \eta$ 4． 3 ；7． 3 （？）；8．ii． 10.
$\tau \epsilon \in \omega$ 39．xv．I．
тпл⿺койтоs 39，xix． 7 ．
ті $\theta_{\epsilon \sigma} \theta a \iota$ 39．xvii． 22.
тіктєє 39．vi． 4.

tis 37．iii． $23 ; 38$ ．i． 16 ，ii．
14，20；39．ii．ro，v．17，
xiii． 10,24 ，xv． $3^{6}$（？）．
TIS 38．ii． 2 I ；39．iv． $5(\tau \omega)$ ， v． $25(\tau \varphi)$ ，vi．I6，ix． 14 ， 16，xiii． 24 ，xix． 25 ，xxi． 7. tỗos 39．ix． 27.
тotṑtos 8．ii． 11 ；39．ii． 15 ， vi． 24 ，xiv． $3^{2}, \mathrm{xx} .8,12$. тод $\mu \eta \rho o ́ s ~ 38 . ~ i . ~ 27 . ~$
то́тоя 39．x． 3 ○．
то́тє 38．ii． 28.
$\tau \rho \epsilon$ îs 37．iii． 20.
трєкуріа 38．iii． 14.
трíóos 39．v． 13 （？）．
то́́tos 39．ii．8，iv． 2.
тข $\gamma \chi$ ávยเข 39．x． $3^{2}$ ，xx．28， xxi． 4.
тupavveiv 39．iii． 6.
viós 39 ．vii． 3 ，xix． 27 ．
ن́тápxєเข 39．vi． 27, xx． 23.
iлє́ 7.4 ；18．i． 4 ； 22.5 ； 39．iii． 5 ．
í $\pi \rho \beta \not \beta \lambda \dot{\eta}$ 8．ii．7；39．xx．2， xxii． 6.
iлєрохŋ́ 33．i．S；39．xviii．г 5 ． v̇тó 39．x．I5，xix． 35 （？）．
ט́тоßá $\lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \iota$ 39．iv． 28.
ஸ́тоßь入и́ 39．vii．го．
ínókpıtяs 39．viii．I I．
іттодацß́ıยєı 39．xx． 4 （？）．
íтороєì 39．xii． 16.
úmóvota 39．ii． 16.
íтохєípos 39．xix． 29.
＇Yбтáवாทs 39．xiv．2， 10.
 39．xix．ro，xxi．2， 28.
í $\psi \eta \lambda$ ós $37 . \mathrm{i} .18$ ．
фаі̀єбӨat 13． 2 ；39．xviii． 18.

фর́vaı 33．i． 21 ；39．vi． 15 ， vii． 34 ，ix． 21 ，xiii． 13 ，xiv．
18，xviii． 5 ，xxi． 30.
ф́́риакод 39．xiv． 27.
фариа́ттєєン 39．xiv． 8.
фаûगos 39．iii． 8.
$\phi \epsilon i \grave{i} \in \sigma$ Oa 39．x． 34.
$\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ 39．xiii．I（ $\epsilon \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \omega ́ \nu)$ ．
фєن́yєlv 39．x． 20.
$\phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \in l \nu$ 39．xiii．26， 29.
$\phi$ Өóvos 39．xv． 25.
$\phi$ ф $\lambda$ ккой 2．і．г 6.
$\Phi{ }_{\square} \lambda^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ 39．vii． 30.
фítos 38．ii．12．фìtuatos
39．vi． 10.
фìtpon 39．xiv． 9.
фоßєîđөat 39．ii． 1 I．
$\phi \dot{\in} \in L \nu$ 38．ii． 29.
фù̀ov 39．xiii．i 9 ．
фuat ．．．37．i． 26.
ф $\omega$ pầ 39．xii． 30.
$\chi$ дípєt 39．xiv． 18.
$\chi$ र́́pıs 39．xvii．19．$\chi$ á $\rho \iota \nu 38$.
iii． 12 ；39．xii． 24.
$\chi \in \iota \mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ 39．xvi． 3 r．
хєíp 22．7；39．xxii．10．

$\chi^{\lambda}$ ón 37．iii．I y．
Xopós 2．i． 6.
$\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu a$ 38．iii． 1 I ；39．v． 26.
$\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a t$ 39．iv． 10.

хрóvos 39．iv．35，xxi． 2.
$\chi \rho v \sigma \eta$ ग̆латоs 38．ii． 24.
$\chi$ рибoûs 38．iii．ı 8.
$\psi^{\prime} \gamma \in \epsilon \nu$ 39．xiii． 27.
$\psi \in v \delta$ ón $^{2} 39$. xiv． 19.
廿óros 39．x． 8.
$\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ 8．ii． 2 I ；38．i． 2 I ；
39．iv． $3^{8 .}$
ढ̄ 38．iii． 19 ；39．xiv． 28 ，xv． $13, \mathrm{xx} .6$.
ゅs 8．ii． 23 ；38．iv． 28 ； 39. iv． 18 ，xii． 24 ，xiii． 2 ，xiv． 8，xviii．22，xx． 29 ，xxi． 33，xxii． 24 ．
ढ̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon 8$ ．ii． 5 ；39．xxii． 9 ．
(c) Citations in 1176.

Aristophanes:
Thesm. 335-7 39. xii. 8-1 5. 374-5 39. xii. I-7.
Incert. 8. ${ }^{1} 7-19$; 39. ix. ${ }^{2} 5$-8.
Demosthenes:
c. Aristog. i. 40 39. viii. 17-33.

Euripides:
Ino (Fr. 403. 3-4) 39. xvii. 1-6.
Melanippe Desm. (Berl. Klass. V.ii. p. 123 , Fr. 492. 6-7) 39. xi.

Pirithous (Fr. 593) 37. ii. 19-28. Troades 886 37. iii. 26-9.
Incert. 2. I sqq.; 37. iii. 9-14 (Fr. 912);
38. i. 16-30 (Fr. 913 ), ii. (Fr. 960, \&c.),
iii. 8-2 I ; 39. ii. 8-14, iv. 33-9, vi.

I-I 5, xvii. 30-9 (Fr. 91I), xviii. $7-8$
(Fr. 9ri) ; $40 ; 41$.
Philemon, Incert. 39. vii. $3^{2-6}$.
Adesp. 39. iv. $1-\mathrm{I} 5$, V. $12-30$, Xvi. 1 - I 6 , xvii. $10-13$.

## II. EMPERORS.

Augustus.
Kaîap 1188. 6 et saep.

## Hadrian.



## Antoninus.


'Àt $\omega \hat{i v v o s ~ K a i ́ \sigma . ~ o ́ ~ к u ́ p l o s ~ 1198 . ~} 13$.

## Septimius Severus and Caracalla.





Caracalla.


## Philippi.

Фі̀ $\iota \pi \pi о \iota$ 1209. 12.
Gallus and Volusianus.



## Valerian and Gallienus．

 Eùvєßeis EủtuХєis $\Sigma \epsilon \beta$ ．1187． 2 I．

## Gallienus．

 ó ки́pıos $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ Га入入ı $\eta \nu o ̀ s ~ \Sigma \epsilon ́ \beta .1200 .56$.
Га入入ı $\eta \nu o ̀ s ~ \Sigma \epsilon \beta .1200 .51$.
Гa入入ıๆ้ós 1200． 3 ；1208．I 2.
Claudius．
Kえaúdıos 1208．II．
Aurelian．
Aủpŋ入ıavós 1208．I I．
Probus．

ó кúptos $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega ิ \nu$ По́́ßos $\Sigma \epsilon \beta$ ．1192． 8.

## Diocletian and Maximian．





Diocletian and Maximian，Constantius and Galerius．
oi $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi$ о́тає $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ oi $\Sigma \epsilon \beta a \sigma t o i ̀$ кaì ò Kaíбapєs．

## Constantine I．


ßafi入єîs 1185．29．ßaol入cis Octótatoı 1185． 2 I．

ย̈тоя $\gamma$ каі̆ єैтоя a（A．D．266）？1200． 58.
$\Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta$ ãtós，$\Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta$ абтоí 1200． 22 ；1208．16； 1209． 22.

## III．CONSULS．


є́mi Tıßєpıavov̂ тò $\beta$ каi $\Delta i ́ \omega \nu о s$ íт．（A．D．29I）1205．14．
 1204．I．






## IV. MONTHS AND DAYS.

(a) Months.
$\Delta$ aíalos 1209. 3.
Zavtıós 1208. 2.
'Oкт $\dot{\beta} \beta$ рıos 1201. 20.
$\Sigma_{\epsilon \pi \tau \tau} \epsilon \beta \beta$ рıоs 1204. 12.
(b) Days.

єikás 1195. 7.
$\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \eta \kappa а \lambda a \nu \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Oкт $\omega \beta$ рí $\omega \nu$ 1201. 20.

## V. PERSONAL NAMES.

'Ayativos f. of Aurelius Serenus also called Sarapion 1209. 8.
'A 1208. 2, 32.
' $A \gamma^{\prime} \theta \omega \nu$ 1208. 4.
'Ad́ptávios इà入oúgtios praefect 1191. 4, 18.

Aipìtavós, Moú $\sigma \sigma l o s ~ A i . ~ p r a e f e c t ~ 1201 . ~ I 3 . ~$ Mussius Aemilianus 1201. I.
'Aкроро . . . f. of Horion 1208. ı3.
'Aкúdcos $\Pi \omega \lambda i \omega \nu$ strategus of the Heracleopolite nome 1189. introd., 2.
" $A \mu \mu \omega \nu \operatorname{god} 1188.22$.
' $A \mu \mu \omega \nu a ̆ s$ s. of Anteis 1198. 5. Called ' $А \mu \mu \omega^{-}$ vos 1198. 2, 32.

' $\AA \mu \mu \omega \nu$ иavós s . of Isidorus 1222. I.
'A $\mu \mu \dot{\omega} \nu t o s$ f. and s. of Anteis 1198. 2, 32. Called ' $\wedge \mu \mu \omega \nu$ âs 1198. 5.
 I, 14.
'A $\AA \mu \dot{\omega} \nu \iota o s$ ex-exegetes, s. of Sarapas 1196. 20.
'A $\mu \mu$ ต́vos speculator 1223. 21 .
'А $\mu \mu \omega \nu i \omega \nu$ 1185. 4, 13 ; 1192. 5.
 Hermaeus 1195. 3.

'Avetis s. of Ammonius or Ammonas 1198. 2,3 .
'Avteis s. of Anteis 1198. 9.
'Avteis s. of Heracleus and f . of Ammonius or Ammonas and Anteis 1198. 3, 6.
'Avtítarpos, Aùp ${ }^{2} \lambda \iota o s$ 'A. also called Dionysius 1209. 4.
'A $\operatorname{t} \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \mathrm{viv}$ os also called Achilleus 1200. 2 r.
'A $\pi i \omega \nu$, A $\dot{\rho} \eta \dot{\lambda} \imath o s$ 'A. also called Theon 1208. 28.
'A $\pi i \omega \nu$ basilocogrammateus of the Letopolite nome, s. of Aristandrus 1219. I, 20.
'A $\pi i \omega \nu$ basilocogrammateus of the Prosopite nome 1219. 14.
'Aticu s. of Leonides 1203. 16, $21,22$.
' $A \pi$ о $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ 'a 1212. introd.
'A $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega v i i_{\eta s}$ f. of Hermaeus 1195. 9.


＇A $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda \dot{\prime} \nu \iota o s$ strategus 1189．3，I7．
＇A $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \dot{\nu} \iota o s ~ t a x-c o l l e c t o r ~ 1192.3 . ~$
＇Apẫıs f of Aurelius Heracles 1206． 3 ．
 40.
＇Apíqтavסpos f．of Apion 1219．i， 2 I．
＇Apıotês 1200．í，i 6， 57.
＂$А \rho \pi \alpha \lambda$ os f ．of Aurelius Theon 1201． 9.
 Demetrius 1200． 49.
${ }^{\prime} \Lambda \rho \pi \epsilon \beta \hat{\eta} \kappa \iota s$ god 1188．3， 2 I．
＇Aртократiava Avjp خiía＇Iov入ía＇A．d．of Theon also called Asclepiades 1199． 4.

＇Артє $\mu \iota \dot{\omega} \rho a, ~ A v ̀ \rho \eta \lambda i ́ a$＇A．d．of Pausiris 1208. 6， 9.
＇A $\quad$ тє $\mu i \delta \omega \rho o s$ f．of Cornelius 1200． 2 I．
＇$\lambda \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \pi เ$ áő $\bar{s}$ ，Avjp $\eta \lambda \iota o s$＇A．also called Saras， s．of Sarapion 1209．6， $25,3 \mathrm{I}$ ．
＇A $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \pi u$ úồs，Ө＇́ $\omega \nu$ also called A．，surnamed Zoïlus 1199． 5 ．
＇Aтáктьos，Aúpŋ́̀tos Плои́тархоs also called A．， s．of Aurelius Sarapammon also called Dionysius 1204． 3 ．
 30.
＂Attıos，Kólขtos＇A．Фрóvt由v idiologus 1188．8， I 8.
Avjpŋдía＇А $\rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota \delta \dot{\omega} \rho a \mathrm{~d}$ ．of Pausiris 1208． 9.
Aùpp入ia Өєриои́Өıov surnamed Tavє $\chi \bar{\omega} \tau \iota s, d$ ．of Nepheros 1208．3，7， 30.
Aúp $\lambda_{i ́ a}^{a}$ Ө $\epsilon \nu i s$ d．of Theon also called Zoïlus 1199． 9.
Aúpp入ía＇Iou入ia＇Aртократiaıva d．of Theon also called Asclepiades 1199． 4.
Av̉pŋ入ia＇I $\sigma a ́ p ı o \nu ~ d . ~ o f ~ A g a t h o n ~ 1206 . ~ 4, ~ 6, ~ ェ 3 . ~$
Aùp $\lambda i a^{\prime}$＇I $\sigma \epsilon i{ }^{\text {is }}$ d．of Hermias 1208．Io．
Aúp $\lambda i a^{\prime}$＇I $\sigma \iota \delta \dot{\omega} \rho a$ 1200．7，I I，I5，57， 62.
 Euporus also called Diogenes 1209．7，I3．
Av̉pи́ $\lambda \iota o s$ 1205． 2 I ；1206． 23.
Aúp $\grave{\lambda \iota o s ~ ' A \gamma a \theta i v o s ~ a l s o ~ c a l l e d ~ O r i g e n e s ~} 1208$. 2， 32.
Aủpí入ıos＇A $\mu \mu \omega ́ \nu \iota o s$ epistrategus（？）1191．I，I $4 \cdot$
Aúpŋ́入七os＇Avtítatpos also called Dionysius 1209． 4.
Avjpí入ıos＇$\Lambda \pi i \omega \nu$ also called Theon 1208． 28.

Aúp $\eta$ дıos＇А $А \kappa \lambda \eta \pi \iota a ́ \delta \eta s$ also called Saras，s．of Sarapion 1209．6，25， 3 I．

Avjṕ̀ $\lambda t o s ~ ' A \tau \rho \bar{\eta} s$ also called Horion 1208． 30.
Aúpí̀ıos $\Delta \eta \mu \eta$ т $\rho \iota a \nu o ́ s ~ d e c a p r o t u s ~ 1204 . ~ 4, ~ 19, ~$
27.

Aủṕ̀icos $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \dot{\eta}$ рıos s．of Aurelius Thonis 1208． 9.
Aúpǵ̀ıos $\Delta i \delta \delta^{\prime} \mu o s$ also called Sarapion，archi－ dicastes 1200．I，5， 9 ．
A $\dot{\rho} \eta{ }^{\eta} \lambda \iota o s ~ \Delta \iota o \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$ s．of Hermias 1208．Iо．
Aủpй $\lambda \iota o s ~ \Delta \iota o ́ \sigma к о р о s ~ 1205 . ~ 7, ~ 12, ~ 25 . ~$.
Aúp $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota o s$ Eủ $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ s．of Catillius 1201．5， 14. Aurelius Heudaemon 1201． 2.

A $\hat{\rho} \tilde{\eta} \lambda \iota o s{ }^{\text {＇H }} \mathrm{H} р а к \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ s．of Harasis 1206．3，6， 12.
Avipý入ıos ${ }^{\text {}} \mathrm{H} \rho a ́ \mu \mu \omega \nu \mathrm{~s}$ ．of Pausanias also called Eutychus 1208．II．
Aúpý入ıos＇Hpóoins praeses of the Thebaid 1186．I．
Av̉p $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota o s$ Өé $\omega \nu$ 1205． 22.
Aúpínıos $\Theta \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ also called Harpalus，s．of Demetrius 1200． 59.
Av́pŋ́ $\lambda \iota o s \Theta_{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ s．of Harpalus 1201．リ．
Av’й́̀ıos Ө＇є $\omega \nu$ praepositus pagi 1190． 2.
Aúpq́̀tos Ө́́vtos s．of Aurelius Thonis or Thonius 1208．4，6， 26.
 Aurelius Thonius and Aurelius Demetrius 1208．4，6，7， 28.

A $\dot{\nu} \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda c o s$＇I $\sigma i \delta \omega \rho o s$ s．of Hermias 1208． 10.

Aùpí入ıos N $\epsilon \phi \epsilon \rho \hat{\rho} s$ s．of Dionysius 1208．3， 8.
Avjpí $\lambda \iota o s$＇O $\lambda \dot{v} \mu \pi \iota o s$ strategus 1191．I I．
Aùp $\eta$ itos חavaîpıs s．of Dionysius 1208．9， 10.
Aúp $\eta$ дıos חлои́тархоs also called Atactius，s．of Aurelius Sarapammon also called Diony－ sius 1204． 3 ．
Aúp $\eta$ خıos $\Pi$ по $\lambda v \delta є u ́ k \eta s$ s．of Aurelius Ptolemaeus 1202． 16.

 3， 7.
A $\dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o s ~ \Sigma a \rho a \pi \alpha ́ \mu \mu \omega \nu$ also called Dionysius，f． of Plutarchus also called Atactius 1204． 5.
Aúp ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \iota o s \Sigma a \rho a \pi i \omega \nu$ amphodogrammateus 1202. I 3.
 Aûpínıos $\Sigma_{\epsilon \rho \bar{\eta} \nu o s ~ a l s o ~ c a l l e d ~ S a r a p i o n, ~ s . ~ o f ~}^{\text {a }}$ Agathinus 1209．8，24， 32.
Avpí入cos＇$\Omega$ pi $\omega \nu$ s．of Horion 1206． 5 et saep． ＇$\AA \chi \iota \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ ús also called Antoninus 1200． 2 I．

1＇evóóóros S．of Gennadius speculator 1214． 4.

Г $\rho \eta$ үо́pıos 1204． 25 ．
Catillius s．of Varianus and $f$ ．of Aurelius Eudaemon 1201． 4.
$\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i a$, Aúpp入ia $\Lambda \frac{v к i \lambda \lambda a}{}$ also called D．，d． of Euporus also called Diogenes 1209. 7, I 3 ．
$\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho ⿺ a \nu o ́ s ~ 1221 . ~ 2 . ~$
$\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho \iota a v o ́ s, ~ A u ̃ \rho \eta ́ \lambda ı o s ~ \Delta$ ．decaprotus 1204．4， 19， 27.
$\Delta \eta \mu \eta$ ípoos f．of Aurelius Theon also called Harpalus 1200． 60.
 1208． 9.
$\Delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho ⿺ 𠃊 ⿴ 囗 十$
 archidicastes 1200．1， $5,9$.
dívopos s．of Chaeremon 1218．i．
دíovas s．of Heraclides 1188．3，14， 19.
stoyâs guard 1212． 2.


$\Delta t o \gamma \in \nu i s 1185.1_{3} ; 1216.1,23$.
Atovívıos，Aúpク́入ıos＇Avtitatpos also called D． 1209． 4.
Diovíctos f．of Aurelius Nepheros 1208．3， 8 ． stovívtos f．of Aurelius Pausiris 1208．io．
 f．of Aurelius Plutarchus also called Atac－ tius 1204． 5 ．
$\Delta$ tovúrios comogrammateus 1188． 7 ．
$\Delta$ tórкороs，Aủpy̆̀ıos $\Delta$ ．1205．8，12， 25.
stoбкоирiöns basilicogrammateus $1188.2,7,13$
$\Delta o ́ \mu \nu o s, ~ П о \mu \pi \dot{́} \nu$ ıos $\Delta$ ．catholicus 1204．9，22， 23， 26.

＇Етiцахоs 1207． 6.
${ }^{\text {E Eppaios also called Anubion，s．of Hermaeus }}$ 1195.3.
＇Epuaios s．of Apollonides 1195．9．
＇Epuaios f．of Hermaeus also called Anubion 1195． 3.
${ }^{\text {＇E }}$ ¢ $\mu$ eivos tax－collector 1192． 3.
＇Epuias 1223．2， 38.
＇Epuias f．of Aurelius Diogenes and Aurelius Isidorus 1208． 10.
＇Eр $\mu \iota o ́ \nu \eta$ 1208． 12.
Ev̉סnu $\mu_{0 v i s ~ 1217 . ~ I, ~ I O . ~}^{\text {I }}$
Eíoaí $\mu \nu$ ，Aúp $\eta^{\lambda} \iota o s$ Evं．s．of Catillius 1201．5，
14．Aurelius Heudaemon 1201． 2.
Eütopos also called Diogenes 1209．I．3．
Eúgєßía 1205． 24 （？）．
Ev̌ruұos，IIavaavias also called E．，f．of Aure－
lius Herammon 1208． 12.

Zev́s god 1213．I．

Z $\omega i \lambda$ âs 1221． 9.
Zwìhos，$\Theta$＇́ $\omega \nu$ also called Asclepiades，sur－ named Z．1199． 5 ．
$Z \omega i \lambda o s, ~ \Theta \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ also called Z．，ex－exegetes of Alexandria 1199．ıо， 16.
Zwitos f．of Philostratus 1203．5．
${ }^{7} \mathrm{H} \lambda \cos$ god 1203．I．
＇Нраклєіठŋэ 1194． 26.
＇Нраклєiठךs f．of Didymus 1188．3，I 4， 19.

＇Нра́кл $\quad$ оs f ．of Anteis 1198． 6.
 Petermouthis 1206．3，6．Called＇H H ＇－ $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota$ 1206．I 2.
${ }^{\text {＇H }} \mathrm{H} \rho a ́ \mu \mu \omega \nu$ ，Aúp $\eta \lambda \iota o s{ }^{\text {＇H．s．of Pausanias also }}$ called Eutychus 1208．in．
 1186．1．

Өィท̄ซıs 1202．4；1218． 6.
Өєpuoú $\ell \iota o v, ~ A u ́ p \eta \lambda i ́ a ~ Ө . ~ s u r n a m e d ~ T a n e c h o t i s, ~$ d．of Nepheros 1208．3，7， 30.
$\Theta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ 1219． 3 ；1220． 1 ．
Ө＇́ $\omega \nu$ s．of Ammonius 1198． 34.
Ө＇́فv also called Asclepiades，surnamed Zoïlus 1199． 4.
Ө́ $\epsilon \nu$ assistant，s．of Onnophris 1203． 3 I ．
$\Theta ' \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$, A $\dot{\rho} \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o s{ }^{\prime} A \pi i \omega \nu$ also called T．1208． 28.
Ө＇́ $\omega \nu, A \cup \cup \rho \eta ́ \lambda \iota o s ~ Ө . ~ 1205 . ~ 22 . ~$
$\Theta \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$, Aúpí $\lambda \iota o s$ Ө．praepositus pagi 1190． 2.
$\Theta \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ ，Aúp $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o s$ ．also called Harpalus，s．of Demetrius 1200． 59 ．
Ө＇́ $\omega \nu$ ，Aúp $\lambda^{\prime} \iota \iota o s$ ．s．of Harpalus 1201．9．
Ө＇$\epsilon \omega$ f．of＇Theon 1212．introd．
$\Theta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ s．of Theon 1212．introd．
$\Theta \epsilon \in \omega$ also called Zoïlus，ex－exegetes of Alex－ andria 1199．10， 16.

Өє $\omega v i s$, A $\dot{\nu} \eta \lambda \lambda i ́ a \quad \Theta$ ．d．of Theon also called Zoïlus 1199．9， 24.
 26.

Ө̂̂vıs（or Ө＇́nlos）s．of Serenus and f．of Aurelius Thonius and Aurelius Demetrius 1208．4，6，7，26， 28.
＇Іакஸ́ß 1205． 5.
＇Iov入ia，Aùpŋ入ia＇I．＇Aртократialva d．of Theon also called Asclepiades 1199． 4.
＇Iov̂qтos，Aúpỉntos＇I．senator 1205．8，12， 25.
＇Íápoov，Aùp $\lambda \lambda i a$＇I．d．of Agathon 1206．4，6， 13.
＇IJapồs 1208． 7.
＇I $\sigma$ кis 1208． 9 ．
＇I $\sigma \epsilon i s$, Aủpph．＇I．s．（？）of Hermias 1208． 10.

＇I $\sigma$ ió $\omega \rho$ os 1204．13；1221． 3 ．
＇I $\sigma i \delta \omega \rho o s$, Aúpý̀ıos＇l．s．of Hermias 1208．го．
＇Iriómpos s．of Posidonius and f．of Deme－ trius and Ammonianus 1222．i．

I $\sigma i \omega \nu$ f．of Ptollas 1196．2， 19.
Katidגcos s．of Varianus and f．of Aurelius Eudaemon 1201．5， 16. Catillius 1201． 4. K入av́óos Фípuos praefect 1194．5．
 Kopvìnıos s．of Artemidorus 1200． 2 I．
K $\rho \eta \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu \tau \iota \lambda \lambda \iota a \nu o ́ s, ~ M a ́ y \nu t o s ~ \Phi \eta ク \lambda \iota \xi$ K．praefect 1185．I，3， 14.
Kрónos also called Nepotianus，senator 1200. 20.

Aє $\omega \nu$ iồns f．of Apion 1203．8， 2 I， 25.
Aovкì $\lambda$ a，Aíp $\eta \lambda i ́ a ~ \Lambda$ ．also called Demetria，d．of Euporus also called Diogenes 1209．7， 13. Aoú［kı］s f．of Sempronius 1202． 3 ．

Máyvoos $\Phi \bar{\eta} \lambda \iota \xi$ K $\rho \eta \sigma \kappa \in \nu \tau \iota \lambda \lambda \iota a \nu o ́ s$ praefect 1185. I， 3,14 ．
Макápoos 1214．I．
Мацєртivos，Пєтрळ́vlos M．praefect 1195．I．
Mévàdoos 1213．3， 6.
M $\eta$ vâs 1212．introd．
Mivoûs 1200． 4.
Moúrotos Aipıдıavós praefect 1201．13．Mus－ sius Aemilianus 1201．I．

M $\omega$ pos，Aủ $\eta^{\prime} \lambda \lambda_{\imath o s ~ M . ~ s . ~ o f ~ H a r e o t e s ~ 1200 . ~ 14, ~}^{\text {1 }}$ 40.
$\mathrm{N} \epsilon i ̂ \lambda a$ 1217． 8.
Neídos god 1211． 3.
$\mathrm{N} \in \pi \omega \tau$ tavós，K Kóvoos also called N ．，senator 1200． 20.
Nєфє $\rho \hat{\varsigma} \varsigma$, Aúpídıos N．s．of Dionysius 1208．3， 7，8， 3 。．
＇Oג $\dot{v} \mu \pi$ tos a commentariís 1204． 26.

＇Ovvêфpıs f．of Theon，assistant 1203． 3 I．
Oủapıavós f．of Catillius 1201．i6．Varianus 1201． 4.

Пa入入ás 1217． 7.
Парáסǒ̧os 1205． 4 （？）．
Парано́ข 1205．4， 19.
Патєр $\mu$ оӥts s．of Aurelius Heracles 1208． 7.
חavoavias also called Eutychus，f．of Aurelius Herammon 1208． 12.
Пavaîpıs，Aùp $\eta_{1} \lambda$ los $\Pi$ ．s．of Dionysius 1208. 9， 10.
$\Pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{p}$ ıs comogrammateus 1188．2， 7 ．
Пєтрஸ́vios Maцєртivos praefect 1195．i．
 s．of Aurelius Sarapammon also called Dionysius 1204．3，13，14， 22.
Ho入íras f．of Ammonas 1200．i9．
 maeus 1202．I 6 ．
Поитஸ́vos $\Delta o ́ \mu \nu o s ~ c a t h o l i c u s ~ 1204 . ~ 9, ~ 22, ~ 23, ~$ 26.

Побєєठ்ఱ́vtos f．of Isidorus 1222． 4.

Птодє́ $\mu$ 1197． 4 ；1199．І3．
Птодє $\epsilon$ аїоs 1217．І， 10.
Пто入є $\mu$ aios，A $\bar{v} \rho \dot{\jmath} \lambda \iota o s$ II．s．of Sempronius and f．of Aurelius Polydeuces 1202．3， 27.
Птo八入às praktor，s．of Ision 1196．2， 19.
$\Pi \omega \lambda i \omega \nu$ ，＇Aкú入ıos $\Pi$ ．strategus of the Heracleo－ polite nome 1189．introd．， 2.

इaßcivos strategus of the Cynopolite nome 1189． 7.


f．of Aurelius Plutarchus also called Atac－ tius 1204．5．
इapatâs 1216．I．
ミaparâs f．of Ammonius 1196． 20.
ミapatâs comogrammateus 1198 ．i．
ミapâtıs god 1213．i．
इаратí $\nu$ 1197．18， 29 ；1215． 7.
इapamiav f．of Aurelius Asclepiades also called Saras 1209． 6.
 archidicastes 1200. I，5， 9.
इapaticu，Aúp ${ }^{\prime} \lambda$ ins $\Sigma$ ．amphodogrammateus 1202． 13.
इapaticu，Aip ${ }^{2} \lambda t o s ~ \Sigma \epsilon \rho \bar{\eta} \nu o s$ also called Sara－ pion，s．of Agathinus 1209．8，24， 32.
Eapariw s．of Chaeremon 1190．ig．
Eaparíw also called Phanias，strategus 1197．i．
इapuтíi f．of Tithoës 1197．3， 27 ．
£apatiov topogrammateus 1188．2， 7 ．
 s．of Sarapion 1209．6， 25,3 I．
इátupos 1215．5．
$\Sigma \in \mu \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ os s．of Lucius and f．of Aurelius Ptolemaeus 1202． 3.
इєovñoos，Aưpभ́入ıos $\Sigma$ ．deputy－epistrategus 1202．I．
 Agathinus 1209．8， $24,32$.
£єрŋ̄pos f．of Aurelius Thonis 1208． 7.
$\Sigma_{i} \lambda \eta \nu_{o ́ s} 1220$ ．${ }^{5} 5$ ．
$\Sigma_{\iota \nu} \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \iota s 1215 . ~ І, ~ І о$.
£Tє申avoûs 1196． 2.
Taveєßєús 1198． 7.
Taveұ $\omega$ тıs 1208．3， 7.
 of Nepheros 1208．3， 7 ．

Tate
＇Татобєірьs 1209．9．
Tarpeí申ıs 1199． 6.
Taîpıs also called Philumene 1209． 14.
Tepeîs 1209．I5， 20.
Tipeés 1215．i，io．
Ti $\theta$ ồs s．of Sarapion 1197．3， 27.
Varianus f．of Catillius 1201． 4.
Фavías，Eapaníwy also called P．，strategus 1197．r．
 1185．I，3， 14 ．
Фı入óotратos s．of Zoïlus 1203． 5 ．

Фip $\mu$ s，K $\lambda$ av́ólos $\Phi$ ．praefect 1194．5．
Флаои́os $\Pi$ ．．．praepositus pagi 1190． 2.
Фגaov́los ．．．тıpos dux 1190． 5.
Фגaov́tos ．．．strategus 1180．I．
$\Phi$ oú $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ 1218． 10.
 18.

Xaıр $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ f．of Didymus 1218．I，r 5 ．
Xaı $\eta \dot{\eta} \mu \nu \nu$ f．of Sarapion 1180． 19.
Xаєр $\dot{\mu} \mu \nu$ secretary 1192．I．
 1208．2， 32.
＇$\Omega \rho \rho^{\prime} \omega \nu$ 1216． 13 ；1223．1， $3^{8 .}$
＇$\Omega \rho i \omega \nu$ s．of Acrono ．．．1208．I3．
＇$\Omega \rho i \omega \nu$ ，A $\dot{\nu} \rho$＇̀̀ıos＇$A \tau \rho \hat{\eta} s$ also called Horion 1208． 30.
＇$\Omega \rho i \omega \nu$, Avp ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \iota$ ıos＇$\Omega$ ．s．of Horion 1206． 5 et saep．
＇$\Omega \rho i \omega \nu$ f．of Aurelius Horion 1206．5．

## VI．GEOGRAPHICAL．

## （a）Countries，Nomes，Cities，Toparchies．

 1201．I．
 тátך＇А．1185． 8 ；1199．i 1.

＇Avtıvóov（ $\pi$ ì $1 s$ ）1218． 7.
$B a \beta v \lambda \omega \nu 1190.6$.
＇Eォтà ขоцоí 1185．3， 15.

＇E $\rho \mu$ où $\pi$ ó̀ıs $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta$ 1195．4．

Enßaís 1186． 2.
＇Іovбаїоs 1189．9；1205． 7.
＇Iта入ıкós 1194．I 5，I 6.



иптротодıтıко́s 1196． 6.
गıкрà＂Oaбıs 1204．6，18．＂Oaбıs 1204．23； 1210． 16.

Nıkiov（ $\pi$ ódıs） 1219.4.
vouós 1188．І9；1200．I 5，54．＇Eтті̀ vоцоí 1185．3，I5．
＂Oarıs．See Mıpù̀＂O．
 1189．4，І7；1190．І ；1191．ı，І 2 ； 1194. 1；1196．ェ；1197． 2 ；1200．15，53； 1204． 2 ；1210．2，6， 14.
＇o乡vрvдגirךs 1204．20；1219． 2 I．$\lambda_{ı \mu \pi \rho \grave{̀}}$


入амтрота́тך＇O§．$\pi$ ．1205．2；1206．3； 1208．2，4， 6.
＇О $\xi v \rho v ́ \gamma \chi \omega \nu$ тод̀s 1196． 3 ；1202． 4 ；1207．І ； 1209．4，7．$\lambda а \mu \pi \rho a ̀ ~ к а \grave{~ \lambda а \mu \pi р о т а ́ т ך ~ ’ О \xi . ~} \pi$ ． 1205．I 3.
$\pi a ̂ \gamma o s, \epsilon \pi$ ．1190． 3.
Палаєбтìŋ，इvpia П．1205．8．
Hapaıтóviov 1221． 5 ．
$\pi o ́ \lambda ı s=$ Alexandria 1200．45．$\quad \pi .=$ Oxy－ rhynchus 1190．II；1196． 4 ；1199．I4， І $6 ; 1202.8$ ，І 3 ；1204． 20 ；1205． 3 ； 1206．4，5；1208．7，9，І І， $12 ; 1209$.
 ＇О $\xi v \rho v \gamma \chi i \tau \eta s$, ＇ $\mathrm{O} \xi v \rho u ́ \gamma \chi \omega \nu$ ．

＇Рюцаїко́s 1201．I 2.

Supía IIa入atorivך 1205． 8.

 I 2．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \lambda i ́ \beta a ~ 1204 . ~ 5 ; ~ 1221 . ~ 5 . ~ \mu e ́ \sigma ~ \eta ~$ 1188．7，20， 22.
＇$\Omega \nu \in i t \eta s$ 1205． 8.
（b）Villages．


Кєркєи̂ра 1188．r，2，7， 20.
Пакє́ркү 1196．8，І 9 ；1208．3，7，І 2. Пєє $\nu \dot{\prime}$ 1188．7， 22.
Пé $\lambda a$ 1212．introd．，I．

ミєขтஸ́ 1212． 3.
Таацлє́ $\boldsymbol{\circ}$ оv 1193． 2.
Tî̀s 1198．4，II ；1200．I4，I6．
Факоข̂бає 1197．3，5， 20.
（c）ä $\mu \phi о \delta \alpha$ ．
＇下рриіои 1207． 3 ．
＇Eாாúvoovs 1208．I 3.

Nótov $\Delta \rho$ ó $о$ ov 1199．I 7
（d）$\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho \circ \iota$ ．
Mє Mav $^{2}$ iou 1188． 23 ．

## （e）Miscellaneous．


Navaîov 1200． 49.


## VII．RELIGION．

（a）Gods．
${ }^{\prime} A \mu \mu \omega \nu$ 1188． 22.
${ }^{\text {＇}} \mathrm{A} \rho \pi \epsilon \beta \hat{\eta} \kappa \iota s$ 1188．3， 21 ．
Zè̀s ${ }^{7} \mathrm{H} \lambda$ cos $\mu$＇́ $\gamma$ as $\sum$ ミapâtis 1213．I
＂Hдıs．See Zerís．

Өопрєíд 1188．3， 20.
iєрò $\nu$＂$A \mu \mu \omega \nu$ оs 1188． 22.
ßабı入є́шs є́орти́ 1185． 29.广臽a ífá 1188．4， 21 ．
$\theta$ cós 1216．4，19；1217．5．$\sigma \cup ั \nu ~ \theta e 屯 ̣ ~ 1220 . ~$
24．$\theta$ єoì Gúvpaol 1213． 2.

＇Оборфvâs 1188．3， 20.

（b）Temples，\＆$c$ ．
iєро̀̀＇A $\mu \pi \epsilon \beta$ ウ́кıos 1188．3， 21.
$\sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta$ خิิ $\tau$＇Iovסaí $\omega \nu$ 1205．7．
（c）Priests．
iєрєùs каì ảpхıôıкабтйs．See Index VIII．
（d）Miscellaneous．
$\theta \eta \kappa \eta$ i $\epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \zeta \varrho^{\prime} \omega \nu$ 1188．4， 2 I．
Ovaía тồ iєpштáтov Nєìiov 1211．I．

## VIII．OFFICIAL AND MILITARY TITLES．

áүорауоді́a 1185． 5.
à $\gamma о \rho а \nu о \mu \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ 1209 . ~ I r . ~ a ̀ \sigma \chi o \lambda o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \grave{\omega} \nu \eta ̀ \nu$
 дипрорі́о 1208． 2.
ả $\mu$ фобоура $\mu \mu а т є$ ús 1196． 5 ；1202．8，І3．

ả $\rho \chi$ є́ фобоs 1193． 2 ；1212．introd．，I．
 каі $\Sigma a \rho a \pi i \omega \nu(A . D .266) 1200$ ．I．$i \in \rho . a ̉ \rho \chi$ ． каì $\pi \rho$ òs $\tau \hat{\eta}$ Є̇ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i a ̣ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ каі $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $\mu \nu \eta \mu$ орєїо $\nu$ ）1199． 21.
$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota к o ̀ s ~ \gamma р а \mu \mu a т є$ v́s 1188．14， 27 ；1210．II．
 （Letopolite nome，3rd cent．）1219． $1,20$. ＇A $\pi i \omega \nu$（Prosopite nome，3rd cent．） 1219. 14.
$\beta_{\iota} \beta \lambda \iota \varnothing$ лли́кıоу 1208． 5.
 $\sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ 1200． 54.
$\beta o v \lambda \epsilon u \tau \eta$＇s 1200． 20 ；1205．3， 8.
$\beta$ кил $\eta$ ，краті́ттך $\beta$ ．1191． 19.

$\gamma v \mu \nu а \sigma \iota a \rho \chi i ́ a 1185.5$.
रраниатєи́s 1188．25，27．ßабı入ıкòs $\gamma \rho$ ．See


ठєкапрютєía 1204．4，20， 25.
бєка́т $\rho \omega т$ оs 1204． 4.

ঠєєрацаті́т $\eta$ s 1197． 4.

347） 1190.5.
غ́катоута́рх $\eta_{\text {s }}$ 1185． 23.
є＇$\eta \eta \gamma \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma a s$ 1196． 20.


є̇тархєкós 1223． 22.

є̈ $\pi$ ар
 3，I2， 17.

є́ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \eta \gamma$ оs 1185.6 ；1189．introd．A ${ }^{2} \rho \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o s$
 т $\quad$ रià（A．D． 217 ）1202．I．Aú $\rho \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota o s ~ ' А ~ \mu \mu \dot{\omega}-$ vıos ó кра́тıбтоs（ध̇т．？А．D．280）1191．1， 14.
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu о \nu i a$ ．See $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ ．



 т $\grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu о \nu i a \nu\left(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D} .25^{8}\right.$ ）1201．12．Mussius Aemilianus vir perfectissimus praefectus Aegypti 1201．I．K $\lambda$ av́ótos Фípuos ó $\lambda a \mu$－ $\pi$ оо́татоs $\dot{\eta} \gamma$ ．（about A．D．265）1194．5．
 （A．D．280）1191．3，I7．Aí $\lambda \iota o s ~ \Pi o u ́ \beta \lambda ı o s ~ o ~$

 I 8.
 $\mu \in \nu 0 s$ Өךßaíoos（4th cent．）1186．I．Cf． $\dot{\eta} \gamma \in \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ ．
íólos 入ógos 1188． 4 et saep．o $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau ஸ ̂ ̀ ~ i o . ~ \lambda . ~$ Koívtos＂Attios Фро́vt ${ }^{2}$（A．D．I3）1188． 8.

каӨо入єкós，Поитஸ́vıos $\Delta o ́ \mu \nu о s ~$ ó סєаб $\eta \mu$ о́татоs к． （A．D．299）1204．9，22，23， 26.
колєขтарท́бьоs 1204． 26.
ко́ $\mu \in s$ 1190． 16.
крıт $\eta$ s 1195．I．
кюцоүраццатєи́s 1188．2，7，29；1198．1； 1210．I3， 16.
$\mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \nu$ 1204． 17.

 $\tau \hat{\oplus} \mu$ ．1208． 5 ．
ó $\phi \phi ı к ı a ́ \lambda ı o s ~ 1204 . ~ 26 . ~$
praefectus．See $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ ．
$\pi$ раıто́бıтоs $\pi$ áyov 1190． 3.
 $\pi \rho а к т о р є і а ~ \sigma \iota т \iota к \omega ิ \nu ~ 1196 . ~ 5 . ~$.
$\pi \rho a ́ k \tau \omega \rho, \xi \in \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \pi$ ．1203．1 I，22， $27,32 . \pi$ ． б८тเкผ้̂ 1196． 19.
$\pi \rho о \nu о \eta$ т ${ }^{\prime}$ 1192． 2.
бкрєißas 1191． 7.
$\sigma \pi \epsilon к о v \lambda a ́ \tau \omega \rho$ 1193． $1 ; 1214.2$ ；1223． 21.
бтрат $\eta \gamma i ́ a ~ 1191 . ~ 2 ~ I . ~$
бтратךүós 1185．3，14；1191．I；1194．8；
 3，17．इapani $\omega \nu$ к каi Фаvias（A．D． 21 I） 1197．I．＇A $\nu o v \beta i \omega \nu$（A．D． 2 II－I2）1196．r． Av́pク́入ıos Побєьठ́ஸ́los（A．D．254）1187．I．

 （A．D．299）1204．2．Фдaoútos ．．．（A．D． 347）1190．I．$\sigma т \rho . ~ ' Н р а к \lambda є о \pi о \lambda і ́ т о v, ~ ' А к и ̆-~$ $\lambda \cos \Pi \omega \lambda i \omega \nu$（c．A．D．II7）1189．introd．， 2. бт ．Kvрото入íтov，ミaßeivos（c．A．D．II7）
 бтратьஸ́тךs 1194．6；1204．7， 19.

тір $\omega \nu$ 1190．6，9， 20.
тотоүрацдатєús 1188．7；1210．І3．
ن́таті́a，v̈татоя．See Index III．
ن́ $\pi \eta \rho$ е́т $\eta$ s 1203． $20,32$.
íторипиатоүра́фоs 1191．II．
ф poupós 1133． 4.
фúdás 1193． 3 ；1212． 3.
фúえархоs 1187．Іо．


## IX．WEIGHTS，MEASURES，COINS．

## （a）Weights and Measures．

ä $\rho о$ рра 1208．І $3, I_{5}, 18,26-8$. а́рта́ßך 1192．6；1194．ІІ ；1197．іч．
$\delta^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \mu \eta$ 1212．4－7．

кєра́дьоу 1211． 5 ：1220．г 7.
ムє́трор ঠє́катоข 1192． 5.

（b）Coins．

| àp ứpıò 1185． 18 ；1188． 26 ；1200． 23 <br> 1205．9，13，23，26；1208． 27 ．áp $\dot{\text { úpta }}$ <br> 1223．23．$\dot{\alpha} \gamma . \Sigma_{\in} \in$ ааттой（ $-\bar{\omega} \nu^{\prime}$ ）ขоцібдатоя <br> 1200． 22 ；1208． 16 ；1209． 22. | кє́ $\rho$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  | ¢vpıás 1223． $3^{2 .}$ |
|  |  |
| $\delta \rho а \chi \mu \eta$ 1188． $21-4,26$ ；1194． 23 ； 1200. | ó入oкótтıvos 1223．23， 32. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 23,45 ; 1207.7, \text { II ; 1208. I6, } 27 \text {; } \\ & 1209.23 . \end{aligned}$ | ， |

## X．TAXES．

व่үораขо伦оу 1208． 2 ；1209． 5. ảขvต́vך 1192． 4 ；1194． 4.

ঠпио́бıa 1208． 2 I， 23.

єîoos 1200． $3^{2}$ ；1208．20， 2 I． є่ $\pi \iota \kappa \lambda a \sigma \mu o ́ s ~ 1208 . ~ 2 ~ I ~, ~ 23 . ~$ є $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma$ ós 1208． 22.

入aоүафіи 1210． 2.
$\mu \nu \eta \mu$ оуєíov 1208． 2.
бктта́ঠрахиоя 1185．19．



## XI．GENERAL INDEX OF GREEK AND LATIN WORDS．

ab 1201． 2.
áßáбка⿱亠䒑т оs 1218．II．
à $\gamma a \theta$ ós，$\epsilon^{\pi} \pi ’$ à $\gamma a \theta$ oís 1202．I 5 ．
aै $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1206．II．
a่ $\gamma \nu$ อย้̂̂ 1188．5，II， 16 ；1202． 22. àоора⿱оніа 1185.5.
 ảүорáऍєเข 1203．7．

```
а`ра́\mu\muатоз 1200. 40 marg.; 1205. 22, 27.
ȧ\gammavtá, \epsilon̉\nu ả\gammavıậ 1208. 4, 29; 1209. 9, 27.
ส`\gamma\omega\gamma\etá 1197. IO.
\alpha`\omegá\nu 1202. 7, 9.
ả\delta\epsilon\lambdaф\eta} 1205. 3; 1216. 2, 23.
å\deltaє\lambda\phiós 1190. 3, 7, I4; 1198. S; 1204. I 8
    1206. I ; 1208. 9; 1215. I; 1216. І2
    1221. I ; 1222. п ; 1223. І, 37, 38.
```


ádıá átos 1201． 8 ，I $7 .^{7}$
ǻıкía 1186． 8 ；1203． 24.
ả $\in i ́ 1200$ ．I 7 ；1216． 3 ．
aiкia 1186． 3 ．
uipeì 1190． 9 ；1208．14，15．uipeíの 1 a 1187. 9 ；1200．28，34；1207．5；1208．19，24．
aiteiv 1185．I 2 ；1201． 6.
äкарӨа 1188．І о， 24.
áкодоvӨía 1202． 20.
 1208．II．
（̇кои́єเข 1204． 24 ；1215． 5 ．
äкироs 1203． 24.
ä̀ as 1222． 2.
ảлєктриш́ข 1207． 8.

à $\lambda \eta$ Óns 1198． 24.
ả $\lambda \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ 1200． 22 ；1206．5， 18 ；1208． 15 1209． 2 I．
 21 ；1220． 9.
áds 1185．II．
п̈ца 1194． 4 ；1196． 4 ；1214． 5 ；1223．Іо．
á $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \nu 1218.3, ~ I 7 ; 1223 . ~ І ~ 2, ~ 33 . ~$
á $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\epsilon}$ 1220． 20 ；1223．7．
á $\mu \in$ тavó $\eta$ тos 1208．I7．
ả $\mu \mu \omega \nu$ เако́s 1222． 2.
àфобоурацдатєús．See Index VIII．
«̈ $\mu$ ооор 1187．6．Cf．Index VI（ $c$ ）
а̉цфо́тєроs 1199． 2.
äข 1185．12， 30 ；1188．I5．

àvaүкаíos 1202． 22 ；1219．5．àvayкаíws
1203．I7．
ảvaүрáфєıц 1198．IO， 16.
civaxpívetv 1209．I 9.

àvá $\lambda \omega \mu$ 1220． 4.
ảvaтє́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \iota$ 1220． 3 ．
àvaтópıфos 1209．I 9.
«̀vaфаі́рєтоs 1208．I7．
ả২афє́ $\rho \in \iota \nu$ 1223． 13.
ảvaభầ 1220．introd．
$a ̈ \nu \in \mu$ оs 1208．I 5 ．

ảvé $\rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a t$ 1194． 4.

ảvıapós 1186． 5 ．
（นขต́v 1192． 4 ；1194． 4.
ä L oठos 1194．I O．
ảขóкข 1218 ． 9 ．
à $\nu \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma$ Өaィ 1203．30， 3 I．
àvtiypaфov 1188．2，9， 15 ；1191． 22 ； 1200.
2,7, I2；1203．І5，20，33；1208．5， 29.
àvтı入aцßávєıv 1187．19；1196．I 2 ；1202． 12.
ảvvாє $\rho \theta \in ́ \tau \omega s$ 1207．I 4．
${ }^{〔} \nu \omega \theta \in \nu$ 1204．I 4.
a $\xi_{i ́ a} 1188.5,10,16$.
ä $\xi \cos$ 1188． 2 I－4；1216．I7．

1203．18；1213．3；1216．7，10；1222．3．
a $\xi i \omega \mu a$ 1204． 16,2 I．
à $\pi \alpha \gamma о \rho \in \cup ́ \in \iota \nu$ 1186． 6.
ふітаíтךбıs 1194．7；1222． 4.
ȧтаитๆтŋ́s 1192． 3.
àma入入aүท́ 1204．I 3．
d́ $\pi a \lambda \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu 1204.2$ I．
àта⿱亠幺áv 1204． 23 ；1223． 15.

ä $\pi$ as 1208． 8.
àтє८ЄЄ̂̀ 1185． 3 I．
ảmeîvaı 1204． 23 （ảm $\left.\eta^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu\right)$ ．
à $\pi \epsilon р \gamma a \sigma i ́ a ~ 1208 . ~ 2 ~ I . ~$
àє́ $\rho \chi є \sigma \theta$ ая 1215． 4 ；1218．7．
à $\pi$＇́ $\chi \epsilon \iota$ 1200．24， 4 I ；1208．1 6，27；1209．23．
a่ $\pi \eta \lambda \iota \omega ́ \tau \eta s ~ 1196.8 ; 1200.20$ ；1208．3，7， 12.
á $\pi \lambda \omega \widehat{\omega}$ 1188． 25 ．
àтоүра́фєбӨaı 1199．2 4 ；1206． 2 I．

 23， 24 （l．$\pi \rho \iota a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ ）， 26 ；1215． 9.
àтодацßávє七v 1217． 6.
àтодєіттєь 1208．I I．
ảmo入入ข́vaı 1220．I 9.
ảто入úєєข 1210．IO．
ảпó̀vәıs 1205．7， 10.
iтточє́ $\epsilon \iota \nu$ 1185． 6.
àmoбтầ 1206．I 3.
àтобтє́ $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu 1193.4 ; 1204.19$ ；1223．3， 24 ， 35.
àmóбто入os 1197．I3．
ब่то́тактоs 1187．I4．
àtovoía 1223． 20.
ảmóфaбıs 1204．IO，II．
ג̀тофє́рє८ン 1208． 24.
àлохарі广єбөаı 1208． 16.
à $\pi \omega \theta \in i ̄ \sigma \theta a \iota 1206$ ． 10.
čpa 1215． 4.
àprúpıav．See Index IX（b）．


àppevıкós 1209．16；1216．14．
à $\rho$ тáßŋ．See Index IX（ $a$ ）．
＂́ртos 1185．10；1194．10．
áp $\chi$ モ́ $\phi$ oóos．See Index VIII．
дірхьঠькаттйs．See Index VIII．
＂$\rho \omega \mu \alpha$ 1211．І 1.
äб $\sigma \mu$ оs 1209．8， 9.
à $\sigma \pi a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 1218.9 . \quad \grave{a} \sigma \pi a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta u 1215.6$ ； 1216.
7， 21 ；1217．4，7；1218． 13.
¿̇бтápaүos 1212． 4.
àsфá入єıa 1200．12，33， 44.


äтриттоs 1222． 2.
$a \hat{v} 1206$ ． 14 ．
आひ̇Өєขт兀кós 1208．5．
av̋ptò 1185． 6 ．
aưrótı 1200． 23 ；1208．16；1209． 17.
àфaví̧ $\epsilon \iota 1220.20$.
á $\phi$ ı́́vaı 1216． 15 ．
àфıбтúvaı 1208． 24.
＂хрı 1215． 3 ．
$\beta$ aís 1211． 8.
ßaбi入tés 1185． 2 I， 29.
 үраццатєús．See Index VIII．
Béfatos 120．0． 29 ；1208． 20.
$\beta_{\epsilon}$ ßaıồ 1207．І І ；1208． 27 ；1209． 26.
$\beta \in \beta a i \omega \sigma \iota s$ 1200． 30 ；1208． 20.
ßи $\beta$ ion 1204． 23.
及ıß入ьоЄ́кк 1200． 49.
$\beta \imath \beta \lambda \iota \neq \nu \lambda$ íкıov，$\beta \iota \nprec \lambda \iota \circ \phi u ́ \lambda a \xi$ ．See Index VIII．
$\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ 1220．і I ．
ßó́tos 1194． 28.
ßon $\theta_{\text {eip }}$ 1202． 25.
bona 1201． 3 ．
$\beta$ oppâs 1200． 20.
ßойдєєӨaı 1188．19；1200．44，53；1207．13．及ov入єuтís，$\beta$ oviŋ̀．See Index VIII．
ßре́́申os 1209． 16.
бä̀a 1211． 10.
уанєì 1213．+ ．
$\gamma \in 1185$ ． 30.
$\gamma \epsilon i ́ \tau \omega \nu$ 1200． 19 ；1208． 14.
$\gamma \in \nu_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{\theta}$ ios 1214． 4.
ү́́өпца 1196． 7 ．

Yévos 1202． 20.
$\gamma$ €oû ưos 1218． 3 ；1223．5， 20.
$\gamma \in \omega$ р $і$ ia 1200． 3 I ；1208． 20.
$\gamma \in \omega \rho$ үós 1221． 7 ．

 31；1208． 20.
रोровобкía 1210． 5.
 1196． 16 ；1199．8， 23 ；1200． 37,44 ； 1205．3， 9 ；1208．4，11， 12 ；1209．I1， $28 ; 1210.8$ ；1220． 7.

$\gamma \lambda$ đóós 1220．і 6.
रणйणos 1206．8， 21.
ү $\nu \omega \dot{\mu} \omega \nu$ 1188．4，Іо，16， 20.
र山шттíp 1196． 20.
гоүүúnך 1212． 6.
roveús 1206．I 2 ；1210． 4.
रoûv 1204．I7．
үра́яци 1192．7；1193．3；1198．35； 1200. 43，61；1201．10；1206．17， $24 ; 1208$. 28，31；1217．3；1219． 12.
रри́фєєン 1188． 27 ；1189． 6 ；1197． 30 ； 1198. 25,34 ；1200．42，48，55， 60 ；1201． 9 ：
1206． 17,24 ；1208． $24,28,30$ ；1216． 6 ；
1217．4；1219．8， 16 ；1220．10．
үрациатєús．See Index VIII．
रраф́̆ 1189．9，ІІ ；1202．II，I4， 24.
$\gamma \nu \mu \nu а \sigma \iota a \rho \chi \epsilon і ้$ 1199．І．


yoví 1206．4，6．I3．
Sanáv 1208． 24.
dare 1201． 3 ．
$\delta^{\prime} \notin \sigma \iota$ 1185． 2.
ס́tıиvívat 1204． 24.
סеîl 1185．10；1194．9；1199． 23 ；1204．9． $\delta \in$ б́vтшs 1203． 7 ；1204．3．
ঠєíซ日a 1204．14；1218． 4 ；1219．I6．
ঠєкатрютєia，ঠ̀єка́трютоя．See Index VIII．
ठ́́ $\sigma \mu \eta$ 1212．4－\％．
סєбто́tクラ 1204．I5；1206．I．
סé $\chi \in \sigma$ Өat 1193． 2.
 1199． 15,24 ；1208． 9 ；1216．11， 18 ； 1218． 9 ；1223． 28.
бпио́тıs 1180．7， 20 ；1200．32，50； 1208. 20．$\delta \eta \mu$ б́т $1208.2 \mathrm{I}, 23$ ．тѝ $\delta .1210 .9$.

 1197． 12.
ठันобเ๐ขิ้ 1200． 34.
$\delta \eta \mu о \sigma i \omega \sigma \iota s$ 1200．7， 18 marg．， $36,5 \mathrm{I}, 54,62$ ； 1208． $25,27$.
ภ七á $\Varangle \in \iota \nu$ 1217． 6.
סєаүри́фє七ン 1188． 26.
ঠıаүрафй 1188． 26.
סıaס́́ $\chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota 1202$ ．I．
8ıaס́九óvaı 1194．I7．
бtaס○хท́ 1201．7；1206．9， 22.
би́धє水 1188．у 6 ；1220． 25.
$\delta \iota a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ 1208．I I．
бьакатохグ 1201．6，І 5， 19.
ঠєакєїӨає 1204．7．
סıa入oү ${ }^{\prime}$ 1200． 4.
ঠıaтє́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \sigma$ Єaı 1189．I 5.
ठเатобтє́ $\lambda$ 入єьข 1200． 46.
ঠєабпио́татоs 1186．І ；1190． 4 ；1191．3，І7 ； 1204． 7 et sae力．
סєaбтодєкól 1203． 6.
ঠıабфáң 1188． 24.
би́таура 1185．7， 3 I ；1201．І 8， 2 ч．
ठıaтє $\uparrow \in \hat{\imath} \nu 1204$ ． 16.
Staтpíßєıv 1204．і 8.
ঠ七афє́ $\rho є \iota \nu$ 1204．I I．
ঠtaభєv́סєє Өaı 1198． 26.
 1200． 44 ；1201．I5，I9；1213．4， 5 ； 1222． 1.
סוє́ $\pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1201．I 4.
סıєрацатітךs 1197． 4.
סıє́paणıs 1197．I I．
ঠ̈є́ $\rho \chi є \sigma$ аь 1198．1 2 ；1208． 5.
$\delta \iota \epsilon ข \tau v \chi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu 1202.26$.
סíkaıov 1199．7，I3，I5；1200．50； 1203. 3о；1205．6，ІІ ；1206．ıо；1208．8，Іо．
ঠікп 1208． 2 子．
סıó 1198．I5；1200． 24.
ס́七เке̂̂̀ 1200． 27.

$\delta \iota \omega \rho v \xi 1208$ ．І 5.
ठокєî้ 1194． 8 ；1218． 7 ；1220．5．
dominus 1201． 3 ．
סou入ayตyia 1206．II．
ठоú入ך 1205．4；1209．I5，17，22，26， $3^{I}$ ； 1202．introd．
боvдıкós 1186． 4.
סov́g．See Index VIII．
$\delta р а \chi \mu \dot{\eta}$ ．See Index IX（b）．
סúvaбӨat 1187．І6；1194．8；1223．I4．
ঠ́v́ккодоя 1218． 5 ．
$\delta \omega \rho \epsilon a ́ 1202.7$.
є́avtoû 1205． 4 ；1208．4， 10.

є́ $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \zeta \zeta \epsilon \downarrow$ 1202． 8.
є́ $\gamma \gamma \rho a ́ \phi є \iota \nu ~ 1206 . ~ І ~ 5 . ~$
є́ $\gamma \kappa \tau \eta$ тьs 1200． 3 ．
ego 1201． 3.
єं $\gamma \chi$ ทั́ $\zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1207． 5 ．
edictum 1201．II．
єै Oos 1202． 5 ；1221． 7.
єỉ́́v́va 1191． 22 ；1197． 30 ；1198． 35 ； 1200.
$43,55,60 ; 1201.10 ; 1203.22 ; 1206$.
24 ；1208． 28,30 ；1216． 5 ；1218． 4 ；
1219．І І ；1220． 5.
єỉoos 1200． $3^{2}$ ；1208．20， 2 I．
єikás 1195．7．
timeiv 1204．I 3 et saep．
єїтєр 1223． 3.

єis，єis тó 1196．I7；1197．15．

єiఠı́éval 1187． 5 ；1207． 2 ；1208． 22.
єỉтa 1204．I7．
єїт 1219．14，I 5 ．
є̈кабтоя 1191．5，І 8 ；1202．9， 1 м．
є́ка́тєроs 1206．І 7.
є́катоขта́ $\varnothing \chi$ ๆ 1185． 23 ．
є’кßıßá̧̧є兀 1195． 8.
є́күороs 1200． 25 ；1208．І7．
ékóıóóvaı 1200． 33 ；1204． 25,26 ； 1206. 6， 14.
єُкєî 1221． 6.
éкєî̀os 1201．x7；1204． 6.
є́кєї $\sigma \in 1204.6$.
ёкклךтоs 1204．5， 8.
є́кス $\alpha a \nu \theta a ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 1203 . ~ 8 . ~$
єُкцартvрєє̀ 1199．І 9 ；1208．4．
є่кцарти́ $\eta \sigma \iota s$ 1208． 30.
є́ктє́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1223．I I．

є́кофои́ $\gamma є \cup \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ 1204.6$.
є́ктактоя 1207．8，I3．
ṡктє入єî̀ 1196． 14.
є́ктós 1209．19；1216． 9.

édatov 1211．Io．
é入aıovруєїо 1207． 5 ．
єं $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho$ ．．．1205． 27.

є $\lambda \in \cup \theta \epsilon \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \nu 1205.5$ ．
$\epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \cup \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \rho \omega \sigma \iota$ 1205．і，Іо．
＇̇ $\mu$ аvтồ 1206． 21 ；1208． 4.
є่ $\mu \pi о є$ î́ $\theta a t ~ 1208 . ~ 23 . ~$

є̈гсуүоя 1202．І4．
èva
èveival 1218． 5.
є̀vєка 1200． 5 I ；1219． 5.
ধ̇עӨáó 1203．10．
évautós 1216． 8.
ধ̇ขเбтávaı 1187． 17 ；1195．7， 8 ；1196． 7 ；
1199．9；1203． 17 ；1208．22， 23.
＇่ $\nu \nu о \mu \dot{т} \tau є \rho о \nu$ 1204． 24.
évoíкьo 1207．6， 13.
ѐעохлєì 1221． 9 ；1223． 17 ．
＇゙voxos 1195．ro；1198． 26.



èvzós 1194． 29.
̇̇vтvyхávєı 1204． 9 ；1212．introd．
ex 1201．if．
éछако入 ov $\theta \in i \nu 1203.9$.





€ॄそうs 1190．10；1204． 24.
द́ॄ̇op $\mu a ̂ \nu$ 1216． 20.
égovaía 1190． 4 ；1200． 26 ；1205．6， 1 I； 1208． 19.
＂$\xi(\xi) 1222.3$.
ध́о行 1185． 29.
є̇ $\pi a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu 1190.8$.
еттакодоvӨєì 1208．І 7.
Є̇ $\pi$ ́́vaүкєs 1208．І9， 24.
ধ̇пархıко́s 1223． 22.
ধ̈тapхos．See Index VIII．
є̇тaф́́ 1209． 19.
 1222． 3.

ধ゙тєルта 1217． 5.
 23.

ย̇สєратầ 1200． 37 ；1206．18， 23 ； 1208.
I7，25，27－9；1209． 29.
є̇тєри́тगбts 1205． 9.
є̇ $\pi \iota \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1194．IO，14， 24 ；1200．18， 41.

Є̇ $\pi \iota$ ঠ́九óvaı 1188．I 4 ；1198． 32 ；1199． 22 ；
1201．5；1202．9， 27.
є̇ாเєєкグs 1218． 5.
Є่ $\pi \iota \zeta \eta \tau \epsilon i \nu$ 1194． 2 ；1196．I 5.
є́тเкалєî̀ 1199． 5 ；1208．3， 7.
ধ̇ $\pi \iota к \lambda \alpha \sigma \mu$ о́s 1208． 21 I， 23.
є́тเкрірєєข 1202．І 9.
є̇пı入арßávєьข 1200． 54.
є̇тı入є́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1210． 4.
є่ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota a$ 1200．6， 9.
єंт $\iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \eta \dot{\prime}$ ．See Index VIII．
є่ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ о́s 1208． 22.
є่тเขєข́єє 1204．16．

є $\pi i \sigma \kappa є \psi \iota s$ 1188． 27.
є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \kappa є \cup a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1220．I 3.
є̇лібтабӨaı 1219． 6.
є̇п८бтє่ $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu 1188.2,8,25$ ；1191．6，19， 22 ； 1194． 8.
Є่ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \eta$ 1189． 6 ；1191．I4；1216． 8.
є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma i ́ a, ~ Є ่ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \eta \gamma o s . ~ S e e ~ I n d e x ~ V I I I . ~$
є่тเтє $\bar{\epsilon}$ ї 1185.30 ；1200． 27.
є́ $\pi เ \tau \eta$ ク́ठєьos 1187．I I．

є่ $\pi เ \tau \rho \omega \dot{\gamma} \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1185．I 1 ．
є́тьф́ $\rho є \iota \nu$ 1199． 22 ；1200． 57 ；1208． 5.
є̇ $\pi \iota \chi \omega \rho i \omega s$ 1186． 3.
є́ $\rho \gamma a \lambda \in i ̂ o \nu 1220.17$.
є＇руор 1218． $3 ; 1220.8,9$.
є́рєî̀ 1195.6.
є́p $\quad \eta \nu є i a$ 1201．I 2.
є＇рхєбөає 1215． 2.
є’ $\rho \omega \tau \tilde{\nu} \nu$ 1201．I 5.
є́ $\sigma \theta i \epsilon \iota \nu 1185.10$.
ぞтєроs 1188． 25 ；1200． 27,35 ；1203． 12 ；
1204． 22 ；1208． 25 ；1219． 15.
Є゙тィ 1200． 29 ；1204．14；1219． 6.
 1207．7， 8.
$\epsilon \hat{\cup}$ 1189．I I ；1217． 6.
є ن่aүติs 1203．5．
$\epsilon \dot{~} \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \eta^{\prime}$ 1206．I I．
$\epsilon \dot{v} \gamma \nu \omega \mu о \nu \epsilon i ้ ~ 1223.27$.
єv̇oía 1223．I 2.
єủठокєî̀ 1200． $36,5^{1}$ ；1208．25，27， 28.

єن่סо́кทбเs 1191．6，20；1200．． 35 ；1208． 25. єüӨvva 1203． 9.
єüтороs 1187．I I．
єі́рі́бкєєөaı 1204．I 3.
єن̉𧰨ín $\mu \mathrm{s}$ 1188．5，I I．

єїХєбӨaı 1190．13；1191．9， 24 ；1192．7；
1216． $3, \mathrm{I} 6,22 ; 1217.5,9$ ；1218．I4；
1219．І7；1220． 26 ；1221．І3；1222．5； 1223． 36

$\epsilon \phi \in \sigma$ is 1185． 6.
є́фє́бтเov 1206． 3 ．
є́фŋßєía 1202．I 2.

є́申 $\beta_{\text {os 12 }}$ 1202．7， 24.
є́фі́бтабөa 1220． 22.
є́фобos 1208．I 9.
${ }_{\epsilon}{ }_{\chi} \neq \epsilon 1186.8 ; 1191.23 ; 1200.26 ; 1203$. I9， 26 ；1204．II ；1205．I 1 ；1206．3， 8， 18 ；1207． 2 ；1208．6， 19 ；1210． 5 ； 1216．І3，19；1218．І2 ；1219．І3； 1222. 5 ；1223． 23.

ऽท́тŋра 1188． 5.
§ $\omega$ goveiv 1188．4， 2 I， 23.
そติด 1188．4， 21.
ऍんфитєî̀ 1188． 3 ．
i）1216．I4；1220．5；1223． 12.
$\ddot{\eta}$ 1195． 10 ；1198． 26 ；1200． 35 ； 1208. 24；1223．23， 35.
$\eta_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\prime} a, \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu, \dot{\eta} \gamma о \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \boldsymbol{\prime}$ ．See Index TIII．
ŋֹס́́ตs 1218．8， 12.
ท̄ $\lambda$ os 1220．ı 6.
ìц́́ра 1194．Іо， 15,25 ；1204． 22 ； 1205.

ŋ́ $\epsilon$＇́тєроs 1202． 16.
$\theta a 1 \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 1223.3$.
$\theta$ eíos 1185． 2 I ；1204．14， 16.
$\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon \nu}$ 1185．I7；1216．I9．
Otós．See Index VII（a）．
Өєратєи́єьข 1222． 3 ．
Ө́ŋкџ 1188．4， 2 I．
Өı $\gamma \gamma$ ávet 1185．I I ．

Өvүáтทр 1199． 10.
Ovб́u 1211． 1.
i̊ıó $\rho$ aфos 1199．8，І 8 ；1200． 48 ；1208． 4 ， 12.
＂ôıos $\lambda$ ó ${ }^{2}$ os．See Index VitII．
iठıんтıкós 1200． $3^{2}$ ；1208．І3，I S， 20.
iepeís．See Index VII（ $c$ ）．
ípóv．See Index VII（b）．
ípós 1188．4， 21 ；1190．16；1211．2．iєрй ขóvos 1209． 19.
iкขєєิซӨu 1189．13．
i $\mu$ ís 1186． 2.
ǐva 1191． 22 ；1200． 55 ；1203． 21 ； 1204. $24 ; 1220.5$, I3， 19 ；1222．2， 3.
іттототáutos 1220． 21.
 22 ；1204． 21 ；1219． 6.

каӨа́лєр 1208． 24.
каӨaро́s 1200． 30 ；1208． 20.
каӨ่ŋкєєц 1188． 26 ；1200．55，56；1203． 3 ．
каӨодєко́s．See Index VIII．
каӨó入ov 1223． 30.
каӨஸ́s 1218． 4.
каí，каì үáp 1219．9．кàцои̂ 1202．23．кä́ 1216． 6.
кацрós 1202．7．І I ；1204． 6.
какós 1215． 6.
кáخадоs 1211．9．
ки入ávo̊a 1201． 20 ；1204．I2．
калєє̂̀ 1185．І 8 ；1186． 3 ；1204．I3．
ка入ต̂s 1200． 37 ；1208．25，29；1209．28； 1215． 2.
канךл $\omega$ 1207． 3.
картофорі́а 1220． 8.
катаßаìєь 1223． 33.
кати́үєьоข 1199．І7．
каталанßívє七ע 1223．5， 7.
ката入єі́тєь 1208． 19.
каталоүєíov 1200． 35 ；1203． 10.
ката६ъю̂ิ้ 1213． 5.
катарті́а 1208．I 4.
катабтє́ $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu 1215.3$ ．
катафєи́үєє 1204． 8.
катахตрі́ऍє८» 1198．19．

катє́ Хєє 1189．I3．
катохи́ 1200． $3^{2}$ ；1208． 20.
кє $\lambda \in \cup ́ \in \iota \nu$ 1187．І 2 ；1191．2，8， 21,$23 ; 1204$.
10，24， 25.
кє́ $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \iota s$ 1191． 16.
кєра́лноу．See Index IX（ $a$ ）．

кє́риа 1220． 7.
$\kappa \in \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \dot{\eta} s($ ？$) ~ 1220$ ．introd．
кпঠєі́a 1218． 7.
$\kappa \lambda a ́ o ́ o s ~ 1188 . ~ I ~ e t ~ s a e p . ~$
клпрогон ．．．1199． 26.

клпрородіа 1201． 6 ；1206．9， 22.
клпрогоцкко́s 1199．І4；1208．8， 10.
клпроуо́ноя 1201．у9；1208．го．
$\kappa \lambda \bar{\eta} \rho$ os 1188． 23 ；1208． 13.

коцршико́s 1208．Із．
ко́л $\lambda \eta \mu$ 1201．I I， 22.
кодеขтар $\boldsymbol{q}_{\sigma}$ os 1204． 26.
ко́дея 1190． 16.

ко́vóvオ os 1185．8，í 2.
коvра́т $\omega \rho$ 1205． 3
кратєî̀ 1200． 25 ；1208． 17.
кратьбтеі́a 1204．I 5 ．
кра́тєбтоs 1185．6；1189．introd．；1191．15，
19；1195． 2 ；1202． 1 ；1204．3，Із，Іұ．
кр́́as 1194． 24.
крібьs 1203． 29.
крıти́рьт 1200．6，го．
крıтís 1195．І．
кúdapov 1197．го．
кขрıє́ย̇ย 1200． 25 ；1208． 17.
ки́pıos（title）1191．3， 17 ；1201．I5； 1204.
7，8，І7，І8；1214．І ；1217．у ；1220．п，
6,27 ；1221．I ；1223．r，38．Cf．Indices
II，III．кирía 1185．із．
кúptos（adj．）1197．17；1206．16；1208．24， 29.

кต́цך 1188．20，22， 23 ；1193． 2 ；1198． 4 ； 1200．14，16， 19 ；1208．3，4，7，8， 12 ．
кшноүрацнатє́s．See Index VIII．
$\lambda$ áyavo 1211． 5.
$\lambda a \gamma \chi a ́ v \in \epsilon \nu 1186.5$ ．
$\lambda a \mu$ ßávév 1188． 26 ；1191．7，20；1192．7．
$\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ s ~ 1185.7,27 ; 1190.15,16 ; 1199$. 1，6，II；1201．13；1205．2，3，14； 1206． 2,3 ；1208．2，4， 6.
лаоүрафía 1210． 2.
legere 1201．in．
$\lambda_{\text {єтоир }}$ єiv 1187． 5 ．
$\lambda_{\text {eitovpyia 1187．} 20 ; 1204 . ~ 14, ~}^{21}$ 1．
$\lambda$ égıs 1220． 10.
$\lambda \bar{\eta} \mu \mu а$ 1196． 6.
$\lambda \hat{\eta} \xi$ ts 1208． 23.
$\lambda \eta$ тарь os 1186． 2.
入íap 1216．I3．
入íßavos 1211．I I．
入í 1200.21 ：1204．万；1221．万．
入óros 1188．І І ，17；1198．15．20；1203． 21 ， 26；1220．28．入．סпии́óos 1192．1．＂î́rıs入óyos．See Index VIII．
入o七тásєıv 1194． 3.
גotтós 1194．19；1207． 6.
$\lambda u ́ \in L \nu$ 1208．I 1 ．
$\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu 1203 . ~ І з . ~$
$\mu a v$ Óvet 1220．24， 28.
$\mu \in \gamma a \lambda \epsilon$ îo 1204． 10.
$\mu e ́ \gamma a s ~ 1188.24 ; 1195 .+$ ；1213．1．$\mu \epsilon i ́ \zeta \omega \nu$
1204． $21 . \mu \epsilon i ́ g o v e s ~ 1204 . ~ 17 . ~$
$\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \in$ $\theta$ os 1191．3， 16.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1187． 4 ；1202．Іо，I5；1215．6； 1223． 19.
$\mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda_{c}$ 1211．Іо．
$\mu \in \lambda$ íxpovs 1209．I5．
$\mu \in \lambda \lambda$ о́́ $\emptyset \eta$ ßos 1202． 17 ．
$\mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 1196.17$ ；1197． 15.
$\mu_{\text {ب́vell } 1200.50 ; 1203.25 ; ~ 1206 . ~ 9, ~}^{22}$ ．
$\mu$ épos 1200．19；1204．II；1206． 17 ；
1208．14，15，18，19，24，26－8．

$\mu \epsilon \tau a \delta \delta \delta o ́ v a$ 1194． 2 ；1203．6，14， 19,32 ： 1204． $15,16$.
$\mu \epsilon \tau а \lambda а \mu \beta a ́ v \in \iota \nu 1200.25$ ；1203．6；1204． 2 I； 1208． 18.
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \mu \psi \stackrel{\text { 12 }}{ }$ 1200． $3^{6 .}$
$\mu \in \tau a \xi \dot{u}$ 1205． 5 ．
$\mu \epsilon \tau a \phi \in \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1188． 8 ；1220． 13.
$\mu \epsilon \tau \in \oplus \rho o s$ 1219． 5.
$\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \epsilon і ̈ \nu 1192.3$ ；1221．8．
$\mu \epsilon ́ \tau р \eta \mu а$ 1221． 4.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho o \nu 1192.5$ ．
meus 1201． 4.
$\mu^{\prime} \chi \rho \iota$ 1185．23， 24 ；1203． 29.
$\mu \eta \delta$ бis 1188．5，II，16；1196．17；1197．I5； 1200．28， 29 ；1203． 27 ；1205．II； 1208．19．$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \overline{i s}$ 1205．II．$\mu \eta \theta \epsilon i ́ s$ 1198． 26.
$\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ 1195．7；1199． 20 ；1203． 17 ； 1208.
5，II；1209． 12.
$\mu^{\prime} \nu($ particle）1186．5．
$\mu \eta \nu$ iaios 1198． 17.
${ }_{\mu \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \rho}$ 1196． 2 ；1197． 4 ；1198．3， 1 亿 ； 1199.

6，I3；1200．II，I4，I5，57；1202． 4 ； 1208．3，6，7，9，ІІ， 12 ；1209．6，9， 12 ， 14 ；1218． 6.
щךтротольтเко́s 1196． 6.
$\mu \eta \chi^{a \nu \eta}$ 1208．I 4，I5，I 8 ；1220．І 8.
$\mu \iota к \rho o ́ \theta \in \nu$ ，àmò $\mu$ ．1216． 5.
بıкро́s 1185．І о．Mıкрà＂Oaбıs 1204．6， 18.
$\mu \iota \sigma \theta$ ồ 1207.12, I5， 16.
$\mu i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma t s$ 1207． 1 I．
$\mu \nu \eta^{\prime} \eta \eta$ 1219． 10.
$\mu \nu \eta \mu \nu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \hat{\imath} \omega \nu$ ．See Index VIII．
цо⿱㇒日́s 1223． 3 I ．
 1208． 5 ．
цоขо́клабоs 1188． 20.
$\mu о ́ \sigma \chi о s ~ 1211.4$.
$\mu$ vpıás 1223． $3^{2}$ ．
vaútךs 1197．І 7 ；1223．9，I 5， 35.
роціऍєє 1219． 8.
$\nu$ ข́ $\mu$ иоя 1201．І 8 ．
$\nu о ́ \mu ı \sigma \mu a$ ．See Index IX（b）．
ขó $\mu$ os 1204． 4 ；1208． 6.
ขоцós．See Index VI（a）．
ขóбos íf pá 1209．I 9.
ขо́тоя 1200．I9；1208．I4．
$\nu$ ขิข 1200．І 7， 24 ；1204．І 6 ；1208． 8 ； 1216． 17 ；1217．3；1223．32．vvvi 1196. 4；1202． 12.
$\xi \in \downarrow \iota \kappa o ́ s, \xi \in \nu \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a ́ k \tau \omega \rho$ ．See Index VIII．
$\xi \in \sigma \tau \eta s$ ．See Index IX $(a)$ ．
छŋраívєเข 1188．19， 2 I， 23.
گ̇pós 1188．4，IO，I5， 22.
§u入ıкós 1208．I 4.
乡ú入ov 1188．І5，I 9.
ó，$\dot{\eta}$, тó．тои̉vàtion 1203．I3．
о̋ ठє 1200． 48 ；1216． 10.
óóós 1208．I 4 ．
ö $\theta \in \nu$ 1203．І 7 ；1204． 27.
оікєíos 1218．I 3 ．
oiкía 1199．І7；1218． 6.

оікодонє̂̀ 1188．5，І I ；1203． 27 ；1208．І 9. oîkos 1223．І 8.
oîvos 1185． $12 ; 1194.14 ; 1211.4 ; 1220$. 10， 14 ；1223． 30.

ј̀i $\gamma \omega$ м 1223．І 6.

бло́кл $\quad$ роя 1208．І 7.
о́локо́ттเขos 1223．23， 32.

о́ $\mu \nu v є \iota \nu$ 1196．Іо；1197．6， 28 ；1198． 2 1， 33.

 1211． 9.
о́ ноо́тŋs 1202． 24.
бродоүє̂̀ 1200．І 6， $3^{8}$ ；1205． 2 I ；1206．6， 18，23；1208．3，5，8，І7，25，27－9； 1209． 29.
о́ $\mu$ олоүía 1208． 29.
оцоии́трtos 1205． 2 ；1208． 9.
оророєi้ 1216．І 6.
${ }_{\text {оै }}$ о $\mu$ а 1188． 8 ；1192． 4 ；1209．I 5，I 6， 27 ； 1218． 12.
ỏvо $\mu a ́ \zeta є \iota \nu$ 1187． 9 ；1204．4． 20.
ö $\nu$ os 1193． 3 ．
о́тпріка 1200． 34 ；1208． 24.
о́то́тау 1196．І5；1197．І3；1207．І3．
${ }_{0}^{\circ} \pi \omega \omega$ 1188． 26.
ópâ $\nu$ 1223．I I．
óp $\theta$ ôs 1200． 37 ；1208．25， 29 ；1209． 28.
о́рi̧єєン 1200． 45.
о́ркоз 1195．Іо；1197． 28 ；1198． 27 ， 33.
ор $\mu a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 1204 . ~ 20$.
ỏ $\rho \nu \iota$ ө́̀ 1207．4．
oैриıs 1207． 9 ．
ớs，ôs $\mu \epsilon ́ v$ ，ôs ס̂́ 1189． 7.
о́ $\sigma \delta \eta \pi$ отой 1208.23.
ö́oos 1208．I4．
ถ゙ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ 1200． 34 ；1208．16，19，24；1209．І 7．
ö öтts 1195.6 ；1218． 8 ；1222． 5.
ó $\sigma \tau \iota \sigma$ ข̂̀ 1208． 2 I．
о̋тє 1204． 23 ．
ӧтィ 1185．І 7 ；1215． 5 ；1219．I 1.
ov̉סєís 1185． 22 ；1188． 25 ；1218．5； 1220. II， 20.
оย์ঠє́т $\omega$ 1208．3， 7.
oủkย́тเ 1185． 22 ；1223． 26.
oủ ${ }^{\prime}$ 1209．I 5.
oง้̉ 1185．23；1188．3，І5；1202．12； 1204. 10；1207．І5；1219．13；1221．8．є’àv oง้̉ 1188． 25 ．
ov̉бเaкウ̀ $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ 1200． 3 I ；1208． 20.
ойтє 1186． 7 ；1188． 25 ；1206．Іо．
oข์tos 1185．7，23，31；1190．І І ；1198．8， 16 ；1199．18；1200．33，37，53； 1203. 8 ，І5；1204．9；1205．19；1206．8，го， 15，16；1207．3；1208．19，22，23，2

28， 29 ；1209． 18 ， 28 ；1210． 8 ； 1213.
5 ；1216． 15 ；1217． 3 ；1219．II； 1220.
18；1221．Іо；1222． 4.

о́фєі $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota \nu} 1188.4$, 10，15，19；1203．І2．
ó $\phi \epsilon i \lambda \eta$ 1200． 32 ；1208． 20.
òффıкıá入ıos 1204． 26.
ó $\psi$ ápıò 1185．i I．
$\pi a ̂$ ós 1190.3 ．
тaıס́ápıo 1207．го．
$\pi a \iota$ ío 1218. I I， 14.
пaîs 1185．ıо ；1206．13， 20.
$\pi$ ті́кт $\omega \nu$ 1220． 12.
$\pi a ́ \lambda a \iota 1219.6$.
$\pi a v \dot{\eta} \gamma v \rho \iota$ 1214． 3 ．
таутє íf 1186． 6.
таขтoíos 1208． 22.
тараßаiveє 1206．І 5 ．

тарауіуvєбӨaı 1185． 26 ；1197．14；1219． 3 ； 1220． 23.
тapádóosos 1205． 4.
тара́ $\theta \in \sigma \iota s$ 1199． 23.
таралам३а́vєє 1206．20；1209．17．
$\pi а р а \lambda \iota \mu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1191．7， 20.
$\pi а р а \mu ́ ́ v \in \epsilon \nu 1222.4$.
таратөӨ́vaı 1204． 10.
та́раขта 1223． 8.
тарах िца 1208． $24 .^{2}$ ．
тарах $\rho$ еіи 1200． 17 ；1208．8，18，22， 26.
$\pi а р а \chi \omega ́ \rho \eta \sigma$ เs 1208．12， 24.
тирахшрұтєко́ข 1208．15， 27.
$\pi а р \epsilon \nu о \chi \lambda \epsilon i ̄ \nu 1188 .{ }_{2} 5$ ．
$\pi a \rho \in ́ \xi$ 1207． 4.
$\pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \in \iota \nu$ 1193．3；1200．29；1204． 24 ： 1208． 20 ；1210． 9 ；1223． 29.
тар！évà 1202．I5．
тарьттávaı 1197． 8 ；1204．I3．
$\pi$ âs 1199．18；1200．29－32；1203．23，26， $30 ; 1204.3$ ；1205． 6 ；1207．4； 1208. 14，20，21， 23 ；1211．10；1216．3，II， ${ }_{17}$ ， $22 ; 1217.5,7,8 ; 1218.13$.
татйр 1198． 5 ；1199． 15 ；1200． 18 ； 1201. 7，16；1203．13，21， 23 ；1204．5； 1205. $8 ; 1208.3,6,8,10,16,17,25,30 ; 1218$. I；1219．7，IO；1222．4．pater 1201．4． тatpikios 1206．I．
тат $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ ико́s 1205． 6.
$\pi \in \iota \rho a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 1204 . ~ 13 . ~$
$\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu 1194.3^{\text {I }}$ ；1220．6，15；1222． 5 ； 1223．10， 28.
$\pi \in \nu \tau а \epsilon \tau i ́ u 1207.7$.
perfectissimus 1201．$\quad$.
$\pi \in \rho$ рєìวat 1219． 7.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon \rho \gamma$ оs 1220． 22.
$\pi \epsilon \rho!\epsilon ́ \chi \in \iota \nu$ 1199．19；1220． 29.

$\pi \epsilon р і \chi \omega \mu a$ 1188． 24.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \epsilon ́ a$ 1188．3， $22,23$.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \epsilon i ́ \delta \iota o \nu$ 1188． 2 I．
$\pi \iota \pi \rho a ́ \sigma к є \iota \nu$ 1200．І 6， 4 I ；1208．8， 26.
$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ 1223． 26.
тィттós 1187．І 8.
тıтта́кєоу 1220． 29.
тлакоиิs 1211． 7.
$\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ 1223． 6.
$\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \rho$ ，є’к $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta}$ pous 1200． 24 ；1208． 16.
$\pi$ 入oio 1197．9，I 4 ；1223．4，I 3， 34.
 1208． 30 ；1215． 2 ；1218． 9.
тó入ıs．See Index VI $(a)$ ．
то入ıтוкós 1204．I 4， 2 I：1208． 2 I．
mo入ús 1185．24；1191．9；1219．12； 1221.
12 ；1222．6；1223．37．$\pi \lambda$ єíбтољ 1218．．．
$\pi о р \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta$ aı 1219． 4.
торі $\epsilon_{\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 1203 . ~}^{9 .}$
possessio 1201． 3 ．
$\pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu a$ 1215． 3.
praefectus 1201．I．
$\pi \rho a \iota \pi o ́ \sigma \iota \tau$ 1190． 3.
$\pi \rho a \iota \tau \propto ́ \iota \frac{1190 . ~ І ~}{} 6$.
$\pi \rho а к т о р є i a, ~ \pi р і ́ к т \omega \rho$ ．See Index VIII．
$\pi \rho a ̂ \sigma \iota s$ 1199．8，19；1208．4，12，24， 28.
$\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1203． 24 ；1205．13；1208．25， 29；1215． 6.

$\pi \rho o ́ ~ 1201 . ~ 20 ; ~ 1204 . ~ I ~ 2 . ~$
$\pi \rho o a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ 1216． 6.
$\pi \rho о є ́ \rho \chi є \sigma$ өat 1203．І 8.
$\pi \rho о \theta \in \sigma \mu i a$ 1207． 14 ．
$\pi \rho o \iota \in ́ v a \imath 1200.12$.
трокєі̂бӨає 1188．26；1197．28； 119925 ；
1200．26， 42 ；1203．14，28， 32 ； 1204. Іо，27；1205．21；1206．І9，23， 1208.
I5，18， $3^{6 ;} 1209.27$.
$\pi \rho о \nu \eta ́ \sigma \iota \frac{1199 . ~}{} 77$.
$\pi \rho о \nu о \eta \pi \eta ́ s ~ 1192.2$.

1206．9，І7， 2 I．

тробауорєи́єєц 1185．І 3 （？）．
$\pi \rho о \sigma a \nu a \phi \in ́ \rho \epsilon \iota 1188.5,11,29$.

$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \in \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta$ al 1200． 35 ；1208． 25.
тробঠокаิ̀ 1194． 29.
$\pi \beta о \sigma \delta о \chi \eta ́ 1223.25$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \grave{к} \epsilon \iota$ 1196．І3；1202．х х．
$\pi \rho о \sigma о ф є і \lambda є \iota \nu$ 1203．I 3.
тро́бтауна 1204．I7．
$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma t a \xi \iota \iota 1204.7$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu 1190.5$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \phi \epsilon$ บ́ $\epsilon \iota \nu$ 1202． 22.
трогфора́ 1208．і 6.
тро́тфороs 1208． 22.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \omega \nu \in i ้$ 1188． 16 ；1200． 53.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \phi \dot{\omega} \nu \eta \sigma \iota s$ 1200．2， 18 marg．， 63.

г 6 ；1208．9， 10.
$\pi \rho о т ө$ Ө́va 1185.7 ；1202．1о， $14 ; 1208.4$.
$\pi \rho \omega ́ \eta \nu 1204$ ． 8.
$\pi р \hat{\tau} о \nu 1217.4$.
тvрós 1197． 12.
$\pi \omega \lambda \in \hat{\imath}$ 1200． 27 ；1208． 18 ；1209． 25.
$\pi \hat{\omega} \lambda_{o s}$ 1222．I．
$\pi \omega ̂ \mathrm{~S}$ 1216． 13.
ṕáфavos 1212．7．
rogare 1201． 3 ．
 23；1192． 7 ；1215． 8 （ $\epsilon \rho \rho$ ．omitted）； 1216． 22 ；1217． 9 ；1218． 14 ；1219． 17 ； 1220． 26 ；1221．І І ；1222． 5 ；1223． 35.

бŋ́крŋтог 1204． 12.
бךцаірєє 1188． 9.
бŋँ $\epsilon$ рор 1187． 7 ；1216．9；1223．14，34．
$\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \iota \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota 1187.20$ ；1188．I3；1193．4．
б $\eta \mu \in i \omega \sigma \iota s$ 1220． 3.
$\sigma \iota \delta ́ \eta \omega \sigma$ is 1208．I 4.
б८тко́s 1196．6；1208．І3， 18.
окрєißas 1191． 7.
oós 1204．14，I7；1218．І 3 ；1223． 29.
$\sigma \pi \epsilon к о ш \lambda a ́ т \omega \rho$ ．See Index VIII．
бтє́ $\rho \mu$ 1222． 2.
$\sigma \pi \epsilon v ์ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1216． 20.
бтovón 1207． 10.
$\sigma \pi 0 v \delta a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1190． 7 ；1223． 8.
бтоvóaios 1218． 4.

бтоха́乌єбӨaィ 1188．5，І І，г6．
бтратпүіa，$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ́ s . ~ S e e ~ I n d e x ~ V I I I . ~$
$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau \eta s . \quad$ See Index VIII．
$\sigma \tau \rho o ́ ß i \lambda$ os 1211． 6.
бvүкатаұшрі\}єєц 1200. 48 ；1208． 5.
бv$\chi \chi \omega \epsilon \in i \nu$ 1185． 20.
$\sigma v \mu \beta \epsilon \beta a \iota o v \nu$ 1208． 28.
бvرßєßaเшти́s 1208． 6.
бú $\mu \beta \iota o s ~ 1218$ ．I I．
бv́ $\mu \mu a \chi$ оs 1223．Іо．
$\sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1206． 16.
$\sigma \nu \mu \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1188． 24.
бvرфє́рєє 1220． 19.
бขрфо ．．．1191． 27.
$\sigma v \mu \phi \omega \nu$ íl 1200． 22 ；1208．I5；1209． 21.
аvขaүळүท́ 1205． 7.
$\sigma v \nu a \rho \iota \theta \mu \in i \sigma \theta a \iota 1208 . ~ I 7$.
бvעठєآขєî 1214． 6.
avveivat 1206． 4.
бvขє́خкєєข 1188． 9.
бขขє́ $\rho \chi є \sigma$ Өaı 1187.6.

бuvıสтávaı 1187．I4；1188．I I．
бv́vvaos 1213． 2.
бvขтá⿱㇒木єє 1200． 55.
бvขтíرךбьs 1188． 24.
$\sigma \nu \nu \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 1206$ ．І 6

та́ $\mu$ а 1202． 18.
тáخavтov．See Index IX $(b)$ ．
тá $\nprec$ ıs 1198． 18 ；1204． 17.
тá犭os，Є̇v тá $\neq \iota 1185.26$ ；1223． 24.
$\tau \in 1186.8$ ；1200． 29,30 ；1203． 20 ； 1205.
II；1206．6，І2；1208． 20.
тє́кขоע 1199．7，І3；1219．ェ8．
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ 1208 . ~ 2 ~ I ~ ; ~ 1210 . ~ I . ~ . ~$
тє́ т $_{\text {los 1207．} 9 .}$
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \circ \hat{\nu} \nu$ 1200．2， 7.
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \tau \hat{a} \nu$ 1198．І 2，I 7 ；1201．8，I7；1208．9．
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta$ 1208．II．
тє́ ${ }^{\prime}$ os 1200． 45.
$\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma а \rho є \sigma к а\llcorner\delta є к а є т \eta ́ s$ 1202．19．
т兀ц́ 1188． 26 ；1200．22， 42 ；1208．15， 27 ；
1209． 32.
ті́ипиа 1200．45；1208． 4.
тір $\omega \nu$ 1190．6，9， 20.
tis 1204．I9；1206．I5；1219．I3，I5
1220． 2 I ；1223．7， 22.
тоьô̂тоя 1186．7；1209．І8．
тока́s 1207． 9.
то入 $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ 1203． 3 ；1204． 20.
то́ $о$ оs 1201．І I， 22.

тотархіа．See Index VI（a）．
тотоүрандатєи́s．See Index VIII．
tótos $1185.25 ; 1187.8 ; 1196.9 ; 1200$. 18，26，41；1207．4，6；1208．5．
тобоиิтоs 1223． 25 ．
т $\rho$ áर $\eta$ ग os 1205． 5 ．
трі́ßєє 1222． 2.
трı́ бós 1208． 24.
т оо́тое 1200． 29 ；1208．19， 23.
т $\quad$ oxós 1220．introd．

びßpıs 1186．7．

ívı́́s 1187． 18.

viodєбia 1206．8，14，I 6， 20.
viós 1202．т6， 23 ；1203．т6；1206．7，9， 21；1207．16；1208．10；1210．5； 1213． 4 ；1219．2，3，20；1222．т．
ínàtầ 1196．I6．
iтápХє८้ 1189．10；1197．9；1199．I4； 1201．16；1208． 8 ；1209．10．
íтaтia 1206．I 9．Cf．Index III．
ข̈татоs．See Index III．
inteival 1203． 29.


iлпррєтєīөau 1204．I7．
ín $\eta \rho \in ́ \tau \eta s$ 1203．20， 32.
íтоүра́фєє 1188． 8 ；1200．47， 54.
iтлорафф́ 1188．І5；1191．6， 20 ；1199． 2 I； 1200．12，34， 47.
íтоঠєєкขv́єєン 1194． 6.
іптобохєїо 1220．introd．
ілтоӨíkท 1203． 5.
ітокєî̈Өat 1200．2，8，Із．
íno八oıtás 1223． 29.
і̇тоне́vєє 1186．4， 7 ．
iло́мขпиа 1188． 14 ；1199． 22 ；1200． 48 ； 1203．10，18，28， 33 ；1204．9，25， 26.
iлорәпиатоүра́фos 1191．І 1 ．
iтота́ббєє 1188．2，9， 15 ．
imotitelns 1209．16，17．22， 26.
vir 1201．I．

фаiver $\begin{aligned} & \text { at 1188．} \\ & 25 . \\ & \text { ．}\end{aligned}$
факй 1192． 5.
фav̂̀os 1220．if．
$\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1222． 2.
$\phi i \lambda \epsilon i ้ \nu 1216.14 ; 1219.9$.
фídos 1205．5．фídtatos 1189．5；1218．ıо．
фортєкós 1223． 21.

$\phi \rho o u \rho o ́ s ~ 1193.4$.
фúną̆．See Index ViII．
фúdap才os 1187．го．
хаípєiv 1185．3，13， 16 ；1188．2． 7 ； 1189. 5；1190． 3 ；1191． 2 ；1192． 2 ；1200． 2 ， 16；1206． 6 ：1208． 8 ；1215．I； 1216. 2 ；1217． 2 ；1218．2：1219．2；1220．2； 1221．3；1222．1．
Xúpıs 1188．5，ІІ ；1208． 17.
хєíp，ס̀à хєєро́s 1200． 24 ；1208．16； 1209. ${ }^{25}$ ．íтò $\chi$ єıрí 1208． 6.
$\chi$ єєроүрафі́a 1188．5．II；1196．19； 1197. 16；1223． 16.
$\chi$ єєротоуía 1191．5，16；1204．22， 24.
$\chi^{\lambda} \omega_{\text {pós }} 1211.8$.
хрє́a 1196．1＋；1210． 9 ；1216． 18 ； 1219. ${ }^{1} 3 ; 1222.5$.
$\chi$ фє由のтєіि 1223． 25.
хрй＇̆ $\zeta \epsilon \omega 1218.8$.
хрпиаті！$\epsilon \nu$ 1199． 7,12 ；1200．4， 11,15 ； 1204．3，6；1208． 32.
хрпиатибно́s 1188．2． 9 ；1200．50； 1209. 10， 20.


хрпоті́рюу 1199． 18 ；1207． 4.
$\chi$ хо́vos 1187．І7；1191．9；1196．І 3 ； 1200.
17；1207．1；1208．8；1221． 12 ； 1222.
$6 ; 1223.37$.
$\chi^{\omega} \mu a$ 1208． 2 I．
$\chi$ хріод 1220． 23.
$\chi^{\omega \rho i s}$ 1211．I I．
廿i入ós 1200．18，26，fi．
ढैठє 1222． 3 ．
ढैкцио» 1222．з．

1209．10，12， 29.
ต̉ví 1208． 2 ；1209． 5.
ต̀á 1207．г．
ڤ̈pa 1193． 2 ；1214．7．
む̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ 1206． 12.
Ш̈ँ $\tau \epsilon 1194.2$.
$\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda_{\epsilon} \hat{i}$ 1219． 12.

## XII. INDEX OF PASSAGES DISCUSSED.

## (a) Authors.

| Aristoph. Thesm. 337, 374 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { Page } \\ \text { I } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Ptol. Hephaest. $a p$. Mythogr. Gr. p. I9I | Westermann |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 178 |  | West | I2 5-6 |
| Etym. Magn. p. 283.45 | 179 | Soph. $A j .84 \mathrm{I}$. | . | 81 |
| Eurip. Fr. 403. | 179 | Trach. 339 |  | 75 |
| Fr. 492. | - 177 | Fr. 165. |  | 81 |
| Fr. 91I. | $179-80$ | 294. | - | 80 |
| Fr. 912 | 172 | 295 | . | 8 I |
| Fr. 913. | $172-3$ | 305 | . | 35 |
| Fr. 959, 960 | 173 | 672 |  | 81 |
| Hesych. s.v. $\mathrm{\delta opua} \mathrm{\lambda}^{\text {dós }}$ | 179 | 768 |  | 87, 118-9 |
|  | 73 | 899 |  | 68 |
| $\pi \rho \in \pi \tau<{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 82 | 964 |  | 69 |
| Liv. xlii. 14 | 126 | Theophil. Ad Autol. ii. |  | 125 |
|  |  | Vopiscus, Firmus 3 |  | $213-14$ |

## (b) Papyri and Inscriptions.
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## EGYPT EXPLORATION FUND

## GRAECO-ROMAN BRANCH.

THE EGYPT ENPLORATION FUND, which has conducted Archaeological research in Egypt since 1882, in 1897 started a special department, called the Graeco-Roman Branch, for the discovery and publication of remains of classical antiquity and carly Christianity in Egypt.

The Graeco-Roman Branch issues ainnal volumes, cach of about 250 quarto pages, with facsimile plates of the more important papyri, under the editorship of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}$. Huxt.

A subscription of One Guinea to the Graeco-Roman Branch entitles subscribers to the annual zolume, and also to the annual Archacological Report. A donation of $£ 25$ constitutes life membership. Subscriptions may be sent to the Honorary Treasurers-for England, Mr. J. Grafton Milne, 37 Great Russell St., London, W.C.; and for America, Mi. Chester I. Campbell, $5^{27} 7$ Tremont Temple, Boston, Mass.

## PUBLICATIONS OF THE EGYPT EXPLORATION FUND.

## MEMOIRS OF THE FUND.

I. THE STORE CITY OF PITHOM AND THE ROUTE OF THE EXODUS. For 1883-4. By Edouard Naville. Thirteen Plates and Plans. (Fourth and Revised Edition.) 25 s.
II. TANIS, Part I. For $1884-5$. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Eighteen Plates and two Plans. (Second Edition.) ${ }^{25}$ s.
III. NAUKRATIS, Part I. For $1885^{-6}$. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. With Chapters by Cecil Smith, Ernest A. Gardner, and Barclay V. Head. Forty-four Plates and Plans. (Second Edition.) ${ }^{25}$ s.
IV. GOSHEN AND THE SHRINE OF SAFT-EL-HENNEH. For 1886-7. By Edouard Naville. Eleven Plates and Plans. (Second Edition.) ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
V. TANIS, Part II; including TELL DEFENNEH (The Biblical 'Tahpanhes') and tell nebesheh. For 1887-8. By W. M. Flinders Petrie, F. Ll. Griffith, and A. S. Murray. Fifty-one Plates and Plans. 25 s.
Vi. NaUKRATIS, Part II. For 1888-9. By Ernest A. Gardner and F. Ll. Griffith. Twenty-four Plates and Plans. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
VII. THE CITY OF ONIAS AND THE MOUND OF THE JEW. The Antiquities of Tell-el-Yahûdîyeh. An Extra Volune. By Edouard Nayille and F. Ll. Griffith. Twenty-six Plates and Plans. 255 .

Viil. BUBASTIS. For 1889-90. By Edouard Naville. Fifty-four Plates and Plans. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
IX. TWO HIEROGLYPHIC PAPYRI FROM TANIS. An Extra Volume. Containing THE SIGN PAPYRUS (a Syllabary). By F. Ll. Griffith. THE GEOGRAPHiCAl Papyrus (an Almanac). By W. M. Flinders Petrie. With Remarks by Heinrich Brugsch. (Out of print.)
X. THE FESTIVAL HALL OF OSORKON II (BUBASTIS). For $\mathbf{1 8 9 0 - 1 .}$ By Edou ard Naville. Thirty-nine Plates. ${ }_{2} 5$ s.
XI. AHNAS EL MEDINEH. For r89i-2. By Edoulrd Nayile. Eighteen Plates. And The tomb of paheri at el Kab. By J. J. Tylor and F. Ll. Griffith. Ten Plates. ${ }^{25}$ s.
XII. DEIR EL BAHARI, Introductory. For $1892-3$. By Edouard Naville. Fiften Plates and Plans. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
XIII. DEIR EL BAHARI, Part I. For 1893-4. By Edouard Navilee. Plates I-XXIV (three coloured) with Description. Royal folio. zos.
XIV. DEIR EL BAHARI, Part II. For 1894-5. By Edouard Naville. Plates XXV-LV (two coloured) with Description. Royal folio. 3os.
XV. DESHÂSHEH. For $1895^{-6}$. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Photogravure and other Plates. 25 s.
XVI. DEIR EL BAHARI, Part III. For 1896 -7. By Edouard Naville. Plates LVI-LXXXVI (two coloured) with Description. Royal folio. zos.
XVII. DENDEREH. For $1897-8$. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Thirty-eight Plates. ${ }^{25}$ s. (Extra Plates of Inscriptions. Forty Plates. 10s.)
XVIII. ROYAL TOMBS OF THE FIRST DYNASTY. For $1898-9$. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Sixty-eight Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
XIX. DEIR EL BAHARI, Part IV. For 1899-1900. By Edouard Narille. Plates LXXXVII-CXVIII (two coloured) with Description. Royal folio. 3os.
XX. DIOSpOLIS PARVA. An Extra Volume. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Forty-nine Plates. (Out of print.)
XXI. THE ROYAL TOMBS OF THE EARLIEST DYNASTIES, Part II. For 1900-1. By W.M.Flinders Petrie. Sixty-three Plates. 25s. (Thirty-five extra Plates, ios.)
XXII. ABYDOS, Part I. For 1901-2. By W. M.F. Petrie. Eighty-one Plates. ${ }^{5} 5$ s.
XXIII. EL AMRAH AND ABYDOS. An Extra Volume. By D. Randall-Maclver, A. C. Mace, and F. Ll. Griffitu. Sixty Plates. $2 \overline{5} s$.
XXIV. ABYDOS, Part II. For $1902-3$. By W. M.F. Petrie. Sixty-four Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
XXV. Abydos, Part III. An Extra Volume. By C. T. Currelly, E. K. Ayrton, and A. E. P. Weigall, \&c. Sixty-one Plates. ${ }_{2} 5$ s.
XXVI. EHNASYA. For r903-4. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Forty-threc Plates. 25 s . (ROMAN EHNASYA. Thirty-two extra Plates. 10s.)
XXVII. DEIR EL BAHARI, Part V. For 1904-5. By Edouard Naville. Plates CXIX-CL with Description. Royal folio. zos.
XXVIII. THE ELEVENTH DYNASTY TEMPLE AT DEIR EL BAHARI, Part I. For 1905-6. By Edouard Naville and II. K. 11ail. Thirty-one Plates. $2_{5}^{\circ}$ s.
XXIX. DEIR EL BAHARI, Part VI. For 1906-7. By Edolard Naville. Plates CLI-CLXXIV (one colonred) with Description. Koyal folio. zos.
XXX. THE ELEVENTH DYNASTY TEMPLE AT DEIR EL BAHARI, Part II. For 1907-8. By Edouard Naville. Twenty-four Plates. ${ }_{2} 5$ s.
XXXI. PRE-DYNASTIC CEMETERY AT EL MAHASNA. For 1908-9. By E. R. Ayrton and IV. L. S. Loat. ${ }_{2} 5$ s.
XXXII. THE ELEVENTH DYNASTY TEMPLE AT DEIR EL BAHARI, Part III. For 1909-10. By Edouard Naville and H. R. Hall. (In preparation.)

## ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY.

Edited by F. Ll. Griffith.
I. BENI HASAN, Part I. For $1890-\mathrm{r}$. By Percy E. Newberry. With Plans by G. W. Fraser. Forty-nine Plates (four coloured). (Out of print.)
II. BENi HASAN, Part II. For i89ı-2. By Percy E. Newberry. With Appendix. Plans, and Measurements by G. W. Fraser. Thirty-seven Plates (two coloured). 25 s.
III. EL BERSHEH, Part I. For 1892-3. By Percy E. Newberry. Thirty-four Plates (two coloured). ${ }_{2} 5$ s.
IV. EL BERSHEH, Part II. For 1893-4. By F. Ll. Griffith and Percy E. Newberry. With Appendix by G. W. Fraser. Twenty-three Plates (two coloured). ${ }_{2}{ }_{5} 5$.
V. BENI HASAN, Part III. For 1894-5. By F. Ll. Griffith. (Hieroglyphs, and manufacture, \& \& . of Flint Knives.) Ten coloured Plates. ${ }_{25}$ s.
VI. HIEROGLYPHS FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE EGYPT Exploration FUND. For 1895-6. By F. Ll. Griffith. Nine coloured Plates. 25 s.
VII. BENI HASAN, Part IV. For 1896-7. By F. Ll. Griffith. (Illustrating beasts and birds, arts, crafts, \&c.) Twenty-seven Plates (twenty-one coloured. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
VIII. THE MASTABA OF PTAHHETEP AND AKHETHETEP AT SAQQAREH, Part I. For $1897-8$. By N. de G. Davies and F. Ll. Griffith. Thirtyone Plates (three coloured). ${ }^{25}$.
IX. THE MASTABA OF PTAHHETEP AND AKHETHETEP AT SAQQAREH, Part II. For $1898-9$. By N. de G. Davies and F. Ll. Griffith. Thirtyfive Plates. 25 s.
X. THE ROCK TOMBS OF SHEIKH SAÏD. For $1899-1900$. By N. de G. Davies. Thirty-five Plates. 25 s.
XI. THE ROCK TOMBS OF DEIR EL GEBRÂWI, Part I. For $1900-\mathrm{I}$. By N. de G. Davies. Twenty-seven Plates (two coloured). ${ }^{25}$ s.
XII. DEIR EL GEBRÂWI, Part II. For $1901-2$. By N. de G. Davies. Thirty Plates (two coloured). ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
XIII. THE ROCK TOMBS OF EL AMARNA, Part I. For 1902-3. By N. de G. Davies. Forty-one Plates. 25 s.
XIV. EL AMARNA, Part II. For 1903-4. By N. de G. Dailes. Forty-seven Plates. 25 s.
XV. EL Amarna, Part III. For $\mathbf{1}^{904-5 .}$ By N. de G. Davies. Forty Plates. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
XVI. EL AMARNA, Part IV. For 1905-6. By N. de G. Daries. Forty-five Plates ${ }^{2}{ }^{2} 5^{5}$.
XVII. EL AMARNA, Part V. For 1906 -7. By N. de G. Davies. Forty-four Plates. 25 s.
XVIII. EL A.ilarna, Part VI. For 1907 -8. By N. de G. Dayies. Forty-four Plates. 25 s.
XIX. THE ISLAND OF MEROË. By J. W. Crowfoot, and MEROITIC insCriptions, Part I. For 1908-9. By F. Ll. Griffith. Thirty-five Plates. $2^{5}$ s.
XX. MEROITIC INSCRIPTIONS, Part II. For 1909-10. By F. Ll. Griffith. Forty-eight Plates. (In preparation.)

## GRAECO-ROMAN BRANCH.

I. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part I. For 1897-8. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Eight Collotype Plates. (Out of print.)
II. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part II. For 1898-9. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Eight Collotype Plates. 25 s.
iII. FAYÛM TOWNS AND THEIR PAPYRI. For 1899-1900. By B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and D. G. Hogarth. Eighteen Plates. 25 s.
IV. THE TEBTUNIS PAPYRI. Double Volume for $1900-1$ and $1901-2$. By B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and J. G. Smyly. Nine Collotype Plates. (Not for sale.)
V. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part III. For 1902-3. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Six Collotype Plates. ${ }_{2}$ s.
VI. The OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part IV. For 1903-4. By B. P. Grenfele. and A. S. Hunt. Eight Collotype Plates. ${ }^{25}$ s.
VII. THE HIBEH PAPYRI, Part I. Double Volume for 1904-5 and 1905-6. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Ten Collotype Plates. 45 s.
ViII. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part V. For 1906-7. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Seven Collotype Plates. 25 s.
IX. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part VI. For 1907 -8. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Six Collotype Plates. ${ }^{2}$ s.
X. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part VII. For 1908-9. By A. S. Hunt. Six Collotype Plates. ${ }^{25}$ s.
XI. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part VIII. For i909-10. By A. S. Hunt. Seven Collotype Plates. ${ }^{25}$ s.
XII. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part IX. For igio-ir. By A. S. Hunt. Six Collotype Plates. 25 s.

## ANNUAL ARCHAEOLOGICAL REPORTS.

(Yearly Summaries by F. G. Kenyon, W. E. Crum, and the Officers of the Society, with Maps.) Edited by F. Ll. Griffith.
THE SEASON'S WORK. For i890-1. By Edouard Nayille, Percy E Newberry, and G. W. Fraser, $2 s .6 \mathrm{~d}$.

For 1892-3 and 1893-4. 2s. 6d. each.
" $1894-5.3$ s. 6 d. Containing Report of D. G. Hogarth's Excavations in Alexandria.
", $1895^{-6}$. 3 s. With Illustrated Article on the Transport of Obelisks by Edouard Naville.
", $1896-7.2 s .6 d$. With Articles on Oxyrhynchus and its Papyri by B. P. Grenfell, and a Thucydides Papyrus from Oxyrhynchus by A. S. Hunt.
" $1897^{-8 .} 2 s .6 \mathrm{~d}$. With Illustrated Article on Excavations at Hierakonpolis by W. M. F. Petrie.
" $1898-9.25 .6 \mathrm{c}$. With Article on the Position of Lake Moeris by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.
," 1899-1900. 2s. 6 d. With Article on Knossos in its Egyptian Relations by A. J. Kivans. And eleven successive years, $2 s .6 \mathrm{~d}$. each.

## SPECIAL PUBLICATIONS.

aoria ihsor: 'Sayings of Our Lord,' from an Early Greek Papyrus. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. $2 s$. (with Collotypes) and $6 d$. net.
NEW SAYINGS OF JESUS AND FRAGMENT OF A LOST GOSPEL. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. is. net.
fragment of an uncanonical Gospel. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. is, net.
ATLAS OF ANCIENT EGYPT. With Letterpress and Index. (Out of print.)
GUIDE TO THE TEMPLE OF DEIR EL BAHARI. With Plan. (Out of print.)
COPTIC OSTRACA. By W. E. Crum. ios. 6d. net.
Slides from Fund Photographs may be obtained through Messis. Newton \& Co., 3 Fleet Street, E.C. and Prints from Mr. R. C. Murray', 37 Dartmouth Park Hill, N.W.

## Offices of the Egypt Exploration Fund:

37 GREAT RUSSELL STREET, LONDON, W.C., AN1
527 TREMONT TEMPLE, BOSTON, MASS., U.S.A.

## Agents:

BERNARD QUARITCH, II GRAFTON STREET, NEW BOND STREET, W. KEGAN PAUL, TRENCH, TRÜBNER \& Co., 68-74 CARTER LANE, E.C. ASHER \& Co., 14 BEDFORD STREET, COVENT GARDEN, W.C., AND 56 UNTER DEN LINDEN, BERLIN.
HENRV FROWDE, ANEN CORNER, E.C., AND 29-35 WEST 32 ND STREET, NEW YORK.

## PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE CARDS OR SLIPS FROM THIS POCKET

 UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO LIBRARY
[^0]:    
     as the authority for this statement: Philochorus refert in insula Salamine speluncam esse taetram et horridam, quam nos vidimus, in qua Euripides tragoedias scriptitarit.
     cf. Mayser, Gram. d. griech. Papyri, p. ino.
    19. The stop is uncertain.

    25-8. The quotation, which is apparently in trochaic metre, is not otherwise known.
    

[^1]:    ＇To my lord and brother Demetrianus from Isidorus，greeting．The deliveries of the western toparchy are being measured in at Paraetonium by the cultivators there according to custom．Do not therefore worry Zoilas about this．I pray for your lasting health．＇
    

    1222．Letter to Demetrius．

    $$
    6.3 \times 25.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century }
    $$

